June 24, 2014

A Matter of Record (301) 890-4188

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

16 17 18 FDA White Oak Campus 19 White Oak Conference Center 20 Building 31, The Great Room 20 21 21 Silver Spring, Maryland 22 22 Page 2 1 CONTENTS PROCEEDINGS 1 2 AGENDA ITEM PAGE 2 (8:35 a.m.) 3 Keynote Address: Human Pluripotent Stem Cells DR. PORRECA: All right. Well, good 3 Clive Svendsen 5 4 morning, everybody, and welcome to the second day Stem Cells and Pain 5 of our meeting. And it's my great pleasure to Allan Basbaum 75 6 introduce our first speaker this morning. Our Gene Therapy Potential in Pain 7 keynote address is going to be presented by 8 Joseph Glorioso 112 8 Dr. Clive Svendsen on the topic of human Toxins for Pain 9 pluripotent stem cells. 10 Baldomero (Toto) Olivera 137 10 Just to tell you a little bit about Clive, 11 Q&A and Panel Discussion 169 11 he is the director of the Regenerative Medicine Preclinical and Clinical Studies of 12 12 Institute at Cedars-Sinai. He's been working in 13 Angiotensin II Receptor Blockers 13 the area of stems cells and regenerative medicine 14 Andrew Rice 195 14 now for many years. He was at the University of 15 Preclinical and Clinical Studies of 15 Wisconsin for some time and founded and ran the Anti-NGF Antibodies 16 stem cell biology program there. Nathaniel Katz 227 17 He's subsequently moved to Cedars-Sinai, 18 Preclinical Studies of Anti-CGRP Agents 18 where he's set up and directed Regenerative 19 Medicine Institute, which now consists of 120 Lars Edvinsson 272 20 20 people and 16 faculty, and working on stem-cell 21 based therapies for all different types of 22 22 diseases, as he's explained to me, from liver to

Page 1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

AGENDA ITEM

Adjournment

Marcelo Bigal

O&A and Panel Discussion

Third ACTTION Scientific Workshop **Transformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies**

THIRD ACTTION SCIENTIFIC WORKSHOP

TRANSFORMATIVE STRATEGIES FOR THE

DEVELOPMENT OF PAIN THERAPIES

Tuesday, June 24, 2014

8:35 a.m. to 4:44 p.m.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

4

5

6

7

9

16

17

19

21

June 24, 2014

CONTENTS (continued)

Clinical Studies of Anti-CGRP Agents

Page 3

PAGE

295

332

353

Page 4

	insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies	June 24, 2014
	Page 5	Page 7
1	brain.	1 different types of therapy.
2	So today, he is going to be presenting on	2 So the big picture, if I'm going to lead you
3	the topic that I know very little about, but really	3 with anything, this will be the thing to remember.
4	offers perhaps tremendous promise for the	4 Up until recently, stem cells were mainly thought
5	development of pain therapies. And he's going to	5 of as ethical issues. The embryonic stem cell era
6	be talking about stem cells, GDNF, and pain. And	6 that just ended about four years ago was fraught
7	so Clive, thank you very much for coming.	7 with problems.
8	Presentation – Clive Svendsen	8 When I arrived in Wisconsin in 2000, the
9	DR. SVENDSEN: Thanks very much, Frank, and	9 paper from Jamie Thompson had just been published,
10	to everybody for the invite. Despite United's best	10 where he destroyed embryos in order to make
11	efforts, I made it here. Just a bit of advice.	11 embryonic stem cells. And this whole fervor in the
12	Never go through Chicago on United at 4:00 in the	12 field, there's been more ethics about this topic,
13	afternoon.	13 that science has really been the driving force of
14	I actually changed the title a little bit.	14 media attention to the field.
	Yes, I work on stem cells, but I've also got a long	15 This all changed when Shinya Yamanaka, who
	history in GDNF and somewhat neural transplantation	16 got the Nobel Prize a few years ago, discovered
	as well, which I thought would fit a little bit	17 that we don't actually need embryonic stem cells
	with the next speaker, Allan, who's going to talk	18 anymore. And I'm going to really play the view
	about some of the work he's doing presumably on	19 here that embryonic stem cells, while important,
	putting neurons in the spinal cord to control pain.	20 today are probably not going to be relevant anymore
21	So I was asked to give a broad overview, so	21 for the field.
22	I'm going to do that first. And then I'm going to	22 The reason is that you can take a diseased
	Page 6	Page 8
1	Page 6 try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get	Page 8 1 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old,
2	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get	1 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old,
2	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or
2 3 4	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain.	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the
2 3 4 5	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and
2 3 4 5 6	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through
2 3 4 5 6 7	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital in Los Angeles that is now becoming a major medical	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through all the technology.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital in Los Angeles that is now becoming a major medical center. We have over 600 faculty working in the	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through all the technology. The main factor is Oct-4. It's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital in Los Angeles that is now becoming a major medical center. We have over 600 faculty working in the sciences and a huge science program, really	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through all the technology. The main factor is Oct-4. It's a transcription factor that if you just put the protein in an adult cell, any cell, it reprograms the cell. It spins it back in time through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital in Los Angeles that is now becoming a major medical center. We have over 600 faculty working in the sciences and a huge science program, really matching all the pinnacle efforts that we have. The big picture, first, I'll talk about stem cells at the moment to update you. Then I'll talk	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through all the technology. The main factor is Oct-4. It's a transcription factor that if you just put the protein in an adult cell, any cell, it reprograms the cell. It spins it back in time through demethylation events back to a pluripotent state.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital in Los Angeles that is now becoming a major medical center. We have over 600 faculty working in the sciences and a huge science program, really matching all the pinnacle efforts that we have. The big picture, first, I'll talk about stem cells at the moment to update you. Then I'll talk about modeling diseases. And I think many of the	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through all the technology. The main factor is Oct-4. It's a transcription factor that if you just put the protein in an adult cell, any cell, it reprograms the cell. It spins it back in time through demethylation events back to a pluripotent state. So once you do that, you end up with a dish with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital in Los Angeles that is now becoming a major medical center. We have over 600 faculty working in the sciences and a huge science program, really matching all the pinnacle efforts that we have. The big picture, first, I'll talk about stem cells at the moment to update you. Then I'll talk about modeling diseases. And I think many of the impacts of stem cells may not be a therapy. It may	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through all the technology. The main factor is Oct-4. It's a transcription factor that if you just put the protein in an adult cell, any cell, it reprograms the cell. It spins it back in time through demethylation events back to a pluripotent state. So once you do that, you end up with a dish with a cell that's almost identical to an embryonic stem
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital in Los Angeles that is now becoming a major medical center. We have over 600 faculty working in the sciences and a huge science program, really matching all the pinnacle efforts that we have. The big picture, first, I'll talk about stem cells at the moment to update you. Then I'll talk about modeling diseases. And I think many of the impacts of stem cells may not be a therapy. It may actually be modeling, so modeling pain in the dish.	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through all the technology. The main factor is Oct-4. It's a transcription factor that if you just put the protein in an adult cell, any cell, it reprograms the cell. It spins it back in time through demethylation events back to a pluripotent state. So once you do that, you end up with a dish with a cell that's almost identical to an embryonic stem
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital in Los Angeles that is now becoming a major medical center. We have over 600 faculty working in the sciences and a huge science program, really matching all the pinnacle efforts that we have. The big picture, first, I'll talk about stem cells at the moment to update you. Then I'll talk about modeling diseases. And I think many of the impacts of stem cells may not be a therapy. It may actually be modeling, so modeling pain in the dish. Then I'll talk about a new trial to treat	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through all the technology. The main factor is Oct-4. It's a transcription factor that if you just put the protein in an adult cell, any cell, it reprograms the cell. It spins it back in time through demethylation events back to a pluripotent state. So once you do that, you end up with a dish with a cell that's almost identical to an embryonic stem Now, this reprogramming of any somatic cell
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital in Los Angeles that is now becoming a major medical center. We have over 600 faculty working in the sciences and a huge science program, really matching all the pinnacle efforts that we have. The big picture, first, I'll talk about stem cells at the moment to update you. Then I'll talk about modeling diseases. And I think many of the impacts of stem cells may not be a therapy. It may actually be modeling, so modeling pain in the dish. Then I'll talk about a new trial to treat ALS, Lou Gehrig's disease, using GDNF-secreting	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through all the technology. The main factor is Oct-4. It's a transcription factor that if you just put the protein in an adult cell, any cell, it reprograms the cell. It spins it back in time through demethylation events back to a pluripotent state. So once you do that, you end up with a dish with a cell that's almost identical to an embryonic stem cell. Now, this reprogramming of any somatic cell really took the field by surprise. Unlike STAP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital in Los Angeles that is now becoming a major medical center. We have over 600 faculty working in the sciences and a huge science program, really matching all the pinnacle efforts that we have. The big picture, first, I'll talk about stem cells at the moment to update you. Then I'll talk about modeling diseases. And I think many of the impacts of stem cells may not be a therapy. It may actually be modeling, so modeling pain in the dish. Then I'll talk about a new trial to treat ALS, Lou Gehrig's disease, using GDNF-secreting stem cells, which again I think has impact for	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through all the technology. The main factor is Oct-4. It's a transcription factor that if you just put the protein in an adult cell, any cell, it reprograms the cell. It spins it back in time through demethylation events back to a pluripotent state. So once you do that, you end up with a dish with a cell that's almost identical to an embryonic stem cell. Now, this reprogramming of any somatic cell really took the field by surprise. Unlike STAP technology that's just been discredited
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital in Los Angeles that is now becoming a major medical center. We have over 600 faculty working in the sciences and a huge science program, really matching all the pinnacle efforts that we have. The big picture, first, I'll talk about stem cells at the moment to update you. Then I'll talk about modeling diseases. And I think many of the impacts of stem cells may not be a therapy. It may actually be modeling, so modeling pain in the dish. Then I'll talk about a new trial to treat ALS, Lou Gehrig's disease, using GDNF-secreting stem cells, which again I think has impact for pain, given the work Steven's done. Sorry I missed	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through all the technology. The main factor is Oct-4. It's a transcription factor that if you just put the protein in an adult cell, any cell, it reprograms the cell. It spins it back in time through demethylation events back to a pluripotent state. So once you do that, you end up with a dish with a cell that's almost identical to an embryonic stem cell. Now, this reprogramming of any somatic cell recently took the field by surprise. Unlike STAP technology that's just been discredited recently those of you in the field know a new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital in Los Angeles that is now becoming a major medical center. We have over 600 faculty working in the sciences and a huge science program, really matching all the pinnacle efforts that we have. The big picture, first, I'll talk about stem cells at the moment to update you. Then I'll talk about modeling diseases. And I think many of the impacts of stem cells may not be a therapy. It may actually be modeling, so modeling pain in the dish. Then I'll talk about a new trial to treat ALS, Lou Gehrig's disease, using GDNF-secreting stem cells, which again I think has impact for pain, given the work Steven's done. Sorry I missed yesterday. But the impact of GDNF on pain may be	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through all the technology. The main factor is Oct-4. It's a transcription factor that if you just put the protein in an adult cell, any cell, it reprograms the cell. It spins it back in time through demethylation events back to a pluripotent state. So once you do that, you end up with a dish with a cell that's almost identical to an embryonic stem cell. Now, this reprogramming of any somatic cell really took the field by surprise. Unlike STAP technology from Japan iPS technology is real,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital in Los Angeles that is now becoming a major medical center. We have over 600 faculty working in the sciences and a huge science program, really matching all the pinnacle efforts that we have. The big picture, first, I'll talk about stem cells at the moment to update you. Then I'll talk about modeling diseases. And I think many of the impacts of stem cells may not be a therapy. It may actually be modeling, so modeling pain in the dish. Then I'll talk about a new trial to treat ALS, Lou Gehrig's disease, using GDNF-secreting stem cells, which again I think has impact for pain, given the work Steven's done. Sorry I missed yesterday. But the impact of GDNF on pain may be significant, and we may be able to revisit that in	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through all the technology. The main factor is Oct-4. It's a transcription factor that if you just put the protein in an adult cell, any cell, it reprograms the cell. It spins it back in time through demethylation events back to a pluripotent state. So once you do that, you end up with a dish with a cell that's almost identical to an embryonic stem cell. Now, this reprogramming of any somatic cell recantly those of you in the field know a new technology from Japan iPS technology is real, and many groups have reproduced it. In fact, there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	try and keep mentioning pain as I go through to get it back to pain and how the stuff we do may impact pain. So this is the building I work in at Cedars-Sinai. Cedars-Sinai is a 1,000-bed hospital in Los Angeles that is now becoming a major medical center. We have over 600 faculty working in the sciences and a huge science program, really matching all the pinnacle efforts that we have. The big picture, first, I'll talk about stem cells at the moment to update you. Then I'll talk about modeling diseases. And I think many of the impacts of stem cells may not be a therapy. It may actually be modeling, so modeling pain in the dish. Then I'll talk about a new trial to treat ALS, Lou Gehrig's disease, using GDNF-secreting stem cells, which again I think has impact for pain, given the work Steven's done. Sorry I missed yesterday. But the impact of GDNF on pain may be	 patient, or any patient, up to 100 years old, isolate cells from either the blood, the skin, or even the liver, any adult cell, put them in the petri dish, and grow them for a day or two, and then expose them. And I'm not going to go through all the technology. The main factor is Oct-4. It's a transcription factor that if you just put the protein in an adult cell, any cell, it reprograms the cell. It spins it back in time through demethylation events back to a pluripotent state. So once you do that, you end up with a dish with a cell that's almost identical to an embryonic stem cell. Now, this reprogramming of any somatic cell really took the field by surprise. Unlike STAP technology from Japan iPS technology is real,

Tra	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 9		Page 11
1	from humans. So any human patient or human, you	1	whether that patient cardiomyocyte beating will be
	can generate these pluripotent stem cells from. So		affected by that specific drug. So this is
	now, essentially, you can all have your own		personalized medicine and drug screening that means
	personalized embryonic stem cell line.		you can now screen drugs with side effects on
5	We use these cells for a number of different		patients using their cells in a dish.
6	tasks. We can also engineer them. They're easy to	6	All of that is coming, so I think you have
	engineer because they're pluripotent. So we can	7	to be ready for this kind of revolution that's
	edit genes, put genes in, take genes out. In the		happening in that we can now grow tissues and
	institute, we actually grow them into skin, brain,	9	organs in the dish.
	nerve, blood, heart, kidney, muscle, lungs,	10	This is my simple slide. The problem is, if
	stomach, liver, and pancreas.	11	you make these iPS cells incorrectly, you end up
12	In fact, in testing, we can actually make	12	getting false data out of the other end, so rubbish
13		13	in, rubbish out. I think the key is to make
14	taken them from Crohn's patients, made them into	14	quality cells and quality lines, which is why we
15	iPS cells, skin cells from Crohn's patients, and	15	have an iPS core facility that qualifies the iPS
16	then developed them back into whole gut organoids	16	production and runs it through a stringent test
17		17	before releasing it out to the faculty we have.
18	dish and look at things like absorption across	18	But really, the idea of this disease in a
19	that, the tissues in that gut.	19	dish, for me, it's a little bit like Avatar. You
20	So this has really opened up the whole area	20	can now have your patient in a dish, essentially.
21	of autologous stem-cell transplantation, allowing	21	Now, as we get more sophisticated we started in
22	now to have matched cell types from patients'	22	this field back in 2009, and I think it's one of
	Page 10		Page 12
1	transplants.	1	the first papers showing we can actually do this.
2	At Cedars, we're really entering into this	2	And this is a disease called spinal muscular
3	personalized medicine area where disease	3	atrophy, a serious disease in children. At six
4	stratification will be important. And that means	4	months, they become floppy, lose all muscle
5	also we can generate cells from the same patients	5	innovation, so essentially, this is a multineuron
6	for transplants, maybe correct the mutation if	6	disorder.
7	there is a mutation, and generate these patients'	7	We took these patients, made iPS cells from
8	specific lines.	8	them, as I just described, from the skin. And then
9	So transplantation is important, but also,	9	we pushed them from an iPS state to being neurons.
10	I'll talk about making tissues and organs in the	10	And in fact, we can make them into specifically the
11			
111	dish means we can learn about disease mechanisms.	11	multineurons that die in these children.
12		11 12	multineurons that die in these children. What we showed in this paper was that, up
12			
12	We can actually recreate a lot of diseases now in	12 13	What we showed in this paper was that, up
12 13 14	We can actually recreate a lot of diseases now in the dish with human cells.	12 13 14	What we showed in this paper was that, up until about 4 to 6 weeks of differentiation, the multineurons are okay. But between 6 and 10 weeks,
12 13 14 15	We can actually recreate a lot of diseases now in the dish with human cells. Finally, we can make beating cardiomyocytes from these iPS cells. So if you think about	12 13 14	What we showed in this paper was that, up until about 4 to 6 weeks of differentiation, the multineurons are okay. But between 6 and 10 weeks, the neurons from the children started to die,
12 13 14 15	We can actually recreate a lot of diseases now in the dish with human cells. Finally, we can make beating cardiomyocytes from these iPS cells. So if you think about	12 13 14 15	What we showed in this paper was that, up until about 4 to 6 weeks of differentiation, the multineurons are okay. But between 6 and 10 weeks, the neurons from the children started to die,
12 13 14 15 16	We can actually recreate a lot of diseases now in the dish with human cells. Finally, we can make beating cardiomyocytes from these iPS cells. So if you think about toxicity screens for drugs in big PhRMA, a lot of	12 13 14 15 16	What we showed in this paper was that, up until about 4 to 6 weeks of differentiation, the multineurons are okay. But between 6 and 10 weeks, the neurons from the children started to die, whereas the neurons from the healthy parent lived.
12 13 14 15 16 17	We can actually recreate a lot of diseases now in the dish with human cells. Finally, we can make beating cardiomyocytes from these iPS cells. So if you think about toxicity screens for drugs in big PhRMA, a lot of the problems in the drugs occur because they have problems with arrhythmia and cause arrhythmia in	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	What we showed in this paper was that, up until about 4 to 6 weeks of differentiation, the multineurons are okay. But between 6 and 10 weeks, the neurons from the children started to die, whereas the neurons from the healthy parent lived. So this was the first model of a neurological disease in the dish, and we can play this over and
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We can actually recreate a lot of diseases now in the dish with human cells. Finally, we can make beating cardiomyocytes from these iPS cells. So if you think about toxicity screens for drugs in big PhRMA, a lot of the problems in the drugs occur because they have problems with arrhythmia and cause arrhythmia in	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	What we showed in this paper was that, up until about 4 to 6 weeks of differentiation, the multineurons are okay. But between 6 and 10 weeks, the neurons from the children started to die, whereas the neurons from the healthy parent lived. So this was the first model of a neurological disease in the dish, and we can play this over and
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	We can actually recreate a lot of diseases now in the dish with human cells. Finally, we can make beating cardiomyocytes from these iPS cells. So if you think about toxicity screens for drugs in big PhRMA, a lot of the problems in the drugs occur because they have problems with arrhythmia and cause arrhythmia in patients. Here, we have shown now, and other groups	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	What we showed in this paper was that, up until about 4 to 6 weeks of differentiation, the multineurons are okay. But between 6 and 10 weeks, the neurons from the children started to die, whereas the neurons from the healthy parent lived. So this was the first model of a neurological disease in the dish, and we can play this over and over again.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We can actually recreate a lot of diseases now in the dish with human cells. Finally, we can make beating cardiomyocytes from these iPS cells. So if you think about toxicity screens for drugs in big PhRMA, a lot of the problems in the drugs occur because they have problems with arrhythmia and cause arrhythmia in patients. Here, we have shown now, and other groups	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	What we showed in this paper was that, up until about 4 to 6 weeks of differentiation, the multineurons are okay. But between 6 and 10 weeks, the neurons from the children started to die, whereas the neurons from the healthy parent lived. So this was the first model of a neurological disease in the dish, and we can play this over and over again. Once you get iPS cells, they essentially

	instormative Strategies-Development of rain Therapies		
	Page 13		Page 15
1	pluripotent cells that you can do these	1	I think this opens up the opportunity for
2	disease-modeling tricks with. And in fact, this	2	modeling human conditions such as pain. We can
3	now we have taken on I won't show you the	3	isolate DRGs. We can, perhaps, then combine them
4	data into drug screening, looking for molecules,		with spinal cord cultures and look for models of
5	which prevent this cell death in the dish, which	5	neuropathy or other interesting models.
6	mimics the human condition, a very exciting	6	Now, we have access to the cells, we can do
7	technology.	7	it. And if there are genetic forms of neuropathy,
8	We have also done this for Lou Gehrig's	8	we could actually have the patients' own cells in
9	disease, and I'll talk about, in a moment, a more	9	the dish and their DRGs connecting with the spinal
10	specific type, a genetic form of Lou Gehrig's. And	10	cord.
11	we managed to get a disease model here where there	11	We have recently taken this to the next
12	wasn't overt cell death, but we saw physiological	12	level by collaborating now very strongly with the
13	changes and toxicity changes that we could reverse	13	Wyss Institute set up by Don Ingber at Harvard with
14	with anti-sense oligonucleotides in the dish.	14	a \$250 million gift from Wyss, who is a Swiss
15	So this is almost a patient in the dish	15	entrepreneur. What they are doing is actually
16	that's now ISIS Pharmaceuticals very unfortunate	16	making what they call an organ on a chip. And
17	name, ISIS anyway, that ISIS Pharmaceuticals	17	DARPA and I think FDA are funding a chunk of this
18	have taken now, and are taking now, through to	18	work.
19	clinical trials based really on a dish model	19	Again, really, the long-term goal is to
20	without even having a transgenic mouse line.	20	create much more sophisticated organs. And as an
21	So we are getting to the point where we can	21	example, this is kind of the size of a memory
22	do this in the dish. And finally, we also did one	22	stick. They have a microfluidic system where they
	Page 14		Page 16
	Page 14		Page 16
	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease.		put endothelial cells on one side, in this case,
2	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I	2	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane.
2 3	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We	2 3	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human
2 3 4	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we	2 3 4	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very,
2 3 4 5	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author.	2 3 4 5	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial
2 3 4 5 6	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven	2 3 4 5 6	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in
2 3 4 5 6 7	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven different labs.	2 3 4 5 6 7	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in along the top and they put blood in along the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven different labs. But we really showed nice, specific changes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in along the top and they put blood in along the bottom. And then these two things pull and stretch
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven different labs. But we really showed nice, specific changes in Huntington's disease neurons that we could model	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in along the top and they put blood in along the bottom. And then these two things pull and stretch the tissues to simulate breathing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven different labs. But we really showed nice, specific changes in Huntington's disease neurons that we could model in the dish. And now, again, NIH in partnership	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in along the top and they put blood in along the bottom. And then these two things pull and stretch the tissues to simulate breathing. In fact, the movement in tissue culture is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven different labs. But we really showed nice, specific changes in Huntington's disease neurons that we could model in the dish. And now, again, NIH in partnership with a number of companies are using this model to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in along the top and they put blood in along the bottom. And then these two things pull and stretch the tissues to simulate breathing. In fact, the movement in tissue culture is very important. We haven't really appreciated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven different labs. But we really showed nice, specific changes in Huntington's disease neurons that we could model in the dish. And now, again, NIH in partnership with a number of companies are using this model to screen drugs for Huntington's disease, which has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in along the top and they put blood in along the bottom. And then these two things pull and stretch the tissues to simulate breathing. In fact, the movement in tissue culture is very important. We haven't really appreciated this, but when you move the cells, they start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven different labs. But we really showed nice, specific changes in Huntington's disease neurons that we could model in the dish. And now, again, NIH in partnership with a number of companies are using this model to screen drugs for Huntington's disease, which has been very difficult to model in mice. In fact,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in along the top and they put blood in along the bottom. And then these two things pull and stretch the tissues to simulate breathing. In fact, the movement in tissue culture is very important. We haven't really appreciated this, but when you move the cells, they start behaving more like real lung endothelium. If you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven different labs. But we really showed nice, specific changes in Huntington's disease neurons that we could model in the dish. And now, again, NIH in partnership with a number of companies are using this model to screen drugs for Huntington's disease, which has been very difficult to model in mice. In fact, there were very few models in the mouse of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in along the top and they put blood in along the bottom. And then these two things pull and stretch the tissues to simulate breathing. In fact, the movement in tissue culture is very important. We haven't really appreciated this, but when you move the cells, they start behaving more like real lung endothelium. If you do this with gut tissue, they start creating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven different labs. But we really showed nice, specific changes in Huntington's disease neurons that we could model in the dish. And now, again, NIH in partnership with a number of companies are using this model to screen drugs for Huntington's disease, which has been very difficult to model in mice. In fact, there were very few models in the mouse of neurodegenerative disease in humans that are really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in along the top and they put blood in along the bottom. And then these two things pull and stretch the tissues to simulate breathing. In fact, the movement in tissue culture is very important. We haven't really appreciated this, but when you move the cells, they start behaving more like real lung endothelium. If you do this with gut tissue, they start creating microvilli and producing more like gut tissues, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven different labs. But we really showed nice, specific changes in Huntington's disease neurons that we could model in the dish. And now, again, NIH in partnership with a number of companies are using this model to screen drugs for Huntington's disease, which has been very difficult to model in mice. In fact, there were very few models in the mouse of neurodegenerative disease in humans that are really efficient. So this was another new model.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in along the top and they put blood in along the bottom. And then these two things pull and stretch the tissues to simulate breathing. In fact, the movement in tissue culture is very important. We haven't really appreciated this, but when you move the cells, they start behaving more like real lung endothelium. If you do this with gut tissue, they start creating microvilli and producing more like gut tissues, so movement and mechanical forces are important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven different labs. But we really showed nice, specific changes in Huntington's disease neurons that we could model in the dish. And now, again, NIH in partnership with a number of companies are using this model to screen drugs for Huntington's disease, which has been very difficult to model in mice. In fact, there were very few models in the mouse of neurodegenerative disease in humans that are really efficient. So this was another new model. We're getting more sophisticated now with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in along the top and they put blood in along the bottom. And then these two things pull and stretch the tissues to simulate breathing. In fact, the movement in tissue culture is very important. We haven't really appreciated this, but when you move the cells, they start behaving more like real lung endothelium. If you do this with gut tissue, they start creating microvilli and producing more like gut tissues, so movement and mechanical forces are important. I think, with DARPA funding, they've now put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven different labs. But we really showed nice, specific changes in Huntington's disease neurons that we could model in the dish. And now, again, NIH in partnership with a number of companies are using this model to screen drugs for Huntington's disease, which has been very difficult to model in mice. In fact, there were very few models in the mouse of neurodegenerative disease in humans that are really efficient. So this was another new model. We're getting more sophisticated now with these models. We can put these cells into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in along the top and they put blood in along the bottom. And then these two things pull and stretch the tissues to simulate breathing. In fact, the movement in tissue culture is very important. We haven't really appreciated this, but when you move the cells, they start behaving more like real lung endothelium. If you do this with gut tissue, they start creating microvilli and producing more like gut tissues, so movement and mechanical forces are important. I think, with DARPA funding, they've now put five of these different organ systems together in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven different labs. But we really showed nice, specific changes in Huntington's disease neurons that we could model in the dish. And now, again, NIH in partnership with a number of companies are using this model to screen drugs for Huntington's disease, which has been very difficult to model in mice. In fact, there were very few models in the mouse of neurodegenerative disease in humans that are really efficient. So this was another new model. We're getting more sophisticated now with these models. We can put these cells into different chambers. And, for instance, we put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in along the top and they put blood in along the bottom. And then these two things pull and stretch the tissues to simulate breathing. In fact, the movement in tissue culture is very important. We haven't really appreciated this, but when you move the cells, they start behaving more like real lung endothelium. If you do this with gut tissue, they start creating microvilli and producing more like gut tissues, so movement and mechanical forces are important. I think, with DARPA funding, they've now put five of these different organ systems together in one incubator, so we're trying to reconnect and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the first molecules of Huntington's disease. It's a huge consortium, NIH-funded effort, which I had the dubious task of pulling together. We didn't want to give any authorship to anyone, so we called it the HD iPS Consortium was the author. But it had over 70 authors on it and seven different labs. But we really showed nice, specific changes in Huntington's disease neurons that we could model in the dish. And now, again, NIH in partnership with a number of companies are using this model to screen drugs for Huntington's disease, which has been very difficult to model in mice. In fact, there were very few models in the mouse of neurodegenerative disease in humans that are really efficient. So this was another new model. We're getting more sophisticated now with these models. We can put these cells into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	put endothelial cells on one side, in this case, lung cells on the other side of this membrane. This microchip essentially is a mini-human lung. If you go in and blow this up, they're very, very clever engineering. This is the endothelial layer. This is the lung layer. They blow air in along the top and they put blood in along the bottom. And then these two things pull and stretch the tissues to simulate breathing. In fact, the movement in tissue culture is very important. We haven't really appreciated this, but when you move the cells, they start behaving more like real lung endothelium. If you do this with gut tissue, they start creating microvilli and producing more like gut tissues, so movement and mechanical forces are important. I think, with DARPA funding, they've now put five of these different organ systems together in

22 and the spinal cord in this one with microfluidics.

Page 1	7 Page 19
1 model. And in partnership with these, we hope to	1 GDNF. So actually getting it into the spinal cord
2 create some interesting models on a chip of	2 has been very difficult.
3 different organ systems.	3 Into the brain, as we showed some time ago,
4 I would really love to move this into pain	4 we can get that GDNF into the brain. And we, in
5 as soon as we can and work with anybody here who	5 this study, with my long-term friend, Steven Gill,
6 would like to collaborate. For actually trying to	6 put together a project with Amgen supplying the
7 make some sort of pain-on-a-chip models that we	7 GDNF a few years ago, where we infused GDNF
8 could think about how systems interact to create	8 directly into the brain of patients with
9 normal models of pain, I know the field needs some	9 Parkinson's.
10 boosting, I think, in certain areas. And this may	10 One of the first uses for GDNF, because it
11 be an area where we can really work hard to get	11 protects dopamine neurons, was going to be to treat
12 newer models of pain that will be more relevant to	12 Parkinson's disease. They struggled. They put it
13 human disease. So that's my in vitro part.	13 in the ventricles. They did not have a paper, but
14 The last part of the talk will be focusing	14 it caused all sorts of side effects and no real
15 more on the in vivo side and the experiences we've	15 effect.
16 had with FDA moving stem cells to the clinic and	16 That's because to penetrate deep into the
17 trying to think about how these stem cells will be	17 brain tissue, the GDNF really needs to be in the
18 used.	18 brain and I'll get back to this or the spinal
19 I think, before I go any further, I'm just	19 cord because the human spinal cord is so big and
20 going to acknowledge Steven for the work he used to	20 the aqueduct is more or less not there. Getting
21 do in GDNF and still does perhaps. But these kind	21 GDNF to the motor neurons, I'll mention in a
22 of papers on GDNF and pain were very important a	22 minute, is different. So we decided to be a little
Page 1	B Page 20
1 few years ago in thinking about how we could use	1 gung ho in England and did a small trial of five
2 GDNF to treat pain.	2 patients.
3 I've been interested in GDNF for many years,	3 We did see a nice change in the Parkinson's
4 and I'll show you why in a moment, because GDNF is	4 scale in this paper. And we also saw some PET
5 part of a larger family of molecules, all of which	5 changes in the patients that we infused GDNF, where
6 interact essentially with the RET receptor. They	6 the dopamine levels seemed to go up where we were
7 float along in this little graph. They bind with	7 infusing the GDNF, so very encouraging. I could
8 the RET receptor. And this family of molecules has	8 show you videos of miracle patients, but it was an
9 various effects in models of pain and, indeed, in	9 open-label trial.
10 many neurogenetic diseases.	10 Parkinson's is notorious for false
11 Once the GFR alpha-2 receptor, neurturin, or	11 positives. It's actually a disease that dopamine
12 GFR alpha-1 and GDNF are bound. This activates a	12 neurons are a reward mechanism. So if a patient
13 whole set of intracellular signaling pathways,	13 thinks they're getting something, they will jump
14 which essentially, I'm interested in cell survival	14 out of bed in the morning and respond if they

Min-U-Script®

17 significant way.

15 and neurite outgrowth, but also, through this

16 mechanism, interact with pain pathways in a

19 blood-brain barrier very easily. And so it needs

21 That does lead to Limette syndrome and other

22 issues, non-specific issues that are related to

20 to be infused, many studies infused into the CSF.

A gene is great, but it can't penetrate the

15 thought they were getting the drug.

So we were very cautious in our

17 interpretation. This needs to be double blind.

19 up doing a very large double blind trial in 40

22 be a discussion of maybe how not to take

20 patients, which failed. I'm not going to go into

21 the details. Maybe in the discussion, this could

18 Amgen were less cautious and very excited and ended

June 24, 2014

Tra	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 21		Page 23
1	preliminary trials into the clinic further.	1	again, you might hear more about this from Allan in
2	But they use a different catheter. The		a moment.
3	catheter they use was an open catheter. We used	3	For ALS, which I'm going to talk about, you
4	convection-enhanced delivery, and it's just a	4	not only need an integration to a circuit, you need
5	simple host pipe analogy. If you're trying to get	5	to get that axon of that motor neuron to grow about
6	a drug into the brain and you put it through a very	6	three feet out to the muscle. Now, this has been a
7	wide-bore catheter, it's going to reflex back up.	7	huge problem for the field, for motor neuron
8	If you put it through a very tight catheter under	8	replacement.
9	pressure, it sprays out. You get convection-	9	Again, this is just to reference Allan's
10	enhanced delivery, which is what we did in our	10	work he'll probably talk about in a moment. There
11	initial trial.	11	is some beautiful data on neurons being able to
12	So that trial failed. We still don't know	12	interact with pathways in the dorsal spinal cord to
13	if it could work. Steve Gill has just currently	13	modulate pain.
14	started a new trial in Bristol, in England, using	14	So neurons are important. Oligodendrocytes
15	the same catheter with a new set of patients and	15	is the other cell type we can make. Here, they're
16	blinded. And so we'll wait and see what happens	16	being used now in a trial in New York, Steve
17	because we still don't know if GDNF works with	17	Goldman, for remyelination of multiple sclerosis,
18	Parkinson's.	18	which is because myelination goes and the
19	There's always the cumbersome aspect of	19	oligodendrocytes may remyelinate. And also for
20	having to make the recombinant protein. It's very	20	spinal cord injury, remyelination is being used by
21	expensive. So I was always kind of keen on other	21	Geron, a company in California, that just
22	delivery mechanisms. This is where my worlds met,	22	reactivated a trial for these cells in spinal cord
	Page 22		Page 24
1	the GDNF world and the stem cell world came fused	1	injury.
2	together. And I thought, if you can make stem	2	Here, they're actually trying to remyelinate
	cells secrete growth factors such as GDNF, you get	3	areas of the cord, which are demyelinated in the
4	over the delivery problem. I think maybe the stem	4	injury, in hope to get a little bit of function
5	cells will do something useful on their own.	5	back in these patients. They're not trying to
6	That really got me into the era of stem cell	6	restore the whole circuit, the motor neuron circuit
7	biology. I started with Parkinson's back in	7	in these spinal cord injury patients. They're just
8	Cambridge with Anders Bjorklund and others, trying	8	trying to get a bit of remyelination, which is a
9	to get dopamine neurons for Parkinson's, but then	9	very interesting approach. It would be nice to get
10	rapidly developed into a different area.	10	more patients into that trial.
11	Of course, I've mentioned pluripotent cells.	11	Finally, the astrocyte. Now, this guy is
12	We could use these for delivering cells into the	12	the poor guy of the brain, but there are three more
13	brain. And maybe you'll hear in a little bit from	13	astrocytes for every neuron in the brain. When
	Allan about tunnel suffix cells being used for	14	they looked at Einstein's brain, they chased it all
15	this, for interacting with neural circuits.	15	around the world and finally analyzed it. Guess
16	But the idea usually is, you take these	16	what? He had more astrocytes than any other human
17		17	known to man, a whole load of astrocytes. Nobody
	and then you get your three types of the brain, the	18	
	neuron, the oligodendrocyte, or the astrocyte, and	19	
20	use these for repairing damaged brain tissues.	20	
21	Now, neurons are fine. The problem is, you	21	modulate neurotransmission and may well modulate

Tra	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 25		Page 27
1	interact with the blood-brain barrier. So I	1	player had it and his batting average actually went
	converted to astrocytes about 10 years ago.		down five years or two years before he actually had
3	I always tell my students, publish in Glia		onset of symptoms, so there are things going on in
	Journal now, not Neuron, because in 10 years, Glia		your body and you're losing motor neurons. You
	will have a bigger impact factor than Neuron and		compensate. And then finally, you go off the edge
	it's easier to get it. But nobody takes any notice		and get this horrific disease.
	on me. We'll just have to wait and see if history	7	It's actually a complex disease. The motor
	shows that's true.	8	neuron system starts up here. When I want to move
9	So the astrocytes are very important. And		my hand, I have to activate upper motor neurons.
	they may be sick in certain diseases. We always		These upper motor neurons go all the way down to
	focus on the neuron, but in ALS, there's good		the cord. In a human, they actually go all the way
	evidence that the astrocytes are sick, and I'll get		down, about four foot, all the way down to the
	to that in a second.		spinal cord, where they activate the second motor
14			neuron, which is called the lower motor neuron.
15	They are a very nice cell. They migrate in the CNS		That's the one that goes out to the muscle, and
	and the spinal cord. So if we engineer them to		that's what enables you to move, so really two
	make drugs, maybe they can help increase		circuits from your brain to your muscle.
	plasticity, increase protection of neurons in the	18	In ALS, both of these neurons die. We don't
	brain, and of course reduce pain if they are	19	really understand so much about the upper. I have
	releasing GDNF. I don't have any data to show this		a whole story on that, but I don't have time to
	can happen, but I do have a lot of pre-clinical and		tell you. But we do understand quite a bit about
22	clinical data to suggest it's now possible.	22	the lower. And in this lower motor neuron in ALS
	Page 26		Page 28
1	Page 26 We haven't really moved so fast with these	1	Page 28 patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower
1	We haven't really moved so fast with these		-
2	We haven't really moved so fast with these	2	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower
2 3	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman	2	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to
2 3 4	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great	2 3 4	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis.
2 3 4 5	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful	2 3 4 5	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you
2 3 4 5 6	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly	2 3 4 5	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time
2 3 4 5 6 7	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly careful about moving to clinical trials because one	2 3 4 5 6 7	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time
2 3 4 5 6 7	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly careful about moving to clinical trials because one tumor in one patient would set the alarm bells off	2 3 4 5 6 7	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time is usually three years. That's the average. You start with muscle fasciculations. It moves from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly careful about moving to clinical trials because one tumor in one patient would set the alarm bells off with the FDA correctly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time is usually three years. That's the average. You start with muscle fasciculations. It moves from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly careful about moving to clinical trials because one tumor in one patient would set the alarm bells off with the FDA correctly. So we have to be very cautious. And people are moving these cells into the clinic, but it's a lot slower than another source, which is fetal	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time is usually three years. That's the average. You start with muscle fasciculations. It moves from one arm to the next arm. And then about a month or two later, it starts in your legs maybe. Everything becomes paralyzed until, finally,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly careful about moving to clinical trials because one tumor in one patient would set the alarm bells off with the FDA correctly. So we have to be very cautious. And people are moving these cells into the clinic, but it's a lot slower than another source, which is fetal brain tissue, which has been around for 20, 30	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time is usually three years. That's the average. You start with muscle fasciculations. It moves from one arm to the next arm. And then about a month or two later, it starts in your legs maybe. Everything becomes paralyzed until, finally, your lungs paralyze and you have to choose or your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly careful about moving to clinical trials because one tumor in one patient would set the alarm bells off with the FDA correctly. So we have to be very cautious. And people are moving these cells into the clinic, but it's a lot slower than another source, which is fetal brain tissue, which has been around for 20, 30 years. People have been growing stem cells and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time is usually three years. That's the average. You start with muscle fasciculations. It moves from one arm to the next arm. And then about a month or two later, it starts in your legs maybe. Everything becomes paralyzed until, finally, your lungs paralyze and you have to choose or your family chooses whether to go on a respirator.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly careful about moving to clinical trials because one tumor in one patient would set the alarm bells off with the FDA correctly. So we have to be very cautious. And people are moving these cells into the clinic, but it's a lot slower than another source, which is fetal brain tissue, which has been around for 20, 30 years. People have been growing stem cells and neural stem cells. And that's really the main	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time is usually three years. That's the average. You start with muscle fasciculations. It moves from one arm to the next arm. And then about a month or two later, it starts in your legs maybe. Everything becomes paralyzed until, finally, your lungs paralyze and you have to choose or your family chooses whether to go on a respirator. Usually, at that stage, people decide not to in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly careful about moving to clinical trials because one tumor in one patient would set the alarm bells off with the FDA correctly. So we have to be very cautious. And people are moving these cells into the clinic, but it's a lot slower than another source, which is fetal brain tissue, which has been around for 20, 30 years. People have been growing stem cells and neural stem cells. And that's really the main amount of clinical data at the moment, is from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time is usually three years. That's the average. You start with muscle fasciculations. It moves from one arm to the next arm. And then about a month or two later, it starts in your legs maybe. Everything becomes paralyzed until, finally, your lungs paralyze and you have to choose or your family chooses whether to go on a respirator. Usually, at that stage, people decide not to in the U.S.A., about 70 percent of people. Interestingly,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly careful about moving to clinical trials because one tumor in one patient would set the alarm bells off with the FDA correctly. So we have to be very cautious. And people are moving these cells into the clinic, but it's a lot slower than another source, which is fetal brain tissue, which has been around for 20, 30 years. People have been growing stem cells and neural stem cells. And that's really the main amount of clinical data at the moment, is from human fetal tissue that's been driven down this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time is usually three years. That's the average. You start with muscle fasciculations. It moves from one arm to the next arm. And then about a month or two later, it starts in your legs maybe. Everything becomes paralyzed until, finally, your lungs paralyze and you have to choose or your family chooses whether to go on a respirator. Usually, at that stage, people decide not to in the U.S.A., about 70 percent of people. Interestingly, in Japan, 70 percent choose to go on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly careful about moving to clinical trials because one tumor in one patient would set the alarm bells off with the FDA correctly. So we have to be very cautious. And people are moving these cells into the clinic, but it's a lot slower than another source, which is fetal brain tissue, which has been around for 20, 30 years. People have been growing stem cells and neural stem cells. And that's really the main amount of clinical data at the moment, is from human fetal tissue that's been driven down this route. Human fetal tissue is not as plastic, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time is usually three years. That's the average. You start with muscle fasciculations. It moves from one arm to the next arm. And then about a month or two later, it starts in your legs maybe. Everything becomes paralyzed until, finally, your lungs paralyze and you have to choose or your family chooses whether to go on a respirator. Usually, at that stage, people decide not to in the U.S.A., about 70 percent of people. Interestingly, in Japan, 70 percent choose to go on the respirator. Whether that's to do with healthcare,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly careful about moving to clinical trials because one tumor in one patient would set the alarm bells off with the FDA correctly. So we have to be very cautious. And people are moving these cells into the clinic, but it's a lot slower than another source, which is fetal brain tissue, which has been around for 20, 30 years. People have been growing stem cells and neural stem cells. And that's really the main amount of clinical data at the moment, is from human fetal tissue that's been driven down this route. Human fetal tissue is not as plastic, but it is much safer to go into patients.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time is usually three years. That's the average. You start with muscle fasciculations. It moves from one arm to the next arm. And then about a month or two later, it starts in your legs maybe. Everything becomes paralyzed until, finally, your lungs paralyze and you have to choose or your family chooses whether to go on a respirator. Usually, at that stage, people decide not to in the U.S.A., about 70 percent of people. Interestingly, in Japan, 70 percent choose to go on the respirator. Whether that's to do with healthcare, family, we don't understand the ethics behind that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly careful about moving to clinical trials because one tumor in one patient would set the alarm bells off with the FDA correctly. So we have to be very cautious. And people are moving these cells into the clinic, but it's a lot slower than another source, which is fetal brain tissue, which has been around for 20, 30 years. People have been growing stem cells and neural stem cells. And that's really the main amount of clinical data at the moment, is from human fetal tissue that's been driven down this route. Human fetal tissue is not as plastic, but it is much safer to go into patients. This is ALS. For those of you that don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time is usually three years. That's the average. You start with muscle fasciculations. It moves from one arm to the next arm. And then about a month or two later, it starts in your legs maybe. Everything becomes paralyzed until, finally, your lungs paralyze and you have to choose or your family chooses whether to go on a respirator. Usually, at that stage, people decide not to in the U.S.A., about 70 percent of people. Interestingly, in Japan, 70 percent choose to go on the respirator. Whether that's to do with healthcare, family, we don't understand the ethics behind that. We don't know what causes ALS. It is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly careful about moving to clinical trials because one tumor in one patient would set the alarm bells off with the FDA correctly. So we have to be very cautious. And people are moving these cells into the clinic, but it's a lot slower than another source, which is fetal brain tissue, which has been around for 20, 30 years. People have been growing stem cells and neural stem cells. And that's really the main amount of clinical data at the moment, is from human fetal tissue that's been driven down this route. Human fetal tissue is not as plastic, but it is much safer to go into patients. This is ALS. For those of you that don't know this disorder, the worst diagnosis you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time is usually three years. That's the average. You start with muscle fasciculations. It moves from one arm to the next arm. And then about a month or two later, it starts in your legs maybe. Everything becomes paralyzed until, finally, your lungs paralyze and you have to choose or your family chooses whether to go on a respirator. Usually, at that stage, people decide not to in the U.S.A., about 70 percent of people. Interestingly, in Japan, 70 percent choose to go on the respirator. Whether that's to do with healthcare, family, we don't understand the ethics behind that. We don't know what causes ALS. It is completely unknown. There are no drugs. There's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We haven't really moved so fast with these pluripotent cells because I think, as Spiderman once said, "With great power comes great responsibility." So, yes, these are very powerful cells, and that means we have to be incredibly careful about moving to clinical trials because one tumor in one patient would set the alarm bells off with the FDA correctly. So we have to be very cautious. And people are moving these cells into the clinic, but it's a lot slower than another source, which is fetal brain tissue, which has been around for 20, 30 years. People have been growing stem cells and neural stem cells. And that's really the main amount of clinical data at the moment, is from human fetal tissue that's been driven down this route. Human fetal tissue is not as plastic, but it is much safer to go into patients. This is ALS. For those of you that don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	patients, you lose about 90 percent of these lower motor neurons. And probably when you get to 70 percent loss, you start getting paralysis. So from onset to death, total paralysis, you don't actually die from ALS. You die because you decide not to go on a respirator. The total time is usually three years. That's the average. You start with muscle fasciculations. It moves from one arm to the next arm. And then about a month or two later, it starts in your legs maybe. Everything becomes paralyzed until, finally, your lungs paralyze and you have to choose or your family chooses whether to go on a respirator. Usually, at that stage, people decide not to in the U.S.A., about 70 percent of people. Interestingly, in Japan, 70 percent choose to go on the respirator. Whether that's to do with healthcare, family, we don't understand the ethics behind that. We don't know what causes ALS. It is completely unknown. There are no drugs. There's one drug called riluzole, which does very little.

ГГа	insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies	June 2-	, 2014
	Page 29	Pa	age 31
1	three years. It'll happen between 30 and 70 years	1 The motor neurons retract from the muscle	
2	old. A majority of cases are sporadic, but like	2 and the astrocytes also retract from the blood-	
3	every other disease, neurological disease, there's	3 brain barrier. So the idea here is to replace the	
	a genetic form like Parkinson's, like Alzheimer's,	4 astrocytes, where they can actually then restore	
	all these diseases.	5 function, because we're assuming that some of the	
6	About 10 percent are familial and about	6 cause of ALS is the death or damage to the	
7	90 percent are sporadic. But the familial types,	7 astrocytes.	
	this SOD1 gene, if you've over-expressed a mutation	8 So again, we're putting the cells into the	
	in SOD1, you get a beautiful ALS model in the wrap.	9 patients to try and modulate motor neuron function,	
	And this has been the real workhorse, where motor	L0 and protect the neurons that are still there in the	
	neurons die. And I'll show you that in a second.	L1 patients, because we can't replace the whole	
12	There's been varied new genes and one called	L2 circuit. But also, we're going to arm them with	
	C9ORF, which are coming up in ALS, which may now	L3 GDNF, so the idea is, GDNF was shown by Chris	
	account for about 50 percent of familial forms now	L4 Henderson many years ago now to be more active	on
	that turn out to be associated with a C9ORF	L5 motor neurons and survival pathways than dopamir	
-	mutation, which is also responsible for	L6 neurons. So it's a well-known survival pathway for	
	frontal-temple dementia. So there's an interesting	L7 motor neurons undergoing degeneration. So this is	
	link here between Alzheimer's and ALS that's being	L8 the concept, genetically modify cells to release	
	actively investigated right now.	L9 GDNF.	
20	We haven't even got a name for this gene	20 Which cells do we use? I won't go into a	
	yet, it's so new. I mentioned earlier, we've	1 lot of detail, but we've been using cortical cells	
	modeled now ALS with iPS cells and have shown we	22 from fetal tissue now since the early '90s,	
	Page 30	Pa	age 32
1	can reverse some of the pathology caused by that	1 actually, in Cambridge. And these cells can be	
2	gene, so that's a lot of excitement. The SOD1 gene	2 expanded in EGF and FGF. We call them	
3	is still the workhorse.	3 neurospheres.	
4	The pain pathways in ALS were of interest to	4 We developed this novel chopping method.	
5	me as well with regard to GDNF, having come from	5 Martin Raff once told me that any cell, a single	
6	out of the Parkinson's field. And you've heard a	6 cell and culture, from a multicellular organism is	
7	lot of this yesterday; maybe yesterday, you've	7 abnormal. You should never clone a cell because	
8	heard some of this.	8 cells in multicellular organisms are together.	
9	But GDNF acts on many different levels in	9 So I took this to heart 20 years ago after	
10	the spinal cord. Of course, the most interesting	Lo dinner with Martin. Martin will convince you to do	
11	one here is the GFR alpha-1 sensory neuron pathway	1 anything, those of you that know him. And we	
12	that can be modulated to change pain thresholds and	L2 developed a new way. I thought, well, how can we	
13	sensitivity, a number of papers by Steve and	L3 grow cells without passaging them in single cells?	
14	colleagues, who have shown the effects of GDNF in	L4 And in fact, it's quite simple.	
15	pain modulation.	U5 What you do with these aggregates that grow	
16	This is, again, the intact spinal cord with	L6 spheres is, you take a knife and you chop the	
17	the motor neuron coming out to the muscle. This is	17 sphere into quarters. If you do that, the cells	
18	from an old review we did. And really, in ALS, we	L8 within that chunk and this is about half a	
19	don't know the mechanism, but, clearly, you can	L9 million cells, 500,000 cells in this	
20	have the mutation, this SOD1 mutation. The	20 aggregate the cells in these little trunks don't	
21	astrocytes change. They become actually toxic and	1 know they've been dissociated. In fact, they	
22	aggressive.	22 haven't been dissociated because you've chopped i	t.

			Tage 55
:	So this chopping method, we've pushed	1	integrating to release GDNF. And we've now shown a
:	through now, and I'll show you, all the way to a	2	number, probably over 20 papers that we can grow
	3 GMP facility at City of Hope. And it allows you to	3	these cells, make them produce GDNF. And they
	grow these cells very consistently without	4	release GDNF under the PGK promoter consistently
!	dissociation over long periods of time.	5	over long periods of time following
	We found, using this model, interesting	6	transplantation.
	things with these fetal-derived cells, is that	7	So what do we want to do? In ALS, we are
1	3 they're not really stem cells. They're	8	currently attacking here the lower motor neuron.
	progenitors. And what we do when we expand them	9	We have a lot of data on the cervical. We can put
1	o over a period of weeks and month and in fact, up	10	these cells in the cervical part of the central
1	to 70 doublings is that they grow, but they are	11	nervous system, of the central nervous system
1:	2 changing over time.	12	spinal cord. We also are looking in the muscle to
1	B First, they make neurons. If you take them,	13	try and protect the muscle. And we're also looking
14	and expand them, and then you have to differentiate	14	at the upper motor neuron circuit.
1	5 them on a laminate substrate to make them into	15	So we have a very comprehensive lab focusing
10	5 neurons. If you grow them for around 2 to 4	16	on all of these areas. And I only have time to
1'	population doublings, they make beautiful large	17	show you some examples from the work that we do
1	projection neurons. If you then grow them for	18	now. And I'll focus down here, really, in the
1	another 4 to 20, they make interneurons when you	19	lumbar spinal cord. A lot of this started with a
2	o differentiate them.	20	graduate student in my lab many years ago, Sandy
2	If you push them past 20 passages, they make	21	Klein. And we really worked until today, and still
2	2 nearly exclusively astrocytes, particularly when	22	today, the rat model of ALS. It's a bigger model.
	D		D
	Page 34		Page 36
	Page 34 Lyou plant them into the brain. You can still make	1	Page 36 We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in
			-
:	you plant them into the brain. You can still make		We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it.
	you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later	2 3	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it.
:	you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make	2 3 4	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days.
	you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we	2 3 4 5	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these
	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is 	2 3 4 5	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die
	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is actually in the clinical trial, I'll mention in a 	2 3 4 5 6	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die over time. So by 150 days, the rats are completely
	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is actually in the clinical trial, I'll mention in a moment, Stem Cells, Inc., and Q Therapeutics. And 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die over time. So by 150 days, the rats are completely paralyzed and they over-express as a mutant form of
	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is actually in the clinical trial, I'll mention in a moment, Stem Cells, Inc., and Q Therapeutics. And 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die over time. So by 150 days, the rats are completely paralyzed and they over-express as a mutant form of SOD1. So this is a fantastic model of a
	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is actually in the clinical trial, I'll mention in a moment, Stem Cells, Inc., and Q Therapeutics. And they just use different variations on a theme, but none of them use a chopping method. And in the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die over time. So by 150 days, the rats are completely paralyzed and they over-express as a mutant form of SOD1. So this is a fantastic model of a neurological disease. I think it's one of the best
	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is actually in the clinical trial, I'll mention in a moment, Stem Cells, Inc., and Q Therapeutics. And they just use different variations on a theme, but none of them use a chopping method. And in the clinical trials they're doing now, none of them use 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die over time. So by 150 days, the rats are completely paralyzed and they over-express as a mutant form of SOD1. So this is a fantastic model of a neurological disease. I think it's one of the best models of a neurological disease that we have in
	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is actually in the clinical trial, I'll mention in a moment, Stem Cells, Inc., and Q Therapeutics. And they just use different variations on a theme, but none of them use a chopping method. And in the clinical trials they're doing now, none of them use engineered cells. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die over time. So by 150 days, the rats are completely paralyzed and they over-express as a mutant form of SOD1. So this is a fantastic model of a neurological disease. I think it's one of the best models of a neurological disease that we have in the motor neurons die.
	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is actually in the clinical trial, I'll mention in a moment, Stem Cells, Inc., and Q Therapeutics. And they just use different variations on a theme, but none of them use a chopping method. And in the clinical trials they're doing now, none of them use engineered cells. So these late passage cells are important. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die over time. So by 150 days, the rats are completely paralyzed and they over-express as a mutant form of SOD1. So this is a fantastic model of a neurological disease. I think it's one of the best models of a neurological disease that we have in the motor neurons die. The bad thing of this disease is it only
	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is actually in the clinical trial, I'll mention in a moment, Stem Cells, Inc., and Q Therapeutics. And they just use different variations on a theme, but none of them use a chopping method. And in the clinical trials they're doing now, none of them use engineered cells. So these late passage cells are important. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die over time. So by 150 days, the rats are completely paralyzed and they over-express as a mutant form of SOD1. So this is a fantastic model of a neurological disease. I think it's one of the best models of a neurological disease that we have in the motor neurons die. The bad thing of this disease is it only reflects about 3 percent of all ALS patients
	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is actually in the clinical trial, I'll mention in a moment, Stem Cells, Inc., and Q Therapeutics. And they just use different variations on a theme, but none of them use a chopping method. And in the clinical trials they're doing now, none of them use engineered cells. So these late passage cells are important. And now we've managed to actually grow these cells in a GMP facility and under GMP conditions. And we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die over time. So by 150 days, the rats are completely paralyzed and they over-express as a mutant form of SOD1. So this is a fantastic model of a neurological disease. I think it's one of the best models of a neurological disease that we have in the motor neurons die. The bad thing of this disease is it only reflects about 3 percent of all ALS patients because the other 97 percent don't have a mutation
: ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is actually in the clinical trial, I'll mention in a moment, Stem Cells, Inc., and Q Therapeutics. And they just use different variations on a theme, but none of them use a chopping method. And in the clinical trials they're doing now, none of them use engineered cells. So these late passage cells are important. And now we've managed to actually grow these cells in a GMP facility and under GMP conditions. And we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die over time. So by 150 days, the rats are completely paralyzed and they over-express as a mutant form of SOD1. So this is a fantastic model of a neurological disease. I think it's one of the best models of a neurological disease that we have in the motor neurons die. The bad thing of this disease is it only reflects about 3 percent of all ALS patients because the other 97 percent don't have a mutation in SOD1. So this is our dilemma with the FDA and
	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is actually in the clinical trial, I'll mention in a moment, Stem Cells, Inc., and Q Therapeutics. And they just use different variations on a theme, but none of them use a chopping method. And in the clinical trials they're doing now, none of them use engineered cells. So these late passage cells are important. And now we've managed to actually grow these cells in a GMP facility and under GMP conditions. And we have a paper in JoVE that just came out if you want 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die over time. So by 150 days, the rats are completely paralyzed and they over-express as a mutant form of SOD1. So this is a fantastic model of a neurological disease. I think it's one of the best models of a neurological disease that we have in the motor neurons die. The bad thing of this disease is it only reflects about 3 percent of all ALS patients because the other 97 percent don't have a mutation in SOD1. So this is our dilemma with the FDA and with others. And I'll get to the data in a moment.
: ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is actually in the clinical trial, I'll mention in a moment, Stem Cells, Inc., and Q Therapeutics. And they just use different variations on a theme, but none of them use a chopping method. And in the clinical trials they're doing now, none of them use engineered cells. So these late passage cells are important. And now we've managed to actually grow these cells in a GMP facility and under GMP conditions. And we have a paper in JoVE that just came out if you want to see how you do this With Patrick Aebischer many years ago, we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die over time. So by 150 days, the rats are completely paralyzed and they over-express as a mutant form of SOD1. So this is a fantastic model of a neurological disease. I think it's one of the best models of a neurological disease that we have in the motor neurons die. The bad thing of this disease is it only reflects about 3 percent of all ALS patients because the other 97 percent don't have a mutation in SOD1. So this is our dilemma with the FDA and with others. And I'll get to the data in a moment. Yes. It's an interesting model, but, no, it's not
	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is actually in the clinical trial, I'll mention in a moment, Stem Cells, Inc., and Q Therapeutics. And they just use different variations on a theme, but none of them use a chopping method. And in the clinical trials they're doing now, none of them use engineered cells. So these late passage cells are important. And now we've managed to actually grow these cells in a GMP facility and under GMP conditions. And we have a paper in JoVE that just came out if you want to see how you do this With Patrick Aebischer many years ago, we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die over time. So by 150 days, the rats are completely paralyzed and they over-express as a mutant form of SOD1. So this is a fantastic model of a neurological disease. I think it's one of the best models of a neurological disease that we have in the motor neurons die. The bad thing of this disease is it only reflects about 3 percent of all ALS patients because the other 97 percent don't have a mutation in SOD1. So this is our dilemma with the FDA and with others. And I'll get to the data in a moment. Yes. It's an interesting model, but, no, it's not sporadic ALS. So we don't have a model of sporadic
: ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	 you plant them into the brain. You can still make them into neurons in the dish, but at this later phase, they naturally change their ability to make different types of cells. And it's this that we capitalized on when we took these cells forward. There are many companies that use similar cells, but they're not the same. Neural stem is actually in the clinical trial, I'll mention in a moment, Stem Cells, Inc., and Q Therapeutics. And they just use different variations on a theme, but none of them use a chopping method. And in the clinical trials they're doing now, none of them use engineered cells. So these late passage cells are important. And now we've managed to actually grow these cells in a GMP facility and under GMP conditions. And we have a paper in JoVE that just came out if you want to see how you do this With Patrick Aebischer many years ago, we 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We can do spinal cord transplants effectively in that model. And the neurosurgeons like it. In this model, we get up to 100 days. Nothing happens. And then around 120 days, these animals start getting paralysis. The motor neurons die. Here are the motor neurons alive and they die over time. So by 150 days, the rats are completely paralyzed and they over-express as a mutant form of SOD1. So this is a fantastic model of a neurological disease. I think it's one of the best models of a neurological disease that we have in the motor neurons die. The bad thing of this disease is it only reflects about 3 percent of all ALS patients because the other 97 percent don't have a mutation in SOD1. So this is our dilemma with the FDA and with others. And I'll get to the data in a moment. Yes. It's an interesting model, but, no, it's not sporadic ALS. So we don't have a model of sporadic ALS, which has been a problem for us.

Page 33

June 24, 2014

Page 35

117	instormative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		Julie 24, 2014
	Page 37		Page 39
1	looks at the end. I won't show you the video.	1	for the human cells. And you can see they stay on
2	They have come totally paralyzed. And we	2	one side of the cord. This is GDNF staining. You
3	[indiscernible] requests, and we like to sacrifice	3	can see there, where the cells are, they're
4	them when they can't write themselves after	4	releasing GDNF, only where the cells are.
5	30 seconds. So that's our defined endpoint for	5	Here is the survival effect. This is the
6	this animal model.	6	same animal. One side doesn't have a transplant.
7	Microsurgery, we put a microsyringe into the	7	I think you can see all the motor neurons are
8	lumbar spinal cord. And actually, Guido Nikkhah	8	pretty much gone. And here's the transplant side,
9	developed this technique in Lund, when I was there	9	and you can see the motor neurons are still alive.
10	with Anders Bjorklund, very elegant technique with	10	So we have reproduced the survival effect of
11	a micro-syringe because we don't want to damage the	11	GDNF release, the astrocytes are made, and we get
12	cord. We squirt the cells in a little bit under	12	increased survival.
13	pressure as well and they spread out nicely, and	13	I moved to L.A. I was very worried it
14	they integrate. And so I'll just show you we can	14	wouldn't work when we repeated this in Los Angeles
15	follow them, actually, with MRI if we SPIO-label	15	versus Wisconsin, and it works in L.A. Here's the
16	them.	16	same effect, a good significant increase on the
17	These are five sites in the spinal cord just	17	ipsilateral side, where the GDNF cells are on the
18	three days after grafting. You can see one, two,	18	number of motor neurons, shown up here.
19	three, four, five sites here, one missed here. We	19	Really beautiful transplants. They kind of
20	only had four sites with the MRI scan. So we can	20	crawl in around. They love the degenerating
21	MRI-track the transplants.	21	environment. The stem cells differentiate into
22	The labeling is pretty good. In fact, Pablo	22	nested positive and some GFAP-positive cell and
	Page 38		Page 40
1	Avalos is a neurosurgeon that we collaborate with.	1	wrap themselves around the dying motor neurons.
	He's training in my lab. He's fantastic, micron		These red colors here are the human cells in and
	eyeball for getting these cells in the right place.		around the dying rat motor neurons, and again,
	It's not trivial, spinal cord surgery. So we do		releasing GDNF along the way.
	reasonably good. We do miss the target in the rat	5	So this was all great. We were headed for
	occasionally, but he's got pretty good at		Nature Medicine, and we got beautiful cell
	targeting.		survival. The problem was, in these animals, we
8	This is an old-ish paper now, but it really		couldn't get functional recovery. So even though
9	shows you the concept. When we put one deposit of		the cells were surviving, long story short, the
	cells in the spinal cord, they can migrate up to	10	axons were still withdrawing from the muscle. So
	5 millimeters. These are cortical-derived		we got increased survival, but when we did
12	neuroprogenitors in a human. They migrate. They	12	behavioral assays, the rat still became paralyzed
13	like to migrate in the gray matter and the white	13	at about the same time as a non-transplanted
14	matter, but they stop eventually. Here, they stop	14	animal.
15	and about down here. But they get enough migration	15	So it was very difficult to get a
16	so they can fill an area up.	16	high profile paper because you want the whole
17	Every red dot here is a human cell. These	17	story, but that was what it was. And we actually
18	are rats with human cells, so we can use human-	18	showed that number of innovations were less in the
19	specific markers. We have to use suppression and	19	ALS model, and the GDNF level of the spinal cord
	we actually use cyclosporine. But the key thing to	20	couldn't correct that.
	see here is in this animal and they are	21	In other studies I don't have time to tell
22	releasing GDNF. So this is human nucleus staining	22	you and publish now, we've actually put GDNF in the
		1	

ſ	Page 41	Page 43
	1 muscle at the same time, and we can restore	1 I'll mention the trial design, but it's
	2 function with GDNF in the muscle at the same time.	 2 essentially phase 1/2A. I'll show you how we got
	3 However, we don't know why we can't get full	3 around the blinding issue, by doing unilateral
	4 recovery with astrocytes just in the spinal cord.	4 transplants. We're collaborating with NGH for the
	5 But as I mentioned, this is not a model of ALS,	5 data monitoring. And there will be three sites
	6 sporadic ALS, and that's our patient target	6 initially, Cedars-Sinai, Emory, and California
	7 population.	7 Pacific Medical Center, which are big ALS centers.
	8 Also, the timing is difficult in xenografts.	8 For those of you who are in clinical trials
	9 The human cells take a long time to mature, and the	9 and design, this is the normal procedure. First,
	10 rat goes down with ALS. We have about 15 days from	10 we have to make the cells, clinical-grade cells.
:	11 onset to death. So we have a mismatch here. This	11 Then we need to do the dose ranging in animals by
	12 is a real problem.	12 distribution and toxicology studies, which are
	13 Should we go forward? I think yes. The	13 currently underway. We need to do pig as large
	14 cell protection is very reliable, very robust.	14 animal, shows safety as well for this product, and
	15 Yes, they don't connect to the muscle still, but	15 then follow the IND.
:	16 again, in ALS, in patients that don't have the	16 Master-cell bank is now in the final phases
:	17 mutation, we have no idea of the pathology of the	17 of production. We did a research lot at Cedars and
:	18 mechanism. And so it could well be that, in ALS,	18 we did some of our pre-clinical dose-ranging
:	19 this technique would also restore function and keep	19 studies with those cells releasing GDNF. And now
:	20 the cells innervated to the muscle. We'll only	20 we've done a process-comparable lot, and we're in
:	21 know if we try it in patients.	21 the middle of the final run of a GMP grade of cells
2	22 So this led us to our CIRM. And this is the	22 secreting GDNF, human, that will be compliant with
	Page 42	Page 44
	Page 42	Page 44
	1 California agency, no accident that I moved to	1 FDA regulations for going into humans.
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They have \$3 billion for stem cell research, and it has 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can actually share and collaborate with other groups;
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They have \$3 billion for stem cell research, and it has to go more or less to translational projects. And 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can actually share and collaborate with other groups; although some aspects of GDNF and stem cells are
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They have \$3 billion for stem cell research, and it has to go more or less to translational projects. And there is nowhere else in the world that we could 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can actually share and collaborate with other groups; although some aspects of GDNF and stem cells are under different patents. But my feeling is that
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They have \$3 billion for stem cell research, and it has to go more or less to translational projects. And 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can actually share and collaborate with other groups; although some aspects of GDNF and stem cells are
:	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They have \$3 billion for stem cell research, and it has to go more or less to translational projects. And there is nowhere else in the world that we could get a \$17.8 million grant to move from concept 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can actually share and collaborate with other groups; although some aspects of GDNF and stem cells are under different patents. But my feeling is that let's get something to work academically, and then
-	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They have \$3 billion for stem cell research, and it has to go more or less to translational projects. And there is nowhere else in the world that we could get a \$17.8 million grant to move from concept through to patients unless we had a CIRM grant. We 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can actually share and collaborate with other groups; although some aspects of GDNF and stem cells are under different patents. But my feeling is that let's get something to work academically, and then license the technology out to whoever feels they
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They have \$3 billion for stem cell research, and it has to go more or less to translational projects. And there is nowhere else in the world that we could get a \$17.8 million grant to move from concept through to patients unless we had a CIRM grant. We have actually expanded these studies, even since 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can actually share and collaborate with other groups; although some aspects of GDNF and stem cells are under different patents. But my feeling is that let's get something to work academically, and then license the technology out to whoever feels they have the best patents in the areas we're working
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They have \$3 billion for stem cell research, and it has to go more or less to translational projects. And there is nowhere else in the world that we could get a \$17.8 million grant to move from concept through to patients unless we had a CIRM grant. We have actually expanded these studies, even since writing this grant, that we got two years ago. 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can actually share and collaborate with other groups; although some aspects of GDNF and stem cells are under different patents. But my feeling is that let's get something to work academically, and then license the technology out to whoever feels they have the best patents in the areas we're working in.
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They have \$3 billion for stem cell research, and it has to go more or less to translational projects. And there is nowhere else in the world that we could get a \$17.8 million grant to move from concept through to patients unless we had a CIRM grant. We have actually expanded these studies, even since writing this grant, that we got two years ago. So we want to expand cells and then replace 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can actually share and collaborate with other groups; although some aspects of GDNF and stem cells are under different patents. But my feeling is that let's get something to work academically, and then license the technology out to whoever feels they have the best patents in the areas we're working in. So we continue to move ahead academically.
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They have \$3 billion for stem cell research, and it has to go more or less to translational projects. And there is nowhere else in the world that we could get a \$17.8 million grant to move from concept through to patients unless we had a CIRM grant. We have actually expanded these studies, even since writing this grant, that we got two years ago. So we want to expand cells and then replace astrocytes. The work plan is now and I'll 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can actually share and collaborate with other groups; although some aspects of GDNF and stem cells are under different patents. But my feeling is that let's get something to work academically, and then license the technology out to whoever feels they have the best patents in the areas we're working in. So we continue to move ahead academically.
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They have \$3 billion for stem cell research, and it has to go more or less to translational projects. And there is nowhere else in the world that we could get a \$17.8 million grant to move from concept through to patients unless we had a CIRM grant. We have actually expanded these studies, even since writing this grant, that we got two years ago. So we want to expand cells and then replace astrocytes. The work plan is now and I'll update you a little bit. We've been making these 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can actually share and collaborate with other groups; although some aspects of GDNF and stem cells are under different patents. But my feeling is that let's get something to work academically, and then license the technology out to whoever feels they have the best patents in the areas we're working in. So we continue to move ahead academically. As I'll mention, we're not even scared to change the cell source from fetal to iPS, if that was the
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They have \$3 billion for stem cell research, and it has to go more or less to translational projects. And there is nowhere else in the world that we could get a \$17.8 million grant to move from concept through to patients unless we had a CIRM grant. We have actually expanded these studies, even since writing this grant, that we got two years ago. So we want to expand cells and then replace astrocytes. The work plan is now and I'll update you a little bit. We've been making these cells now that I've showed you at City of Hope, 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can actually share and collaborate with other groups; although some aspects of GDNF and stem cells are under different patents. But my feeling is that let's get something to work academically, and then license the technology out to whoever feels they have the best patents in the areas we're working in. So we continue to move ahead academically. As I'll mention, we're not even scared to change the cell source from fetal to iPS, if that was the best way forward, again, avoiding the patent issues
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They have \$3 billion for stem cell research, and it has to go more or less to translational projects. And there is nowhere else in the world that we could get a \$17.8 million grant to move from concept through to patients unless we had a CIRM grant. We have actually expanded these studies, even since writing this grant, that we got two years ago. So we want to expand cells and then replace astrocytes. The work plan is now and I'll update you a little bit. We've been making these cells now that I've showed you at City of Hope, clinical grade. We use the National Gene Bacteria 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can actually share and collaborate with other groups; although some aspects of GDNF and stem cells are under different patents. But my feeling is that let's get something to work academically, and then license the technology out to whoever feels they have the best patents in the areas we're working in. So we continue to move ahead academically. As I'll mention, we're not even scared to change the cell source from fetal to iPS, if that was the best way forward, again, avoiding the patent issues
	 California agency, no accident that I moved to California. My wife is originally from Los Angeles. We've been in the frozen tundra of Wisconsin for 10 years, so that was certainly one reason. The other was Cedars-Sinai and how fantastic it is, but the third one is CIRM. They have \$3 billion for stem cell research, and it has to go more or less to translational projects. And there is nowhere else in the world that we could get a \$17.8 million grant to move from concept through to patients unless we had a CIRM grant. We have actually expanded these studies, even since writing this grant, that we got two years ago. So we want to expand cells and then replace astrocytes. The work plan is now and I'll update you a little bit. We've been making these cells now that I've showed you at City of Hope, clinical grade. We use the National Gene Bacteria Labs to make virus, and we are now in the process. 	 FDA regulations for going into humans. We are willing to share the drug master files with other groups, so if we were collaborating with a pain group, we'd be very happy to share. We're not commercial. I kept away from patents on this technology, so in order that we can actually share and collaborate with other groups; although some aspects of GDNF and stem cells are under different patents. But my feeling is that let's get something to work academically, and then license the technology out to whoever feels they have the best patents in the areas we're working in. So we continue to move ahead academically. As I'll mention, we're not even scared to change the cell source from fetal to iPS, if that was the best way forward, again, avoiding the patent issues for now. I'll just mention, this is how we do the

ГГа	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		Julie 24, 2014
	Page 45		Page 47
1	pre-clinical studies, we can get that product of	1	any major changes of these doses in the GDNF, but
2	GDNF-secreting cells that survive for and inject	2	we did do the pain thresholds and sensitivity.
3	into patients.	3	Given the effects of GDNF on pain, we wanted to
4	This is, again, the series of things that we	4	check that it's not having adverse effects on pain.
5	have been doing. And I won't run through them all,	5	We did the usual. You guys are very
6	but we can maybe, in the discussion, see sort of	6	familiar with this, much more than I am, von Frey,
7	the process of going from an idea into the clinic.	7	the Randall-Selitto test, the flinch-jump, just to
8	Just to give you some updates, we do get a nice	8	see if the GDNF-secreting cells increase pain in
9	dose response in a number of cells. We've done	9	these animals. And we're in the process of
10	different doses ranging from 20,000 to	10	analyzing all this data, but I will show you that
11	2 million cells in a spinal cord. That's our	11	we didn't see any overt changes. They're actually
12	maximum feasible dose, nice correlation with cell	12	at time zero. We didn't train these animals in the
13	survival.	13	von Frey for weeks before. We only did three
14	We also get a nice correlation with GDNF	14	tests. We found there was a continual training
15	release. Remember, we are putting more cells in,	15	effect, where they wanted to withdraw their paw
16	so we're getting more GDNF. We do ELISAs on the	16	over time, but then it stabilized.
17	spinal cord. And we see that the amount of GDNF	17	The bottom line is, with the von Frey, there
18	correlates with a cell number quite nicely. The	18	was no significant differences between any of the
19	maximum feasible dose here, we get up to high	19	groups. So we didn't suddenly see a spike in pain
20	levels of GDNF production in the spinal cord and	20	in the maximum feasible dose, which was releasing a
21	the vehicle. Basically, these cells make no GDNF	21	whole lot of GDNF.
22	on their own. They have to be engineered, and then	22	We didn't look at the opposite, either. We
	Page 46		Page 48
1	they start secreting it. So they don't produce	1	could have been having a pain modulation of
2	endogenous GDNF.	2	reducing pain, but we haven't used these cells in

3 Again, just from the different doses, nice 4 and consistent graphs, getting obviously to the

5 endpoint. When we put in the quarter-million cells

6 per microliter, it's almost a solid transplant at

7 the core, and the cells don't migrate as much.

8 Lower doses, we actually get more migration. So

9 we're actually coming down to a dose between 10,000

10 and 50,000 cells as being the optimal dose.

11 We see the same with the dose response,

12 rather flat curve. We were surprised. Even a low

13 dose has a threefold increase in the number of

14 motor neurons surviving in the spinal cord. So

15 even a little bit of GDNF secreted from these cells

16 is effective. If you put the cells alone, you get

17 no effect. We have done this many times. So they

18 have to be secreting GDNF in order to have this

19 effect, and we think it's synergistic with the

20 cells themselves.

21 In behavioral aspects of the work -- and I

22 wanted to get to the pain aspect -- we don't see

11 data in here again. Just the bottom line is, we didn't get a significant difference or increase in 12 13 pain using this assay; and finally, the finished 14 jump, again, no difference in pain.

15 So we don't think that these cells are

16 overtly increasing pain. We haven't tested yet

17 whether they may decrease pain if we used a pain

3 any models of pain. We would love to if anybody is

dorsal area, which is why we wanted to assess pain.

With the paw pinch, the same, no physical

differences between the groups. There's a lot of

4 interested in collaborating, to see if we'd get a

6 not the dorsal, with this study, although some cells do spread out because you can see into the

5 reduction. And we're targeting the ventral horn,

model. So there are my pain slides. I got them 18

19 in. I'm almost done.

20 So basically, the other issue that we've had

21 is how to get the cells into the spinal cord. I

22 think this has been holding the field back a lot.

7

8

9

10

Transformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies	June 24, 2014
Page 49	Page 51
1 Now, Nick Boulis and I developed a frame many years	1 So the idea of this design, if the IRB
2 ago, which is a bit like a Meccano set, but we use	2 approves it, is we do a unilateral injection, which
3 this frame for pigs to show that we can target the	3 would only affect one leg. The neurosurgeon will
4 spinal cord nicely here at GDNF-secreted cells, the	4 decide, based on blood vessels, which side to go
5 pig spinal cord injected using the stereotaxic	5 in. The neurologist will be blinded. The patient
6 device, which you basically bolt onto the pig or	6 will be blinded. So in effect, the patient and the
7 the patient.	7 neurologist won't know which leg had the
8 It's actually a bit like a Meccano set, so	8 transplant. And the beauty is, with paired
9 if you're a neurosurgeon, you love this kind of	9 statistics, we can get a lot of power because we'll
L0 stuff. But we wanted to make a simpler device for	10 be analyzing the amount of the rapid decline in
1 surgeries and the cord for either spinal cord	11 paralysis over time in one leg versus the other.
L2 injury, pain-related rhizotomies, et cetera.	12 Using paired statistics, we can actually get
L3 We are in the process of developing a	13 a lot of power in the analysis. In fact, in
L4 minimally invasive system that has an X-Y arm.	14 18 patients, we can actually get significant
L5 We've done now about 20 pig surgeries using this	15 differences in onset. And if we can get a
L6 device, and it looks to be very successful. And we	16 significance in this small trial, it'll give us a
17 will probably be using this device in the clinical	17 lot of momentum to move forward to doing cervical
L8 trial next year, but we're still in the process of	18 injections and being more ambitious. So that's our
L9 finishing up the ISA forms and the requirements of	19 goal.
20 using this device in a clinical trial, so it's an	20 There are other trials going on in this
21 interesting device.	21 area, but really only two main ones. One is
Finally, the trial itself and this is the	22 Mazzini in Italy. She's been putting mesenchymal
Page 50	Page 52
1 last point to make is an 18-patient trial, but	1 cells into the spinal cord of patients. And we're
2 it's unique in that the nice thing with stem cells	2 not quite sure of the mechanism here. They're not
3 releasing a growth factor is, rather than a pill,	3 engineered to release GDNF. They may release some
4 which you have to give to the whole patient, we can	4 growth factors. In our hands, these cells don't

5 survive. If you put mesenchymal cells in the

7 have no idea. There's really not a lot of pre-

8 clinical data to justify this, but they have moved

11 on a lot of work we did early on with Nick Boulis.

They've done about 30 patients with ALS now.

They're in a phase 2 currently in Michigan. So

they have shown that you can safely put cells in

We have our trial at Cedars, which hopefully we

will get off the ground next year. And then

the spinal cord, which is very good for everybody.

there's Q Therapeutics and Angelo Vescovi in Italy

So we are able to, remarkably in humans,

21 transplant cells into the spinal cord and not make

22 things worse. These patients, none of them, got

There's a neural stem company that's based

6 spinal cord, they die within about two weeks. We

- 4 which you have to give to the whole patient, we can
- 5 localize the delivery. And this is really unique,
- 6 and it's allowing us to do a very interesting trial 7 design, and that is that we're going to do a
- 8 unilateral transplant. So the neurosurgeon will
- 9 put the cells either into the right or the left
- 10 side of the lumbar spinal cord.
- 11 Obviously, in ALS, it's interesting. Even
- 12 though the rates are very variable between
- 13 patients, if you get ALS in one leg -- and what I
- 14 mean by that is in some patients, it may take two
- 15 years, and in others, it may take 10 years to
- 16 become paralyzed in a leg.
- 17 The neurologist will tell you, though, if 18 you're a fast progressor and it goes fast in one
- 19 leg, it will go fast in the other leg. And if
- 20 you're a slow progressor, it will go slow in both
- 21 legs as well. So in a way, either leg is a
- 22 control.

A Matter of Record (301) 890-4188

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

ahead in Italy.

19 has done a few patients.



Tra	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 53		Page 55
1	worse. There are some claims that some of them are	1	is that the one thing with this technology is, we
	getting better. We're still waiting to see the		can't regulate the GDNF release. If you put the
	clinical outcomes of these trials. But I was so	3	
4	impressed that you can actually do this. I was	4	of that patient's life. And they survive and they
	always worried initially, if you put these cells		release.
	in, they're going to interrupt spinal cord	6	So I didn't want to have a situation in four
7	function. You're going to get pain. You're going	7	years with Parkinson's, where the patients are
8	to get all sorts of symptoms; nothing in any of the	8	getting side effects and we couldn't switch off the
9	patients. So it's quite remarkable, I think, these	9	GDNF. And that was rather similar to the fetal
10	studies.	10	transplants, so you know that field. It worked
11	They are also moving onto cervical. They	11	very well for Parkinson's. Twenty-five percent of
12	were so happy with the results, FDA gave them	12	patients got dyskinesia, is they couldn't switch
13	permission to move to cervical. They have now done	13	off, and they had to do pallidotomies.
14	a number of patients in the cervical areas to help	14	To get over this is possible and for pain as
15	with breathing. And they'll probably be moving	15	well. And the way to do it and we've been
16	bulbar at some point.	16	trying five years to get it to work. We think
17	We're out here. We just got our funding	17	we're close now. It's using inducible system. And
18	from the DoD to inject GDNF into the muscle.	18	the old doxycycline systems weren't that good, and
19	That's kind of interesting as well and a whole	19	we tried them. They work in vitro but not in vivo.
20	other story. We're using AAV here, gene therapy	20	We're now coming up with newer ones with Josh
21	approach, not stem cells, to try and restore this	21	Breunig, a graduate student. We now can regulate
22	connection that I mentioned was missing. And	22	GDNF release very nicely, no doxycycline and
	Page 54		Page 56
1	finally, we were working up here. You could	1	doxycycline.
	restore the whole function here. If the upper	2	So if you get doxycycline, you switch on the
3	motor neuron dies, you're still paralyzed. So we	3	secretion of GDNF from the cells. And that, I'd be
4	have some ideas up here that I can discuss at the	4	happy with in Parkinson's. And then a Parkinson's
5	break.	5	patient takes a pill to turn on the GDNF release,
6	This platform product, I just want to	6	and then turn it off again if they don't want the
7	emphasize, we're also looking at for Huntington's	7	GDNF anymore, if you find that it's only useful for
8	disease, we're looking at for retinitis pigmentosa,	8	a while or need it for a while.
9	and macular degeneration. These cells work very	9	We've got a cool study. We've actually done
10	well in that context, and we have a grant from NIH	10	this in vivo now, transplanted cells. And the
11	now to put them in the back of the eye. They seem	11	animals that received dox are lit up here with
12	to slow down degeneration in that disease.	12	luciferase. We attached a luciferin gene, and the
13	In stroke with Gary Steinberg, and I've got	13	animals that didn't get docs off. So we can
14	a joint appointment up there at Stanford, we've	14	actually, in vivo now, switch on and off the GDNF
15	shown these cells can integrate after stroke, and	15	secretion.
16	increase plasticity, and survive. And the	16	So this is kind of cool. We need to move it
17	astrocytes are doing something good, not secreting	17	into primate studies and are currently looking at
18		18	doing that with this construct. And we'll probably
19	derived progenitors.	19	use this construct for ALS eventually as well.
20	Where I started was in Parkinson's, really	20	ALS, I'm not so concerned about because you're
21	was keen to use these cells to deliver GDNF in	21	faced with dying after three years. I'd rather
1		i i	

- 22 Parkinson's. Why didn't we move ahead? My feeling
- 22 have a side effect in GDNF than not be around

Page 59
9
d fetal progenitors look identical to the
enitors, so the iPS cells made into fetal
s look very similar. These are fetal-
ogenitors that I showed you the pictures
ese are now progenitors derived from iPS
ced pluripotent stem cells, and they
st identical, the way we've manufactured
ne fetal-derived cells. So we're getting
the need for fetal tissue as well.
actually allows for autologous
s. And I'm off to a meeting in a couple
o talk about whether we need that for the
for the PNS transplants, you could use
patient cells. I think you wouldn't have
pression, we hope. There's a whole story
well, but that would maybe help. We
l to use fetal tissue or destroy embryos.
downside is, in the brain, neural
s may not need rejection, may not reject.
blood-brain barrier protection from
So do we even need to go to the effort
ous for CNS transplants? That's a big
Page 60
Fage 60
scussion.
-
scussion.
scussion. I develop them from an ALS patient,
scussion. I develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already,
scussion. I develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look
scussion. I develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look boks like, when we reprogram, we wipe
scussion. I develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look poks like, when we reprogram, we wipe lean, and the disease may come back in
scussion. In develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ang to have the disease. It doesn't look poks like, when we reprogram, we wipe lean, and the disease may come back in But if you get another 40 years of a new
scussion. I develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look poks like, when we reprogram, we wipe lean, and the disease may come back in But if you get another 40 years of a new that's probably enough.
scussion. In develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look poks like, when we reprogram, we wipe lean, and the disease may come back in But if you get another 40 years of a new that's probably enough. regulatory pathway for autologous is
scussion. In develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look poks like, when we reprogram, we wipe dean, and the disease may come back in But if you get another 40 years of a new that's probably enough. regulatory pathway for autologous is dex, but if it works, we'll work out the
scussion. In develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look boks like, when we reprogram, we wipe lean, and the disease may come back in But if you get another 40 years of a new that's probably enough. regulatory pathway for autologous is lex, but if it works, we'll work out the If we get something to work, we don't
scussion. In develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look books like, when we reprogram, we wipe lean, and the disease may come back in But if you get another 40 years of a new that's probably enough. regulatory pathway for autologous is blex, but if it works, we'll work out the If we get something to work, we don't pression. Remember the cost of suppression
scussion. In develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look poks like, when we reprogram, we wipe dean, and the disease may come back in But if you get another 40 years of a new that's probably enough. regulatory pathway for autologous is blex, but if it works, we'll work out the If we get something to work, we don't pression. Remember the cost of suppression ials is huge. You have to weigh that the cost of manufacturing an autologous
scussion. In develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look boks like, when we reprogram, we wipe lean, and the disease may come back in But if you get another 40 years of a new that's probably enough. regulatory pathway for autologous is blex, but if it works, we'll work out the If we get something to work, we don't pression. Remember the cost of suppression tals is huge. You have to weigh that the cost of manufacturing an autologous
scussion. In develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look books like, when we reprogram, we wipe lean, and the disease may come back in But if you get another 40 years of a new that's probably enough. regulatory pathway for autologous is blex, but if it works, we'll work out the If we get something to work, we don't pression. Remember the cost of suppression tals is huge. You have to weigh that e cost of manufacturing an autologous but worried about autologous manufacture is if they work better than non-
scussion. In develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look poks like, when we reprogram, we wipe dean, and the disease may come back in But if you get another 40 years of a new that's probably enough. regulatory pathway for autologous is dex, but if it works, we'll work out the If we get something to work, we don't pression. Remember the cost of suppression ials is huge. You have to weigh that e cost of manufacturing an autologous of worried about autologous manufacture is if they work better than non- is cells. But we will have to do
scussion. In develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look boks like, when we reprogram, we wipe lean, and the disease may come back in But if you get another 40 years of a new that's probably enough. regulatory pathway for autologous is blex, but if it works, we'll work out the If we get something to work, we don't pression. Remember the cost of suppression tals is huge. You have to weigh that e cost of manufacturing an autologous of worried about autologous manufacture is if they work better than non- is cells. But we will have to do GDNF infections, which will be a pain.
scussion. In develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look poks like, when we reprogram, we wipe lean, and the disease may come back in But if you get another 40 years of a new that's probably enough. regulatory pathway for autologous is blex, but if it works, we'll work out the If we get something to work, we don't pression. Remember the cost of suppression ials is huge. You have to weigh that e cost of manufacturing an autologous of worried about autologous manufacture is if they work better than non- s cells. But we will have to do GDNF infections, which will be a pain. he pun. It would be difficult, but we
scussion. In develop them from an ALS patient, sly, maybe the after-science already, ing to have the disease. It doesn't look boks like, when we reprogram, we wipe lean, and the disease may come back in But if you get another 40 years of a new that's probably enough. regulatory pathway for autologous is blex, but if it works, we'll work out the If we get something to work, we don't pression. Remember the cost of suppression tals is huge. You have to weigh that e cost of manufacturing an autologous of worried about autologous manufacture is if they work better than non- is cells. But we will have to do GDNF infections, which will be a pain.
u sett t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t

	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 61		Page 63
1	So I think the first part of it would be	1	So that's kind of the idea. And it's
2	allogeneic iPS-derived cells. So use iPS, but make	2	actually not a bad analogy. Sox-2 is another
3	an allogeneic line that can be used for many, many	3	pluripotent factor. Even within tissues, like the
4	different uses. And that's what we'll probably be	4	pancreas, you can have flippers that are smaller
5	able to have and distribute.	5	transcription factors that drive from a secretory
6	The last couple of slide I know I'm	6	cell to a non-secretory cell. And in fact, what
7	running a little long. But I just thought I'd	7	people have found now is, you can take a skin cell,
8	finish with kind of where we are with this iPS	8	for instance, and convert it directly into a neuron
9	technology and try to tie those two, clinical side	9	by using two sets of transcription factors. You
10	together with the research side.	10	don't have to go back to a pluripotent state up
11	This is the old Waddington slide of	11	here.
12	totipotent cells. Up here, for embryonic stem	12	So it's a very exciting time. And I think
13	cells and now induced pluripotent stem cells that	13	it really tells you about DNA. Most of our DNA is
14	we can make, they go down into these pathways,	14	the same. I'll take out blood cells from
15	which essentially used to be differentiated	15	that or cancers. Obviously, terminal things
16	tissues. This may be skin. This may be blood. It	16	happen to the DNA. But healthy cells, most of the
17	may be bone. So you have this sort of	17	DNA is probably the same in every cell in your
18	differentiation. And it used to be thought this	18	body. So if you can manipulate it with iPS
19	was the end and you couldn't go anywhere, but now	19	technology, you can go back to a pluripotent state.
20	we find you can go back.	20	So to conclude, I hope I've given you a lot
21	I thought this was a bit boring, so we	21	of information, but modeling with iPS may be the
22	updated this a few years ago. And this is my	22	biggest thing. If we can model pain in the dish
	Page 62		Page 64
1	pinball wizard. Who remembers the Who? I'm sure	1	with human cells, it's going to be very, very
	Steven does. And Shinya Yamanaka is kind of our		powerful to test drugs because stem cells can
	pinball wizard for iPS cells.		secrete factors that help motor neurons. If you
4			
5			combine that technology of stem cells with growth
	and I did this. It was really the sperm and the	4	
	and I did this. It was really the sperm and the egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the	4 5	combine that technology of stem cells with growth
6		4 5 6	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy.
6 7	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the	4 5 6 7	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them
6 7	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the fertilization part. And now, the pinball goes up. Up here, you're pluripotent. But when you start	4 5 6 7	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them the weapon, which is GDNF, so they can actually
6 7 8 9	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the fertilization part. And now, the pinball goes up. Up here, you're pluripotent. But when you start	4 5 6 7 8 9	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them the weapon, which is GDNF, so they can actually help modify the area that they go into.
6 7 8 9 10	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the fertilization part. And now, the pinball goes up. Up here, you're pluripotent. But when you start going down and falling down and essentially,	4 5 7 8 9 10	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them the weapon, which is GDNF, so they can actually help modify the area that they go into. Localized delivery of cells is important for
6 7 8 9 10 11	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the fertilization part. And now, the pinball goes up. Up here, you're pluripotent. But when you start going down and falling down and essentially, embryonic stem cells and iPS cells have an energy.	4 5 7 8 9 10	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them the weapon, which is GDNF, so they can actually help modify the area that they go into. Localized delivery of cells is important for pain, I think, as well. With stem cells, you can
6 7 9 10 11	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the fertilization part. And now, the pinball goes up. Up here, you're pluripotent. But when you start going down and falling down and essentially, embryonic stem cells and iPS cells have an energy. You've got to keep them pluripotent, which is	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them the weapon, which is GDNF, so they can actually help modify the area that they go into. Localized delivery of cells is important for pain, I think, as well. With stem cells, you can target areas very specifically. You can do that
6 7 9 10 11	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the fertilization part. And now, the pinball goes up. Up here, you're pluripotent. But when you start going down and falling down and essentially, embryonic stem cells and iPS cells have an energy. You've got to keep them pluripotent, which is similar to the pinball machines. They've got a lot	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them the weapon, which is GDNF, so they can actually help modify the area that they go into. Localized delivery of cells is important for pain, I think, as well. With stem cells, you can target areas very specifically. You can do that with genes as well, you'll probably hear in a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the fertilization part. And now, the pinball goes up. Up here, you're pluripotent. But when you start going down and falling down and essentially, embryonic stem cells and iPS cells have an energy. You've got to keep them pluripotent, which is similar to the pinball machines. They've got a lot of energy, inertia up there. Then it differentiates, and differentiation	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them the weapon, which is GDNF, so they can actually help modify the area that they go into. Localized delivery of cells is important for pain, I think, as well. With stem cells, you can target areas very specifically. You can do that with genes as well, you'll probably hear in a moment.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the fertilization part. And now, the pinball goes up. Up here, you're pluripotent. But when you start going down and falling down and essentially, embryonic stem cells and iPS cells have an energy. You've got to keep them pluripotent, which is similar to the pinball machines. They've got a lot of energy, inertia up there. Then it differentiates, and differentiation is going to take off the energy. And they start to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them the weapon, which is GDNF, so they can actually help modify the area that they go into. Localized delivery of cells is important for pain, I think, as well. With stem cells, you can target areas very specifically. You can do that with genes as well, you'll probably hear in a moment. The nice thing with stem cells is you don't
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the fertilization part. And now, the pinball goes up. Up here, you're pluripotent. But when you start going down and falling down and essentially, embryonic stem cells and iPS cells have an energy. You've got to keep them pluripotent, which is similar to the pinball machines. They've got a lot of energy, inertia up there. Then it differentiates, and differentiation is going to take off the energy. And they start to	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them the weapon, which is GDNF, so they can actually help modify the area that they go into. Localized delivery of cells is important for pain, I think, as well. With stem cells, you can target areas very specifically. You can do that with genes as well, you'll probably hear in a moment. The nice thing with stem cells is you don't have to modify the host cells as you do with gene
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the fertilization part. And now, the pinball goes up. Up here, you're pluripotent. But when you start going down and falling down and essentially, embryonic stem cells and iPS cells have an energy. You've got to keep them pluripotent, which is similar to the pinball machines. They've got a lot of energy, inertia up there. Then it differentiates, and differentiation is going to take off the energy. And they start to spontaneously differentiate. But you direct them with growth factors, and with transcription	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them the weapon, which is GDNF, so they can actually help modify the area that they go into. Localized delivery of cells is important for pain, I think, as well. With stem cells, you can target areas very specifically. You can do that with genes as well, you'll probably hear in a moment. The nice thing with stem cells is you don't have to modify the host cells as you do with gene therapy. You put in a new cell, which has its own
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the fertilization part. And now, the pinball goes up. Up here, you're pluripotent. But when you start going down and falling down and essentially, embryonic stem cells and iPS cells have an energy. You've got to keep them pluripotent, which is similar to the pinball machines. They've got a lot of energy, inertia up there. Then it differentiates, and differentiation is going to take off the energy. And they start to spontaneously differentiate. But you direct them with growth factors, and with transcription factors, and here, small flippers, down into the	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them the weapon, which is GDNF, so they can actually help modify the area that they go into. Localized delivery of cells is important for pain, I think, as well. With stem cells, you can target areas very specifically. You can do that with genes as well, you'll probably hear in a moment. The nice thing with stem cells is you don't have to modify the host cells as you do with gene therapy. You put in a new cell, which has its own complications. But at least the host cells remain
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the fertilization part. And now, the pinball goes up. Up here, you're pluripotent. But when you start going down and falling down and essentially, embryonic stem cells and iPS cells have an energy. You've got to keep them pluripotent, which is similar to the pinball machines. They've got a lot of energy, inertia up there. Then it differentiates, and differentiation is going to take off the energy. And they start to spontaneously differentiate. But you direct them with growth factors, and with transcription factors, and here, small flippers, down into the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them the weapon, which is GDNF, so they can actually help modify the area that they go into. Localized delivery of cells is important for pain, I think, as well. With stem cells, you can target areas very specifically. You can do that with genes as well, you'll probably hear in a moment. The nice thing with stem cells is you don't have to modify the host cells as you do with gene therapy. You put in a new cell, which has its own complications. But at least the host cells remain the same, and you just engineer the cells that you
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the fertilization part. And now, the pinball goes up. Up here, you're pluripotent. But when you start going down and falling down and essentially, embryonic stem cells and iPS cells have an energy. You've got to keep them pluripotent, which is similar to the pinball machines. They've got a lot of energy, inertia up there. Then it differentiates, and differentiation is going to take off the energy. And they start to spontaneously differentiate. But you direct them with growth factors, and with transcription factors, and here, small flippers, down into the different lineages, endoderm, mesoderm, ectoderm.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them the weapon, which is GDNF, so they can actually help modify the area that they go into. Localized delivery of cells is important for pain, I think, as well. With stem cells, you can target areas very specifically. You can do that with genes as well, you'll probably hear in a moment. The nice thing with stem cells is you don't have to modify the host cells as you do with gene therapy. You put in a new cell, which has its own complications. But at least the host cells remain the same, and you just engineer the cells that you put in. And there's pros and cons with both of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	egg, sort of British humor. Anyway, this is the fertilization part. And now, the pinball goes up. Up here, you're pluripotent. But when you start going down and falling down and essentially, embryonic stem cells and iPS cells have an energy. You've got to keep them pluripotent, which is similar to the pinball machines. They've got a lot of energy, inertia up there. Then it differentiates, and differentiation is going to take off the energy. And they start to spontaneously differentiate. But you direct them with growth factors, and with transcription factors, and here, small flippers, down into the different lineages, endoderm, mesoderm, ectoderm. And eventually, they get more and more	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	combine that technology of stem cells with growth factors, those are combined stem cell gene therapy. It's like putting the troops in, but giving them the weapon, which is GDNF, so they can actually help modify the area that they go into. Localized delivery of cells is important for pain, I think, as well. With stem cells, you can target areas very specifically. You can do that with genes as well, you'll probably hear in a moment. The nice thing with stem cells is you don't have to modify the host cells as you do with gene therapy. You put in a new cell, which has its own complications. But at least the host cells remain the same, and you just engineer the cells that you put in. And there's pros and cons with both of those we can discuss at the panel.

110	Insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 65		Page 67
1	slow and steady win the day. Rushing into trials	1	the cord?
	is not the right way to go, and I really appreciate	2	DR. SVENDSEN: So in the model that we use,
	the interactions we've had with FDA. In fact, I		we get in all these models, eventually, the rats
	was in this room, I think, last year with a bunch		succumb to the disease. But GDNF can delay that by
	of ALS patients trying to lobby the FDA and to		about 20 days if you deliver it to the muscle with
	going easy on us, to get trials going.		a virus or with actually a cell delivery to the
7	I think the consensus is FDA is doing a		muscle. So you can delay it about 20 days, but
	pretty good job of regulating this field because,		then they finally succumb, even though they get a
	when you mention stem cells, it's like snake oil.		lot of GDNF in the muscle. But early on, yes, the
	And a lot of companies want to jump in and charge		GDNF is retrogradely taken back to the spinal cord,
	you \$40,000 for their stem cells, and that's not		and you do get increased protection in the spinal
	good because a lot of those therapies are not		cord itself.
	proven.	13	We're currently doing Jewell transplants,
14	So I think we're on quite a good balance.		which is cells secreting GDNF in the spinal cord
	Obviously, we'd like a little more flexibility in		along with cells and GDNF in the muscle. And we're
	ALS, in a disease where there is nothing with the		in the middle of those studies, and we're really
	FDA to try and help us move trials forward. And I		hoping that that will extend it maybe 100 days.
	hope that some outcomes from the discussions we've		We'd love to get to the point where we keep the
	had with them will allow this to happen.		animals alive, but I think those combined
20	So most importantly, this is the team that		therapies, muscle and spinal cord, is going to be
21	worked with me. I get the fun job of giving these		the eventual way of getting a bigger effect.
22	talks. But really, we've had a lot of	22	But let's just go back. In ALS, again, this
	Page 66		Page 68
1	Page 66 collaborators over the years. I'd particularly	1	Page 68 SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure
	-		
2	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly		SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure
2 3	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original,	2 3	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes.
2 3 4	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin.	2 3 4	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the
2 3 4 5	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen.	2 3 4	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the
2 3 4 5 6	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS	2 3 4 5 6	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal?
2 3 4 5 6 7	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS project led by Gen Gowing and Brandon Shelly, who	2 3 4 5 6 7	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal? DR. SVENDSEN: I think it's a very, very
2 3 4 5 6 7	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS project led by Gen Gowing and Brandon Shelly, who actually just got married, which is rather nice.	2 3 4 5 6 7	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal? DR. SVENDSEN: I think it's a very, very aggressive model. To get the rats to actually show the degeneration, they had to put 12 copies of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS project led by Gen Gowing and Brandon Shelly, who actually just got married, which is rather nice. The lab, sometimes it works and sometimes doesn't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal? DR. SVENDSEN: I think it's a very, very aggressive model. To get the rats to actually show the degeneration, they had to put 12 copies of the CD1 mutant gene in. And the brain is full of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS project led by Gen Gowing and Brandon Shelly, who actually just got married, which is rather nice. The lab, sometimes it works and sometimes doesn't. So they kind of run the lab together.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal? DR. SVENDSEN: I think it's a very, very aggressive model. To get the rats to actually show the degeneration, they had to put 12 copies of the CD1 mutant gene in. And the brain is full of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS project led by Gen Gowing and Brandon Shelly, who actually just got married, which is rather nice. The lab, sometimes it works and sometimes doesn't. So they kind of run the lab together. So I'll stop there, and I'll thank also our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal? DR. SVENDSEN: I think it's a very, very aggressive model. To get the rats to actually show the degeneration, they had to put 12 copies of the CD1 mutant gene in. And the brain is full of mutant SOD1. So I think the model is so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS project led by Gen Gowing and Brandon Shelly, who actually just got married, which is rather nice. The lab, sometimes it works and sometimes doesn't. So they kind of run the lab together. So I'll stop there, and I'll thank also our funders, of course, which is CIRM, the NIH, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal? DR. SVENDSEN: I think it's a very, very aggressive model. To get the rats to actually show the degeneration, they had to put 12 copies of the CD1 mutant gene in. And the brain is full of mutant SOD1. So I think the model is so aggressive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS project led by Gen Gowing and Brandon Shelly, who actually just got married, which is rather nice. The lab, sometimes it works and sometimes doesn't. So they kind of run the lab together. So I'll stop there, and I'll thank also our funders, of course, which is CIRM, the NIH, and Cedars, and the ALS association. Thanks very much. (Applause.) DR. PORRECA: Thank you for the fantastic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal? DR. SVENDSEN: I think it's a very, very aggressive model. To get the rats to actually show the degeneration, they had to put 12 copies of the CD1 mutant gene in. And the brain is full of mutant SOD1. So I think the model is so aggressive DR. MCMAHON: Everything's dying. DR. SVENDSEN: Everything is dying. So you can get protection, but it's very limited. But I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS project led by Gen Gowing and Brandon Shelly, who actually just got married, which is rather nice. The lab, sometimes it works and sometimes doesn't. So they kind of run the lab together. So I'll stop there, and I'll thank also our funders, of course, which is CIRM, the NIH, and Cedars, and the ALS association. Thanks very much. (Applause.) DR. PORRECA: Thank you for the fantastic job. Questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal? DR. SVENDSEN: I think it's a very, very aggressive model. To get the rats to actually show the degeneration, they had to put 12 copies of the CD1 mutant gene in. And the brain is full of mutant SOD1. So I think the model is so aggressive DR. MCMAHON: Everything's dying. DR. SVENDSEN: Everything is dying. So you can get protection, but it's very limited. But I think, if we do Jewell transplants, if we could get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS project led by Gen Gowing and Brandon Shelly, who actually just got married, which is rather nice. The lab, sometimes it works and sometimes doesn't. So they kind of run the lab together. So I'll stop there, and I'll thank also our funders, of course, which is CIRM, the NIH, and Cedars, and the ALS association. Thanks very much. (Applause.) DR. PORRECA: Thank you for the fantastic job. Questions? DR. MCMAHON: The ALS studies in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal? DR. SVENDSEN: I think it's a very, very aggressive model. To get the rats to actually show the degeneration, they had to put 12 copies of the CD1 mutant gene in. And the brain is full of mutant SOD1. So I think the model is so aggressive DR. MCMAHON: Everything's dying. DR. SVENDSEN: Everything is dying. So you can get protection, but it's very limited. But I think, if we do Jewell transplants, if we could get it to 50 days, that would be a first for the field
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS project led by Gen Gowing and Brandon Shelly, who actually just got married, which is rather nice. The lab, sometimes it works and sometimes doesn't. So they kind of run the lab together. So I'll stop there, and I'll thank also our funders, of course, which is CIRM, the NIH, and Cedars, and the ALS association. Thanks very much. (Applause.) DR. PORRECA: Thank you for the fantastic job. Questions? DR. MCMAHON: The ALS studies in the SOD1 mice, is delivery of GNF from the muscle	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal? DR. SVENDSEN: I think it's a very, very aggressive model. To get the rats to actually show the degeneration, they had to put 12 copies of the CD1 mutant gene in. And the brain is full of mutant SOD1. So I think the model is so aggressive DR. MCMAHON: Everything's dying. DR. SVENDSEN: Everything is dying. So you can get protection, but it's very limited. But I think, if we do Jewell transplants, if we could get it to 50 days, that would be a first for the field because most people manage to get these models out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS project led by Gen Gowing and Brandon Shelly, who actually just got married, which is rather nice. The lab, sometimes it works and sometimes doesn't. So they kind of run the lab together. So I'll stop there, and I'll thank also our funders, of course, which is CIRM, the NIH, and Cedars, and the ALS association. Thanks very much. (Applause.) DR. PORRECA: Thank you for the fantastic job. Questions? DR. MCMAHON: The ALS studies in the SOD1 mice, is delivery of GNF from the muscle sufficient to protect motor neurons as well as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal? DR. SVENDSEN: I think it's a very, very aggressive model. To get the rats to actually show the degeneration, they had to put 12 copies of the CD1 mutant gene in. And the brain is full of mutant SOD1. So I think the model is so aggressive DR. MCMAHON: Everything's dying. DR. SVENDSEN: Everything is dying. So you can get protection, but it's very limited. But I think, if we do Jewell transplants, if we could get it to 50 days, that would be a first for the field because most people manage to get these models out maybe 20 days.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS project led by Gen Gowing and Brandon Shelly, who actually just got married, which is rather nice. The lab, sometimes it works and sometimes doesn't. So they kind of run the lab together. So I'll stop there, and I'll thank also our funders, of course, which is CIRM, the NIH, and Cedars, and the ALS association. Thanks very much. (Applause.) DR. PORRECA: Thank you for the fantastic job. Questions? DR. MCMAHON: The ALS studies in the SOD1 mice, is delivery of GNF from the muscle sufficient to protect motor neurons as well as maintain terminals?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal? DR. SVENDSEN: I think it's a very, very aggressive model. To get the rats to actually show the degeneration, they had to put 12 copies of the CD1 mutant gene in. And the brain is full of mutant SOD1. So I think the model is so aggressive DR. MCMAHON: Everything's dying. DR. SVENDSEN: Everything is dying. So you can get protection, but it's very limited. But I think, if we do Jewell transplants, if we could get it to 50 days, that would be a first for the field because most people manage to get these models out maybe 20 days. DR. MCMAHON: But it will be very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS project led by Gen Gowing and Brandon Shelly, who actually just got married, which is rather nice. The lab, sometimes it works and sometimes doesn't. So they kind of run the lab together. So I'll stop there, and I'll thank also our funders, of course, which is CIRM, the NIH, and Cedars, and the ALS association. Thanks very much. (Applause.) DR. PORRECA: Thank you for the fantastic job. Questions? DR. MCMAHON: The ALS studies in the SOD1 mice, is delivery of GNF from the muscle sufficient to protect motor neurons as well as maintain terminals? DR. SVENDSEN: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal? DR. SVENDSEN: I think it's a very, very aggressive model. To get the rats to actually show the degeneration, they had to put 12 copies of the CD1 mutant gene in. And the brain is full of mutant SOD1. So I think the model is so aggressive DR. MCMAHON: Everything's dying. DR. SVENDSEN: Everything is dying. So you can get protection, but it's very limited. But I think, if we do Jewell transplants, if we could get it to 50 days, that would be a first for the field because most people manage to get these models out maybe 20 days. DR. MCMAHON: But it will be very attractive to have a therapy that just involved an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	collaborators over the years. I'd particularly like to thank Jamie Thomson for the original, getting into iPS cells when I was in Wisconsin. The cells that we make are really true Sareen. And this huge group now is working on the ALS project led by Gen Gowing and Brandon Shelly, who actually just got married, which is rather nice. The lab, sometimes it works and sometimes doesn't. So they kind of run the lab together. So I'll stop there, and I'll thank also our funders, of course, which is CIRM, the NIH, and Cedars, and the ALS association. Thanks very much. (Applause.) DR. PORRECA: Thank you for the fantastic job. Questions? DR. MCMAHON: The ALS studies in the SOD1 mice, is delivery of GNF from the muscle sufficient to protect motor neurons as well as maintain terminals?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SOD1 model is not sporadic ALS, so we're not sure of the mechanism in sporadic ALS. Yes. DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] the increasing toxicity of the astrocytes in the animal? DR. SVENDSEN: I think it's a very, very aggressive model. To get the rats to actually show the degeneration, they had to put 12 copies of the CD1 mutant gene in. And the brain is full of mutant SOD1. So I think the model is so aggressive DR. MCMAHON: Everything's dying. DR. SVENDSEN: Everything is dying. So you can get protection, but it's very limited. But I think, if we do Jewell transplants, if we could get it to 50 days, that would be a first for the field because most people manage to get these models out maybe 20 days. DR. MCMAHON: But it will be very

Ira	insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 69		Page 71
1	And in fact, that trial may get into the clinic	1	see the actual effects, I think, without the side
	before the spinal cord one if we run into any		effects, because we don't get secretion outside of
	difficulties with the spinal cord trial. Yes.		the area where you want it, which is where the
4	DR. BASBAUM: Thank you. I thoroughly		cells are.
5	enjoyed it. One simple question, and then one a	5	So I think the side effects, to a large
6		6	extent, may disappear, unless they're relevant, of
7	was obviously that large trial, and it was		course, to the motor neuron and causing sprouting.
	controversial. But I was kind of surprised that		Now, we didn't see aberrant sprouting. We looked
	you have you ever tried this with insulin growth		very carefully in the dorsal horn to look for any
	factor, was the first question, which I thought was		GRP-positive fibers. We didn't see anything
	the one that was going to save the motor neurons.		massive, and I think it's because that's an intact
12	The other question is, you're putting GDNF		system.
	in the cord. Is it producing or have you looked to	13	So maybe, in an intact system, if you put
	see if it's producing any sprouting from, say,		GDNF in, you won't see anything. But if we did a
	afferents or something else that might be RET		crush or we did something out peripherally, then I
	positive?		think the GDNF would interact, and maybe Steve can
17	DR. SVENDSEN: So the first question, IGF1,		talk to that as well later in the discussion about
	of course, we followed Feldman's data in the IGF		that it's doing there. But we didn't see any overt
	trial, which failed, unfortunately, in the skin.		sprouting. Most of the GDNF was in the ventral
	IGF-secreting cells, we have made them. They don't		horn, though, not so much in the dorsal. Yes.
	have an effect in this model, in the way that GDNF	21	DR. GLORIOSO: That was a lovely talk. What
	does. In fact, we've got a paper coming out, back-		is the viability of the cells that you implant, and
	Page 70		Page 72
1	to-back comparisons of VEGF, IGF1, and GDNF. And	1	what's their immunogenicity?
2	the GDNF wins out on cell survival.	2	DR. SVENDSEN: So the viability is around 20
3	The only one we're interested in is CNTF.	3	to 30 percent of cells that we put in. So if we
4	In the old days, CNTF was another molecule that	4	put 50,000 cells in, after 10 days, we'll see
5	Aebischer and others promoted. And that seems to	5	around 10,000 to 20,000 cells absolute. Then they
6	have an effect at the terminal and the from the	6	actually divide. Over the first six weeks, we get
7	mouse data, from the motor neuron, and at the	7	maybe one or two divisions. And then, if you look
8	terminal.	8	at 12 weeks and after 50, the division completely
9	So CNTF may sprout, and we're in the middle	9	stops.
10	of a CNTF-secreting line put into the spinal cord.	10	So they go in as a progenitor, and they
11	But there's nothing dramatic happening even with	11	actually divide a couple of times. So the total
12	CNTF as far as we can see. So I think the answer	12	number of cells is almost the same as you put in.
13	is, put in the growth factor in the muscle as well.	13	But there's immediate shock and death. And then
14	DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off mic] well,	14	they divide a couple of times, and then they
15	because of the cachexia.	15	stabilize.
16	DR. SVENDSEN: Well, the toxicity of	16	Are they immune? Well, they're immunogenic.
17	CNTF see, all of these drugs were originally put	17	This is xenografted. If you put them in with no
18	into the CSF. And in ALS, many years ago, they	18	suppression, they will completely reject. The
19	tried them all. And they had side effects of	19	cyclosporine works, and it actually works very
20	toxicity, but they never actually did anything in	20	efficiently in the rat model. We've had huge
21	ALS. But now, we're actually putting the drugs	21	problems in pig suppression, which is a whole other
	around the motor neurons, where it's needed. We'll		talk. We have had to use very, very severe

	ird ACTTION Scientific Workshop ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 73		Page 75
	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		° (
	suppression regimes in the pig, try suppression to		a little bit late, and we're going to have more
	get the cells to survive, and now, we've got it.		time for discussion afterwards, so let's move on to
	But the rats seemed fine with not oral	3	the next lecture.
	cyclosporine, but IP cyclosporine daily, 50 mgs per	4	So it's a pleasure to introduce Allan
5	kg. That will keep the cells alive.		Basbaum from UCSF, and Allan is going to continue
6	That's a whole other topic discussion. We		in the theme of stem cells, and, in this case, more
	are planning to do pretty severe immunotherapies,		focused on pain, a very exciting topic.
	just like we do for organ transplants, for the	8	Presentation – Allan Basbaum
	first set of patients, assuming they're going to	9	DR. BASBAUM: Thank you. Thank you very
	have a rejection issue.		much. I am learning a lot, which is great. It's
11	But again, the field is very there's a		the best thing I can say about any meeting,
	whole meeting at SOMA, actually, in a couple of		especially if you try to put it together with
	weeks, discussing what the best approach for immune	13	Frank. We have had a good time.
	suppression is. Patients don't like the very	14	So I like to begin with this slide. I was
	strong suppression, the ALS patients, but that's		editor-in-chief of Pain for 10 years, and the only
	the way we're going to try first of all, I think,	16	good thing about being editor-in-chief is I got to
	because we don't know in an allograph what would	17	
	happen. There's no model of allogeneic human		and it's kind of fun. I'll mention a couple of
	transplants, and we can't use mouse.		these as we go through because they are somewhat
20	Yes?		relevant.
21	DR. FETELL: This is an exciting talk. I	21	What I'm going to talk about is the use of
22	was wondering, it seemed like, in your early	22	transplants, and you heard this. And it was a
	Page 74		Page 76
1	experiments, the animals weren't recovering	1	great segue, so it's a good opportunity. And I'll
2	behaviorally.	2	talk not only about pain, but also a little bit at
3	DR. SVENDSEN: Correct.	3	the end about itch. I have nothing to report I
4	DR. FETELL: So has that changed?	4	
5	DR. SVENDSEN: Yes, and no with the cells	-	wish I did that is relevant to this at all.
	DR. SVENDSEN. Tes, and no with the cens	5	wish I did that is relevant to this at all. So this is the kind of problem that we're
6	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival	5	
		5 6	So this is the kind of problem that we're
7	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival	5 6 7	So this is the kind of problem that we're dealing with. We're not trying to treat acute
7	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival effect, but they always retract back from the	5 6 7 8	So this is the kind of problem that we're dealing with. We're not trying to treat acute pain. I'm going to speak specifically about
7 8 9	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival effect, but they always retract back from the muscle a little bit, and we just don't know why.	5 6 7 8 9	So this is the kind of problem that we're dealing with. We're not trying to treat acute pain. I'm going to speak specifically about neuropathic pain, which is a pain produced
7 8 9	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival effect, but they always retract back from the muscle a little bit, and we just don't know why. DR. FETELL: That's why you want to do the	5 6 7 8 9	So this is the kind of problem that we're dealing with. We're not trying to treat acute pain. I'm going to speak specifically about neuropathic pain, which is a pain produced associated with nerve injury, usually peripheral
7 8 9 10	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival effect, but they always retract back from the muscle a little bit, and we just don't know why. DR. FETELL: That's why you want to do the dual transplants, yes. DR. SVENDSEN: Exactly. That's why I think,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So this is the kind of problem that we're dealing with. We're not trying to treat acute pain. I'm going to speak specifically about neuropathic pain, which is a pain produced associated with nerve injury, usually peripheral nerve injury.
7 8 9 10 11	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival effect, but they always retract back from the muscle a little bit, and we just don't know why. DR. FETELL: That's why you want to do the dual transplants, yes. DR. SVENDSEN: Exactly. That's why I think, ultimately, if ALS faithfully recapitulates the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So this is the kind of problem that we're dealing with. We're not trying to treat acute pain. I'm going to speak specifically about neuropathic pain, which is a pain produced associated with nerve injury, usually peripheral nerve injury. This is a patient with complex regional pain
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival effect, but they always retract back from the muscle a little bit, and we just don't know why. DR. FETELL: That's why you want to do the dual transplants, yes. DR. SVENDSEN: Exactly. That's why I think, ultimately, if ALS faithfully recapitulates the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So this is the kind of problem that we're dealing with. We're not trying to treat acute pain. I'm going to speak specifically about neuropathic pain, which is a pain produced associated with nerve injury, usually peripheral nerve injury. This is a patient with complex regional pain syndrome. The individual probably has a minor
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival effect, but they always retract back from the muscle a little bit, and we just don't know why. DR. FETELL: That's why you want to do the dual transplants, yes. DR. SVENDSEN: Exactly. That's why I think, ultimately, if ALS faithfully recapitulates the SOD1 rat model, which I don't think it will,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So this is the kind of problem that we're dealing with. We're not trying to treat acute pain. I'm going to speak specifically about neuropathic pain, which is a pain produced associated with nerve injury, usually peripheral nerve injury. This is a patient with complex regional pain syndrome. The individual probably has a minor nerve injury of the limb. And you can see that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival effect, but they always retract back from the muscle a little bit, and we just don't know why. DR. FETELL: That's why you want to do the dual transplants, yes. DR. SVENDSEN: Exactly. That's why I think, ultimately, if ALS faithfully recapitulates the SOD1 rat model, which I don't think it will, because we're using sporadic patients, then we will	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So this is the kind of problem that we're dealing with. We're not trying to treat acute pain. I'm going to speak specifically about neuropathic pain, which is a pain produced associated with nerve injury, usually peripheral nerve injury. This is a patient with complex regional pain syndrome. The individual probably has a minor nerve injury of the limb. And you can see that there's swelling, there's redness, there's ongoing pain, and incredible hypersensitivity. There's
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival effect, but they always retract back from the muscle a little bit, and we just don't know why. DR. FETELL: That's why you want to do the dual transplants, yes. DR. SVENDSEN: Exactly. That's why I think, ultimately, if ALS faithfully recapitulates the SOD1 rat model, which I don't think it will, because we're using sporadic patients, then we will need to do GDNF to the muscle. If, as I predict,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So this is the kind of problem that we're dealing with. We're not trying to treat acute pain. I'm going to speak specifically about neuropathic pain, which is a pain produced associated with nerve injury, usually peripheral nerve injury. This is a patient with complex regional pain syndrome. The individual probably has a minor nerve injury of the limb. And you can see that there's swelling, there's redness, there's ongoing pain, and incredible hypersensitivity. There's
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival effect, but they always retract back from the muscle a little bit, and we just don't know why. DR. FETELL: That's why you want to do the dual transplants, yes. DR. SVENDSEN: Exactly. That's why I think, ultimately, if ALS faithfully recapitulates the SOD1 rat model, which I don't think it will, because we're using sporadic patients, then we will need to do GDNF to the muscle. If, as I predict, ALS is a different mechanism, it's not an SOD1	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So this is the kind of problem that we're dealing with. We're not trying to treat acute pain. I'm going to speak specifically about neuropathic pain, which is a pain produced associated with nerve injury, usually peripheral nerve injury. This is a patient with complex regional pain syndrome. The individual probably has a minor nerve injury of the limb. And you can see that there's swelling, there's redness, there's ongoing pain, and incredible hypersensitivity. There's also a dystonic posture, which is something that's
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival effect, but they always retract back from the muscle a little bit, and we just don't know why. DR. FETELL: That's why you want to do the dual transplants, yes. DR. SVENDSEN: Exactly. That's why I think, ultimately, if ALS faithfully recapitulates the SOD1 rat model, which I don't think it will, because we're using sporadic patients, then we will need to do GDNF to the muscle. If, as I predict, ALS is a different mechanism, it's not an SOD1 disease, we don't know.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So this is the kind of problem that we're dealing with. We're not trying to treat acute pain. I'm going to speak specifically about neuropathic pain, which is a pain produced associated with nerve injury, usually peripheral nerve injury. This is a patient with complex regional pain syndrome. The individual probably has a minor nerve injury of the limb. And you can see that there's swelling, there's redness, there's ongoing pain, and incredible hypersensitivity. There's also a dystonic posture, which is something that's characteristic of this particular condition, very
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival effect, but they always retract back from the muscle a little bit, and we just don't know why. DR. FETELL: That's why you want to do the dual transplants, yes. DR. SVENDSEN: Exactly. That's why I think, ultimately, if ALS faithfully recapitulates the SOD1 rat model, which I don't think it will, because we're using sporadic patients, then we will need to do GDNF to the muscle. If, as I predict, ALS is a different mechanism, it's not an SOD1 disease, we don't know. I mean, it could leave the GDNF alone and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So this is the kind of problem that we're dealing with. We're not trying to treat acute pain. I'm going to speak specifically about neuropathic pain, which is a pain produced associated with nerve injury, usually peripheral nerve injury. This is a patient with complex regional pain syndrome. The individual probably has a minor nerve injury of the limb. And you can see that there's swelling, there's redness, there's ongoing pain, and incredible hypersensitivity. There's also a dystonic posture, which is something that's characteristic of this particular condition, very difficult to treat, doesn't respond to the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	secreting GDNF. We always get cell survival effect, but they always retract back from the muscle a little bit, and we just don't know why. DR. FETELL: That's why you want to do the dual transplants, yes. DR. SVENDSEN: Exactly. That's why I think, ultimately, if ALS faithfully recapitulates the SOD1 rat model, which I don't think it will, because we're using sporadic patients, then we will need to do GDNF to the muscle. If, as I predict, ALS is a different mechanism, it's not an SOD1 disease, we don't know. I mean, it could leave the GDNF alone and the spinal cord could maintain the functional	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So this is the kind of problem that we're dealing with. We're not trying to treat acute pain. I'm going to speak specifically about neuropathic pain, which is a pain produced associated with nerve injury, usually peripheral nerve injury. This is a patient with complex regional pain syndrome. The individual probably has a minor nerve injury of the limb. And you can see that there's swelling, there's redness, there's ongoing pain, and incredible hypersensitivity. There's also a dystonic posture, which is something that's characteristic of this particular condition, very difficult to treat, doesn't respond to the traditional therapies such as opioids or NSAIDs.

	Page 77		Page 79
1	who is a sculptor, who generated this sculpture of	1	agrees is that there is certainly decreased
2	his foot, trying to illustrate what his problem is.	2	inhibition. And that could be reflected in
	And I think it's emotive, pretty dramatic, but it	3	measuring inhibitory potentials in the output
	illustrates what the clinical problem is. And that		cells, measure levels of the enzyme that
	is what the clinicians I am not a clinician, but		synthesizes GABA. It's decreased.
	I have seen patients, and it's a clear major unmet	6	
	need.	-	seizure-like condition, where you have in a
	So what are the contributors to neuropathic		-
8	-		cortex in epilepsy, you have a loss of
	pain? And I could give a two-hour lecture on that		inhibition. And how you treat that, of course, is
	quite easily. And there's a whole list. And		with anticonvulsants.
	everybody has their favorite mechanism. And I just	11	In this case, the loss of inhibition is
	put a few in here. And I'm not going to go through		associated with increased ongoing pain because of
	them at all because I'm going to focus specifically		spontaneous pain that's not regulated, and
	on the first, but there are issues of Frank		hypersensitivity because the information coming in
15	likes to talk about descending facilitation	15	intact afferents is now on a hyperexcited spinal
16	mechanisms, microglial activation. Yves De Koninck	16	cord.
17	has published some of the most elegant work on	17	So how do you treat this? Just as in
18	chloride changes, chloride gradient changes.	18	epilepsy, the standard treatment would be to use
19	Sprouting is another issue, sympathetics.	19	anticonvulsants. You're trying to increase
20	Let's just talk about GABAergic loss of	20	inhibition, not necessarily GABA, but you're
21	inhibition, which I think is one of the major	21	basically trying to increase inhibition. And any
22	contributors, particularly the level of the spinal	22	time a new anticonvulsant comes on the market, it's
	Page 78		Page 80
1	cord. And so I'm going to use this diagram in an	1	immediately put into patients with neuropathic pain
		-	
	illustrative way.		
3	illustrative way. It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an	2	because that's the source of the ones that have
3	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an	2 3	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully,
4	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic,	2 3 4	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain.
4 5	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and	2 3 4 5	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that
4 5 6	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells,	2 3 4 5 6	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many
4 5 6 7	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the	2 3 4 5 6 7	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of
4 5 6 7 8	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think
4 5 6 7 8 9	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient would experience pain or the animal. I threw in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think people would agree.
4 5 7 8 9 10	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient would experience pain or the animal. I threw in a few inhibitory interneurons. The great majority,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think people would agree. So our approach is really to try to consider
4 5 7 8 9 10	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient would experience pain or the animal. I threw in a few inhibitory interneurons. The great majority, if not all of them, the superficial dorsal horn,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think people would agree. So our approach is really to try to consider this more of a disease, and where the disease is a
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient would experience pain or the animal. I threw in a few inhibitory interneurons. The great majority, if not all of them, the superficial dorsal horn, are GABAergic. Some also contain glycine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think people would agree. So our approach is really to try to consider this more of a disease, and where the disease is a loss of cells or inhibition, and can we actually
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient would experience pain or the animal. I threw in a few inhibitory interneurons. The great majority, if not all of them, the superficial dorsal horn, are GABAergic. Some also contain glycine. They're of course excitatory interneurons,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think people would agree. So our approach is really to try to consider this more of a disease, and where the disease is a loss of cells or inhibition, and can we actually fix the problem, fix the neurological problem. And
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient would experience pain or the animal. I threw in a few inhibitory interneurons. The great majority, if not all of them, the superficial dorsal horn, are GABAergic. Some also contain glycine. They're of course excitatory interneurons, but that's not the point of the topic today. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think people would agree. So our approach is really to try to consider this more of a disease, and where the disease is a loss of cells or inhibition, and can we actually fix the problem, fix the neurological problem. And the approach that we're taking is to use cells,
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient would experience pain or the animal. I threw in a few inhibitory interneurons. The great majority, if not all of them, the superficial dorsal horn, are GABAergic. Some also contain glycine. They're of course excitatory interneurons, but that's not the point of the topic today. And what we know is that when there's a peripheral	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think people would agree. So our approach is really to try to consider this more of a disease, and where the disease is a loss of cells or inhibition, and can we actually fix the problem, fix the neurological problem. And the approach that we're taking is to use cells, progenitor cells, from the cortex. Our initial
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient would experience pain or the animal. I threw in a few inhibitory interneurons. The great majority, if not all of them, the superficial dorsal horn, are GABAergic. Some also contain glycine. They're of course excitatory interneurons, but that's not the point of the topic today. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think people would agree. So our approach is really to try to consider this more of a disease, and where the disease is a loss of cells or inhibition, and can we actually fix the problem, fix the neurological problem. And the approach that we're taking is to use cells,
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient would experience pain or the animal. I threw in a few inhibitory interneurons. The great majority, if not all of them, the superficial dorsal horn, are GABAergic. Some also contain glycine. They're of course excitatory interneurons, but that's not the point of the topic today. And what we know is that when there's a peripheral	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think people would agree. So our approach is really to try to consider this more of a disease, and where the disease is a loss of cells or inhibition, and can we actually fix the problem, fix the neurological problem. And the approach that we're taking is to use cells, progenitor cells, from the cortex. Our initial studies from the embryonic mouse cortex to these
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient would experience pain or the animal. I threw in a few inhibitory interneurons. The great majority, if not all of them, the superficial dorsal horn, are GABAergic. Some also contain glycine. They're of course excitatory interneurons, but that's not the point of the topic today. And what we know is that when there's a peripheral nerve injury, usually a partial nerve injury, there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think people would agree. So our approach is really to try to consider this more of a disease, and where the disease is a loss of cells or inhibition, and can we actually fix the problem, fix the neurological problem. And the approach that we're taking is to use cells, progenitor cells, from the cortex. Our initial studies from the embryonic mouse cortex to these
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient would experience pain or the animal. I threw in a few inhibitory interneurons. The great majority, if not all of them, the superficial dorsal horn, are GABAergic. Some also contain glycine. They're of course excitatory interneurons, but that's not the point of the topic today. And what we know is that when there's a peripheral nerve injury, usually a partial nerve injury, there is a loss of inhibition. In this case, I've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think people would agree. So our approach is really to try to consider this more of a disease, and where the disease is a loss of cells or inhibition, and can we actually fix the problem, fix the neurological problem. And the approach that we're taking is to use cells, progenitor cells, from the cortex. Our initial studies from the embryonic mouse cortex to these are progenitors of GABAergic neurons, transplant
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient would experience pain or the animal. I threw in a few inhibitory interneurons. The great majority, if not all of them, the superficial dorsal horn, are GABAergic. Some also contain glycine. They're of course excitatory interneurons, but that's not the point of the topic today. And what we know is that when there's a peripheral nerve injury, usually a partial nerve injury, there is a loss of inhibition. In this case, I've illustrated as if there's a loss of, actually, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think people would agree. So our approach is really to try to consider this more of a disease, and where the disease is a loss of cells or inhibition, and can we actually fix the problem, fix the neurological problem. And the approach that we're taking is to use cells, progenitor cells, from the cortex. Our initial studies from the embryonic mouse cortex to these are progenitors of GABAergic neurons, transplant them into spinal cord and see if you will repair
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient would experience pain or the animal. I threw in a few inhibitory interneurons. The great majority, if not all of them, the superficial dorsal horn, are GABAergic. Some also contain glycine. They're of course excitatory interneurons, but that's not the point of the topic today. And what we know is that when there's a peripheral nerve injury, usually a partial nerve injury, there is a loss of inhibition. In this case, I've illustrated as if there's a loss of, actually, the interneurons. That's controversial.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think people would agree. So our approach is really to try to consider this more of a disease, and where the disease is a loss of cells or inhibition, and can we actually fix the problem, fix the neurological problem. And the approach that we're taking is to use cells, progenitor cells, from the cortex. Our initial studies from the embryonic mouse cortex to these are progenitors of GABAergic neurons, transplant them into spinal cord and see if you will repair the spinal cord.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It's a half of a spinal cord. Here is an afferent fiber. They're all glutamatergic, provides input to the spinal cord, cell body, and dorsal root ganglion. Here's the output cells, that if this were an acute painful stimulus, the information would go to the brain and the patient would experience pain or the animal. I threw in a few inhibitory interneurons. The great majority, if not all of them, the superficial dorsal horn, are GABAergic. Some also contain glycine. They're of course excitatory interneurons, but that's not the point of the topic today. And what we know is that when there's a peripheral nerve injury, usually a partial nerve injury, there is a loss of inhibition. In this case, I've illustrated as if there's a loss of, actually, the interneurons. That's controversial. There is a paper from Clifford Woolf's group	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because that's the source of the ones that have been effective so far. And the idea is, hopefully, that will reduce the pain. This is a pharmacological approach that works in some patients. There are clearly many patients who do not respond, and the amount of relief they get is less than ideal. I would think people would agree. So our approach is really to try to consider this more of a disease, and where the disease is a loss of cells or inhibition, and can we actually fix the problem, fix the neurological problem. And the approach that we're taking is to use cells, progenitor cells, from the cortex. Our initial studies from the embryonic mouse cortex to these are progenitors of GABAergic neurons, transplant them into spinal cord and see if you will repair the spinal cord. The story begins with the work of John

Tr	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 81		Page 83
1	it's a mouse. You're looking at a mouse embryo.	1	So I went to John. I like telling this
2	2 And what John is interested in is what is the	2	story because it's true. I asked John, "Do you
3	origin of all different cell types in the cerebral	3	think we could try it in spinal cord?" And he
4	cortex?	4	said, "Sure. You can try anything." But he says
5	5 The relevant studies for our work was his	5	he didn't think it would work for a variety of
e	demonstration that all of the GABAergic	6	reasons. Number one, we wanted to transplant it
7	interneurons, inhibitory interneurons of the	7	into adult and, at that time, we were only
ε	cortex, derive from a region of forebrain. The	8	transplanting into neonatal. He thought that the
9	medial ganglionic eminence; that's MGE. And the	9	adult might not accept the cells.
10	MGE is the source of all cells in the cerebral	10	Also, these are destined to become cortical
11	cortex. The cells are born there, and then they	11	cells, not spinal cord cells, so he says they might
12	2 will migrate, and they will turn into GABAergic	12	not survive, wrong environment. And then, in the
13	interneurons.	13	best case, even if they survived, they will
14	It was this paper that turned us on to the	14	probably migrate. And like E.T. come home, they'll
15	approach. This is a paper in PNAS by Scott	15	actually go back to the cortex, because in the
16	Baraban, along with other colleagues at UCSF. And	16	cortex, they will really move a long way.
17	what they did is they took mouse, 13.5 embryo. It	17	So as I say, with that encouragement, we
18	was a GAD-GFP mouse, where the enzyme that	18	went ahead and tried it. And Joao Braz, a terrific
19	synthesizes GABA was linked to GFP so that you	19	fellow, is actually a virologist originally and now
20	could see green GABA progenitors.	20	a terrific neurobiologist, tried it, transplanted
21	They took those cells. They transplanted	21	into spinal cord. And the reason why I'm here is
22	them in that time into a neonatal rat/mouse cortex.	22	that it works.
	Page 82		Page 84
1	And then they followed them over time. And what	1	So the first question is can these
2	2 they found is that the cells would take on	2	cells now, we're taking from 13.5 embryo.
3	properties, neurochemical properties characteristic	3	They're progenitors. They are postmitotic. They
4	of GABAergic interneurons. And they would look	4	are not yet neurons. And the question is, will
	ike interneurons, and they would distribute	5	they survive?
e	through the cortex. In fact, you can put them in	6	So here's the model. Here's our half a
7	occipital cortex, and they would populate the	7	spinal cord again. We have an afferent. And I put
ε	entire brain, just as the normal embryonic cells	8	in a few of the output yellow cells and then lots

9 do.

When I saw this paper, it was interesting,
but what was even more interesting with this paper

12 is, they also transplanted the cells into a mouse

13 with a potassium-channel defect. And this mouse

14 with the potassium-channel defect had high

15 propensity for seizures, spontaneous seizures.

16 So they transplanted into the neonatal

17 mouse, and what they found is that the animal had

18 significantly reduced seizures. That's what caught

19 my attention, of course, because, as I said, I see

20 to some extent that problem of neuropathic pain, at

21 least at the level of spinal cord, has a loss of22 inhibition and a sense of seizure-like condition.

12 that the major problem is loss of inhibition, not13 so much the cells.

14 Then we transplant. We started at about

9 of inhibitory interneurons. What I told you is

10 that when there's peripheral nerve injury, either

11 lose the cells -- and I actually personally think

15 50,000 cells. That's what we start with. We end

16 up with maybe 10 percent of those cells. And to be

17 honest, we don't know where they went. They might

18 end up in CSF. They might get lost. They might

19 die. We have looked at later for tunnel staining

20 for apoptosis. We don't see it. It's possible

21 they die very early on. We're not sure. And so we

22 transplant them. And the question is, do they

Third ACTTION Scientific Workshop of Doin Th

Tra	unsformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 85		Page 87
1	survive.	1	So what we did in this case was just looking
2	So this is what a transplant looks like at		at primary afferents, but we've done it with other
3	one day. You get a plug of cells. Here is the		host cells. Over the years, we have generated a
	spinal cord. Here's the dorsal horn. The ventral		variety of tracer mice, where we can turn tracers
	horn would be here, the dorsal column, white		on in the host and ask whether the tracer ends up
	matter.		in the transplanted cell or does the host talk to
7	So here's the plug of cells at one day and		the trace, to the cells that contain the tracer.
	this is what it looks like at four weeks. The	8	
	cells start to migrate, but they don't migrate very	_	is does this cell, for example, talk to this cell,
	far, fortunately. They stay relatively close to		and do the transplanted cells talk to the host
	the segment. They never go to the opposite side.		cells, interneurons and primary afferents, actually
	They certainly don't go up the cervical cord.		make synapses with the transplanted cells, which
13	This is an illustration. It's a sagittal		would suggest that they're really integrated.
	section of spinal cord, caudal. This is the tail	14	
	and here's the head of the animal. In this case,		the afferent, and it'll jump synapses. It's wheat
	you can see there were four injections, and what I		germ agglutinin. And when we do that, we can
	want to point out is, if you look, you can actually		look and just give you one example. Here's a
	see what looks like axons. And I'll try to		cell where the tracer was turned on way out here in
			the dorsal ganglion, and it went into the cord a
	So these things will send out long processes, but		transplant that ends up in a cell in the cord.
	the cells pretty much stay near to where you inject	20	
21			cells on, turn the tracer on, in a four-week-old
22		22	
	Page 86		Page 88
1	This is what a single cell can look like.	1	transplant. Here are some cells. And if we go to
2	They are very happy. They survive. They put out	2	high power, what you can see is here's the cell
3	processes. And I will try to convince you that	3	that receives the tracer from the afferent, jump
4	they really are integrated into a circuit.	4	the synapse, ended up in a post-synaptic cell in
5	Do they actually synthesize GABA and with an	5	the dorsal horn. And you can see terminals from
6	antibody against GABA? Here are some cells. These	6	the transplant surrounding the cell.
7	are the green cells, the progenitors. These are	7	Now, many people will look at this and say,
8	all terminals from the transplanted cells with an	8	"Wow. There are synapses there," but I was trained
9	antibody against GABA. You can see that there	9	as an anatomist, and if you want to see synapses,
10	clearly are GABA expressing.	10	you take it to the electron-microscopic level,
11	Just for the record, we have recently	11	which my colleague, Ida Llewellyn Smith, has now
12	transplanted cells from a VGAT mutant mouse that	12	done. She comes to the lab twice a year.
13	can't it can make GABA, but it can't store it in	13	Here's an example now, taking these
14	vesicles. And those cells survive, but they don't	14	transplanted cells to the EM level. Here is a
15	work, as you'll see later, but here are examples.	15	synapse from the host, talking to a labeled cell
16	I'm just going through this part quickly.	16	body. This is a transplanted cell, so there's
17	This part is published. Do they integrate? And I	17	clear synapses. Here's a dendrite. People who
18	think this is really perhaps our biggest	18	know this would see this as almost certainly a
19	contribution because one of the major questions	19	primary afferent characteristic, scallop-shaped
20	that was mentioned earlier is, are you generating a	20	afferent terminal with vesicles. It's pre-synaptic
21	pump or is it actually integrating into the host	21	to a host dendrite, pre-synaptic to the
		1	

Min-U-Script®

22 transplanted dendrite.

117	ansiormative strategies-Development of Fam Therapies		Julie 24, 2014
	Page 89		Page 91
1	This is an example of a terminal from the	1	So these are very functional. Another
2	transplant that is now talking to the host. And	2	functional way of demonstrating this is to take, in
3	most recently, we've actually done this. This is	3	this case, a four-week-old transplanted mouse.
4	kind of tough. I honestly can't see it from here.	4	These are the cells. And then stimulate the paw of
5	There are black dots here, which is gold that marks	5	the animal and induce Fos expression, just a
6	GABA, just to illustrate that a labeled	6	genetic marker of activity of populations of
7	profile I hope you can see it, it's very fuzzy	7	interneurons using antibody against the Fos
8	to me actually is GABA indeed, the terminal	8	protein. And every one of these red dots
9	is GABA positive.	9	represents a nucleus that contains the Fos protein.
10	Here's an example, which was a bit	10	And the question is, are they double-labeled? And
11	surprising, that here's a dendrite from the	11	the answer is, some of them are.
12	transplant. And it is actually post-synaptic to a	12	So these cells clearly were driven by
13	GABA terminal, which suggests that, in fact,	13	activity in the periphery this is in a
14	inhibitory interneurons, host inhibitory	14	four-week-old animal and induced Fos in response
15	interneurons, are really talking to the transplant.	15	to a stimulation of the afferent. So the
16	Here's a better example. This is a terminal	16	transplanted cells are engaged, are integrated, and
17	that has the gold particles, a gold marking, a	17	can be driven by peripheral activity.
18	GABAergic host terminal that is pre-synaptic to a	18	The question, of course, is, so what? Can
19	dendrite that is expressing, so that the cell can	19	they actually influence the hypersensitivity that's
20	even itself come under inhibitory control, so that	20	characteristic of not only the patient, but more in
21	it is integrating into the circuit. It receives	21	the animal models that we use? And we discussed
22	afferent input, and it is controllable, if you	22	this yesterday, the complexity of the animal
	Dave 00		Dave 02
	Page 90		Page 92
1	will, by the host. So this transplant is really	1	models. But we use a model of CRPS, or it's a
2	well integrated.	2	model of mechanical hypersensitivity is a better
3	Is it actually functional? Well, very	3	description. And it's in this case the SNI,
4	recently, with terrific post doc Alex Etlin, we've	4	sciatic nerve injury model.
5	been doing sliced physiology in transplanted cells.	5	In the rodent, the sciatic nerve trifurcates
6	Here's an example of a slice of spinal cord from an	6	to three branches. We cut two of the three
7	eight-week-old mouse. You can see the green cells.	7	branches and then isolate the tibial in this case.
8	And then he's patching on to the cells. If you	8	Other people do it by isolating the sural. And
9	just depolarize them, the cells will fire.	9	what you find out is, within 24 hours of this
10	Then more recently, using a variety of other	10	
	electrical stimulation of attached dorsal route or	11	
12	more recently using channelrhodopsin that's been	12	you look at that and the question is, can the

- 12 more recently using channelrhodopsin that's been
- 13 put into afferent fibers, into TRPV1 afferents, you
- 14 can stimulate this and show that you can actually 15 drive the transplant from stimulation of the 16 afferent.
- 17 So the host is definitely talking to the

Min-U-Script®

- 18 circuit and, most interestingly, recently, we've
- 19 made transplants from channelrhodopsin expressing
- 20 mice. And then you can just stimulate the entire
- 21 slice, stimulate all the cells with light, and you
- 22 can show that the host cells are inhibited.
- A Matter of Record (301) 890-4188

21

14 by this.

15

13 transplant mitigate the hypersensitivity produced

16 what we're plotting here is a normalized threshold

19 100 percent. You injure. Threshold plummets. And

The person transplanting does not test the

17 on the Y axis. Both the control animals that

20 then you transplant. This is all done blind.

18 receive either dead cells or medium start off at

22 animals. The person testing the animals doesn't

This is the result that was published. So

110	instormative strategies-Development of rain riterapies		Suite 24, 2014
	Page 93		Page 95
1	know which cells have been put in or whether this	1	San Francisco.
	is a control. And the code is only broken well	2	(Laughter.)
	after the experiment is done. The animals are	3	
	killed. The cells or the distribution is	4	outside my daughter's home. There's a psychic that
5	monitored.	5	
6	What you see is that this is the control	6	
7		7	circumstances, I'm not sure this is the best
8	this will last for long periods of time. They	8	psychic to go to. I love it.
	don't recover. And the transplanted animals, over	9	(Laughter.)
	time, will normalize.	10	
11	What's interesting is we never see them go	11	things we've done is we're using other models, and
12	above normal. We have done this many times now.		it is very effective in the Taxol model. We're
	This is the first example. We have repeated this	13	
	in a Taxol model of hypersensitivity using four	14	
	repeated injections of Taxol. The animals become	15	
	very hypersensitive over all four limbs, but the	16	this could be taken forward is transplanting
17	transplant will only treat one of the limbs,	17	into dorsal ganglion and trigeminal ganglion.
	wherever you transplant. And it'll treat both	18	
	mechanical and heat hypersensitivity, which is	19	
	characteristic of that model. So it's not unique	20	
	just to this model.	21	
22	We never see the animals go above. In other	22	condition. Many of you may be familiar with it.
	Page 94		Page 96
1	words, if we take a normal animal and transplant,	1	This is a characteristic picture of an
	the animals don't become analgesic. And this is an	2	
3	interesting issue, and we can talk about it in	3	etiology is not clear. Some patients respond to
4	discussion. Our feeling is that the transplant is	4	carbamazepine. Some don't. Some will undergo a
5	reestablishing a level of inhibition. In fact,	5	pretty drastic surgery, decompression surgery, to
6	we've monitored GAD levels. They normalize. They	6	remove a blood vessel that may be affecting the
7	never go above normal. And I think this adjustment	7	trigeminal nerve, but in other cases, nothing
8	is what is critical to the function of the	8	works.
9	transplant.	9	It seems plausible that this could be
10	So I will conclude at this point to say	10	treated in the same fashion. We are transplanting
11	that, because of the nature of the integration, the	11	it to nucleus caudalis, the dorsal horn of the
12	extent of integration, this isn't just a transplant	12	medulla, in animal facial pain models. There is no
13	that's providing GABA as in a pump-like fashion. I	13	good model of trigeminal neuralgia, unfortunately,
14	do believe that the integration into the circuit	14	that I know of in rodents or any animal for that
15	is, in that sense, disease modifying to the extent	15	matter.
16	that you can call this type of neuropathic pain	16	But the question is, could you just
17	condition a disease. Now, the neurological world,	17	transplant into the ganglion? And the answer is
		1	

- 17 condition a disease. Now, the neurological world,17 transplant into the ganglion? And the answer is18 I know, doesn't like that term, but I think it's a18 yes. Someone said, why would you? There's no
- 19 reasonable description of the nature of this19 synapses there. Well, that's true, but there are
- 20 condition.
- 21 So where do we go from here? Now, some of 22 you have seen this, but I just love it. It's very
- 20 receptors. These cells make the receptors that are
- 21 targets of GABA. And it's perfectly plausible to
- 22 think that you could use this as a clinical target,

110	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 97		Page 99
1	readily accessible from a neurosurgical	1	keep it under control. And in this mouse, where
	perspective.		you lose these cells, the animals have heightened
3			itch.
	ganglion. These are green cells. And if you stain	4	
	for GFAP, which will mark the satellite cells,		think we could try this?" The animals are not
	there's no overlap. These are neurons. They		healthy animals, so it was tough, but she sent us
	express. They're NeuN positive, which is the same		the mice, and we've now Joao has now
	that we find in the spinal cord. I did mention		transplanted, and we have a paper in JCI that's
	that we find about 80 to 85 percent of transplanted		just been accepted.
	cells are NeuN positive. We don't see oligo or	10	This is the spontaneous scratching that
	astrocyte markers in these cells.		occurs, so the animals are just scratching. And so
12			somebody is now monitoring. They don't know which
	these studies now with Xiaobing Yu, who is an		side. The animals will scratch on both sides and
	anesthesiologist pain fellow in the lab. And it's		usually have symmetrical scratching. The
	been a bear and a mouse, but this is just a mouse		individual who is monitoring this doesn't know
	that's been injected, in this case, with dye just		where the transplant was. Within two weeks, we
	to illustrate here, the trigeminal ganglion. It's		actually start to see a significant decrease. You
	done stereotaxically. This is just to illustrate	18	
	it can be done. We're now recording before	_	have to be euthanized.
	transplanting.	20	This is an example, and it really is
20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		characteristic of an animal in following it out.
	trigeminal ganglion. It was an early example.		This is what it looked like at about six weeks of
	angerninal gangiern it nae an early example.		
	Page 98		Page 100
1	-	1	-
	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are		age. The animals were transplanted and follow the
2	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a	2	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the
2 3	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain.	2 3	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back.
2 3 4	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper	2 3 4	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah,
2 3 4 5	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at	2 3 4 5	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous
2 3 4 5 6	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working	2 3 4 5 6	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a	2 3 4 5 6 7	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a BHLHB5 mutant mouse this is a transcription	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always have to euthanize them. And we've done this many
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a BHLHB5 mutant mouse this is a transcription factor that expresses and is necessary for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always have to euthanize them. And we've done this many times at back of the neck, depending on where the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a BHLHB5 mutant mouse this is a transcription factor that expresses and is necessary for the survival of a population of GABAergic interneurons	2 3 4 5 7 8 9	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always have to euthanize them. And we've done this many times at back of the neck, depending on where the scratching occurs. It's not predictable. And we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a BHLHB5 mutant mouse this is a transcription factor that expresses and is necessary for the survival of a population of GABAergic interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always have to euthanize them. And we've done this many times at back of the neck, depending on where the scratching occurs. It's not predictable. And we transplant where the scratching the part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a BHLHB5 mutant mouse this is a transcription factor that expresses and is necessary for the survival of a population of GABAergic interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. You lose many of them in this mouse. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always have to euthanize them. And we've done this many times at back of the neck, depending on where the scratching occurs. It's not predictable. And we
2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a BHLHB5 mutant mouse this is a transcription factor that expresses and is necessary for the survival of a population of GABAergic interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. You lose many of them in this mouse. And what they found is, after about two months, six to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always have to euthanize them. And we've done this many times at back of the neck, depending on where the scratching occurs. It's not predictable. And we transplant where the scratching the part of the appropriate dermatome spinal segment, and you can treat these animals.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a BHLHB5 mutant mouse this is a transcription factor that expresses and is necessary for the survival of a population of GABAergic interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. You lose many of them in this mouse. And what they found is, after about two months, six to eight weeks, actually, the animals start to develop	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always have to euthanize them. And we've done this many times at back of the neck, depending on where the scratching occurs. It's not predictable. And we transplant where the scratching the part of the appropriate dermatome spinal segment, and you can treat these animals. Finally, this is where we'd like to go. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a BHLHB5 mutant mouse this is a transcription factor that expresses and is necessary for the survival of a population of GABAergic interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. You lose many of them in this mouse. And what they found is, after about two months, six to eight weeks, actually, the animals start to develop this spontaneous syndrome of intense scratching,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always have to euthanize them. And we've done this many times at back of the neck, depending on where the scratching occurs. It's not predictable. And we transplant where the scratching the part of the appropriate dermatome spinal segment, and you can treat these animals. Finally, this is where we'd like to go. We actually did try fetal transplants. We went to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a BHLHB5 mutant mouse this is a transcription factor that expresses and is necessary for the survival of a population of GABAergic interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. You lose many of them in this mouse. And what they found is, after about two months, six to eight weeks, actually, the animals start to develop this spontaneous syndrome of intense scratching, terrible scratching.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always have to euthanize them. And we've done this many times at back of the neck, depending on where the scratching occurs. It's not predictable. And we transplant where the scratching the part of the appropriate dermatome spinal segment, and you can treat these animals. Finally, this is where we'd like to go. We actually did try fetal transplants. We went to 16-week and 13-week fetus, tried to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a BHLHB5 mutant mouse this is a transcription factor that expresses and is necessary for the survival of a population of GABAergic interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. You lose many of them in this mouse. And what they found is, after about two months, six to eight weeks, actually, the animals start to develop this spontaneous syndrome of intense scratching, terrible scratching.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always have to euthanize them. And we've done this many times at back of the neck, depending on where the scratching occurs. It's not predictable. And we transplant where the scratching the part of the appropriate dermatome spinal segment, and you can treat these animals. Finally, this is where we'd like to go. We actually did try fetal transplants. We went to 16-week and 13-week fetus, tried to get MGE-equivalent cells from human fetus, and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a BHLHB5 mutant mouse this is a transcription factor that expresses and is necessary for the survival of a population of GABAergic interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. You lose many of them in this mouse. And what they found is, after about two months, six to eight weeks, actually, the animals start to develop this spontaneous syndrome of intense scratching, terrible scratching. Their hypothesis is as follows, that under normal conditions, just like in the pain, it looks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always have to euthanize them. And we've done this many times at back of the neck, depending on where the scratching occurs. It's not predictable. And we transplant where the scratching the part of the appropriate dermatome spinal segment, and you can treat these animals. Finally, this is where we'd like to go. We actually did try fetal transplants. We went to 16-week and 13-week fetus, tried to get MGE-equivalent cells from human fetus, and we transplanted. And the cells survive in the mouse.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a BHLHB5 mutant mouse this is a transcription factor that expresses and is necessary for the survival of a population of GABAergic interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. You lose many of them in this mouse. And what they found is, after about two months, six to eight weeks, actually, the animals start to develop this spontaneous syndrome of intense scratching, terrible scratching. Their hypothesis is as follows, that under normal conditions, just like in the pain, it looks like a gate control theory model, there is an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always have to euthanize them. And we've done this many times at back of the neck, depending on where the scratching occurs. It's not predictable. And we transplant where the scratching the part of the appropriate dermatome spinal segment, and you can treat these animals. Finally, this is where we'd like to go. We actually did try fetal transplants. We went to 16-week and 13-week fetus, tried to get MGE-equivalent cells from human fetus, and we transplanted. And the cells survive in the mouse. These are in SCID mice, but we never got neurons.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 177 18 19 20	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a BHLHB5 mutant mouse this is a transcription factor that expresses and is necessary for the survival of a population of GABAergic interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. You lose many of them in this mouse. And what they found is, after about two months, six to eight weeks, actually, the animals start to develop this spontaneous syndrome of intense scratching, terrible scratching. Their hypothesis is as follows, that under normal conditions, just like in the pain, it looks like a gate control theory model, there is an afferent input that drives the itch circuit like a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always have to euthanize them. And we've done this many times at back of the neck, depending on where the scratching occurs. It's not predictable. And we transplant where the scratching the part of the appropriate dermatome spinal segment, and you can treat these animals. Finally, this is where we'd like to go. We actually did try fetal transplants. We went to 16-week and 13-week fetus, tried to get MGE-equivalent cells from human fetus, and we transplanted. And the cells survive in the mouse. These are in SCID mice, but we never got neurons. All we got was oligos, beautiful oligos, lots of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Xiaobing is getting a lot better at it. So we are trying to see whether this can be effective in a variety of animal models of pain. A little segue, chronic itch. This paper caught our attention from Sarah Ross, who is at University of Pittsburgh. When she was working with Mike Greenberg at Harvard, they found that a BHLHB5 mutant mouse this is a transcription factor that expresses and is necessary for the survival of a population of GABAergic interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. You lose many of them in this mouse. And what they found is, after about two months, six to eight weeks, actually, the animals start to develop this spontaneous syndrome of intense scratching, terrible scratching. Their hypothesis is as follows, that under normal conditions, just like in the pain, it looks like a gate control theory model, there is an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	age. The animals were transplanted and follow the animal out. And then by this time, literally, the hair is starting to grow back. When we saw this initially, I called Sarah, and I said, "Have you ever seen spontaneous recovery in these animals?" And she's done a lot, and she's never, absolutely never. They always have to euthanize them. And we've done this many times at back of the neck, depending on where the scratching occurs. It's not predictable. And we transplant where the scratching the part of the appropriate dermatome spinal segment, and you can treat these animals. Finally, this is where we'd like to go. We actually did try fetal transplants. We went to 16-week and 13-week fetus, tried to get MGE-equivalent cells from human fetus, and we transplanted. And the cells survive in the mouse. These are in SCID mice, but we never got neurons.

Min-U-Script®

115	instormative Strategies-Development of Fam Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 101		Page 103
1	getting these cells from our stem cell folks at	1	limitations when you're working with mice.
2	UCSF.	2	I assume the stem cell people are going to
3	But most recently, we have been using human	3	work out ways to get these things to grow a little
4	embryonic stem cells that are modified to become	4	bit better. We are also doing the same approach
5	GABAergic neurons. And the answer is that they	5	you described of using Lenti and doing this mostly
6	work. They will survive. This is now transplanted	6	in the MGE cells to turn different elements,
7	to SCID mice. This is now six months	7	different things on in the transplanted cells.
8	post-transplant. These are markers. I noticed the	8	For example, enkephalin, to release
9	similar markers. It's a stem cell marker to	9	enkephalin in a circuit fashion rather than pouring
10	identify the cells. This just illustrates	10	it on the spinal cord, we think that we can enhance
11	doublecortin and Ki-67 will mark cells that are	11	the utility of the GABAergic component by having
12	dividing, and we don't see that. By six months, we	12	the cells generate something else. So we're
13	no longer see that these are dividing.	13	excited, and this is where we are continuing. We
14	We don't see tumors. This is, as you said,	14	would love to be able to see this taken all the
15	one of the big concerns. We have not seen tumors	15	way. There's a lot of hurdles before. But that's
16	in the mice. So the cells, they become, they take,	16	where we are now.
17	they grow, they move a lot more than the medial	17	In conclusion, there are a lot of things
18	ganglionic eminent cells; don't go to the other	18	that cause neuropathic pain, but I think thinking
19	side. They'll go to white matter. We don't see	19	of it as a neurological disease that can be
20	them going to cervical cord, but they are	20	repaired is something to consider. And with that,
21	definitely moving more. And by six months, they	21	I'll introduce you. It's not as cute as your
22	take on this is staining for GABA, bunches of	22	slide, but I have a lot of cute people in the lab.
	Page 102		Page 104
	-		
	cells. We've got NPY-positive cells, different	1	
	co-markers. So the cells do take on the properties		"Pain is in the brain," and that's because Frank is
	of the inhibitory interneurons, a smaller		sitting in the front row. And I know that pain is
4	percentage than the MGE cells for sure.		in the brain. And this is Joao Braz, who did most
5	I'm not going to show the data, but I'll		of the transplant work. Alex did the slice
	just tell you, can it do anything in that same		physiology. Xidao did a lot of the behavior, the
	model of nerve injury. And the answer is yes.		blind behavior work. And Reza Sharif-Naeini, who
	After six months, it takes a long time. We can get		is now on the faculty at McGill, did some of the
	about 50 percent recovery of threshold. We never		early work with Joao. Ida did the electron
	get anything like the MGE cells, where we can		microscopy. And most recently, I've been working
11	return it back to normal.		with the stem cell folks to try to get the
12	We get about 50 percent recovery, but it		embryonic stem cells to work.
	takes a very long time. And the real reason,	13	
	unfortunately well, not unfortunately; it's the		there yet. Thanks very much.
	nature of the cells, my understanding. And it's a	15	
	fact; we tried it. If you transplant the cells	16	
17	after they've been differentiated, if you will, or	17	Any questions? Andrew?

- 18 driven into a GABAergic phenotype in vitro, and
- 19 then transplant them, they don't take.

Min-U-Script®

- So you need to feed them, and then 20
- 21 transplant them, and then keep your fingers, and it
- 22 takes a long time. So this is one of the

18

DR. RICE: Thank you. As I was listening, I

19 was just wondering what kind of clinical trials we

21 And you've partially already mentioned this in your

20 would think about in the ethos of this meeting.

22 reference to other polyneuropathies, but I was

	insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		Julie 24, 2014
	Page 105		Page 107
1	wondering, we're increasingly recognizing the	1	changes over time. Ida has looked at four weeks
2	heterogeneity of neuropathic pain presentations in	2	and looked a six months. The number of axial
3	the clinic and even now in animal models. And you	3	somatic synapses at four weeks is much greater than
4	referred to some of the other animal models. But	4	at six months.
5	many of the polyneuropathies, diabetes, HIV, drug-	5	So the integration is occurring over time.
6	induced, are characterized largely by sensory loss,	6	The system is unbelievably plastic. And my
7	the diabetic neuropathies in the clinic. And the	7	take-home lesson is the system is remarkably
8	central core of this is the loss of GABAergic cells	8	plastic. And I really do believe it's adjusting to
9	in the DRG associated, I presume.	9	a level of inhibition and that it can be useful
10	DR. BASBAUM: In the cord.	10	under any circumstances.
11	DR. RICE: It's in the cord, with traumatic	11	In the same case, why does gabapentin work,
12	nerve injury. And I just wondered to what extent	12	at least to some extent, in so many of these
13	that is shared with the other models you've	13	heterogeneous polyneuropathies and conditions, even
14	mentioned like the trigeminal ganglion? And	14	though they have a different etiology, adjusting
15	obviously, it's not the cord, but also the Taxol	15	the level of inhibition, can help.
16	neuropathies. Do you see a similar loss of	16	DR. MCMAHON: That's very interesting from
17	GABAergic cells?	17	lots of points of view. One question is whether
18	DR. BASBAUM: No. No. In the case of the	18	the effects are specific on pain or whether you
19	Taxol, we don't, but what you do see is a decreased	19	have effects on locomotion, where the animals are
20	GAD function. We have never seen loss of cells. I	20	kind of
21	should point that out. The literature has reported	21	DR. BASBAUM: We've popped them on a
22	loss of cells. But you do see decreased GAD in	22	rotarod, and it doesn't make any difference. Now,
	Page 106		Page 108
	Fage 100		Fage 100
	these models. It's true that most of the models we		we haven't targeted ventral horn. And once in a
2	use are traumatic.	2	while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good
2 3	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're	2 3	while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if
2 3 4	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants	2 3 4	while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it
2 3 4 5	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of	2 3 4 5	while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective	2 3 4 5 6	while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the
2 3 4 5 6 7	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For	2 3 4 5 6 7	 while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For whatever reason, you get decreased inhibitory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of whether it's just passage secretion or whether it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For whatever reason, you get decreased inhibitory regulation, and the idea is to re-establish that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of whether it's just passage secretion or whether it's a neuronal integration. Some of your early
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For whatever reason, you get decreased inhibitory regulation, and the idea is to re-establish that. There's a variety of other interesting	2 3 4 5 7 8 9	 while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of whether it's just passage secretion or whether it's a neuronal integration. Some of your early behavioral data, so in both the itch and in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For whatever reason, you get decreased inhibitory regulation, and the idea is to re-establish that. There's a variety of other interesting aspects. These cells retain their cortical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of whether it's just passage secretion or whether it's a neuronal integration. Some of your early behavioral data, so in both the itch and in the spared nerve injury, you're seeing effects from a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For whatever reason, you get decreased inhibitory regulation, and the idea is to re-establish that. There's a variety of other interesting aspects. These cells retain their cortical phenotype. So cortical GABAergic cells have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of whether it's just passage secretion or whether it's a neuronal integration. Some of your early behavioral data, so in both the itch and in the spared nerve injury, you're seeing effects from a few days or a couple of weeks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For whatever reason, you get decreased inhibitory regulation, and the idea is to re-establish that. There's a variety of other interesting aspects. These cells retain their cortical phenotype. So cortical GABAergic cells have a different neurochemistry from those in the cord.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of whether it's just passage secretion or whether it's a neuronal integration. Some of your early behavioral data, so in both the itch and in the spared nerve injury, you're seeing effects from a few days or a couple of weeks. I assume, at that stage, there wouldn't have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For whatever reason, you get decreased inhibitory regulation, and the idea is to re-establish that. There's a variety of other interesting aspects. These cells retain their cortical phenotype. So cortical GABAergic cells have a different neurochemistry from those in the cord. And most characteristic is somatostatin is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of whether it's just passage secretion or whether it's a neuronal integration. Some of your early behavioral data, so in both the itch and in the spared nerve injury, you're seeing effects from a few days or a couple of weeks. I assume, at that stage, there wouldn't have been a lot of integration then. So early on, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For whatever reason, you get decreased inhibitory regulation, and the idea is to re-establish that. There's a variety of other interesting aspects. These cells retain their cortical phenotype. So cortical GABAergic cells have a different neurochemistry from those in the cord. And most characteristic is somatostatin is characteristic of many of the cells, and the cord,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of whether it's just passage secretion or whether it's a neuronal integration. Some of your early behavioral data, so in both the itch and in the spared nerve injury, you're seeing effects from a few days or a couple of weeks. I assume, at that stage, there wouldn't have been a lot of integration then. So early on, they may be pump-like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For whatever reason, you get decreased inhibitory regulation, and the idea is to re-establish that. There's a variety of other interesting aspects. These cells retain their cortical phenotype. So cortical GABAergic cells have a different neurochemistry from those in the cord. And most characteristic is somatostatin is characteristic of many of the cells, and the cord, somatostatin, doesn't co-occur. In fact, it's in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of whether it's just passage secretion or whether it's a neuronal integration. Some of your early behavioral data, so in both the itch and in the spared nerve injury, you're seeing effects from a few days or a couple of weeks. I assume, at that stage, there wouldn't have been a lot of integration then. So early on, they may be pump-like DR. BASBAUM: Good point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For whatever reason, you get decreased inhibitory regulation, and the idea is to re-establish that. There's a variety of other interesting aspects. These cells retain their cortical phenotype. So cortical GABAergic cells have a different neurochemistry from those in the cord. And most characteristic is somatostatin is characteristic of many of the cells, and the cord, somatostatin, doesn't co-occur. In fact, it's in a different population. It's not in GABA cells.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of whether it's just passage secretion or whether it's a neuronal integration. Some of your early behavioral data, so in both the itch and in the spared nerve injury, you're seeing effects from a few days or a couple of weeks. I assume, at that stage, there wouldn't have been a lot of integration then. So early on, they may be pump-like DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. MCMAHON: as you said, with time, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For whatever reason, you get decreased inhibitory regulation, and the idea is to re-establish that. There's a variety of other interesting aspects. These cells retain their cortical phenotype. So cortical GABAergic cells have a different neurochemistry from those in the cord. And most characteristic is somatostatin is characteristic of many of the cells, and the cord, somatostatin, doesn't co-occur. In fact, it's in a different population. It's not in GABA cells. These stay many of them are still somatostatin	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of whether it's just passage secretion or whether it's a neuronal integration. Some of your early behavioral data, so in both the itch and in the spared nerve injury, you're seeing effects from a few days or a couple of weeks. I assume, at that stage, there wouldn't have been a lot of integration then. So early on, they may be pump-like DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. MCMAHON: as you said, with time, it looks as if they become more stabilized. But that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For whatever reason, you get decreased inhibitory regulation, and the idea is to re-establish that. There's a variety of other interesting aspects. These cells retain their cortical phenotype. So cortical GABAergic cells have a different neurochemistry from those in the cord. And most characteristic is somatostatin is characteristic of many of the cells, and the cord, somatostatin, doesn't co-occur. In fact, it's in a different population. It's not in GABA cells. These stay many of them are still somatostatin positive.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of whether it's just passage secretion or whether it's a neuronal integration. Some of your early behavioral data, so in both the itch and in the spared nerve injury, you're seeing effects from a few days or a couple of weeks. I assume, at that stage, there wouldn't have been a lot of integration then. So early on, they may be pump-like DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. MCMAHON: as you said, with time, it looks as if they become more stabilized. But that is critical because maybe you could just use some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For whatever reason, you get decreased inhibitory regulation, and the idea is to re-establish that. There's a variety of other interesting aspects. These cells retain their cortical phenotype. So cortical GABAergic cells have a different neurochemistry from those in the cord. And most characteristic is somatostatin is characteristic of many of the cells, and the cord, somatostatin, doesn't co-occur. In fact, it's in a different population. It's not in GABA cells. These stay many of them are still somatostatin positive. So the environment is not driving them into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of whether it's just passage secretion or whether it's a neuronal integration. Some of your early behavioral data, so in both the itch and in the spared nerve injury, you're seeing effects from a few days or a couple of weeks. I assume, at that stage, there wouldn't have been a lot of integration then. So early on, they may be pump-like DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. MCMAHON: as you said, with time, it looks as if they become more stabilized. But that is critical because maybe you could just use some astrocytes and make them make GABA. And we'd have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	use are traumatic. Now, one of the key questions is, if we're right in our hypothesis that what the transplants are doing is readjusting, normalizing the level of inhibition, then I think it would be effective regardless. You don't have to lose cells. For whatever reason, you get decreased inhibitory regulation, and the idea is to re-establish that. There's a variety of other interesting aspects. These cells retain their cortical phenotype. So cortical GABAergic cells have a different neurochemistry from those in the cord. And most characteristic is somatostatin is characteristic of many of the cells, and the cord, somatostatin, doesn't co-occur. In fact, it's in a different population. It's not in GABA cells. These stay many of them are still somatostatin positive.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 while, you do miss, but Joao is getting pretty good at making those injections to dorsal horn. So if we target specifically a ventral horn, would it make a difference? I don't know. DR. MCMAHON: My main interest is what the mechanism is. And so you raised the question of whether it's just passage secretion or whether it's a neuronal integration. Some of your early behavioral data, so in both the itch and in the spared nerve injury, you're seeing effects from a few days or a couple of weeks. I assume, at that stage, there wouldn't have been a lot of integration then. So early on, they may be pump-like DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. MCMAHON: as you said, with time, it looks as if they become more stabilized. But that is critical because maybe you could just use some

Tra	unsformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies	June 24, 2014		
	Page 109		Page 111	
1	DR. BASBAUM: Absolutely, yes.	1	cells, some of which have this GABA phenotype, as	
2	DR. MCMAHON: So you haven't tried, either,		you are presented, but how do you know the effect	
3	to do that, to deliver GABA from a non-excitable	3	is from GABA? The cells may be releasing other	
4	cell or maybe I was wondering whether there was an	4	factors	
5	easy way of making neurons non-excitable so that	5	DR. BASBAUM: No. Sure. No, completely	
6	you could turn them into pump-only for a direct	6	true. Did you review our grant?	
7	comparison.	7	(Laughter.)	
8	DR. BASBAUM: If I wanted to do that	8	DR. BASBAUM: That was one of the questions.	
9	experiment. I really don't want to do that	9	The other one, you've got to do physiology. You've	
10	experiment because my philosophy is that the	10	got to do ultrastructures, so that's why we're	
11	pump-only would be equivalent to administering the	11	doing it all.	
12	drug intrathecally, which is associated with side	12	No. It's a very important question. That's	
13	effects.	13	why we did the VGAT mutant mice, where they are	
14	DR. MCMAHON: Yes.	14	identical cells, except they can't store GABA. So	
15	DR. BASBAUM: And I think the reason why the		they have no GABA. These animals will not survive	
16	therapeutic window might be better with this is	16	because they are just born and they'd seize all the	
	that it is being delivered in a circuit fashion.		time. But we're taking embryonic cells, so we can	
	Remember when I said, if we transplant from the		take from the 13.5 embryo, and they don't work,	
	VGAT mutants, the cells take beautifully, but they	19	basically. The cells integrate beautifully. They	
	don't do anything. So you need GABA for sure to	20	don't do anything.	
	come out of these cells.	21	So I think that it looks pretty good that	
22	I don't know. I could be wrong. A pump	22	GABA is at least necessary. It may not be	
	Page 110		Page 112	
1	might work just fine, but somehow it seems to me	1	sufficient. We don't know that.	
2	that the integration is key.	2	Now it's my pleasure to introduce Joe	
3	DR. MCMAHON: In the last talk, though, we		Glorioso, who is not only a terrific scientist, but	
		3		
4	heard that the location might be as important as		a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think,	
	heard that the location might be as important as integration. Just having the neurons in the	4	-	
5		4	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think,	
5	integration. Just having the neurons in the	4 5 6	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to	
5 6	integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome.	4 5 6	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and	
5 6 7 8	integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome. DR. BASBAUM: Fair enough.	4 5 6 7	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and chair of not microbiology	
5 6 7 8 9	integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome. DR. BASBAUM: Fair enough. DR. MCMAHON: It's obviously just an	4 5 6 7 8	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and chair of not microbiology DR. GLORIOSO: Molecular genetics.	
5 6 7 8 9	integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome. DR. BASBAUM: Fair enough. DR. MCMAHON: It's obviously just an interesting question to know what the contribution	4 5 7 8 9	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and chair of not microbiology DR. GLORIOSO: Molecular genetics. DR. BASBAUM: molecular genetics.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome. DR. BASBAUM: Fair enough. DR. MCMAHON: It's obviously just an interesting question to know what the contribution is from these distinct mechanisms.	4 5 7 8 9 10	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and chair of not microbiology DR. GLORIOSO: Molecular genetics. DR. BASBAUM: molecular genetics. DR. GLORIOSO: Twenty years is enough.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome. DR. BASBAUM: Fair enough. DR. MCMAHON: It's obviously just an interesting question to know what the contribution is from these distinct mechanisms. DR. BASBAUM: No. 1 completely agree with	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and chair of not microbiology DR. GLORIOSO: Molecular genetics. DR. BASBAUM: molecular genetics. DR. GLORIOSO: Twenty years is enough. DR. BASBAUM: Twenty years is enough. I'm	
5 7 8 9 10 11	integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome. DR. BASBAUM: Fair enough. DR. MCMAHON: It's obviously just an interesting question to know what the contribution is from these distinct mechanisms. DR. BASBAUM: No. I completely agree with you, but I don't have CERN money.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and chair of not microbiology DR. GLORIOSO: Molecular genetics. DR. BASBAUM: molecular genetics. DR. GLORIOSO: Twenty years is enough. DR. BASBAUM: Twenty years is enough. I'm chair of the department. I've been 15. I saw it,	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome. DR. BASBAUM: Fair enough. DR. MCMAHON: It's obviously just an interesting question to know what the contribution is from these distinct mechanisms. DR. BASBAUM: No. I completely agree with you, but I don't have CERN money. (Laughter.)	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and chair of not microbiology DR. GLORIOSO: Molecular genetics. DR. BASBAUM: molecular genetics. DR. GLORIOSO: Twenty years is enough. DR. BASBAUM: Twenty years is enough. I'm chair of the department. I've been 15. I saw it, and I got worried when I saw he was 20 years at	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome. DR. BASBAUM: Fair enough. DR. MCMAHON: It's obviously just an interesting question to know what the contribution is from these distinct mechanisms. DR. BASBAUM: No. I completely agree with you, but I don't have CERN money. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: No. We actually applied for</pre>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and chair of not microbiology DR. GLORIOSO: Molecular genetics. DR. BASBAUM: molecular genetics. DR. GLORIOSO: Twenty years is enough. DR. BASBAUM: Twenty years is enough. I'm chair of the department. I've been 15. I saw it, and I got worried when I saw he was 20 years at University of Pittsburgh.	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome. DR. BASBAUM: Fair enough. DR. MCMAHON: It's obviously just an interesting question to know what the contribution is from these distinct mechanisms. DR. BASBAUM: No. I completely agree with you, but I don't have CERN money. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: No. We actually applied for it and didn't get it because we were too late. We	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and chair of not microbiology DR. GLORIOSO: Molecular genetics. DR. BASBAUM: molecular genetics. DR. GLORIOSO: Twenty years is enough. DR. BASBAUM: Twenty years is enough. DR. BASBAUM: Twenty years is enough. I'm chair of the department. I've been 15. I saw it, and I got worried when I saw he was 20 years at University of Pittsburgh. Joe is really one of the leaders, if not	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome. DR. BASBAUM: Fair enough. DR. MCMAHON: It's obviously just an interesting question to know what the contribution is from these distinct mechanisms. DR. BASBAUM: No. I completely agree with you, but I don't have CERN money. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: No. We actually applied for it and didn't get it because we were too late. We got into this too late, and now, all they care</pre>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and chair of not microbiology DR. GLORIOSO: Molecular genetics. DR. BASBAUM: molecular genetics. DR. GLORIOSO: Twenty years is enough. DR. BASBAUM: Twenty years is enough. I'm chair of the department. I've been 15. I saw it, and I got worried when I saw he was 20 years at University of Pittsburgh. Joe is really one of the leaders, if not "the" leader, in the development of gene therapy	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome. DR. BASBAUM: Fair enough. DR. MCMAHON: It's obviously just an interesting question to know what the contribution is from these distinct mechanisms. DR. BASBAUM: No. I completely agree with you, but I don't have CERN money. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: No. We actually applied for it and didn't get it because we were too late. We got into this too late, and now, all they care about is they want a therapy. No. They were</pre>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and chair of not microbiology DR. GLORIOSO: Molecular genetics. DR. BASBAUM: molecular genetics. DR. GLORIOSO: Twenty years is enough. DR. BASBAUM: Twenty years is enough. DR. BASBAUM: Twenty years is enough. I'm chair of the department. I've been 15. I saw it, and I got worried when I saw he was 20 years at University of Pittsburgh. Joe is really one of the leaders, if not "the" leader, in the development of gene therapy approaches, particularly using viral vectors both	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome. DR. BASBAUM: Fair enough. DR. MCMAHON: It's obviously just an interesting question to know what the contribution is from these distinct mechanisms. DR. BASBAUM: No. I completely agree with you, but I don't have CERN money. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: No. We actually applied for it and didn't get it because we were too late. We got into this too late, and now, all they care about is they want a therapy. No. They were very they said this is really cool, but as long</pre>	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and chair of not microbiology DR. GLORIOSO: Molecular genetics. DR. BASBAUM: molecular genetics. DR. GLORIOSO: Twenty years is enough. DR. BASBAUM: Twenty years is enough. DR. BASBAUM: Twenty years is enough. I'm chair of the department. I've been 15. I saw it, and I got worried when I saw he was 20 years at University of Pittsburgh. Joe is really one of the leaders, if not "the" leader, in the development of gene therapy approaches, particularly using viral vectors both in animal models and definitely an interest in	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome. DR. BASBAUM: Fair enough. DR. MCMAHON: It's obviously just an interesting question to know what the contribution is from these distinct mechanisms. DR. BASBAUM: No. I completely agree with you, but I don't have CERN money. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: No. We actually applied for it and didn't get it because we were too late. We got into this too late, and now, all they care about is they want a therapy. No. They were very they said this is really cool, but as long as you're using mice, we're not interested,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and chair of not microbiology DR. GLORIOSO: Molecular genetics. DR. BASBAUM: molecular genetics. DR. GLORIOSO: Twenty years is enough. DR. BASBAUM: Twenty years is enough. I'm chair of the department. I've been 15. I saw it, and I got worried when I saw he was 20 years at University of Pittsburgh. Joe is really one of the leaders, if not "the" leader, in the development of gene therapy approaches, particularly using viral vectors both in animal models and definitely an interest in taking this to humans. And the work is terrific,	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	integration. Just having the neurons in the neutrophil delivering loci, that may overcome. DR. BASBAUM: Fair enough. DR. MCMAHON: It's obviously just an interesting question to know what the contribution is from these distinct mechanisms. DR. BASBAUM: No. I completely agree with you, but I don't have CERN money. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: No. We actually applied for it and didn't get it because we were too late. We got into this too late, and now, all they care about is they want a therapy. No. They were very they said this is really cool, but as long as you're using mice, we're not interested, seriously.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a colleague and friend. Joe trained, I think, originally in Louisiana State, and then went to Michigan, and is now well, he was professor and chair of not microbiology DR. GLORIOSO: Molecular genetics. DR. BASBAUM: molecular genetics. DR. GLORIOSO: Twenty years is enough. DR. BASBAUM: Twenty years is enough. I'm chair of the department. I've been 15. I saw it, and I got worried when I saw he was 20 years at University of Pittsburgh. Joe is really one of the leaders, if not "the" leader, in the development of gene therapy approaches, particularly using viral vectors both in animal models and definitely an interest in taking this to humans. And the work is terrific, and I look forward to hearing his story. Welcome.	

115	insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies	1	June 24, 2014		
	Page 113		Page 115		
1	introduction.	1	target, we think, for this kind of therapy. The		
2	Well, I have to say, it's been a great	2	post-herpetic neuralgia is caused by varicella		
3	meeting. I really have learned some interesting	3	zoster virus. It's the virus that causes		
4	things. So I'm pleased to have the opportunity to	4	chickenpox, usually occurs at an early age.		
5	tell you about some of the new work that we're	5	It establishes latency in sensory nerves		
6	doing.	6	just like HSV-1 and HSV-2, usually as people age.		
7	I have two disclosures to make. One of	7	There's a recurrence of about 20 percent where the		
8	those is I'm a founding partner of Switch Bio,	8	virus reactivates and causes herpes zoster,		
9	which is a company we just began, and also	9	referred to as shingles. And this is an important		
10	NuvoVec srl, which is an Italian company.	10	problem because it causes pain.		
11	So this is the important biology of herpes	11	Ninety percent of these patients are given		
12	simplex, and this is why we got into this, to treat	12	pain meds. About 30 percent have a long-lasting or		
13	pain. The virus naturally is neurotropic, meaning	13	chronic pain lasting beyond 90 days, and this is		
14	that it only establishes latency in sensory	14	referred to as PHN. There is an estimated about		
15	neurons. It can also establish latency in the	15	200,000 new cases in the U.S. every year, and the		
16	brain, but it can't reactivate it. It usually	16	principal complaint is allodynia.		
17	doesn't reactivate.	17	So one of the tricky things about doing pain		
18	The virus is transmitted by direct contact	18	modeling research is to find a good model. This is		
19	with the lesion, and it goes through the	19	the one that's available. It was a model		
20	replication cycle. New particles are made, which,	20	established by Fleetwood Walker in 1999. What he		
21	as you can see, they are transported retrogradely	21	did was injected VZV-infected cells. This is the		
22	down axons. And they can go very long distance,	22	vaccine strain P-Oka. The cell lines is MeWo.		
	Page 114		Page 116		
1	and it's a very efficient process. The virus	1	They injected it in the footpad of rats.		
	establishes latency in the nerve cell body.	2	The reason we used infected cells as opposed		
3	The lytic genes are inactivated and, rather,	3	to virus is because these viruses are very hard to		
4	you have an activation of this latency-associated		purify from infected cells, and everybody in the		
5	transcript, which is a large messenger RNA that's	5	field that works with VZV uses infected cells. And		
6	non-coding. There is an interesting intron that's	6	we looked for mechanical allodynia and pain		
7	spliced from this, that's highly stable. It's	7	responses due to heat.		
8	associated with the nucleolus. You can rarely	8	So this is the general approach, that we		
9	detect it by in situ hybridization.	9	injected infected cells about 2 times 10 to the 5th		
10	This is the hallmark of latency. The	10	into the footpad and into the right-hind paw, and		
			then we measured nois responses over a pariod of		
11	natural virus can reactivate usually as a result of	11	then we measured pain responses over a period of		
	natural virus can reactivate usually as a result of nerve cell damage. In rare cases, it can go back		about nine weeks.		
12	-				
12 13	nerve cell damage. In rare cases, it can go back	12 13	about nine weeks.		
12 13 14	nerve cell damage. In rare cases, it can go back and cause encephalitis. For doing gene transfer to	12 13	about nine weeks. So these animals both develop mechanical allodynias. You can see here as well as in a paw		
12 13 14	nerve cell damage. In rare cases, it can go back and cause encephalitis. For doing gene transfer to sensory nerves, we want to use a virus that's	12 13 14 15	about nine weeks. So these animals both develop mechanical allodynias. You can see here as well as in a paw		
12 13 14 15 16	nerve cell damage. In rare cases, it can go back and cause encephalitis. For doing gene transfer to sensory nerves, we want to use a virus that's highly defective.	12 13 14 15	about nine weeks. So these animals both develop mechanical allodynias. You can see here as well as in a paw withdrawal latency model, latency response. They		
12 13 14 15 16	nerve cell damage. In rare cases, it can go back and cause encephalitis. For doing gene transfer to sensory nerves, we want to use a virus that's highly defective. It can establish a latent light condition	12 13 14 15 16	about nine weeks. So these animals both develop mechanical allodynias. You can see here as well as in a paw withdrawal latency model, latency response. They also developed thermal hyperalgesia.		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	nerve cell damage. In rare cases, it can go back and cause encephalitis. For doing gene transfer to sensory nerves, we want to use a virus that's highly defective. It can establish a latent light condition and serve as a platform for expression of trans	12 13 14 15 16 17	about nine weeks. So these animals both develop mechanical allodynias. You can see here as well as in a paw withdrawal latency model, latency response. They also developed thermal hyperalgesia. So in addition, we can see that the virus		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	nerve cell damage. In rare cases, it can go back and cause encephalitis. For doing gene transfer to sensory nerves, we want to use a virus that's highly defective. It can establish a latent light condition and serve as a platform for expression of trans genes. The virus cannot reactivate or cause lytic	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about nine weeks. So these animals both develop mechanical allodynias. You can see here as well as in a paw withdrawal latency model, latency response. They also developed thermal hyperalgesia. So in addition, we can see that the virus enters by leaving these infected cells, going up by		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	nerve cell damage. In rare cases, it can go back and cause encephalitis. For doing gene transfer to sensory nerves, we want to use a virus that's highly defective. It can establish a latent light condition and serve as a platform for expression of trans genes. The virus cannot reactivate or cause lytic infection. Again, you can detect gene products in	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about nine weeks. So these animals both develop mechanical allodynias. You can see here as well as in a paw withdrawal latency model, latency response. They also developed thermal hyperalgesia. So in addition, we can see that the virus enters by leaving these infected cells, going up by axonal transport to the nerve cell bodies. You can		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	nerve cell damage. In rare cases, it can go back and cause encephalitis. For doing gene transfer to sensory nerves, we want to use a virus that's highly defective. It can establish a latent light condition and serve as a platform for expression of trans genes. The virus cannot reactivate or cause lytic infection. Again, you can detect gene products in the nerve cell body.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about nine weeks. So these animals both develop mechanical allodynias. You can see here as well as in a paw withdrawal latency model, latency response. They also developed thermal hyperalgesia. So in addition, we can see that the virus enters by leaving these infected cells, going up by axonal transport to the nerve cell bodies. You can detect viral antigens. And for example, this is an		

Tra	nsformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014		
	Page 117		Page 119		
1	uninfected cells.	1	cells that were going to cause pain in these		
2	So one of the first set of experiments we		animals.		
	did was to use preproenkephalin as an analgesic.	3	You can indeed block pain responses. So for		
	We have a lot of experience with that in the first	4	example, in animals that got enkephalin-producing		
	of these experiments back in the mid '90s.		virus plus the pOka-infected cells, we didn't see		
	Preproenkephalin, as you know, is processed into		any evidence of the development of allodynia.		
	these opiate peptides, and they combine to both	7	So while this looks pretty good, there are		
8	delta and mu receptors.	8	some limitations, we feel, in this approach. And		
9	So this is the general approach, that we	9	that is, enkephalin therapy is transient. And if		
10	inject virus into the skin. And the virus can	10	you want to keep it on longer, you have to do		
11	enter these nerve terminals, both the C fiber and	11	repeat dosing, which I think over months is not		
12	A delta fibers, be transported back to the nerve	12	going to be feasible in patients.		
13	cell body. And here, the virus can produce in the	13	You can achieve long-term expression of		
14	dorsal horn and the spinal cord enkephalin, as	14	enkephalin by using the latency promoter system,		
15	shown here. Enkephalin then can bind back to its	15	but this might cause tolerance or some other		
16	receptors both in the primary afferent as well as	16	unwanted side effects. And how do you turn off		
17	the second-order neurons.	17	this therapy if you no longer need it? So our view		
18	Enkephalin is also made by descending	18	was that we needed a solution, which involved some		
19	neurons as well as GABA, and this also can be	19	kind of regulated gene therapy, and that's what I'm		
20	analgesic. However, you can't control when these	20	going to talk about.		
21	products are going to be made in natural	21	So a few years ago, we had the idea that if		
22	occurrences. So the idea is to produce this	22	you used a chloride channel in this case, it's		
	Dece 440		Dava 400		
	Page 118		Page 120		
1	product locally and treat pain at its source.	1	the glycine receptor, which is not found in sensory		
2	So this is the general approach, to treat	2	nerves, it's only found in the central nervous		
3	PHN with enkephalin vectors. And what happens here	3	system we might be able to induce silencing in		
4	is we inject the infected cells. Several weeks	4	these cells by delivery of glycine. And it turns		
	later, the animals begin to develop pain responses.	5	out you can only use the alpha subunit because this		
6	And we inject the vector about two weeks after the	6	has turned out to be pretty fortuitous because this		
7	primary infection. And the virus either expresses	7			
8	enkephalin or just a reporter gene.	8	than hyper-polarization, we think probably it's		
9	So this is an example of the kind of data	9			
	you get with mechanical allodynia, that the animals	10			
	initially, if they are injected just with cells	11	of an action potential.		
	without virus infection or plus the control virus,	12	We published some experiments fairly		
	you don't get any allodynic response. However, if		recently in which we used the glycine receptor, the		
	you inject animals with the pOka-infected cells		alpha subunit, and injected glycine into these		
	with PBS or control virus, you get this very strong		animals, and we could in fact silence these neurons		
16	allodynic response, which you can reverse by	16	and block pain in a lot of different kinds of		

- 17 infection and expression of enkephalin.
- 18 Now, we also ask the question whether you
- 19 can preempt this response, thinking that maybe even
- 20 in a prodromal situation, you could treat patients. 21 And so we injected the vector, making enkephalin
- 22 our control. And a week later, we injected the
 - A Matter of Record (301) 890-4188

18

17 models.

We tried this also in a bladder model, which

20 systemically. However, the treatment window was

21 very narrow. In other words, as you increase the 22 amount of glycine being given -- we inject their

19 worked very well, and we gave the glycine

Tra	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 121		Page 123
1	IP, for example you begin to see effects in the	1	reversing mechanical allodynia by injecting the
2	central nervous system, so we needed some solution	2	ivermectin.
3	to that problem.	3	We did a dosing experiment, so when we got
4	So about that same time, Joe Lynch's lab in	4	down, even as far down as 10 nanomolar, we were
5	Australia published this nice study where he made	5	able to show an effect. And it turns out that if
6	mutations in the glycine receptor that made it	6	you use the glycine receptor, you can see effects
7	resistant to glycine activation, but rather was	7	out to 25 millimolars. So this is a very sensitive
8	strongly activated by the drug ivermectin, which is	8	way to activate this receptor.
9	an antihelminthic drug that's been approved for	9	The experiment was done by injecting the
10	patient use as well as in animals for a number of	10	drug into the footpad, where we injected the virus.
11	years.	11	And we're going to test very soon systemic delivery
12	So we tested this system, and what we did is	12	and see how well that works. We've got similar
13	we injected either the normal receptor that's	13	results with the thermal responses.
14	responsive to glycine or the mutant receptor that	14	So we want to further develop this regulated
15	was responsive to ivermectin, and we tested this in	15	nerve silencing because the use of this mutant
16	a standard pain model.	16	glycine receptor is attractive. However, what
17	What you see here is in a paw withdrawal	17	about off-target effects? And since we're
18	latency test, this is what the average responses	18	silencing every neuron where this product is being
19	are like, that if you inject the virus that's	19	expressed, if it's expressed in neurons where we
20	making this a normal receptor, it's highly	20	don't want silencing, such as neurons involving
21	responsive to glycine and you change for paw	21	touch or proprioception, then we want to avoid
22	withdrawal latency. But it's not responsive to	22	those neurons. And so we started working with
	Page 122		Page 124
1	ivermectin, and we did these experiments in two and	1	targeting viruses to the peripheral nervous system.
2	three weeks.	2	Now, there are a couple of ways that we are
3	The opposite was true if you inject a vector	3	using this targeting strategy, and these are the
4	that's making the mutant receptor, that it's highly	4	two classes or subpopulations of neurons that we're
5	responsive to ivermectin and not responsive to	5	after. Unmyelinated C fibers are most commonly
6	glycine. We saw this. We got this data about 10	6	thought of as being involved in chronic pain
7	months ago, and we were very excited because this,	7	responses. And these can either be peptidergic or
8	for the first time, would allow us to target a	8	non-peptidergic.
9	specific receptor, and we could give this drug	9	So we used promoters that would give us
10	systemically.	10	transcriptional silencing, either for example N of
11	So we tested this in a rat PHN model. And	11	200, or the NPY promoter, or TRPV1, CGRP, and so
12	what we did, again, was, we injected cells. And	12	on, and see if we can get expression of the

13 after two weeks, we injected the vector either

14 making the normal glycine receptor or the mutant 15 glycine receptor. And I'm just going to show you

16 the data with the mutant.

17 So in this experiment, this is mechanical 18 allodynia here and thermal hyperalgesia here. And 19 what we did is we injected either a control vector 20 that made just GFP, or a vector that made the 21 wild-type glycine receptor, or the mutant glycine

22 receptor. And here we got a strong response,

13 receptor in the appropriate cell population.

14 Now, we have also done a lot of work with

15 targeting the virus, which I won't have time to

16 discuss. It's a pretty involved story. But if you

17 knock out the virus's ability to recognize its

cognate receptors by mutagenesis of a protein 18

19 called glycoprotein D and fuse it with a pre-pro

20 NGF or GDNF, you can target it to these two

21 different receptors efficiently. So we haven't

22 tested the combination of transcriptional and

'age 127)
ļ
-
9
hat
1
ſ
age 128
e
e
/1,
/1,
/1,
h er

	nsformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies Page 129		June 24, 20 Page 13
	1 490 120		
	absolutely no virus being made. However, if that	1	The same is true with our paw withdrawal
	cell expresses one of the genes that we found,		latency model, that the GFP didn't have any effect,
3	common phosphatase, PP1-alpha, compared with	3	whereas the vector that's making PP1-alpha had a
4	poreless, we've got very good rescue of virus	4	dramatic effect on heat sensitivity.
5	growth.	5	I'm not going to show you all the data, but
6	So by looking at about 500,000 plaques,	6	we've tested these in cold models. We have tested
7	we've picked up about 50 genes which could	7	these in the formal and footpad test, where
8	antagonize TRPV1, and this was the most potent one	8	different receptors are known to be involved, and
9	we've found.	9	this product has no effect.
.0	We could also show that, in rat fetal	10	So to summarize, then, we think that gene
.1	neurons on infection, using Fura-2 assays for	11	therapy for PHN is feasible, and it should be
.2	calcium uptake, that both PP1-alpha and poreless,	12	effective, but we really need human trials to
.3	compared to the control vector, would block the	13	validate efficacy. Regulated gene silencing is, I
.4	uptake of calcium.	14	think, a good strategy because it ignores
.5	We tested this vector in a number of model	15	essentially what the cause of pain is, but rather
6	systems. This is showing you one with a heat ramp,	16	just silences those neurons, which are involved in
.7	where we changed the temperature from 30 degrees up	17	pain.
.8	to about 44 degrees over a period of 15 minutes and	18	I have to say that, at least if you inject
9	looked at the response, the heat-related responses,	19	ivermectin locally, the animals begin to lose the
0	pain-related responses in these animals, which for	20	pain response within seconds, and it lasts for
21	example they would curl up their foot and lift it	21	about three or four hours. So this particular drug
22	or bite and lick at it. When you get to about 43	22	has a good half-life in humans. It's about
	Page 130		Page 13
1	Page 130 degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor	1	Page 13 16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It
	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor		-
		2	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It
2 3	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on.	2 3	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it
2 3 4	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this	2 3	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve
2 3 4 5	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can	2 3 4 5	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain.
2 3 4 5 6	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little	2 3 4 5 6	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations
2 3 4 5 6 7	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little effect on the development of this pain response.	2 3 4 5 6 7	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations is going to be important, and it may be even possible that we can use antagonists, like the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little effect on the development of this pain response. However, PP1-alpha, as well as poreless, gave you a dramatic difference. And we were shocked to see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations is going to be important, and it may be even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little effect on the development of this pain response. However, PP1-alpha, as well as poreless, gave you a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations is going to be important, and it may be even possible that we can use antagonists, like the PP1-alpha story that I just told you about, as an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little effect on the development of this pain response. However, PP1-alpha, as well as poreless, gave you a dramatic difference. And we were shocked to see how impervious these animals were to pain up to 45	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations is going to be important, and it may be even possible that we can use antagonists, like the PP1-alpha story that I just told you about, as an additional therapeutic strategy. I didn't say anything about the mechanism,
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 .0	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little effect on the development of this pain response. However, PP1-alpha, as well as poreless, gave you a dramatic difference. And we were shocked to see how impervious these animals were to pain up to 45 degrees.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations is going to be important, and it may be even possible that we can use antagonists, like the PP1-alpha story that I just told you about, as an additional therapeutic strategy. I didn't say anything about the mechanism,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little effect on the development of this pain response. However, PP1-alpha, as well as poreless, gave you a dramatic difference. And we were shocked to see how impervious these animals were to pain up to 45 degrees. So we have also used this in the PHN model,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations is going to be important, and it may be even possible that we can use antagonists, like the PP1-alpha story that I just told you about, as an additional therapeutic strategy. I didn't say anything about the mechanism, but PP1-alpha has a lot of effects in cells, as you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little effect on the development of this pain response. However, PP1-alpha, as well as poreless, gave you a dramatic difference. And we were shocked to see how impervious these animals were to pain up to 45 degrees. So we have also used this in the PHN model, and I'll show you the data from a couple of these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations is going to be important, and it may be even possible that we can use antagonists, like the PP1-alpha story that I just told you about, as an additional therapeutic strategy. I didn't say anything about the mechanism, but PP1-alpha has a lot of effects in cells, as you know, but it does dephosphorylate TRPV1. And it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little effect on the development of this pain response. However, PP1-alpha, as well as poreless, gave you a dramatic difference. And we were shocked to see how impervious these animals were to pain up to 45 degrees. So we have also used this in the PHN model, and I'll show you the data from a couple of these experiments. What we did is we injected the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations is going to be important, and it may be even possible that we can use antagonists, like the PP1-alpha story that I just told you about, as an additional therapeutic strategy. I didn't say anything about the mechanism, but PP1-alpha has a lot of effects in cells, as you know, but it does dephosphorylate TRPV1. And it dephosphorylates R2, and probably has an effect on
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 12 13 14	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little effect on the development of this pain response. However, PP1-alpha, as well as poreless, gave you a dramatic difference. And we were shocked to see how impervious these animals were to pain up to 45 degrees. So we have also used this in the PHN model, and I'll show you the data from a couple of these experiments. What we did is we injected the VZV-infected cells two weeks later. We infected	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations is going to be important, and it may be even possible that we can use antagonists, like the PP1-alpha story that I just told you about, as an additional therapeutic strategy. I didn't say anything about the mechanism, but PP1-alpha has a lot of effects in cells, as you know, but it does dephosphorylate TRPV1. And it dephosphorylates R2, and probably has an effect on HDACs as an HDAC inhibitor.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 12 13 14 15	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little effect on the development of this pain response. However, PP1-alpha, as well as poreless, gave you a dramatic difference. And we were shocked to see how impervious these animals were to pain up to 45 degrees. So we have also used this in the PHN model, and I'll show you the data from a couple of these experiments. What we did is we injected the VZV-infected cells two weeks later. We infected these animals with a PP1-alpha or a control vector.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations is going to be important, and it may be even possible that we can use antagonists, like the PP1-alpha story that I just told you about, as an additional therapeutic strategy. I didn't say anything about the mechanism, but PP1-alpha has a lot of effects in cells, as you know, but it does dephosphorylate TRPV1. And it dephosphorylates R2, and probably has an effect on HDACs as an HDAC inhibitor. So there are a number of people involved in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 4 .5 .6 .7	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little effect on the development of this pain response. However, PP1-alpha, as well as poreless, gave you a dramatic difference. And we were shocked to see how impervious these animals were to pain up to 45 degrees. So we have also used this in the PHN model, and I'll show you the data from a couple of these experiments. What we did is we injected the VZV-infected cells two weeks later. We infected these animals with a PP1-alpha or a control vector. And we measured pain responses over a period of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations is going to be important, and it may be even possible that we can use antagonists, like the PP1-alpha story that I just told you about, as an additional therapeutic strategy. I didn't say anything about the mechanism, but PP1-alpha has a lot of effects in cells, as you know, but it does dephosphorylate TRPV1. And it dephosphorylates R2, and probably has an effect on HDACs as an HDAC inhibitor. So there are a number of people involved in this work. A couple of people I want to mention in particular, Bill Goins and Kip Kinchington, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 2 .3 4 .5 6 .7 8 9 .0 .1 2 .3 4 .5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 2 .5 6 .7 8 9 .0 .1 2 .5 6 .5 7 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little effect on the development of this pain response. However, PP1-alpha, as well as poreless, gave you a dramatic difference. And we were shocked to see how impervious these animals were to pain up to 45 degrees. So we have also used this in the PHN model, and I'll show you the data from a couple of these experiments. What we did is we injected the VZV-infected cells two weeks later. We infected these animals with a PP1-alpha or a control vector. And we measured pain responses over a period of weeks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations is going to be important, and it may be even possible that we can use antagonists, like the PP1-alpha story that I just told you about, as an additional therapeutic strategy. I didn't say anything about the mechanism, but PP1-alpha has a lot of effects in cells, as you know, but it does dephosphorylate TRPV1. And it dephosphorylates R2, and probably has an effect on HDACs as an HDAC inhibitor. So there are a number of people involved in this work. A couple of people I want to mention in particular, Bill Goins and Kip Kinchington, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 13 14 5 16 7 8 9 10 12 12 14 5 10 12 14 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little effect on the development of this pain response. However, PP1-alpha, as well as poreless, gave you a dramatic difference. And we were shocked to see how impervious these animals were to pain up to 45 degrees. So we have also used this in the PHN model, and I'll show you the data from a couple of these experiments. What we did is we injected the VZV-infected cells two weeks later. We infected these animals with a PP1-alpha or a control vector. And we measured pain responses over a period of weeks. What we saw was that in the case of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations is going to be important, and it may be even possible that we can use antagonists, like the PP1-alpha story that I just told you about, as an additional therapeutic strategy. I didn't say anything about the mechanism, but PP1-alpha has a lot of effects in cells, as you know, but it does dephosphorylate TRPV1. And it dephosphorylates R2, and probably has an effect on HDACs as an HDAC inhibitor. So there are a number of people involved in this work. A couple of people I want to mention in particular, Bill Goins and Kip Kinchington, and Jean-Marc Guedon are the people that developed the
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20	degrees, then you start to see this TRPV1 receptor being turned on. However, you can really exaggerate this response by treating with capsaicin. And you can see, with the control virus, you've got very little effect on the development of this pain response. However, PP1-alpha, as well as poreless, gave you a dramatic difference. And we were shocked to see how impervious these animals were to pain up to 45 degrees. So we have also used this in the PHN model, and I'll show you the data from a couple of these experiments. What we did is we injected the VZV-infected cells two weeks later. We infected these animals with a PP1-alpha or a control vector. And we measured pain responses over a period of weeks. What we saw was that in the case of the GFP-expressing vector, these animals rapidly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	16 hours. And so it's a very benign drug. It doesn't go into the central nervous system. So it may be a good first step at trying to use the nerve silencing technology for blocking pain. But we think that targeting subpopulations is going to be important, and it may be even possible that we can use antagonists, like the PP1-alpha story that I just told you about, as an additional therapeutic strategy. I didn't say anything about the mechanism, but PP1-alpha has a lot of effects in cells, as you know, but it does dephosphorylate TRPV1. And it dephosphorylates R2, and probably has an effect on HDACs as an HDAC inhibitor. So there are a number of people involved in this work. A couple of people I want to mention in particular, Bill Goins and Kip Kinchington, and Jean-Marc Guedon are the people that developed the PHN model and did all the PHN work that I'm showing

11	ransformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		Julie 24, 2014
	Page 133		Page 135
	1 targeting by modifying the virus infectivity. And	1	virologists working with you on this model, and Kip
	2 Yoshitaka Miyagawa is our critical person in	2	Kinchington is obviously well known in that field.
	3 engineering the viruses. We have a whole new	3	But I think to call it a model of
	4 stable of engineered viruses that I didn't have	4	post-herpetic neuralgia is pushing it too far, and
	5 time to show you. Thank you.	5	we've probably made the same mistake, too. It's a
	6 (Applause.)	6	model of acute zoster infection and the pain that
	DR. PORRECA: Some questions for Joe?	7	occurs with that. And while it may share features
:	B DR. BASBAUM: Joe, thank you. The thing I	8	of post-herpetic neuralgia, I think it's important
	9 must say is, I was very surprised. I didn't know	9	to bear that in mind because, when we start to try
1	that promoters for these genes had actually been	10	and think about translating it into clinical
1	1 identified. Where did you get them?	11	trials, that may actually be important.
1	2 DR. GLORIOSO: Yes. I don't have that on	12	DR. GLORIOSO: Yes. This is an acute model,
1	3 the tip of my tongue, but I can tell you what they	13	and we don't have a virus reactivation model to
	are. There's been some publications for these	14	look at that. That's a good point.
1	5 different promoters, and we're using a fairly large	15	DR. BASBAUM: Do you have evidence that the
1	6 piece of DNA for expression, so we have added	16	released enkephalin is actually acting on the
1	7 additional sequences.	17	primary afferent or is that just a hypothesis?
1	8 One of the advantages of HSV is, this new	18	DR. GLORIOSO: It's a hypothesis because
1	g class of vectors, which I really haven't told you	19	enkephalin is actually released into the skin as
2	o anything about, we can put up to 40 kilobases of	20	well. And it's released into the spinal cord. So
2	1 foreign sequences into. And so we can put very	21	I don't think it goes very far. I mean, some
2	2 complicated or large genes or complex promoter	22	people we were thinking earlier, well, it may be
	Page 134		Page 136
	1 constructs, and I think that's going to be an	1	a bystander effect, but I doubt that's really true.
	2 advantage here.	2	So I'm thinking that it's released locally and
	3 DR. BASBAUM: If you inject the ivermectin	3	presumably can bind to its normal receptors.
	4 in the opposite pod, does it do anything?	4	DR. BASBAUM: It looks encouraging.
	5 DR. GLORIOSO: No.	5	We should take a break. Then Toto will give
	6 DR. BASBAUM: So it's not a systemic effect.	6	us a talk, and then we'll have time for lots of
	7 DR. GLORIOSO: It's not a systemic effect,	7	questions. Thanks very much, Joe.
	8 but we're hoping that we haven't tried it	8	(Applause.)
:	9 systemically yet. So we're hoping that we can use	9	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
1	o it systemically. And ivermectin is used as a cream	10	DR. BASBAUM: If everyone can please grab a
1	1 and it's used in a lot of different ways, so we	11	seat, so we can get started. So it's been a
1	2 will try to do that.	12	morning of somewhat esoteric approaches. And the
1	3 DR. BASBAUM: I apologize. I forgot I was	13	next talk is not quite esoteric, but definitely
	4 chairing this session. That's why Brian gave me		unique, and we're in for a treat. I'd like to
1	5 the microphone.	15	introduce Toto Olivera. Now, Toto grew up in the
1		16	
1		17	kid to cone snails. And we're going to hear a lot
1	8 model and it may be a little well, it is	18	about cone snails.
1		19	I think he did his undergraduate work in the
2	o how we translate this to the clinic.		Philippines and then went to Cal Tech, where he got
2			his PhD. And after work at Stanford, he went to
2	2 that it's absolutely vital to have expert	22	Salt Lake, where he's been for a long time as a
1		1	

June 24, 2014	June	24,	201	4
---------------	------	-----	-----	---

	Transformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
ſ	Page 137		Page 139
	1 professor not forever a professor of biology	1	in this voltage-gated sodium channel.
	2 and has demonstrated the incredible utility of the	2	So the sting of this snail is deadly because
	3 products of these cone snails. And we will hear	3	it's equivalent to being bitten by a cobra and
	4 about this in a variety of conditions, but		eating a lethal dose of pufferfish at the same
	5 particularly in potentially the management of pain.		time. And that accounts for the 70 percent
	6 So it's really a pleasure. Toto, welcome.		fatality rate.
	7 Presentation – Baldomero (Toto) Olivera	7	Now, the unusual thing about the venom
	8 DR. OLIVERA: So I'd like to thank Allan and	8	components is that they're all small peptides, most
	9 Frank for inviting me here. And I'd like to start		of them, highly cross-linked by disulfide bonds.
	10 out by just reviewing both the biology of cone		And they have post-translationally modified amino
	11 snails and some of the older work that we did. But		acids very often.
	12 let me start out by saying that I have no	12	So since we answered the question we first
	13 disclosures, and I wasn't able to e-mail my	13	wanted to a long time ago, why am I still working
	14 presentation because there are lots of movies. So		on cone snails, the reason is really, an
	15 we ended up with no title slide and no disclosure		undergraduate at the University of Utah made a key
	16 slide.		discovery. So if you take the venom of that conus
	But let me tell you about the cone snails.		geographus and separate the venom components
	18 There are about 700 species of cone snails and all		according to size, you can separate out peptides
	19 of them are venomous. And they are useful in that	19	that are about 10 amino acids from those that are
	20 each species is quite specialized. So this species	20	about 20 amino acids. And if you take this
	21 will only eat fish. This species will only eat		fraction and separate the peptides in that
	22 certain types of marine worms. And this species	22	fraction, you can see there are lots of peptides.
	Page 138		Page 140
	1 will only eat certain types of snails.	1	Only a few of them were paralytic using the
	2 As you will see, our cone snails are pretty	2	assay that we had developed, which was IP injection
	3 good neuropharmacologists. And so what I'd like to	3	into mice. Then this kid came along his name
	4 do is not just tell you about the individual toxins	4	was Craig Clark and as is typical of 18-year-old
	5 that have applications to pain, but also what we've	5	kids, said, "Well, you're doing your assay all
	6 learned about the philosophy of how this	6	wrong. You shouldn't be injecting IP. You should
	7 neuropharmacological system has evolved because we	7	be injecting ICV or intracranially." And I wasn't
	8 believe that there are some applications of the	8	persuaded, but I think the nice thing about being
	9 general principles that the cone snails have	9	at the university is that the students do what they
	10 evolved in practicing neuropharmacology.	10	want, not what we tell them.
	11 So some of these snails are lethal to	11	(Laughter.)
	12 people. This one causes a fatality rate of	12	DR. OLIVERA: So luckily for us, Craig did
:	13 70 percent in the absence of medical intervention.		his experiment anyway. And so what I am going to
	14 And so we started long ago to ask the question, why		show you is what he found by injecting this
	15 is this snail able to kill people? And the answer	15	particular fraction of the venom into the brain of
	16 is that there are two components in the venom that	16	a mouse.
	17 are paralytic. One of them blocks the nicotinic	17	What he found was the paralytic toxins of
	18 acetylcholine receptor, the post-synaptic terminus		course we knew about, but this peptide makes mice
	19 of the neuromuscular junction, just like cobra		jump and twist as they are jumping. This peptide
	20 toxin or alpha bungarotoxin, while the other		made mice uncoordinated. This major peak put mice
:	21 essentially wipes out action potentials in muscle	21	to sleep. They'd be asleep for 12 to 24 hours, and
1			
:	22 by blocking the same site that tetrodotoxin blocks	22	then they'd wake up and be perfectly fine. But

	instormative Strategies-Development of Fam Therapies	Julie 24, 201-
	Page 141	Page 143
1	that was only true if the mice were under three	1 in 2004. And what I'd like to do is
2	weeks of age. If they were over three weeks of	2 retrospectively tell you what we've learned about
3	age, instead of going to sleep, they'd climb their	3 the development of Prialt.
4	cages constantly.	4 So why did the snail evolve this peptide in
5	This made them drag their back legs. This	5 the first place? Well, it's a fish-hunting cone
6	peptide made them run around in circles. This made	6 snail. And in fact, of course, what's targeted is
7	them swing their heads back and forth. This made	7 the neuromuscular circuitry. And in order for a
8	them kick on their back and scratch. This made	8 fish to be able to swim, then of course an action
9	them depressed. This one caused convulsions. This	9 potential has to cross a neuromuscular junction.
10	one caused scratching, and there are a few that	10 If we zero in into a signal vutron [ph],
11	didn't do anything.	11 then we can see that the key event is when the
12	But as you can see, the remarkable result	12 electrical signal reaches the end of the
13	was that there was a behavioral phenotype that was	13 neuromuscular junction, voltage-gated calcium
14	induced when each component was injected directly	14 channels needed to open. And when they open, of
15	into the central nervous system.	15 course, that causes neurotransmitter release. And
16	So this experiment of Craig told us that the	16 that's necessary to get the signal across the
17	venom of these snails was not a mixture of a few	17 synapse.
	paralytic toxins, but was rather this very complex	18 So of course, once acetylcholine is
19		19 released, then it binds to the acetylcholine
20	So all of these are just the peptides.	20 receptor, and that opens this ion channel that then
	There are about 20 amino acids. And then there are	21 causes a depolarization on the post-synaptic side
22	three other major fractions. And so you can see	22 and causes the action potential to be initiated on
	Page 142	Page 144
1	that the diversity in the venom is probably about	1 the muscle membrane. So that's of course the
2	80 or 100 peptides that all seem to be biologically	2 normal physiology.
3	active.	3 The reason that the snail evolved this
4	So at the time, we had a whole troop of	4 peptide is it's part of its paralytic strategy.
5	undergraduates coming in. They could choose any	5 And so what the peptide will target are these
6	snail they wanted, and using Craig's assay, they	6 voltage-gated calcium channels. It's a channel
7	could follow the activity of any peptide that they	7 blocker and very efficiently therefore knocks out
8	wanted. And their job was to purify the peptide	7 blocker and very enclerity increases out
-	wanted. And their job was to putily the peptide	8 functionally these voltage-gated calcium channels.
	that caused the behavioral phenotype until we knew	
9		8 functionally these voltage-gated calcium channels.
9 10	that caused the behavioral phenotype until we knew	8 functionally these voltage-gated calcium channels.9 And as a result, no neurotransmitter is released,
9 10 11	that caused the behavioral phenotype until we knew the sequence of the peptide. Then we could	 8 functionally these voltage-gated calcium channels. 9 And as a result, no neurotransmitter is released, 10 and, therefore, the neuromuscular system is
9 10 11 12 13	that caused the behavioral phenotype until we knew the sequence of the peptide. Then we could synthesize it, and that way, we could continue to follow it up. So this guy, again, an 18-year-old kid at	 8 functionally these voltage-gated calcium channels. 9 And as a result, no neurotransmitter is released, 10 and, therefore, the neuromuscular system is 11 blocked, and the fish becomes paralyzed. 12 So if you inject this peptide into a fish, 13 what you get is a paralyzed fish. So how do you
9 10 11 12 13 14	that caused the behavioral phenotype until we knew the sequence of the peptide. Then we could synthesize it, and that way, we could continue to follow it up. So this guy, again, an 18-year-old kid at the time, he chose conus magus, and he decided to	 8 functionally these voltage-gated calcium channels. 9 And as a result, no neurotransmitter is released, 10 and, therefore, the neuromuscular system is 11 blocked, and the fish becomes paralyzed. 12 So if you inject this peptide into a fish, 13 what you get is a paralyzed fish. So how do you 14 make a drug out of that?
9 10 11 12 13 14	that caused the behavioral phenotype until we knew the sequence of the peptide. Then we could synthesize it, and that way, we could continue to follow it up. So this guy, again, an 18-year-old kid at the time, he chose conus magus, and he decided to purify an activity that he called the shaker	 8 functionally these voltage-gated calcium channels. 9 And as a result, no neurotransmitter is released, 10 and, therefore, the neuromuscular system is 11 blocked, and the fish becomes paralyzed. 12 So if you inject this peptide into a fish, 13 what you get is a paralyzed fish. So how do you 14 make a drug out of that? 15 Well, it turns out that if in fact you look
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that caused the behavioral phenotype until we knew the sequence of the peptide. Then we could synthesize it, and that way, we could continue to follow it up. So this guy, again, an 18-year-old kid at the time, he chose conus magus, and he decided to purify an activity that he called the shaker peptide because it induced tremors when injected	 8 functionally these voltage-gated calcium channels. 9 And as a result, no neurotransmitter is released, 10 and, therefore, the neuromuscular system is 11 blocked, and the fish becomes paralyzed. 12 So if you inject this peptide into a fish, 13 what you get is a paralyzed fish. So how do you 14 make a drug out of that? 15 Well, it turns out that if in fact you look 16 at what happens in the mammals instead of in fish,
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that caused the behavioral phenotype until we knew the sequence of the peptide. Then we could synthesize it, and that way, we could continue to follow it up. So this guy, again, an 18-year-old kid at the time, he chose conus magus, and he decided to purify an activity that he called the shaker peptide because it induced tremors when injected intracranially into mice.	 8 functionally these voltage-gated calcium channels. 9 And as a result, no neurotransmitter is released, 10 and, therefore, the neuromuscular system is 11 blocked, and the fish becomes paralyzed. 12 So if you inject this peptide into a fish, 13 what you get is a paralyzed fish. So how do you 14 make a drug out of that? 15 Well, it turns out that if in fact you look 16 at what happens in the mammals instead of in fish, 17 then of course neuromuscular transmission is pretty
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that caused the behavioral phenotype until we knew the sequence of the peptide. Then we could synthesize it, and that way, we could continue to follow it up. So this guy, again, an 18-year-old kid at the time, he chose conus magus, and he decided to purify an activity that he called the shaker peptide because it induced tremors when injected intracranially into mice. The reason I am telling you this is because	 8 functionally these voltage-gated calcium channels. 9 And as a result, no neurotransmitter is released, 10 and, therefore, the neuromuscular system is 11 blocked, and the fish becomes paralyzed. 12 So if you inject this peptide into a fish, 13 what you get is a paralyzed fish. So how do you 14 make a drug out of that? 15 Well, it turns out that if in fact you look 16 at what happens in the mammals instead of in fish, 17 then of course neuromuscular transmission is pretty 18 much the same. You have action potentials, and the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that caused the behavioral phenotype until we knew the sequence of the peptide. Then we could synthesize it, and that way, we could continue to follow it up. So this guy, again, an 18-year-old kid at the time, he chose conus magus, and he decided to purify an activity that he called the shaker peptide because it induced tremors when injected intracranially into mice. The reason I am telling you this is because this peptide that Michael purified and	 8 functionally these voltage-gated calcium channels. 9 And as a result, no neurotransmitter is released, 10 and, therefore, the neuromuscular system is 11 blocked, and the fish becomes paralyzed. 12 So if you inject this peptide into a fish, 13 what you get is a paralyzed fish. So how do you 14 make a drug out of that? 15 Well, it turns out that if in fact you look 16 at what happens in the mammals instead of in fish, 17 then of course neuromuscular transmission is pretty 18 much the same. You have action potentials, and the 19 same events occur. But there is one critical
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that caused the behavioral phenotype until we knew the sequence of the peptide. Then we could synthesize it, and that way, we could continue to follow it up. So this guy, again, an 18-year-old kid at the time, he chose conus magus, and he decided to purify an activity that he called the shaker peptide because it induced tremors when injected intracranially into mice. The reason I am telling you this is because this peptide that Michael purified and characterized is today an approved drug for	 8 functionally these voltage-gated calcium channels. 9 And as a result, no neurotransmitter is released, 10 and, therefore, the neuromuscular system is 11 blocked, and the fish becomes paralyzed. 12 So if you inject this peptide into a fish, 13 what you get is a paralyzed fish. So how do you 14 make a drug out of that? 15 Well, it turns out that if in fact you look 16 at what happens in the mammals instead of in fish, 17 then of course neuromuscular transmission is pretty 18 much the same. You have action potentials, and the 19 same events occur. But there is one critical 20 difference. And the difference is that, in
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that caused the behavioral phenotype until we knew the sequence of the peptide. Then we could synthesize it, and that way, we could continue to follow it up. So this guy, again, an 18-year-old kid at the time, he chose conus magus, and he decided to purify an activity that he called the shaker peptide because it induced tremors when injected intracranially into mice. The reason I am telling you this is because this peptide that Michael purified and	 8 functionally these voltage-gated calcium channels. 9 And as a result, no neurotransmitter is released, 10 and, therefore, the neuromuscular system is 11 blocked, and the fish becomes paralyzed. 12 So if you inject this peptide into a fish, 13 what you get is a paralyzed fish. So how do you 14 make a drug out of that? 15 Well, it turns out that if in fact you look 16 at what happens in the mammals instead of in fish, 17 then of course neuromuscular transmission is pretty 18 much the same. You have action potentials, and the 19 same events occur. But there is one critical

Tra	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 145		Page 147
1	Prialt-resistance. And for those who are into	1	from that, it extrudes a disposable harpoon-like
2	calcium channels, the green calcium channels are		tooth, which also serves as a hypodermic needle.
3	Cav2.2 and, in mammals, the neuromuscular junction	3	So the venom flows through the proboscis,
4	has Cav2.1 channels. And so in fact, this peptide	4	through the tooth that's extended, and it comes out
5	is not paralytic when it's injected into any	5	at the other end. And as you can see, this is
	mammal.	6	highly barbed, so this is both a harpoon and
7	However, we do have Cav2.2 channels, and it	7	hypodermic needle. And so these snails got their
8	happens that they're in the pain circuitry. And	8	opportunity 55 million years ago when, essentially,
9	so, of course, that is the basis for this becoming	9	they evolved a drug delivery device that's the
10	a drug because, now, as C fibers fire from the	10	equivalent of disposable hypodermic needles.
11	periphery in the synapse with this spinal cord	11	(Laughter.)
12	neuron, if you block the release of glutamate at	12	DR. OLIVERA: So each snail has its own
13	the synapse by adding this peptide, then what	13	particular design. And what you see is how it's
14	happens is that, even though you have a very	14	used. And so you can see the fish is tethered and
15	powerful pain signal entering, because that signal	15	venom is being injected. And in a very short time,
16	does not cross the synapse, you therefore end up	16	the fish is immobilized. And now, the snail will
17	not perceiving the pain.	17	completely engulf the fish. And in about an hour
18	So what I think this tells us is, first of	18	and a half, it will predigest the fish in this
19	all, that the components of the nervous system are	19	false mouth, called a rostrum, and it will
20	extraordinarily conserved. Whether the Cav2.2	20	regurgitate the scales and bones of the fish and
21	channel is in fish or in mammals, this peptide	21	the one harpoon that it used to inject its venom.
22	recognizes it with very high affinity. It also can	22	So that's how these particular snails make a
	Page 146		Page 148
1	differentiate between this calcium channel and all	1	living. So you can see that this is an efficient
2	other calcium channels that are present in any	2	way for a snail to be able to catch a fish.
3	vertebrate system.	3	Now, if we want to understand the
4	However, the reason this peptide is a drug	4	biochemistry and pharmacology of how they do this,
5	is because, although the structure of these ion	5	we have to find out what the components are of the
6	channels is conserved, their pattern of expression	6	venom that are necessary for capturing a fish.
7	is not. And the fact that we have a lot of	7	Now, here is another snail, a related snail in the
8	different expression patterns and different	8	same clay.
9	animals, gives us an opportunity, therefore, to	9	What you're going to see is how fast this
10	take advantage of natural products that haven't	10	is. So they use a chemosensory mechanism to figure
11	been evolved over millions of years. And even	11	out where the fish is. And this is real time. And
12	though it's not targeted for the purposes that we	12	what you're going to see is just how quickly these
13	would like, it turns out that because of this	13	snails are able to capture a fish.
1		1	

17

14 variable expression, very often you will find

16 the biomedical community is interested in.

19 sniff a fish, extend their highly extensible

21 lateral line of the fish. And the moment it

15 something that's very useful for the purposes that

18 biology of the snails. And these snails, when they

20 proboscis. And this particular snail goes for the

22 touches the skin of a fish, what happens is that,

So what I'd like to do is to go back to the

14

17

20

So in this particular case, the snail

16 so this is a very, very efficient process.

21 are pretty, there's a lot of interest from the

15 doesn't even have to go above the substrate. And

18 fish-hunting cone snails have been the source of

22 collector community in classifying them. And so

19 most of the work that we have done on cone snails

so far. However, since the shells of these snails

So that's the fish-hunting cone snails. And

	insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 149		Page 151
1	the molecular phylogeny of these snails is known.	1	therefore, they can be eaten.
2	And in fact, it turns out that there are four	2	Those two cabaals, we call the one that
3	different claves of fish-hunting species. And what	3	causes sensory deadening the nirvana cabal because
4	I've shown you are species that belong to the	4	that essentially causes the fish to become
5	F2 clave.	5	hyperactive. And then when they inject the rest of
6	So let's look at one of the other branches	6	their venom directly into the fish, that causes a
7	of fish-hunting cone snails. And what you're going	7	complete paralysis and a relaxed fish. So it's
8	to see is that, in fact, there's a different	8	this combination of nirvana cabal and motor cabal
9	strategy for catching fish. So if you drop a fish	9	that these particular snails use.
10	with one of these snails, instead of sticking out	10	So if in fact the nirvana cabal makes them
11	its proboscis, it opens its mouth. And, as you can	11	hyperactive and puts the fish in a sedated,
12	see, it has a really huge mouth. You might say	12	quiescent state, one might ask the question, does
13	cavernous mouth.	13	this have biomedical applications to quiet down
14	Here's another species in that clave. And	14	overactive neuronal circuitry. And we have
15	these guys always engulf the fish first before they	15	identified members of what we call the nirvana
16	sting it. Then they sting once it's in their	16	cabal, and here are a few of those peptides that
17	mouth. And so it's a behavioral strategy that's	17	are released by these particular snails.
18	completely different for how to catch a fish.	18	It turns out that one can indeed use this
19	So what happens is that these snails crawl	19	for a variety of biomedical applications, and two
20	out of the reef at night. And what they do is,	20	of these have reached the human clinical trials.
21	they approach a school of small fish that are	21	One of them, the sleeper peptide that Craig Clark
22	hiding in reef crevices. And if they are lucky,	22	originally characterized, it turns out, that's a
	Page 150		Page 152
1	Page 150 they can bite the whole school and then pick them	1	Page 152 subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and
	-		
	they can bite the whole school and then pick them		subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy.
2 3	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one.	2 3	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy.
2 3 4	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have	2 3 4	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this
2 3 4 5	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they	2 3 4 5	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G,
2 3 4 5 6	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their	2 3 4 5 6	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their venom. And as a result even before they inject the individual fish, they release a little bit of their venom. And the effect of the venom released	2 3 4 5 6	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their venom. And as a result even before they inject the individual fish, they release a little bit of their venom. And the effect of the venom released is to make the fish act as if they are all spaced	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But you can see that the C terminus is very similar to neurotensin.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their venom. And as a result even before they inject the individual fish, they release a little bit of their venom. And the effect of the venom released is to make the fish act as if they are all spaced out, as if they are in an opium den.	2 3 6 7 8 9	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But you can see that the C terminus is very similar to neurotensin. This turns out to really be very, very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their venom. And as a result even before they inject the individual fish, they release a little bit of their venom. And the effect of the venom released is to make the fish act as if they are all spaced out, as if they are in an opium den. (Laughter.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But you can see that the C terminus is very similar to neurotensin. This turns out to really be very, very effective and a very attractive compound in various
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their venom. And as a result even before they inject the individual fish, they release a little bit of their venom. And the effect of the venom released is to make the fish act as if they are all spaced out, as if they are in an opium den. (Laughter.) DR. OLIVERA: Now, we called our groups of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But you can see that the C terminus is very similar to neurotensin. This turns out to really be very, very effective and a very attractive compound in various animal models of pain. So this is potentially a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their venom. And as a result even before they inject the individual fish, they release a little bit of their venom. And the effect of the venom released is to make the fish act as if they are all spaced out, as if they are in an opium den. (Laughter.) DR. OLIVERA: Now, we called our groups of peptides that act together so if you look at all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But you can see that the C terminus is very similar to neurotensin. This turns out to really be very, very effective and a very attractive compound in various animal models of pain. So this is potentially a new target for pain, the neurotensin receptor. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their venom. And as a result even before they inject the individual fish, they release a little bit of their venom. And the effect of the venom released is to make the fish act as if they are all spaced out, as if they are in an opium den. (Laughter.) DR. OLIVERA: Now, we called our groups of peptides that act together so if you look at all of the peptides that act together for a particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But you can see that the C terminus is very similar to neurotensin. This turns out to really be very, very effective and a very attractive compound in various animal models of pain. So this is potentially a new target for pain, the neurotensin receptor. And Allan Basbaum has actually looked at this peptide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their venom. And as a result even before they inject the individual fish, they release a little bit of their venom. And the effect of the venom released is to make the fish act as if they are all spaced out, as if they are in an opium den. (Laughter.) DR. OLIVERA: Now, we called our groups of peptides that act together so if you look at all of the peptides that act together for a particular physiological purpose, we call that a cabal because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But you can see that the C terminus is very similar to neurotensin. This turns out to really be very, very effective and a very attractive compound in various animal models of pain. So this is potentially a new target for pain, the neurotensin receptor. And Allan Basbaum has actually looked at this peptide and found that it does have a lot of problems.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their venom. And as a result even before they inject the individual fish, they release a little bit of their venom. And the effect of the venom released is to make the fish act as if they are all spaced out, as if they are in an opium den. (Laughter.) DR. OLIVERA: Now, we called our groups of peptides that act together so if you look at all of the peptides that act together for a particular physiological purpose, we call that a cabal because cabals are secret societies out to overthrow	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But you can see that the C terminus is very similar to neurotensin. This turns out to really be very, very effective and a very attractive compound in various animal models of pain. So this is potentially a new target for pain, the neurotensin receptor. And Allan Basbaum has actually looked at this peptide and found that it does have a lot of problems. However, because it is a peptide, to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their venom. And as a result even before they inject the individual fish, they release a little bit of their venom. And the effect of the venom released is to make the fish act as if they are all spaced out, as if they are in an opium den. (Laughter.) DR. OLIVERA: Now, we called our groups of peptides that act together so if you look at all of the peptides that act together for a particular physiological purpose, we call that a cabal because cabals are secret societies out to overthrow existing authority. And so for this snail to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But you can see that the C terminus is very similar to neurotensin. This turns out to really be very, very effective and a very attractive compound in various animal models of pain. So this is potentially a new target for pain, the neurotensin receptor. And Allan Basbaum has actually looked at this peptide and found that it does have a lot of problems. However, because it is a peptide, to get it it's also been injected into spinal cord
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their venom. And as a result even before they inject the individual fish, they release a little bit of their venom. And the effect of the venom released is to make the fish act as if they are all spaced out, as if they are in an opium den. (Laughter.) DR. OLIVERA: Now, we called our groups of peptides that act together so if you look at all of the peptides that act together for a particular physiological purpose, we call that a cabal because cabals are secret societies out to overthrow existing authority. And so for this snail to capture a whole school, there are two cabals.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But you can see that the C terminus is very similar to neurotensin. This turns out to really be very, very effective and a very attractive compound in various animal models of pain. So this is potentially a new target for pain, the neurotensin receptor. And Allan Basbaum has actually looked at this peptide and found that it does have a lot of problems. However, because it is a peptide, to get it it's also been injected into spinal cord injury patients in a clinical study, and efficacy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their venom. And as a result even before they inject the individual fish, they release a little bit of their venom. And the effect of the venom released is to make the fish act as if they are all spaced out, as if they are in an opium den. (Laughter.) DR. OLIVERA: Now, we called our groups of peptides that act together so if you look at all of the peptides that act together for a particular physiological purpose, we call that a cabal because cabals are secret societies out to overthrow existing authority. And so for this snail to capture a whole school, there are two cabals. First is the cabal necessary to quiet down the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But you can see that the C terminus is very similar to neurotensin. This turns out to really be very, very effective and a very attractive compound in various animal models of pain. So this is potentially a new target for pain, the neurotensin receptor. And Allan Basbaum has actually looked at this peptide and found that it does have a lot of problems. However, because it is a peptide, to get it it's also been injected into spinal cord injury patients in a clinical study, and efficacy was shown in that particular study. So I think it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their venom. And as a result even before they inject the individual fish, they release a little bit of their venom. And the effect of the venom released is to make the fish act as if they are all spaced out, as if they are in an opium den. (Laughter.) DR. OLIVERA: Now, we called our groups of peptides that act together so if you look at all of the peptides that act together for a particular physiological purpose, we call that a cabal because cabals are secret societies out to overthrow existing authority. And so for this snail to capture a whole school, there are two cabals. First is the cabal necessary to quiet down the neuronal circuitry of the prey before it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But you can see that the C terminus is very similar to neurotensin. This turns out to really be very, very effective and a very attractive compound in various animal models of pain. So this is potentially a new target for pain, the neurotensin receptor. And Allan Basbaum has actually looked at this peptide and found that it does have a lot of problems. However, because it is a peptide, to get it it's also been injected into spinal cord injury patients in a clinical study, and efficacy was shown in that particular study. So I think it would be nice to be able to develop this one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they can bite the whole school and then pick them off one by one. In order to have success at this, they have to be able to capture as much of the school as they can. And so they release a little bit of their venom. And as a result even before they inject the individual fish, they release a little bit of their venom. And the effect of the venom released is to make the fish act as if they are all spaced out, as if they are in an opium den. (Laughter.) DR. OLIVERA: Now, we called our groups of peptides that act together so if you look at all of the peptides that act together for a particular physiological purpose, we call that a cabal because cabals are secret societies out to overthrow existing authority. And so for this snail to capture a whole school, there are two cabals. First is the cabal necessary to quiet down the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	subtype selective NMDA receptor antagonist, and that reached human clinical trials for epilepsy. But the one more interesting to this audience is a peptide that we call contulakin-G, which really is a neurotensin homologue, except the snails have changed the N-terminus of the peptide and have glycosylated this threonine residue. But you can see that the C terminus is very similar to neurotensin. This turns out to really be very, very effective and a very attractive compound in various animal models of pain. So this is potentially a new target for pain, the neurotensin receptor. And Allan Basbaum has actually looked at this peptide and found that it does have a lot of problems. However, because it is a peptide, to get it it's also been injected into spinal cord injury patients in a clinical study, and efficacy was shown in that particular study. So I think it would be nice to be able to develop this one further.

	insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 153		Page 155
1	number of these conus peptides have now reached	1	that, although we have this type of nicotinic
2	human clinical trials. And as you can see, they	2	receptor at a neuromuscular junction,
3	are not opioid like in their mechanism at all. But	3	invertebrates, nematodes, polychaetes, drosophila,
4	we are able to identify some new targets. And I'd	4	they have a lot of subunits that are related to
5	like to tell you about the peptides of this class	5	alpha 7, alpha 9, alpha 10.
6	that target a certain type of nicotinic receptor.	6	I think C. elegans has 30 subunits of this
7	So as targets, nicotinic receptors are	7	type. And therefore, if you want to paralyze a
8	complex because, of course, they are pentamers, and	8	marine worm, instead of targeting this type of
9	you can assemble these subunits in various ways.	9	receptor, they have involved a lot of peptides that
10	And we were really still not sure which of the	10	target these types of receptors.
11	different forms exist and which ones don't. So the	11	So again, this is the case where the human
12	molecular identity of the function of different	12	homologues of these receptors that are in the
13	nicotinic receptors is not completely known.	13	neuromuscular junctions of these invertebrates have
14	This is, I think, a general problem in	14	very restricted distribution, but invertebrate
15	neuroscience. To figure out the subunit	15	systems are distributed all over the place. And so
16	composition of heteromeric ion-channel assembly	16	it really pays to screen venoms even though they're
17	just is quite hard. But it turns out that the	17	for a completely different purpose for things that
18	snails know what's being assembled. And it turns	18	might be specific for the type of ion-channel
19	out that conus venoms are a great source for	19	receptor that you want.
20	peptides that are very highly specific for each of	20	So what Michael has done is he's looked at
21	these nicotinic receptor subtypes. And the same	21	what alpha 7 receptors do in various circuits and
22	Michael McIntosh who discovered Prialt is now a	22	what alpha 9, alpha 10 receptors do. And it turns
	D (51)		
	Page 154		Page 156
	professor of psychiatry at the University of Utah.		out that, if you inhibit alpha 9/alpha 10
2	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are	2	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in
2 3	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular	2 3	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to
2 3	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms.	2 3 4	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of
2 3 4 5	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides	2 3 4 5	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury
2 3 4 5 6	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10	2 3 4 5 6	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you
2 3 4 5 6 7	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7	2 3 4 5 6	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7 receptor. And these are the most closely receptors	2 3 4 5 6 7	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you reverse the pain that results from the nerve injury.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7 receptor. And these are the most closely receptors that you find, that are all alpha subunits, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you reverse the pain that results from the nerve injury. The basis of this is interesting because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7 receptor. And these are the most closely receptors that you find, that are all alpha subunits, that are found in the human nervous system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you reverse the pain that results from the nerve injury. The basis of this is interesting because what the peptide seems to prevent is inflammation.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7 receptor. And these are the most closely receptors that you find, that are all alpha subunits, that are found in the human nervous system. Curiously, these peptides do not come from a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you reverse the pain that results from the nerve injury. The basis of this is interesting because what the peptide seems to prevent is inflammation. And so when a nerve is injured, it's therefore
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7 receptor. And these are the most closely receptors that you find, that are all alpha subunits, that are found in the human nervous system. Curiously, these peptides do not come from a fish-hunting cone snail. Instead, they come from a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you reverse the pain that results from the nerve injury. The basis of this is interesting because what the peptide seems to prevent is inflammation. And so when a nerve is injured, it's therefore attacked by macrophages, lymphocytes. And what you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7 receptor. And these are the most closely receptors that you find, that are all alpha subunits, that are found in the human nervous system. Curiously, these peptides do not come from a fish-hunting cone snail. Instead, they come from a clave of cone snails that eat worms. So it's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you reverse the pain that results from the nerve injury. The basis of this is interesting because what the peptide seems to prevent is inflammation. And so when a nerve is injured, it's therefore attacked by macrophages, lymphocytes. And what you can see is that if you block this particular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7 receptor. And these are the most closely receptors that you find, that are all alpha subunits, that are found in the human nervous system. Curiously, these peptides do not come from a fish-hunting cone snail. Instead, they come from a clave of cone snails that eat worms. So it's a worm-hunting clave. And so these snails, what they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you reverse the pain that results from the nerve injury. The basis of this is interesting because what the peptide seems to prevent is inflammation. And so when a nerve is injured, it's therefore attacked by macrophages, lymphocytes. And what you can see is that if you block this particular nicotinic receptor, then you count how many immune
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7 receptor. And these are the most closely receptors that you find, that are all alpha subunits, that are found in the human nervous system. Curiously, these peptides do not come from a fish-hunting cone snail. Instead, they come from a clave of cone snails that eat worms. So it's a worm-hunting clave. And so these snails, what they really love to eat are fireworms. And so if you go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you reverse the pain that results from the nerve injury. The basis of this is interesting because what the peptide seems to prevent is inflammation. And so when a nerve is injured, it's therefore attacked by macrophages, lymphocytes. And what you can see is that if you block this particular nicotinic receptor, then you count how many immune cells accumulated at the site of nerve injury. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7 receptor. And these are the most closely receptors that you find, that are all alpha subunits, that are found in the human nervous system. Curiously, these peptides do not come from a fish-hunting cone snail. Instead, they come from a clave of cone snails that eat worms. So it's a worm-hunting clave. And so these snails, what they really love to eat are fireworms. And so if you go scuba diving, you are told never to touch these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you reverse the pain that results from the nerve injury. The basis of this is interesting because what the peptide seems to prevent is inflammation. And so when a nerve is injured, it's therefore attacked by macrophages, lymphocytes. And what you can see is that if you block this particular nicotinic receptor, then you count how many immune cells accumulated at the site of nerve injury. You can see that that's much decreased. And so you get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7 receptor. And these are the most closely receptors that you find, that are all alpha subunits, that are found in the human nervous system. Curiously, these peptides do not come from a fish-hunting cone snail. Instead, they come from a clave of cone snails that eat worms. So it's a worm-hunting clave. And so these snails, what they really love to eat are fireworms. And so if you go scuba diving, you are told never to touch these things because they have really painful spicules,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you reverse the pain that results from the nerve injury. The basis of this is interesting because what the peptide seems to prevent is inflammation. And so when a nerve is injured, it's therefore attacked by macrophages, lymphocytes. And what you can see is that if you block this particular nicotinic receptor, then you count how many immune cells accumulated at the site of nerve injury. You can see that that's much decreased. And so you get less inflammation as a result of nerve injury by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7 receptor. And these are the most closely receptors that you find, that are all alpha subunits, that are found in the human nervous system. Curiously, these peptides do not come from a fish-hunting cone snail. Instead, they come from a clave of cone snails that eat worms. So it's a worm-hunting clave. And so these snails, what they really love to eat are fireworms. And so if you go scuba diving, you are told never to touch these things because they have really painful spicules, but that's the favorite prey of these particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you reverse the pain that results from the nerve injury. The basis of this is interesting because what the peptide seems to prevent is inflammation. And so when a nerve is injured, it's therefore attacked by macrophages, lymphocytes. And what you can see is that if you block this particular nicotinic receptor, then you count how many immune cells accumulated at the site of nerve injury. You can see that that's much decreased. And so you get less inflammation as a result of nerve injury by blocking the alpha 9/alpha 10 receptor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7 receptor. And these are the most closely receptors that you find, that are all alpha subunits, that are found in the human nervous system. Curiously, these peptides do not come from a fish-hunting cone snail. Instead, they come from a clave of cone snails that eat worms. So it's a worm-hunting clave. And so these snails, what they really love to eat are fireworms. And so if you go scuba diving, you are told never to touch these things because they have really painful spicules, but that's the favorite prey of these particular cone snails.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you reverse the pain that results from the nerve injury. The basis of this is interesting because what the peptide seems to prevent is inflammation. And so when a nerve is injured, it's therefore attacked by macrophages, lymphocytes. And what you can see is that if you block this particular nicotinic receptor, then you count how many immune cells accumulated at the site of nerve injury. You can see that that's much decreased. And so you get less inflammation as a result of nerve injury by blocking the alpha 9/alpha 10 receptor. Now, this just shows you how the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7 receptor. And these are the most closely receptors that you find, that are all alpha subunits, that are found in the human nervous system. Curiously, these peptides do not come from a fish-hunting cone snail. Instead, they come from a clave of cone snails that eat worms. So it's a worm-hunting clave. And so these snails, what they really love to eat are fireworms. And so if you go scuba diving, you are told never to touch these things because they have really painful spicules, but that's the favorite prey of these particular cone snails. That's the source of where we are able to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you reverse the pain that results from the nerve injury. The basis of this is interesting because what the peptide seems to prevent is inflammation. And so when a nerve is injured, it's therefore attacked by macrophages, lymphocytes. And what you can see is that if you block this particular nicotinic receptor, then you count how many immune cells accumulated at the site of nerve injury. You can see that that's much decreased. And so you get less inflammation as a result of nerve injury by blocking the alpha 9/alpha 10 receptor. Now, this just shows you how the pharmacology has to be really well-tolerated,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And he has defined a lot of the peptides that are very highly specific for each of these molecular isoforms. So what is found is that there are peptides that target what's called the alpha 9, alpha 10 receptor and peptides that target the alpha 7 receptor. And these are the most closely receptors that you find, that are all alpha subunits, that are found in the human nervous system. Curiously, these peptides do not come from a fish-hunting cone snail. Instead, they come from a clave of cone snails that eat worms. So it's a worm-hunting clave. And so these snails, what they really love to eat are fireworms. And so if you go scuba diving, you are told never to touch these things because they have really painful spicules, but that's the favorite prey of these particular cone snails.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	receptors, this peptide is anti-nociceptive in nerve injury models of pain. And so what seems to happen is that if you have a nerve injury model of pain so we use the chronic constriction injury model. If you inject this peptide, then you reverse the pain that results from the nerve injury. The basis of this is interesting because what the peptide seems to prevent is inflammation. And so when a nerve is injured, it's therefore attacked by macrophages, lymphocytes. And what you can see is that if you block this particular nicotinic receptor, then you count how many immune cells accumulated at the site of nerve injury. You can see that that's much decreased. And so you get less inflammation as a result of nerve injury by blocking the alpha 9/alpha 10 receptor. Now, this just shows you how the pharmacology has to be really well-tolerated,

Tra	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies	1	June 24, 201
	Page 157		Page 159
1	what happens is it makes things worse. You get an	1	respond differently. And so, clearly, these
2	increased attack of the immune system on the site	2	represent six different types of neurons. So if
3	of nerve injury. And the emerging story seems to	3	you monitor the cell population at this point, then
4	be that if you activate the alpha 7 receptor,	4	you have low calcium in all of the cells. If you
5	that's anti-inflammatory; but if you activate the	5	monitor calcium at this point, where you're adding
6	alpha 9/alpha 10 receptor, that's pro-inflammatory.	6	menthol, you can see a few of the cells light up.
7	So when you inhibit that particular receptor, then	7	If you monitor calcium at this point, where
8	you inhibit the nerve injury.	8	you're adding potassium chloride, you can see
9	So what you can see is that by having very	9	almost all of the cells light up. So in this way,
10	specific ligands, we can therefore have	10	we are able to differentiate the different cell
11	applications that are completely unexpected.	11	types of the DRG functionally.
12	So what I'd like to do is take the last few	12	Now, the other protocol we use is to add
13	minutes just to say that I think the future of	13	potassium chloride and to add a pharmacological
14	venom peptides is that because these critters have	14	agent before a pulse of potassium chloride. So if
15	evolved ways to recognize heteromeric combinations,	15	you add the tetrodotoxin, for example, of course,
16	that this is a class of targets that's very	16	you decrease the influx of calcium. If you add a
17	difficult to accurately target with small	17	block of potassium channels, you increase the
18	molecules, because differentiating between closely	18	influx of calcium. And that's because, for calcium
19	related heteromeric combinations is really a	19	to enter when you have potassium chloride, you have
20	challenge.	20	to activate sodium channels, which then activate
21	So the worst ion channel family in that	21	calcium channels. And then you terminate the
22	regard is potassium channels because there are	22	excitation by potassium channels opening.
	Page 158		Page 160
1	70 genes, and the number of combinations that you	1	So this is a very high-content assay because
2	can make for potassium channels is vast. And we	2	we are looking at all the sodium channels, all the
3	really don't know which combinations actually exist	3	calcium channels, and all of the potassium channels
4	and where those heteromeric combinations are.	4	that are present in any of the DRG neurons and were
5	So I'd like to take the last few minutes	5	simultaneously assaying all of those. And so we
6	just to tell you about how we are approaching that.	6	were using this as a way of discovering new
7	So what we do is, we take a region of the nervous	7	compounds that are targeted to certain channels.
8	system, in this case DRG neurons, where they are	8	So we also make use of all of our other
9	thought to be 25 to 30 different subclasses of	9	peptides that we know are specific for subtypes.
10	neurons. And what we do is we culture them	10	And so we previously showed that some conus
11	overnight, load them with Fura-2, and we can	11	peptides are specific for KV1.2 and some for KV1.6.
12	therefore measure intracellular calcium.	12	And so this just shows your field. We have
13	We can put about 200 cells in a well. And		genetically labeled some of the DRG cells. These
14	so here are a few cells. Now, if you add menthol,		are mice that David Ginty labeled. And now, we
1 -	you can and that a faw of the calls light up. If		apply two of these pontides

- 15 apply two of these peptides.
- 16 What you can see is that the majority of
- 17 neurons are not affected by either peptide, so
- 18 about 85 percent of the DRG neurons look like this.
- 19 However, here's a class of neurons that are
- 20 affected by the KV1.6-specific peptide, but not by
- 21 the KV1.2 specific peptide. Here is a class of
- 22 neurons that are affected by the KV1.2, not by the

20

19 different cell types.

15 you can see that a few of the cells light up. If

17 cells light up. And so what we are doing is, we

16 you have potassium chloride, then almost all of the

18 are using pharmacology to differentiate between the

21 acetylcholine, ATP, histamine, menthol, and AITC.

22 And what you can see is that these different cells

So here is an experiment where we're adding

	nsformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 20
	Page 161		Page 16
1	KV1.6.	1	thermosensors and nociceptors, but the difference
2	The labeled neurons, it turns out, are not	2	is that, in thermosensors, you have the menthol
3 (affected by the KV1.6-specific neurons, but are	3	receptor, which then recruits calcium channels and
4 ;	affected by the KV1.2-specific peptide, but the	4	activates the cell. But in nociceptors, you have
5 (effects are very subtle, and we wouldn't have	5	the menthol receptor. And when it opens, the first
6	believed it if it weren't the fact that all of	6	thing that's recruited is this potassium channel,
7 1	these neurons have a slight broadening when you add	7	which is three KV1.2 and one KV 1.1 subunit. And
8 1	that particular peptide.	8	of course, that repolarizes the cell.
9	So you can see that, although this peptide	9	So it's not until you go to very cold
0	was supposed to be specific for KV1.2, it actually	10	temperatures that you can overcome this potassium
1	had different effects on different neurons. And	11	channel and begin to recruit calcium channels. And
י 2	what I'd like to do is just to show you why that's	12	so this potassium channel therefore plays a role in
3 1	the case.	13	how the temperature at which a cell is activated.
4	So I don't have time to go into details, but	14	And therefore, under chemotherapy conditions, it's
5	we've looked in some detail at cold-sensitive	15	the balance between the menthol receptor and this
6 1	neurons that are responsive to menthol, and they	16	potassium channel that is dysregulated.
7 1	fall into two classes. The thermal sensors that	17	Therefore, if you want to cure that
8 ;	are activated with mild cold temperatures and	18	particular condition, this is the potassium channel
9 1	nociceptors, where you have to go to noxious cold	19	that should be targeted. So I'm just making that
0 1	temperatures.	20	point to show that, unfortunately, because of the
1	Basically, what we find is that they have	21	nature of potassium channels, we don't really know
2 (entirely different ion channels except for the	22	the molecular composition of what we want to
	Page 162		Page 16
1	menthol receptor. And now, if you apply these	1	target. In this case, it turns out the potassium
2	peptides, what you see is that the thermal sensors	2	channel we want to target has three KV1.2 subunits
3 ;	are not affected by these peptides at all, but the	3	and one KV 1.1 subunit, and we didn't know that
4	nociceptors are affected when you give them a	4	before.
5	menthol pulse. They respond much more to two	5	So I'd like to end by just saying that this
6	peptides, one specific for KV1.2, one specific for	6	has been a huge collaboration, both with colleagues
7	KV1.1.		
			in Utah and colleagues in the Philippines, Germany,
	So originally, we interpreted this by saying	7	in Utah and colleagues in the Philippines, Germany, California, and France. And here are the people
8		7 8	
8 9 I	So originally, we interpreted this by saying	7 8 9	California, and France. And here are the people
8 9 I 0 (So originally, we interpreted this by saying nociceptors have KV1.1 and KV1.2. But our	7 8 9	California, and France. And here are the people who did the work at the University of Utah. Thank
8 9 1 0 (1 1	So originally, we interpreted this by saying nociceptors have KV1.1 and KV1.2. But our collaborator, Heinz Terlau, has shown that, in	7 8 9 10	California, and France. And here are the people who did the work at the University of Utah. Thank you very much for your attention.
8 9 1 0 (1 1 2 1	So originally, we interpreted this by saying nociceptors have KV1.1 and KV1.2. But our collaborator, Heinz Terlau, has shown that, in fact, the true target of the peptide that we	7 8 9 10 11 12	California, and France. And here are the people who did the work at the University of Utah. Thank you very much for your attention. (Applause.)
8 9 0 (1 2 3	So originally, we interpreted this by saying nociceptors have KV1.1 and KV1.2. But our collaborator, Heinz Terlau, has shown that, in fact, the true target of the peptide that we thought was specific for KV1.2 is in fact this	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	California, and France. And here are the people who did the work at the University of Utah. Thank you very much for your attention. (Applause.) DR. BASBAUM: I have a practical question.
8 91 .00 .11 .21 .31 .31	So originally, we interpreted this by saying nociceptors have KV1.1 and KV1.2. But our collaborator, Heinz Terlau, has shown that, in fact, the true target of the peptide that we thought was specific for KV1.2 is in fact this heteromeric combination because that peptide has a	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	California, and France. And here are the people who did the work at the University of Utah. Thank you very much for your attention. (Applause.) DR. BASBAUM: I have a practical question. I know that, in the case of Prialt, it can't be
8 9 .0 (.1 .2 .3 .3 .4 .5	So originally, we interpreted this by saying nociceptors have KV1.1 and KV1.2. But our collaborator, Heinz Terlau, has shown that, in fact, the true target of the peptide that we thought was specific for KV1.2 is in fact this heteromeric combination because that peptide has a thousand-fold greater affinity for this heteromer	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	California, and France. And here are the people who did the work at the University of Utah. Thank you very much for your attention. (Applause.) DR. BASBAUM: I have a practical question. I know that, in the case of Prialt, it can't be used orally, either because it won't get into the
8 9 .0 (.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6	So originally, we interpreted this by saying nociceptors have KV1.1 and KV1.2. But our collaborator, Heinz Terlau, has shown that, in fact, the true target of the peptide that we thought was specific for KV1.2 is in fact this heteromeric combination because that peptide has a thousand-fold greater affinity for this heteromer than for the KV1.2 homomer.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	California, and France. And here are the people who did the work at the University of Utah. Thank you very much for your attention. (Applause.) DR. BASBAUM: I have a practical question. I know that, in the case of Prialt, it can't be used orally, either because it won't get into the central nervous system or because of postural
8 9 .0 (.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 (So originally, we interpreted this by saying nociceptors have KV1.1 and KV1.2. But our collaborator, Heinz Terlau, has shown that, in fact, the true target of the peptide that we thought was specific for KV1.2 is in fact this heteromeric combination because that peptide has a thousand-fold greater affinity for this heteromer than for the KV1.2 homomer. So now, this is the forum of potassium	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	California, and France. And here are the people who did the work at the University of Utah. Thank you very much for your attention. (Applause.) DR. BASBAUM: I have a practical question. I know that, in the case of Prialt, it can't be used orally, either because it won't get into the central nervous system or because of postural hypertension, peripheral actions. Is it possible,
8 9 .0 (.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 (.8	So originally, we interpreted this by saying nociceptors have KV1.1 and KV1.2. But our collaborator, Heinz Terlau, has shown that, in fact, the true target of the peptide that we thought was specific for KV1.2 is in fact this heteromeric combination because that peptide has a thousand-fold greater affinity for this heteromer than for the KV1.2 homomer. So now, this is the forum of potassium channel that we believe is present in cold	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	California, and France. And here are the people who did the work at the University of Utah. Thank you very much for your attention. (Applause.) DR. BASBAUM: I have a practical question. I know that, in the case of Prialt, it can't be used orally, either because it won't get into the central nervous system or because of postural hypertension, peripheral actions. Is it possible, following along the lines of Joe's talk, where he's
8 9 .0 .1 .2 1 .3 1 .3 1 .4 1 .5 1 .5 1 .7 (.8 1 .9 1 .9	So originally, we interpreted this by saying nociceptors have KV1.1 and KV1.2. But our collaborator, Heinz Terlau, has shown that, in fact, the true target of the peptide that we thought was specific for KV1.2 is in fact this heteromeric combination because that peptide has a thousand-fold greater affinity for this heteromer than for the KV1.2 homomer. So now, this is the forum of potassium channel that we believe is present in cold nociceptors. And so it is a problem, of course, of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	California, and France. And here are the people who did the work at the University of Utah. Thank you very much for your attention. (Applause.) DR. BASBAUM: I have a practical question. I know that, in the case of Prialt, it can't be used orally, either because it won't get into the central nervous system or because of postural hypertension, peripheral actions. Is it possible, following along the lines of Joe's talk, where he's using virus to deliver a peptide that one assumes
8 9 1 1 2 3 3 4 1 .3 1 .4 1 .5 1 .6 .7 (.8 1 .9 1 .9 1 .0 (So originally, we interpreted this by saying nociceptors have KV1.1 and KV1.2. But our collaborator, Heinz Terlau, has shown that, in fact, the true target of the peptide that we thought was specific for KV1.2 is in fact this heteromeric combination because that peptide has a thousand-fold greater affinity for this heteromer than for the KV1.2 homomer. So now, this is the forum of potassium channel that we believe is present in cold nociceptors. And so it is a problem, of course, of pain during chemotherapy, where what happens is	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	California, and France. And here are the people who did the work at the University of Utah. Thank you very much for your attention. (Applause.) DR. BASBAUM: I have a practical question. I know that, in the case of Prialt, it can't be used orally, either because it won't get into the central nervous system or because of postural hypertension, peripheral actions. Is it possible, following along the lines of Joe's talk, where he's using virus to deliver a peptide that one assumes is going to be released by the neuron to act back

Third ACTTION Scientific Workshop

Thi Tra	rd ACTTION Scientific Workshop Insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014	4
110	Page 165		Page 167	_
	-			
1	DR. OLIVERA: As far as I know, no one's		9, alpha 10, but there is alpha 7 and alpha 3,	
	actually tried it. I think it's been talked about,	2	beta 2	
3	and people would like to do that. What people have	3	DR. SVENDSEN: So do snails feel pain?	
4	done is they have expressed tethered forms of these	4	DR. OLIVERA: That's a good question. I	
5	toxins. And they have tried to introduce those	5	suspect they do.	
6	into particular neurons by having the right viral	6	DR. SVENDSEN: How would you prove it?	
7	vectors. And that's been tried, and it works, at	7	Because they have a different receptor system, and	
8	least in some cases.	8	it might be somewhere along the line, that	
9	DR. BASBAUM: Is this in vitro or in vivo?	9	switch has been I mean, there's a lot of debate	
10	DR. OLIVERA: This is in vivo.	10	on whether fish and snails experience pain in the	
11	DR. MCMAHON: So one very naive question.	11	same way. But what you seem to have shown is a	
12	Are the snails themselves resistant to their own	12	very different usage of the same	
13	toxins?	13	DR. OLIVERA: I think, among mollusks,	
14	DR. OLIVERA: No. They are not. So if you	14	probably people have done work in cephalopods. And	
15	put too many fish into an aquarium of fish-hunting	15	I think that there is some work that shows that	
16	cone snails, what happens is, you saturate their	16	there's certainly somatosensation because they	
17	chemo receptors. And so, if they're hungry,	17	react by changing their chromophores. But I am not	
18	they'll all come out, and they'll all have their	18	familiar with that work as far as I know.	
19	proboscis out, and they'll sting anything that	19	DR. BASBAUM: No. The British government	
20	moves. And very often, they will sting each other.	20	thinks that invertebrates don't feel pain. You	
21	And individuals that get stung will die, not as	21	need a license to do almost anything in Britain to	
22	quickly as a fish does, but clearly, they are not	22	an animal, but you don't need anything to do it to	
	Page 166		Page 168	-
-	-	1	-	_
	resistant to their own venoms.		an invertebrate. I think when that gene was	
2	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus	2	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila	
2 3	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is	2 3	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that	
2 3 4	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive.	2 3 4	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have	_
2 3 4 5	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved	2 3 4 5	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury,	
2 3 4 5 6	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically	2 3 4 5 6	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a	
2 3 4 5 6 7	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not	2 3 4 5 6 7	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not resistant.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain. (Laughter.)	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not resistant. DR. BASBAUM: Roy?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: Another question I had I'm	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not resistant. DR. BASBAUM: Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So I've got a quick question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: Another question I had I'm pretty sure you're familiar with Diane Lipscombe's	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not resistant. DR. BASBAUM: Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So I've got a quick question. The primary receptor in the autonomic ganglia is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: Another question I had I'm pretty sure you're familiar with Diane Lipscombe's work. So for the audience, the interesting	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not resistant. DR. BASBAUM: Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So I've got a quick question. The primary receptor in the autonomic ganglia is also the nicotinic acetyl choline receptor. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: Another question I had I'm pretty sure you're familiar with Diane Lipscombe's work. So for the audience, the interesting observation that she made and I don't know where	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not resistant. DR. BASBAUM: Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So I've got a quick question. The primary receptor in the autonomic ganglia is also the nicotinic acetyl choline receptor. And I was wondering and this is a somewhat naive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: Another question I had I'm pretty sure you're familiar with Diane Lipscombe's work. So for the audience, the interesting observation that she made and I don't know where it's gone is that there is a splice variant of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not resistant. DR. BASBAUM: Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So I've got a quick question. The primary receptor in the autonomic ganglia is also the nicotinic acetyl choline receptor. And I was wondering and this is a somewhat naive question whether those subunits, the alpha 7,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: Another question I had I'm pretty sure you're familiar with Diane Lipscombe's work. So for the audience, the interesting observation that she made and I don't know where it's gone is that there is a splice variant of 2.2 in C fibers, selectively in the nociceptors,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not resistant. DR. BASBAUM: Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So I've got a quick question. The primary receptor in the autonomic ganglia is also the nicotinic acetyl choline receptor. And I was wondering and this is a somewhat naive question whether those subunits, the alpha 7, alpha 9, alpha 10, were also present in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: Another question I had I'm pretty sure you're familiar with Diane Lipscombe's work. So for the audience, the interesting observation that she made and I don't know where it's gone is that there is a splice variant of 2.2 in C fibers, selectively in the nociceptors, which raise the possibility that one could develop	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not resistant. DR. BASBAUM: Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So I've got a quick question. The primary receptor in the autonomic ganglia is also the nicotinic acetyl choline receptor. And I was wondering and this is a somewhat naive question whether those subunits, the alpha 7, alpha 9, alpha 10, were also present in that receptor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: Another question I had I'm pretty sure you're familiar with Diane Lipscombe's work. So for the audience, the interesting observation that she made and I don't know where it's gone is that there is a splice variant of 2.2 in C fibers, selectively in the nociceptors, which raise the possibility that one could develop either a conotoxin or an antagonist that would take	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not resistant. DR. BASBAUM: Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So I've got a quick question. The primary receptor in the autonomic ganglia is also the nicotinic acetyl choline receptor. And I was wondering and this is a somewhat naive question whether those subunits, the alpha 7, alpha 9, alpha 10, were also present in that receptor. DR. OLIVERA: I'm sorry. Which ganglion are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: Another question I had I'm pretty sure you're familiar with Diane Lipscombe's work. So for the audience, the interesting observation that she made and I don't know where it's gone is that there is a splice variant of 2.2 in C fibers, selectively in the nociceptors, which raise the possibility that one could develop either a conotoxin or an antagonist that would take a 2.2 from the nociceptor and not get the side	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not resistant. DR. BASBAUM: Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So I've got a quick question. The primary receptor in the autonomic ganglia is also the nicotinic acetyl choline receptor. And I was wondering and this is a somewhat naive question whether those subunits, the alpha 7, alpha 9, alpha 10, were also present in that receptor. DR. OLIVERA: I'm sorry. Which ganglion are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: Another question I had I'm pretty sure you're familiar with Diane Lipscombe's work. So for the audience, the interesting observation that she made and I don't know where it's gone is that there is a splice variant of 2.2 in C fibers, selectively in the nociceptors, which raise the possibility that one could develop either a conotoxin or an antagonist that would take a 2.2 from the nociceptor and not get the side effect profile that would arise when the molecule	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not resistant. DR. BASBAUM: Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So I've got a quick question. The primary receptor in the autonomic ganglia is also the nicotinic acetyl choline receptor. And I was wondering and this is a somewhat naive question whether those subunits, the alpha 7, alpha 9, alpha 10, were also present in that receptor. DR. OLIVERA: I'm sorry. Which ganglion are you DR. FREEMAN: The autonomic ganglia.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: Another question I had I'm pretty sure you're familiar with Diane Lipscombe's work. So for the audience, the interesting observation that she made and I don't know where it's gone is that there is a splice variant of 2.2 in C fibers, selectively in the nociceptors, which raise the possibility that one could develop either a conotoxin or an antagonist that would take a 2.2 from the nociceptor and not get the side effect profile that would arise when the molecule gets to the brain, which can be pretty problematic.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not resistant. DR. BASBAUM: Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So I've got a quick question. The primary receptor in the autonomic ganglia is also the nicotinic acetyl choline receptor. And I was wondering and this is a somewhat naive question whether those subunits, the alpha 7, alpha 9, alpha 10, were also present in that receptor. DR. OLIVERA: I'm sorry. Which ganglion are you DR. FREEMAN: The autonomic ganglia. DR. OLIVERA: So in sympathetic ganglia, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: Another question I had I'm pretty sure you're familiar with Diane Lipscombe's work. So for the audience, the interesting observation that she made and I don't know where it's gone is that there is a splice variant of 2.2 in C fibers, selectively in the nociceptors, which raise the possibility that one could develop either a conotoxin or an antagonist that would take a 2.2 from the nociceptor and not get the side effect profile that would arise when the molecule gets to the brain, which can be pretty problematic. Has that story gone anywhere? I really	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	resistant to their own venoms. So as long as it's in their venom apparatus in their gut, they're okay, but if the venom is injected into the body cavity, they're sensitive. So unlike snakes, where they have evolved nicotinic receptors that are intrinsically resistant to their own venoms, the snails are not resistant. DR. BASBAUM: Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So I've got a quick question. The primary receptor in the autonomic ganglia is also the nicotinic acetyl choline receptor. And I was wondering and this is a somewhat naive question whether those subunits, the alpha 7, alpha 9, alpha 10, were also present in that receptor. DR. OLIVERA: I'm sorry. Which ganglion are you DR. FREEMAN: The autonomic ganglia.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	an invertebrate. I think when that gene was cloned, the so-called painless gene in drosophila was a little bit annoying, just the notion that drosophila has a painless gene. So they have nociceptors. I mean, they will respond to injury, but to say they have pain, I think you do need a brain. (Laughter.) DR. BASBAUM: Another question I had I'm pretty sure you're familiar with Diane Lipscombe's work. So for the audience, the interesting observation that she made and I don't know where it's gone is that there is a splice variant of 2.2 in C fibers, selectively in the nociceptors, which raise the possibility that one could develop either a conotoxin or an antagonist that would take a 2.2 from the nociceptor and not get the side effect profile that would arise when the molecule gets to the brain, which can be pretty problematic. Has that story gone anywhere? I really haven't seen much more.	

Third ACTTION Scientific Workshop - · ---

Fransformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies	June 24, 20
Page 169	Page 17
1 pharmacologically. I haven't seen anybody try to	1 But my experience is, the dirtier the drug, the
2 develop a specific pharmacological agent that	2 more likelier it is to work. And I think when you
3 distinguishes between spliced variants. But it's a	3 don't know the origins of something, it's kind of a
4 good idea.	4 shot in the dark, but at least try it. But I think
5 DR. BASBAUM: I think we've had a long	5 the delivery seems pretty straightforward for us in
6 morning. Why don't we have lunch? And then we'll	6 that the cells release where they are.
7 get together after lunch. Thank you all for an	7 So I think we can get it delivered. Just
8 entertaining morning.	8 will it work? That's the main problem.
9 We're doing that now? What? Are we	9 DR. FREEMAN: So picking up on Steve's
.o cancelling lunch? I'm sorry. I was concerned	10 comment or question and Joe's comment about
1 about the time. Tell you what. We'll try. We'll	11 delivery to the right cells, early on, in fact, I
2 have an overall discussion for a half-hour and	12 think there's still some ongoing studies in
.3 then I'm just jet-lagged. I didn't sleep well	13 neurodegenerative disease, intrathecal therapy, and
4 last night.	14 there was very early on intra-arterial injection
.5 (Pause.)	15 therapy, where using stem cells was proposed.
6 Q&A and Panel Discussion	16 I wanted to hear some comments. Obviously,
7 DR. PORRECA: So I think it's open questions	17 the ease of delivery is much greater, and there
8 for the panel, and Mac has the first question.	18 will certainly be much more uptake if the
9 DR. MCMAHON: I was told to ask questions.	19 microinjections were not involved. So I want to
 So I thought I would ask the same question that I 	20 hear both from Allan and Clive what your view is on
1 asked Yves yesterday. And that is, you all	21 those modes of delivery.
2 presented different technologies, different	DR. BASBAUM: Many years ago, Jackie
Page 170	Page 1
1 approaches, that seemed to be a fantastic promise	1 Sagen actually, she even had a company. I don't
2 for the future, but what do you know about them	2 remember the name of it. It didn't last too long.
3 that makes it difficult? Or what's the downside of	3 But the approach there was intrathecal delivery.
4 each of these approaches?	4 And there were some patients, and what they were
5 DR. GLORIOSO: I can start with that one.	5 using was originally bovine adrenal cells because
6 The hardest problem about doing gene therapy for	6 they released enkephalin. Adrenal cells make
7 pain is delivery to the right cells. And we have	7 buckets of enkephalins and norepi [ph], the two of
8 debated this a lot. And the reason I picked on	8 which independently, at the level of the spinal
9 post-herpetic neuralgia is because at least we can	9 cord, can be anti-nociceptive analgesic through an
0 identify a dermatome where pain is arising and	10 alpha 2 site and through an opiate receptor.
1 might have a good shot. But there are many other	11 They implanted these cells intrathecally
2 types of pain where it's maybe a lot more	12 initially in animals and then in humans. There
3 problematic to deliver the vector.	13 were a few humans that were trialed. It was in
DR. SVENDSEN: I guess, just to go on, with	14 France. It was Yves Lasorte, the neurosurgeon
.5 the stem cell delivery, we haven't done it in pain	15 there who did it.
.6 models yet, so we don't know what the problems will	16 The problem there is that that's a pump.
7 be, but I imagine that you have different growth	17 There's no question. That's all it is, is a pump.
8 factors one could choose and different peptides.	18 And then, do the cells sit down? They don't
9 And it's going to be a case of choosing the right	19 penetrate. What happens to the molecules? And it
20 one. And GDNF, based on your work and many other	20 just didn't go anywhere.
1 papers, is the best bet.	20 Just didn't go anywhere. 21 So I'm not sure that that's any different

It's not a very clean pharmacological agent. 22

112	Insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 173		Page 175
1	that will put out the compound for you. I do think	1	better with the surgery and less invasive put them
2	there's a big difference between putting it in	2	in.
3	intraparenchymally.	3	Just going back to the last question, if we
4	DR. RICE: Just to comment, if my memory	4	put the cells in the CSF, if we put them in the
5	serves me correct, the other reason that died was	5	blood, they don't penetrate into the core. In our
6	because it was bovine tissue. It was exactly the	6	experience, mesenchymal cells don't get into the
7	same time as the bovine spongiform encephalopathy	7	brain. Neural cells don't get in. And I think
8	crisis. And I think it was a safety concern that	8	UCSF would agree, you have to do them
9	essentially killed it rather than an efficacy	9	intraparenchymally. We'd love in stroke to be able
10	issue, although efficacy may have been a problem.	10	to get in through the blood. And we've tried, and
11	But it was bad timing.	11	tried, and tried, but we have to go
12	DR. BASBAUM: Okay.	12	intraparenchymally to get the cells in and
13	DR. PORRECA: Andrew, could you continue?	13	integrate it.
14	So in what circumstances would an ethics committee	14	So what pain syndromes would you
15	approve this kind of a therapy, and what types of	15	think maybe the FDA's in the back would be
16	patients might be considered?	16	severe enough?
17	DR. RICE: Tough question. I mean,	17	DR. BASBAUM: I really disagree with Andrew.
18	Jacquelyn Sagen's idea was killed for a very	18	DR. RICE: You asked the question.
19	specific reason at the time, that may or may not	19	DR. BASBAUM: No. You suggested you would
20	have been a problem. It's something we discussed	20	only want a terminal condition, and this is a true
21	in the coffee break. Because of the potential	21	story. On the first grant that we submitted on
22	downside of this, I think an ethics committee would	22	this proposal, it didn't get funded. It did
	Page 174		Page 176
1	have difficulties very much my personal view;	1	eventually get funded, but one of the reviewers
2	you asked me the question with a non-fatal	2	wrote, "Why would you ever want a transplant
3	symptomatic condition, although of course they have	3	therapy for a non-life-threatening condition like
4	given approval for retinitis pigmentosa, for	4	chronic pain?"
5	example.	5	To me, that's an unbelievable
6	But that's why I suspect that conditions	6	misunderstanding. And you no better than most,
7	like ALS, which I have very personal experience of,	7	there are some patients who will actually commit
8	would be much more likely. And it's also	8	suicide when you can't treat them. If the mantra
9	associated with a rapid death. But that's a very	9	in the field is that the best there is in some of
10	personal view, and there's people who know more	10	the neuropathic pain conditions is 30 percent
11	about ethics in the room than me. But I think it's	11	efficacy and 30 percent of patients, then what do
12	going to be difficult to justify paying for such	12	you tell the rest of the patients?
13	approaches.	13	So the real issue is risk/benefit. And
14	DR. SVENDSEN: I kind of agree. It's a	14	that's the question, but that's no different from
15	cost/risk benefit. But I don't know. I'm not	15	any drug. If you have a spinal cord injury case,
16	familiar with the pain syndromes enough, but I	16	where 70 percent of those individuals have
17	imagine there are certain pain syndromes where	17	intractable pains that is one of the things that
18	there's nothing. And you know, the chronic pain,	18	makes their life so miserable is that
19	the risk of putting some cells in the spinal	19	potentially a therapy where there's already damage
20	cord the good thing with ALS trial, as we've	20	to the spinal cord?
21	shown now and Boulis has shown, we can put cells in	21	I think the real question is how much damage
22	the cord without doing too much damage as we get	22	is produced by the intraparenchymal therapy. And I
		1	

Ir	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies	June 24, 2014
	Page 177	Page 179
1	don't know the answer to that, but surgeons have	1 about intrathecal baclofen and its efficacy in
2	been clearly going in, and putting probes in, and	2 pain.
3	putting stimulators, intrathecal pumps, injecting	3 DR. BASBAUM: That actually is one of the
4	alcohol into trigeminal ganglion.	4 more disappointing things, I have to admit. So
5	So I think one can manipulate the nervous	5 Frank is asking the question. GABA-B
e	system quite considerably. And it's a matter of	6 agonists there are GABA-B receptors on primary
5	time. We'll find out when it's tried.	7 afferents, on post-synaptic neurons. Baclofen,
8	B DR. PORRECA: Just to clarify, I mean, the	8 which is a GABA-B agonist, particularly effective
9	30 percent efficacy number that you mentioned, I	9 in animal models, in a variety of animal pain
10	think you have to compare it with intrathecal	10 models that have been intrathecally.
11	delivery by pump of drugs that we have available.	11 It is not effective for pain in humans.
12	2 And I think that that number is considerably	12 It's effective for spasticity and maybe the pain
13	Bhigher.	13 secondary to the spasticity, so it's used in MS
14	DR. BASBAUM: I agree.	14 patients, for example.
15	5 DR. MCMAHON: [Inaudible – off	15 That's a puzzle that I don't understand.
16	mic] provocative. Surgeons do lots of things	16 That's one of the examples of poor translation.
17	that are not necessarily good.	17 Now, is it also possible that when you give a GABA
18	B (Laughter.)	18 agonist, that it's targeting both GABA-A and B and,
19	5 5	19 together, the two might be effective?
	surgical fusion for low back pain. I mean, is that	20 Again, it's empirical. We know that GABA-A
	a good thing or a bad thing? I mean, someone does	21 agonists can help, and some of the benzos probably
22	it because the people are desperate doesn't mean	22 enhance the effect of some of the existing
	Page 178	Page 180
	Page 178	Page 180
	it's a good idea.	1 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic
2	it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons.
3	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the
3	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition
2	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal
	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not
	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs.
2 4 5 6 7 8	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view exactly, and I don't I was putting in an extreme 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs. But I would then argue, because I know where
	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view exactly, and I don't I was putting in an extreme point, but I can see the corollary, for example, 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs. But I would then argue, because I know where you're going, Frank, if I were to transplant, then,
	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view exactly, and I don't I was putting in an extreme point, but I can see the corollary, for example, with heart transplantation. 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs. But I would then argue, because I know where you're going, Frank, if I were to transplant, then, trigeminal neuralgia, it may be actually a terrific
2 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view exactly, and I don't I was putting in an extreme point, but I can see the corollary, for example, with heart transplantation. In the beginning, before we knew it worked, 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs. But I would then argue, because I know where you're going, Frank, if I were to transplant, then, trigeminal neuralgia, it may be actually a terrific first place to go.
	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view exactly, and I don't I was putting in an extreme point, but I can see the corollary, for example, with heart transplantation. In the beginning, before we knew it worked, we only took the very, very sickest patients. Now, 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs. But I would then argue, because I know where you're going, Frank, if I were to transplant, then, trigeminal neuralgia, it may be actually a terrific first place to go. Am I right about the baclofen?
2 3 4 9 9 6 6 7 7 7 8 8 9 9 9 9 10 11 12 13	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view exactly, and I don't I was putting in an extreme point, but I can see the corollary, for example, with heart transplantation. In the beginning, before we knew it worked, we only took the very, very sickest patients. Now, it's not a routine procedure, but it's done on 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs. But I would then argue, because I know where you're going, Frank, if I were to transplant, then, trigeminal neuralgia, it may be actually a terrific first place to go. Am I right about the baclofen? DR. PORRECA: Yes. Misha?
	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view exactly, and I don't I was putting in an extreme point, but I can see the corollary, for example, with heart transplantation. In the beginning, before we knew it worked, we only took the very, very sickest patients. Now, it's not a routine procedure, but it's done on people who are really quite healthy because they're 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs. But I would then argue, because I know where you're going, Frank, if I were to transplant, then, trigeminal neuralgia, it may be actually a terrific first place to go. Am I right about the baclofen? DR. PORRECA: Yes. Misha? DR. BACKONJA: Just to kind of inject a
	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view exactly, and I don't I was putting in an extreme point, but I can see the corollary, for example, with heart transplantation. In the beginning, before we knew it worked, we only took the very, very sickest patients. Now, it's not a routine procedure, but it's done on people who are really quite healthy because they're better potential recipients for a transplant. 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs. But I would then argue, because I know where you're going, Frank, if I were to transplant, then, trigeminal neuralgia, it may be actually a terrific first place to go. Am I right about the baclofen? DR. PORRECA: Yes. Misha? Ittle bit of clinical perspective as one of the
	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view exactly, and I don't I was putting in an extreme point, but I can see the corollary, for example, with heart transplantation. In the beginning, before we knew it worked, we only took the very, very sickest patients. Now, it's not a routine procedure, but it's done on people who are really quite healthy because they're better potential recipients for a transplant. So I guess the point I was making is that we 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs. But I would then argue, because I know where you're going, Frank, if I were to transplant, then, trigeminal neuralgia, it may be actually a terrific first place to go. Am I right about the baclofen? DR. PORRECA: Yes. Misha? Ittle bit of clinical perspective as one of the clinicians here, to argue for some conditions, that
	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view exactly, and I don't I was putting in an extreme point, but I can see the corollary, for example, with heart transplantation. In the beginning, before we knew it worked, we only took the very, very sickest patients. Now, it's not a routine procedure, but it's done on people who are really quite healthy because they're better potential recipients for a transplant. So I guess the point I was making is that we 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs. But I would then argue, because I know where you're going, Frank, if I were to transplant, then, trigeminal neuralgia, it may be actually a terrific first place to go. Am I right about the baclofen? DR. PORRECA: Yes. Misha? DR. BACKONJA: Just to kind of inject a little bit of clinical perspective as one of the clinicians here, to argue for some conditions, that
	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view exactly, and I don't I was putting in an extreme point, but I can see the corollary, for example, with heart transplantation. In the beginning, before we knew it worked, we only took the very, very sickest patients. Now, it's not a routine procedure, but it's done on people who are really quite healthy because they're better potential recipients for a transplant. So I guess the point I was making is that we 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs. But I would then argue, because I know where you're going, Frank, if I were to transplant, then, trigeminal neuralgia, it may be actually a terrific first place to go. Am I right about the baclofen? DR. PORRECA: Yes. Misha? DR. BACKONJA: Just to kind of inject a little bit of clinical perspective as one of the clinicians here, to argue for some conditions, that they're really painful and as Allan pointed,
	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view exactly, and I don't I was putting in an extreme point, but I can see the corollary, for example, with heart transplantation. In the beginning, before we knew it worked, we only took the very, very sickest patients. Now, it's not a routine procedure, but it's done on people who are really quite healthy because they're better potential recipients for a transplant. So I guess the point I was making is that we probably need to look for the dangers and the issues in the fatal conditions before we go on to 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs. But I would then argue, because I know where you're going, Frank, if I were to transplant, then, trigeminal neuralgia, it may be actually a terrific first place to go. Am I right about the baclofen? DR. PORRECA: Yes. Misha? DR. BACKONJA: Just to kind of inject a little bit of clinical perspective as one of the clinicians here, to argue for some conditions, that they're really painful and as Allan pointed, some patients really got to the point of suicide,
	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view exactly, and I don't I was putting in an extreme point, but I can see the corollary, for example, with heart transplantation. In the beginning, before we knew it worked, we only took the very, very sickest patients. Now, it's not a routine procedure, but it's done on people who are really quite healthy because they're better potential recipients for a transplant. So I guess the point I was making is that we probably need to look for the dangers and the issues in the fatal conditions before we go on to symptomatic conditions. That's the point I was 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs. But I would then argue, because I know where you're going, Frank, if I were to transplant, then, trigeminal neuralgia, it may be actually a terrific first place to go. Am I right about the baclofen? DR. PORRECA: Yes. Misha? DR. BACKONJA: Just to kind of inject a little bit of clinical perspective as one of the clinicians here, to argue for some conditions, that they're really painful and as Allan pointed, some patients really got to the point of suicide, where pain is so intractable. Again, we don't have
	 it's a good idea. Now, when the stem cell therapy started, one of the questions asked was so maybe I can ask you how sick would you have to be to have this very invasive procedure? I mean, I would not have it for a bit of sciatica. I just wouldn't. DR. RICE: I can see your point of view exactly, and I don't I was putting in an extreme point, but I can see the corollary, for example, with heart transplantation. In the beginning, before we knew it worked, we only took the very, very sickest patients. Now, it's not a routine procedure, but it's done on people who are really quite healthy because they're better potential recipients for a transplant. So I guess the point I was making is that we probably need to look for the dangers and the issues in the fatal conditions before we go on to symptomatic conditions. That's the point I was making. DR. BASBAUM: Be provocative, Frank. 	 compounds. You have a side-effect therapeutic window if it starts to get to motor neurons. I think the GABA-B story is one of the puzzles. As far as I know, the only pain condition in which it ever really has worked is trigeminal neuralgia. The neurologists can concur it's not used anymore because there's better drugs. But I would then argue, because I know where you're going, Frank, if I were to transplant, then, trigeminal neuralgia, it may be actually a terrific first place to go. Am I right about the baclofen? DR. PORRECA: Yes. Misha? DR. BACKONJA: Just to kind of inject a little bit of clinical perspective as one of the clinicians here, to argue for some conditions, that they're really painful and as Allan pointed, some patients really got to the point of suicide, where pain is so intractable. Again, we don't have

	Page 181		Page 183
	Co op already stated probably arisal card	_	individual patients. I think that understanding
1	So as already stated, probably spinal cord		individual patients. I think that understanding will increase over time.
	injury or post-central pain syndromes, they're very level [indiscernible] one would consider. And		
	especially, if there's any evidence that would be	3	Also, kind of an interesting emerging story, even in patients that are not responding to
	of benefit to some neural recovery, their sensory		systemic delivery of opioids, that wearing them off
	motor, or any, would be a benefit.		the opioids and then beginning an intrathecal pump
7	So maybe it's one of those things, as we		seems to potentially be effective.
	talked about yesterday. We should widen our	8	So a lot of questions that I think we don't
	scopes, what we're measuring, if you're indeed		understand, but there may be still more to learn
	looking for motor recovery. Also Clive and his		with a mechanism that potentially could be
	team is literally working on pain as well. He's		effective in some patients.
	looking for adverse effects, but also potential	12	DR. BACKONJA: Another unfortunate
	recovery. So it's an appropriate strategy and		observation against intrathecal therapy is that,
	something to be considered.		frequently, there is development of tolerance,
15	But regarding the efficacy of intrathecal		which is really a big problem.
	therapies, our feeling in managing so many pumps,	16	DR. PORRECA: Well, sometimes.
	they were nearly not as effective. I mean, there's	17	DR. BACKONJA: A lot of times.
	really no good trials to compare, any comparator	18	DR. PORRECA: I don't know.
19	studies. But one of the big issues in intrathecal	19	DR. BACKONJA: Clinical experience.
20	delivery of drugs is, there are a limited number of	20	DR. PORRECA: Yes.
21	things that are proved, but in clinical practice, a	21	DR. BASBAUM: I wonder if I could ask Toto a
22	number of things are utilized. And unfortunately,	22	question. So Prialt has been around for quite a
	Page 182		Page 184
1	Page 182 we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do	1	while now. And you showed that some of the other
	-		-
2	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do	2	while now. And you showed that some of the other
2	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently	2 3	while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of
2 3 4	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy.	2 3 4	while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was
2 3 4 5	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a	2 3 4 5	while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned.
2 3 4 5 6	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one	2 3 4 5 6	while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt
2 3 4 5 6 7	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one system is addressed, but there are other systems	2 3 4 5 6 7	while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt had an interesting history, as you know. I mean,
2 3 4 5 6 7	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one system is addressed, but there are other systems that are not. By combining therapies, you will	2 3 4 5 6 7	 while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt had an interesting history, as you know. I mean, it can be incredibly effective. It had a weird
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one system is addressed, but there are other systems that are not. By combining therapies, you will look at some efficacy. The sad story in all of this is, there's really no good prospective data. So one hope is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt had an interesting history, as you know. I mean, it can be incredibly effective. It had a weird side effect profile, and I think it scared a lot of people, but it can be remarkably effective. As you said, there's so many potential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one system is addressed, but there are other systems that are not. By combining therapies, you will look at some efficacy. The sad story in all of this is, there's really no good prospective data. So one hope is that with the registries that are now being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt had an interesting history, as you know. I mean, it can be incredibly effective. It had a weird side effect profile, and I think it scared a lot of people, but it can be remarkably effective. As you said, there's so many potential targets. Is there some explanation for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one system is addressed, but there are other systems that are not. By combining therapies, you will look at some efficacy. The sad story in all of this is, there's really no good prospective data. So one hope is that with the registries that are now being implemented, led by the Stanford effort and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt had an interesting history, as you know. I mean, it can be incredibly effective. It had a weird side effect profile, and I think it scared a lot of people, but it can be remarkably effective. As you said, there's so many potential targets. Is there some explanation for the relatively slow clinical development?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one system is addressed, but there are other systems that are not. By combining therapies, you will look at some efficacy. The sad story in all of this is, there's really no good prospective data. So one hope is that with the registries that are now being implemented, led by the Stanford effort and Huro [ph] is a part of PROMISE effort, that we will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt had an interesting history, as you know. I mean, it can be incredibly effective. It had a weird side effect profile, and I think it scared a lot of people, but it can be remarkably effective. As you said, there's so many potential targets. Is there some explanation for the relatively slow clinical development? DR. OLIVERA: So I think it's the delivery
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one system is addressed, but there are other systems that are not. By combining therapies, you will look at some efficacy. The sad story in all of this is, there's really no good prospective data. So one hope is that with the registries that are now being implemented, led by the Stanford effort and Huro [ph] is a part of PROMISE effort, that we will learn from real-life experience if it works or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt had an interesting history, as you know. I mean, it can be incredibly effective. It had a weird side effect profile, and I think it scared a lot of people, but it can be remarkably effective. As you said, there's so many potential targets. Is there some explanation for the relatively slow clinical development? DR. OLIVERA: So I think it's the delivery problem again, intrathecal delivery. There's no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one system is addressed, but there are other systems that are not. By combining therapies, you will look at some efficacy. The sad story in all of this is, there's really no good prospective data. So one hope is that with the registries that are now being implemented, led by the Stanford effort and Huro [ph] is a part of PROMISE effort, that we will learn from real-life experience if it works or doesn't. But there's really so few data points	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt had an interesting history, as you know. I mean, it can be incredibly effective. It had a weird side effect profile, and I think it scared a lot of people, but it can be remarkably effective. As you said, there's so many potential targets. Is there some explanation for the relatively slow clinical development? DR. OLIVERA: So I think it's the delivery problem again, intrathecal delivery. There's no financing at the moment to push a drug for a market
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one system is addressed, but there are other systems that are not. By combining therapies, you will look at some efficacy. The sad story in all of this is, there's really no good prospective data. So one hope is that with the registries that are now being implemented, led by the Stanford effort and Huro [ph] is a part of PROMISE effort, that we will learn from real-life experience if it works or doesn't. But there's really so few data points regarding the comparison.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt had an interesting history, as you know. I mean, it can be incredibly effective. It had a weird side effect profile, and I think it scared a lot of people, but it can be remarkably effective. As you said, there's so many potential targets. Is there some explanation for the relatively slow clinical development? DR. OLIVERA: So I think it's the delivery problem again, intrathecal delivery. There's no financing at the moment to push a drug for a market of only intrathecal patients that have to. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one system is addressed, but there are other systems that are not. By combining therapies, you will look at some efficacy. The sad story in all of this is, there's really no good prospective data. So one hope is that with the registries that are now being implemented, led by the Stanford effort and Huro [ph] is a part of PROMISE effort, that we will learn from real-life experience if it works or doesn't. But there's really so few data points regarding the comparison. DR. PORRECA: Yes. But I think that our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt had an interesting history, as you know. I mean, it can be incredibly effective. It had a weird side effect profile, and I think it scared a lot of people, but it can be remarkably effective. As you said, there's so many potential targets. Is there some explanation for the relatively slow clinical development? DR. OLIVERA: So I think it's the delivery problem again, intrathecal delivery. There's no financing at the moment to push a drug for a market of only intrathecal patients that have to. So there are a number of strategies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one system is addressed, but there are other systems that are not. By combining therapies, you will look at some efficacy. The sad story in all of this is, there's really no good prospective data. So one hope is that with the registries that are now being implemented, led by the Stanford effort and Huro [ph] is a part of PROMISE effort, that we will learn from real-life experience if it works or doesn't. But there's really so few data points regarding the comparison. DR. PORRECA: Yes. But I think that our understanding is emerging with the intrathecal 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt had an interesting history, as you know. I mean, it can be incredibly effective. It had a weird side effect profile, and I think it scared a lot of people, but it can be remarkably effective. As you said, there's so many potential targets. Is there some explanation for the relatively slow clinical development? DR. OLIVERA: So I think it's the delivery problem again, intrathecal delivery. There's no financing at the moment to push a drug for a market of only intrathecal patients that have to. So there are a number of strategies. DR. BASBAUM: Chas still here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one system is addressed, but there are other systems that are not. By combining therapies, you will look at some efficacy. The sad story in all of this is, there's really no good prospective data. So one hope is that with the registries that are now being implemented, led by the Stanford effort and Huro [ph] is a part of PROMISE effort, that we will learn from real-life experience if it works or doesn't. But there's really so few data points regarding the comparison. DR. PORRECA: Yes. But I think that our understanding is emerging with the intrathecal therapies. And I know that there is a lot of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt had an interesting history, as you know. I mean, it can be incredibly effective. It had a weird side effect profile, and I think it scared a lot of people, but it can be remarkably effective. As you said, there's so many potential targets. Is there some explanation for the relatively slow clinical development? DR. OLIVERA: So I think it's the delivery problem again, intrathecal delivery. There's no financing at the moment to push a drug for a market of only intrathecal patients that have to. So there are a number of strategies. DR. BASBAUM: Chas still here? DR. OLIVERA: Yes. There are a number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one system is addressed, but there are other systems that are not. By combining therapies, you will look at some efficacy. The sad story in all of this is, there's really no good prospective data. So one hope is that with the registries that are now being implemented, led by the Stanford effort and Huro [ph] is a part of PROMISE effort, that we will learn from real-life experience if it works or doesn't. But there's really so few data points regarding the comparison. DR. PORRECA: Yes. But I think that our understanding is emerging with the intrathecal therapies. And I know that there is a lot of observation at the moment, and different clinicians	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt had an interesting history, as you know. I mean, it can be incredibly effective. It had a weird side effect profile, and I think it scared a lot of people, but it can be remarkably effective. As you said, there's so many potential targets. Is there some explanation for the relatively slow clinical development? DR. OLIVERA: So I think it's the delivery problem again, intrathecal delivery. There's no financing at the moment to push a drug for a market of only intrathecal patients that have to. So there are a number of strategies. DR. OLIVERA: Yes. There are a number of strategies to try to make these more systemically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 we end up doing in intrathecal therapy what they do in systemic therapy, which is frequently combination therapy. Again, something that's evolved as a clinical practice, we're thinking that maybe one system is addressed, but there are other systems that are not. By combining therapies, you will look at some efficacy. The sad story in all of this is, there's really no good prospective data. So one hope is that with the registries that are now being implemented, led by the Stanford effort and Huro [ph] is a part of PROMISE effort, that we will learn from real-life experience if it works or doesn't. But there's really so few data points regarding the comparison. DR. PORRECA: Yes. But I think that our understanding is emerging with the intrathecal therapies. And I know that there is a lot of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 while now. And you showed that some of the other toxins are in trials. I'm actually kind of curious, because there are so many I mean, I was familiar with the contulakin, as you mentioned. But what is slowing down the development? Prialt had an interesting history, as you know. I mean, it can be incredibly effective. It had a weird side effect profile, and I think it scared a lot of people, but it can be remarkably effective. As you said, there's so many potential targets. Is there some explanation for the relatively slow clinical development? DR. OLIVERA: So I think it's the delivery problem again, intrathecal delivery. There's no financing at the moment to push a drug for a market of only intrathecal patients that have to. So there are a number of strategies. DR. BASBAUM: Chas still here? DR. OLIVERA: Yes. There are a number of

110	instormative Strategies-Development of rain Therapies		Juiic 24, 2014
	Page 185		Page 187
1	DR. OLIVERA: Well, one of them is to	1	like look at transcripts, and profiles, and things
2	circularize the peptide. And in a number of cases,	2	like that, what do you see with that?
3	if you have no ends, that's much more resistant to	3	DR. SVENDSEN: Beautiful transcript. I have
4	proteases, and so you can put massive amounts into	4	another whole talk on big data. And I think one of
5	the circulation. And you can also modify the	5	the things that's coming out is they do have a very
6	peptide to get more of it across the blood-brain	6	unique transcription profile. We did RNA seq, and
7	barrier. And so people are trying that.	7	you can tell the difference between HD, SMA,
8	DR. PORRECA: I don't think that Prialt is	8	Parkinson's, and ALS just on the transcript done.
9	universally effective. I think there are some	9	And it segregates beautifully into those with
10	patients that respond, but there are plenty that		non-bias so you can do it on the transcript.
	don't, which is kind of interesting from a	11	
	mechanistic point of view as well.	12	models, so have you tried making transgenic animals
13	Yes?		with the cells? In other words, use them as stem
14	DR. GLORIOSO: I wanted to ask Clive a		cell for generating animals.
15	question, which is that I'm a big fan of iPS cells	15	
	as well, and they're great as model systems for	16	on than our IRB would probably go. I'm not sure
	understanding disease. So I was wondering, in the		what you mean.
	case of deriving iPS from ALS patients and	18	
	transdifferentiating back into neurons, what are	19	cells and put them into developing embryos, and
	the differences between those neurons, if any, and		gotten mosaic-type animals.
	the neurons that are normal?	21	
22	DR. SVENDSEN: That's another whole talk.	22	committees as to what we can do with the human iPS.
	Page 186		Page 188
1	Page 186 But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal	1	Page 188 You're not allowed to put them back into developing
	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal		
2	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram	2	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos
2 3	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor	2	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs.
2 3 4	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again	2 3 4	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You
2 3 4 5	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just	2 3 4	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid.	2 3 4 5 6	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very
2 3 4 5 6 7	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is	2 3 4 5 6	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is an adult onset disorder, we reprogrammed them. We	2 3 4 5 6 7	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to DR. GLORIOSO: Yes, of course.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is an adult onset disorder, we reprogrammed them. We then pushed them to be motor neurons. The motor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to DR. GLORIOSO: Yes, of course. DR. SVENDSEN: make a mini-human. But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is an adult onset disorder, we reprogrammed them. We then pushed them to be motor neurons. The motor neurons looked pretty happy. And in fact, they	2 3 4 5 7 8 9	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to DR. GLORIOSO: Yes, of course. DR. SVENDSEN: make a mini-human. But what we are doing is making organoids. And we can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is an adult onset disorder, we reprogrammed them. We then pushed them to be motor neurons. The motor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to DR. GLORIOSO: Yes, of course. DR. SVENDSEN: make a mini-human. But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is an adult onset disorder, we reprogrammed them. We then pushed them to be motor neurons. The motor neurons looked pretty happy. And in fact, they lasted six weeks. They lasted 10 weeks. They	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to DR. GLORIOSO: Yes, of course. DR. SVENDSEN: make a mini-human. But what we are doing is making organoids. And we can almost make now a spinal cord organoid where the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is an adult onset disorder, we reprogrammed them. We then pushed them to be motor neurons. The motor neurons looked pretty happy. And in fact, they lasted six weeks. They lasted 10 weeks. They didn't undergo that death with the patient. Now, maybe we have to wait 40 years or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to DR. GLORIOSO: Yes, of course. DR. SVENDSEN: make a mini-human. But what we are doing is making organoids. And we can almost make now a spinal cord organoid where the cells self-aggregate and start making something that looks a bit like a spinal cord, so we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is an adult onset disorder, we reprogrammed them. We then pushed them to be motor neurons. The motor neurons looked pretty happy. And in fact, they lasted six weeks. They lasted 10 weeks. They didn't undergo that death with the patient. Now, maybe we have to wait 40 years or 60 years, and the graduate students weren't that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to DR. GLORIOSO: Yes, of course. DR. SVENDSEN: make a mini-human. But what we are doing is making organoids. And we can almost make now a spinal cord organoid where the cells self-aggregate and start making something that looks a bit like a spinal cord, so we're getting closer to that technology.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is an adult onset disorder, we reprogrammed them. We then pushed them to be motor neurons. The motor neurons looked pretty happy. And in fact, they lasted six weeks. They lasted 10 weeks. They didn't undergo that death with the patient. Now, maybe we have to wait 40 years or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to DR. GLORIOSO: Yes, of course. DR. SVENDSEN: make a mini-human. But what we are doing is making organoids. And we can almost make now a spinal cord organoid where the cells self-aggregate and start making something that looks a bit like a spinal cord, so we're getting closer to that technology. But I just wanted to go back to the last
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is an adult onset disorder, we reprogrammed them. We then pushed them to be motor neurons. The motor neurons looked pretty happy. And in fact, they lasted six weeks. They lasted 10 weeks. They didn't undergo that death with the patient. Now, maybe we have to wait 40 years or 60 years, and the graduate students weren't that keen. So maybe we have to accelerate, perhaps, the differentiation or aid the cells somehow,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to DR. GLORIOSO: Yes, of course. DR. SVENDSEN: make a mini-human. But what we are doing is making organoids. And we can almost make now a spinal cord organoid where the cells self-aggregate and start making something that looks a bit like a spinal cord, so we're getting closer to that technology. But I just wanted to go back to the last discussion briefly and the consensus. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is an adult onset disorder, we reprogrammed them. We then pushed them to be motor neurons. The motor neurons looked pretty happy. And in fact, they lasted six weeks. They lasted 10 weeks. They didn't undergo that death with the patient. Now, maybe we have to wait 40 years or 60 years, and the graduate students weren't that keen. So maybe we have to accelerate, perhaps, the differentiation or aid the cells somehow, artificially, to bring out a phenotype. But we did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to DR. GLORIOSO: Yes, of course. DR. SVENDSEN: make a mini-human. But what we are doing is making organoids. And we can almost make now a spinal cord organoid where the cells self-aggregate and start making something that looks a bit like a spinal cord, so we're getting closer to that technology. But I just wanted to go back to the last discussion briefly and the consensus. And something that would be very useful to me, and I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is an adult onset disorder, we reprogrammed them. We then pushed them to be motor neurons. The motor neurons looked pretty happy. And in fact, they lasted six weeks. They lasted 10 weeks. They didn't undergo that death with the patient. Now, maybe we have to wait 40 years or 60 years, and the graduate students weren't that keen. So maybe we have to accelerate, perhaps, the differentiation or aid the cells somehow, artificially, to bring out a phenotype. But we did see some more interesting phenotypes, which were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to DR. GLORIOSO: Yes, of course. DR. SVENDSEN: make a mini-human. But what we are doing is making organoids. And we can almost make now a spinal cord organoid where the cells self-aggregate and start making something that looks a bit like a spinal cord, so we're getting closer to that technology. But I just wanted to go back to the last discussion briefly and the consensus. And something that would be very useful to me, and I'm sure to Allan and Joe is because we're all on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is an adult onset disorder, we reprogrammed them. We then pushed them to be motor neurons. The motor neurons looked pretty happy. And in fact, they lasted six weeks. They lasted 10 weeks. They didn't undergo that death with the patient. Now, maybe we have to wait 40 years or 60 years, and the graduate students weren't that keen. So maybe we have to accelerate, perhaps, the differentiation or aid the cells somehow, artificially, to bring out a phenotype. But we did see some more interesting phenotypes, which were electrophysiological changes in the cells, more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to DR. GLORIOSO: Yes, of course. DR. SVENDSEN: make a mini-human. But what we are doing is making organoids. And we can almost make now a spinal cord organoid where the cells self-aggregate and start making something that looks a bit like a spinal cord, so we're getting closer to that technology. But I just wanted to go back to the last discussion briefly and the consensus. And something that would be very useful to me, and I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is an adult onset disorder, we reprogrammed them. We then pushed them to be motor neurons. The motor neurons looked pretty happy. And in fact, they lasted six weeks. They lasted 10 weeks. They didn't undergo that death with the patient. Now, maybe we have to wait 40 years or 60 years, and the graduate students weren't that keen. So maybe we have to accelerate, perhaps, the differentiation or aid the cells somehow, artificially, to bring out a phenotype. But we did see some more interesting phenotypes, which were electrophysiological changes in the cells, more firing. So there were, actually, interestingly,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to DR. GLORIOSO: Yes, of course. DR. SVENDSEN: make a mini-human. But what we are doing is making organoids. And we can almost make now a spinal cord organoid where the cells self-aggregate and start making something that looks a bit like a spinal cord, so we're getting closer to that technology. But I just wanted to go back to the last discussion briefly and the consensus. And something that would be very useful to me, and I'm sure to Allan and Joe is because we're all on the edge, it seems like, of clinical all four of us, clinical trials.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But I think that the lessons we learned from spinal muscular atrophy, like I showed, when you reprogram skin cells from a patient there, where the motor neurons die, and then you push them forward again to be motor neurons, after six weeks they just start dying, just like they did in the kid. When we did that with ALS patients, which is an adult onset disorder, we reprogrammed them. We then pushed them to be motor neurons. The motor neurons looked pretty happy. And in fact, they lasted six weeks. They lasted 10 weeks. They didn't undergo that death with the patient. Now, maybe we have to wait 40 years or 60 years, and the graduate students weren't that keen. So maybe we have to accelerate, perhaps, the differentiation or aid the cells somehow, artificially, to bring out a phenotype. But we did see some more interesting phenotypes, which were electrophysiological changes in the cells, more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You're not allowed to put them back into developing monkey embryos, for instance, or even rat embryos by the SCROs. DR. GLORIOSO: I see. That's right. You have human cells, so you can't do it. I'm sorry. DR. SVENDSEN: Yes. So they get very nervous that you're going to DR. GLORIOSO: Yes, of course. DR. SVENDSEN: make a mini-human. But what we are doing is making organoids. And we can almost make now a spinal cord organoid where the cells self-aggregate and start making something that looks a bit like a spinal cord, so we're getting closer to that technology. But I just wanted to go back to the last discussion briefly and the consensus. And something that would be very useful to me, and I'm sure to Allan and Joe is because we're all on the edge, it seems like, of clinical all four of us, clinical trials.

	Page 189		Page 191
1	the appropriate diseases, we could then pick the	1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	appropriate animal models that would gel with the	2	(1:23 p.m.)
	diseases, the types of pain that the clinicians say	3	
	this is what we need, and have some kind of	4	name is Dennis Turk, and I'm one of the small
	consortium effort maybe that the FDA would be		font. Those of you who were here yesterday, you
	interested in funding or the NIH to, in a small		saw there was a big font who organized the meeting,
	way, just get the experts together and put a		and then the small font. I'm one of the small-font
	translational team in.		people, with Bob Dworkin.
9	We talk about this at meetings, but then you	9	
_	go away and you start to get busy. But it would be	_	speakers for their presentations. I think this has
	nice to have a consensus because all of these		been one of the most stimulating conversations,
	therapies sound very exciting, but I know the		discussions. And what's really been gratifying is
	problem. We had \$20 million from CERN, but it's		that, number one, hearing that from some of the
	still a struggle. I had to reshape my whole lab to		other people in the audience, but number two, just
	become a mini-company, essentially. It doesn't		looking in and watching the interactions that are
	happen easily. And if we're all trying to get our		going on among the people who are here.
	neuron papers, it's almost impossible.	17	
18	So why not have FDA and Pain and try to get		seeing how much stimulation, how much excitement,
	a consortium? And we're trying that with some		and enthusiasm. And even for those of us that some
	other diseases. It seems to be working. And you		of these topics may not be exactly what we normally
	need the clinicians to guide us, because we could		work in, it's an opportunity just so we get some
	get the best animal model that's completely		overview, and perspective, and some understanding.
	Page 190		Page 192
1	irrelevant for pain in humans. I think I've heard	1	So thanks to all of the speakers. I think we've
		-	•
2	that today.		had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to
2 3	So is that something that could come out of	2	-
3	-	2	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you.
3	So is that something that could come out of	2 3 4	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you.
3 4	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know.	2 3 4 5	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I
3 4 5	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that
3 4 5 6	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.)	2 3 4 5 6 7	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.) DR. PORRECA: Other questions or comments? DR. BASBAUM: I think people are hungry. Why don't we take a break, have some lunch, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will be a transcript put on the ACTTION website. And I know some people have said I'd like to have students see this or I'd like to have colleagues
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.) DR. PORRECA: Other questions or comments? DR. BASBAUM: I think people are hungry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will be a transcript put on the ACTTION website. And I know some people have said I'd like to have students see this or I'd like to have colleagues see this. And I think it's probably three or four
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.) DR. PORRECA: Other questions or comments? DR. BASBAUM: I think people are hungry. Why don't we take a break, have some lunch, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will be a transcript put on the ACTTION website. And I know some people have said I'd like to have students see this or I'd like to have colleagues
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.) DR. PORRECA: Other questions or comments? DR. BASBAUM: I think people are hungry. Why don't we take a break, have some lunch, and we'll continue after? Thanks, everybody.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will be a transcript put on the ACTTION website. And I know some people have said I'd like to have students see this or I'd like to have colleagues see this. And I think it's probably three or four
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.) DR. PORRECA: Other questions or comments? DR. BASBAUM: I think people are hungry. Why don't we take a break, have some lunch, and we'll continue after? Thanks, everybody. (Whereupon, at 12:19 p.m., a luncheon recess	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will be a transcript put on the ACTTION website. And I know some people have said I'd like to have students see this or I'd like to have colleagues see this. And I think it's probably three or four weeks, it'll be up. It takes us about that long to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.) DR. PORRECA: Other questions or comments? DR. BASBAUM: I think people are hungry. Why don't we take a break, have some lunch, and we'll continue after? Thanks, everybody. (Whereupon, at 12:19 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will be a transcript put on the ACTTION website. And I know some people have said I'd like to have students see this or I'd like to have colleagues see this. And I think it's probably three or four weeks, it'll be up. It takes us about that long to get there.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.) DR. PORRECA: Other questions or comments? DR. BASBAUM: I think people are hungry. Why don't we take a break, have some lunch, and we'll continue after? Thanks, everybody. (Whereupon, at 12:19 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will be a transcript put on the ACTTION website. And I know some people have said I'd like to have students see this or I'd like to have colleagues see this. And I think it's probably three or four weeks, it'll be up. It takes us about that long to get there. So it will be available to you. And we hope, Bob, I, Allan, and Frank, that in fact this
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.) DR. PORRECA: Other questions or comments? DR. BASBAUM: I think people are hungry. Why don't we take a break, have some lunch, and we'll continue after? Thanks, everybody. (Whereupon, at 12:19 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will be a transcript put on the ACTTION website. And I know some people have said I'd like to have students see this or I'd like to have colleagues see this. And I think it's probably three or four weeks, it'll be up. It takes us about that long to get there. So it will be available to you. And we hope, Bob, I, Allan, and Frank, that in fact this
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.) DR. PORRECA: Other questions or comments? DR. BASBAUM: I think people are hungry. Why don't we take a break, have some lunch, and we'll continue after? Thanks, everybody. (Whereupon, at 12:19 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will be a transcript put on the ACTTION website. And I know some people have said I'd like to have students see this or I'd like to have colleagues see this. And I think it's probably three or four weeks, it'll be up. It takes us about that long to get there. So it will be available to you. And we hope, Bob, I, Allan, and Frank, that in fact this will go beyond just the two days of the meeting, but we'll actually be stimulating you. So thank you all very much for being here.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.) DR. PORRECA: Other questions or comments? DR. BASBAUM: I think people are hungry. Why don't we take a break, have some lunch, and we'll continue after? Thanks, everybody. (Whereupon, at 12:19 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will be a transcript put on the ACTTION website. And I know some people have said I'd like to have students see this or I'd like to have colleagues see this. And I think it's probably three or four weeks, it'll be up. It takes us about that long to get there. So it will be available to you. And we hope, Bob, I, Allan, and Frank, that in fact this will go beyond just the two days of the meeting, but we'll actually be stimulating you. So thank you all very much for being here. Thank you for staying. And thank you for your
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.) DR. PORRECA: Other questions or comments? DR. BASBAUM: I think people are hungry. Why don't we take a break, have some lunch, and we'll continue after? Thanks, everybody. (Whereupon, at 12:19 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will be a transcript put on the ACTTION website. And I know some people have said I'd like to have students see this or I'd like to have colleagues see this. And I think it's probably three or four weeks, it'll be up. It takes us about that long to get there. So it will be available to you. And we hope, Bob, I, Allan, and Frank, that in fact this will go beyond just the two days of the meeting, but we'll actually be stimulating you. So thank you all very much for being here. Thank you for staying. And thank you for your enthusiasm that we've heard so far.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.) DR. PORRECA: Other questions or comments? DR. BASBAUM: I think people are hungry. Why don't we take a break, have some lunch, and we'll continue after? Thanks, everybody. (Whereupon, at 12:19 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will be a transcript put on the ACTTION website. And I know some people have said I'd like to have students see this or I'd like to have colleagues see this. And I think it's probably three or four weeks, it'll be up. It takes us about that long to get there. So it will be available to you. And we hope, Bob, I, Allan, and Frank, that in fact this will go beyond just the two days of the meeting, but we'll actually be stimulating you. So thank you all very much for being here. Thank you for staying. And thank you for your enthusiasm that we've heard so far. In one of the previous scientific programs
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.) DR. PORRECA: Other questions or comments? DR. BASBAUM: I think people are hungry. Why don't we take a break, have some lunch, and we'll continue after? Thanks, everybody. (Whereupon, at 12:19 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will be a transcript put on the ACTTION website. And I know some people have said I'd like to have students see this or I'd like to have colleagues see this. And I think it's probably three or four weeks, it'll be up. It takes us about that long to get there. So it will be available to you. And we hope, Bob, I, Allan, and Frank, that in fact this will go beyond just the two days of the meeting, but we'll actually be stimulating you. So thank you all very much for being here. Thank you for staying. And thank you for your enthusiasm that we've heard so far. In one of the previous scientific programs that ACTTION had conducted, there was a lot of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So is that something that could come out of a meeting like this? I don't know. DR. BASBAUM: Yes. (Laughter.) DR. PORRECA: Other questions or comments? DR. BASBAUM: I think people are hungry. Why don't we take a break, have some lunch, and we'll continue after? Thanks, everybody. (Whereupon, at 12:19 p.m., a luncheon recess was taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	had a tremendous day and a half, and I want to thank you. Some people may not have been here, and I want to remind them that we want to emphasize that these sessions have been videotaped, and there will be a transcript put on the ACTTION website. And I know some people have said I'd like to have students see this or I'd like to have colleagues see this. And I think it's probably three or four weeks, it'll be up. It takes us about that long to get there. So it will be available to you. And we hope, Bob, I, Allan, and Frank, that in fact this will go beyond just the two days of the meeting, but we'll actually be stimulating you. So thank you all very much for being here. Thank you for staying. And thank you for your enthusiasm that we've heard so far. In one of the previous scientific programs

[ra	nsformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 193		Page 195
1	of doing some of the trials, and the inability to	1	Presentation – Andrew Rice
	-	2	DR. RICE: Thank you, Dennis, and thank you
		3	for the invitation to talk about the angiotensin 2
			type 2 receptor blocker program that I've been
			involved with Spinifex in, and really just to point
	-	6	
		7	There are several people in this room who are also
8		8	involved in that program. And their names will
9	-		become apparent as I run through the presentation.
		10	First of all, my conflicts. I've been
		11	involved with Spinifex since 2005 as a paid
12	useful for people to hear about, what the		consultant and advisory board member, and I've been
			engaged in both pre-clinical and the clinical trial
14	development areas. So it's not just that there are	14	development activities. I received research funds
15	areas where we've had failures, but there are in	15	from Spinifex for my lab at Imperial for two sets
		16	of pre-clinical studies in 2005 and 2007. And I
17	So what we were hoping to do this afternoon,	17	own share options in the company.
18	at least the first session, is to cover some areas	18	So just a little briefing in terms of the
19	that have been relatively successful attempts from	19	renin-angiotensin system, because this is really
20	both the pre-clinical and moving into the clinical	20	the first thing that would spring to mind when
21	area, to start looking at some areas that may be	21	you're thinking of potential neuropathic pain
22	promising, not that we guarantee or anybody can	22	targets.
	Page 194		Page 196
1	Page 194 guarantee anything is going to be the perfect	1	Page 196 For those of you who know me, you might know
	-		-
2	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect		For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece
2 3	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that	2 3	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece
2 3	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and	2 3 4	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was
2 3 4 5	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening.	2 3 4 5	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my
2 3 4 5 6	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob	2 3 4 5	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago,
2 3 4 5 6 7	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob Dworkin. The first speaker is going to be Andrew	2 3 4 5 6	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago, so it's a nice return to history for me. Angiotensin 2 is the active substance in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob Dworkin. The first speaker is going to be Andrew Rice. I want to thank Andrew especially, one of	2 3 4 5 6 7	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago, so it's a nice return to history for me. Angiotensin 2 is the active substance in the system. It is formed from two precursors. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob Dworkin. The first speaker is going to be Andrew Rice. I want to thank Andrew especially, one of the people who came all the way from U.K., a long	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago, so it's a nice return to history for me. Angiotensin 2 is the active substance in the system. It is formed from two precursors. And
2 3 6 7 8 9	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob Dworkin. The first speaker is going to be Andrew Rice. I want to thank Andrew especially, one of the people who came all the way from U.K., a long distance, and we appreciate that. However, I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago, so it's a nice return to history for me. Angiotensin 2 is the active substance in the system. It is formed from two precursors. And until relatively recently, it was known that the major pharmacological site of action of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob Dworkin. The first speaker is going to be Andrew Rice. I want to thank Andrew especially, one of the people who came all the way from U.K., a long distance, and we appreciate that. However, I have a hunch, coming from Seattle, where I live, it may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago, so it's a nice return to history for me. Angiotensin 2 is the active substance in the system. It is formed from two precursors. And until relatively recently, it was known that the major pharmacological site of action of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob Dworkin. The first speaker is going to be Andrew Rice. I want to thank Andrew especially, one of the people who came all the way from U.K., a long distance, and we appreciate that. However, I have a hunch, coming from Seattle, where I live, it may have taken me about as long as it took you to get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago, so it's a nice return to history for me. Angiotensin 2 is the active substance in the system. It is formed from two precursors. And until relatively recently, it was known that the major pharmacological site of action of angiotensin 2 was the AT1 receptor, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob Dworkin. The first speaker is going to be Andrew Rice. I want to thank Andrew especially, one of the people who came all the way from U.K., a long distance, and we appreciate that. However, I have a hunch, coming from Seattle, where I live, it may have taken me about as long as it took you to get here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago, so it's a nice return to history for me. Angiotensin 2 is the active substance in the system. It is formed from two precursors. And until relatively recently, it was known that the major pharmacological site of action of angiotensin 2 was the AT1 receptor, which is involved in blood pressure regulation and various other cardiovascular functions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob Dworkin. The first speaker is going to be Andrew Rice. I want to thank Andrew especially, one of the people who came all the way from U.K., a long distance, and we appreciate that. However, I have a hunch, coming from Seattle, where I live, it may have taken me about as long as it took you to get here. Andrew is going to be speaking about some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago, so it's a nice return to history for me. Angiotensin 2 is the active substance in the system. It is formed from two precursors. And until relatively recently, it was known that the major pharmacological site of action of angiotensin 2 was the AT1 receptor, which is involved in blood pressure regulation and various other cardiovascular functions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob Dworkin. The first speaker is going to be Andrew Rice. I want to thank Andrew especially, one of the people who came all the way from U.K., a long distance, and we appreciate that. However, I have a hunch, coming from Seattle, where I live, it may have taken me about as long as it took you to get here. Andrew is going to be speaking about some pre-clinical and clinical studies that he's been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago, so it's a nice return to history for me. Angiotensin 2 is the active substance in the system. It is formed from two precursors. And until relatively recently, it was known that the major pharmacological site of action of angiotensin 2 was the AT1 receptor, which is involved in blood pressure regulation and various other cardiovascular functions. Interestingly, some of the genes associated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob Dworkin. The first speaker is going to be Andrew Rice. I want to thank Andrew especially, one of the people who came all the way from U.K., a long distance, and we appreciate that. However, I have a hunch, coming from Seattle, where I live, it may have taken me about as long as it took you to get here. Andrew is going to be speaking about some pre-clinical and clinical studies that he's been involved with on angiotensin 2 type 2 receptor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago, so it's a nice return to history for me. Angiotensin 2 is the active substance in the system. It is formed from two precursors. And until relatively recently, it was known that the major pharmacological site of action of angiotensin 2 was the AT1 receptor, which is involved in blood pressure regulation and various other cardiovascular functions. Interestingly, some of the genes associated with this have fairly recently been elucidated by colleagues at UCL as being involved in high-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob Dworkin. The first speaker is going to be Andrew Rice. I want to thank Andrew especially, one of the people who came all the way from U.K., a long distance, and we appreciate that. However, I have a hunch, coming from Seattle, where I live, it may have taken me about as long as it took you to get here. Andrew is going to be speaking about some pre-clinical and clinical studies that he's been involved with on angiotensin 2 type 2 receptor blockers to give us a perspective of how that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago, so it's a nice return to history for me. Angiotensin 2 is the active substance in the system. It is formed from two precursors. And until relatively recently, it was known that the major pharmacological site of action of angiotensin 2 was the AT1 receptor, which is involved in blood pressure regulation and various other cardiovascular functions. Interestingly, some of the genes associated with this have fairly recently been elucidated by colleagues at UCL as being involved in high-
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob Dworkin. The first speaker is going to be Andrew Rice. I want to thank Andrew especially, one of the people who came all the way from U.K., a long distance, and we appreciate that. However, I have a hunch, coming from Seattle, where I live, it may have taken me about as long as it took you to get here. Andrew is going to be speaking about some pre-clinical and clinical studies that he's been involved with on angiotensin 2 type 2 receptor blockers to give us a perspective of how that process evolved, not just the outcome, I guess I'm hoping, but how it developed and how it moved along, so that maybe it will give us some guidance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago, so it's a nice return to history for me. Angiotensin 2 is the active substance in the system. It is formed from two precursors. And until relatively recently, it was known that the major pharmacological site of action of angiotensin 2 was the AT1 receptor, which is involved in blood pressure regulation and various other cardiovascular functions. Interestingly, some of the genes associated with this have fairly recently been elucidated by colleagues at UCL as being involved in high- altitude syndrome and potentially survival in
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob Dworkin. The first speaker is going to be Andrew Rice. I want to thank Andrew especially, one of the people who came all the way from U.K., a long distance, and we appreciate that. However, I have a hunch, coming from Seattle, where I live, it may have taken me about as long as it took you to get here. Andrew is going to be speaking about some pre-clinical and clinical studies that he's been involved with on angiotensin 2 type 2 receptor blockers to give us a perspective of how that process evolved, not just the outcome, I guess I'm hoping, but how it developed and how it moved along, so that maybe it will give us some guidance as we think about studies going forward.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago, so it's a nice return to history for me. Angiotensin 2 is the active substance in the system. It is formed from two precursors. And until relatively recently, it was known that the major pharmacological site of action of angiotensin 2 was the AT1 receptor, which is involved in blood pressure regulation and various other cardiovascular functions. Interestingly, some of the genes associated with this have fairly recently been elucidated by colleagues at UCL as being involved in high- altitude syndrome and potentially survival in intensive care units and endurance athletics. As I am sure you're aware, there have been two broad strategies in terms of cardiovascular
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 2	guarantee anything is going to be the perfect solution to the dilemma, but at least to see that there are in fact some promising directions and things that are happening. So I'm going to be co-chairing this with Bob Dworkin. The first speaker is going to be Andrew Rice. I want to thank Andrew especially, one of the people who came all the way from U.K., a long distance, and we appreciate that. However, I have a hunch, coming from Seattle, where I live, it may have taken me about as long as it took you to get here. Andrew is going to be speaking about some pre-clinical and clinical studies that he's been involved with on angiotensin 2 type 2 receptor blockers to give us a perspective of how that process evolved, not just the outcome, I guess I'm hoping, but how it developed and how it moved along, so that maybe it will give us some guidance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	For those of you who know me, you might know that I enjoy history. And there's a lovely piece of history in that the discoverer of the system was a hypertension researcher, Stan Peart, who was my first teacher at medical school some 40 years ago, so it's a nice return to history for me. Angiotensin 2 is the active substance in the system. It is formed from two precursors. And until relatively recently, it was known that the major pharmacological site of action of angiotensin 2 was the AT1 receptor, which is involved in blood pressure regulation and various other cardiovascular functions. Interestingly, some of the genes associated with this have fairly recently been elucidated by colleagues at UCL as being involved in high- altitude syndrome and potentially survival in intensive care units and endurance athletics. As I am sure you're aware, there have been
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 15 16 12 12 14 15 16 12 12 12 12 12 14 15 16 10 11 12 14 15 16 10 11 12 14 15 16 10 10 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	 1 of doing some of the trials, and the inability to 2 bring new drugs forward, and that the 3 pharmaceutical industry was actually losing 4 enthusiasm, losing interest, backing away from the 5 neuroscience area, the pain area. We heard Chas 6 Bountra yesterday tell us a little bit, reinforce 7 that particular concept. 8 One of the things that we did as we 9 organized this meeting, we were thinking, is there 1 and doom? Are there some successes that would be 12 useful for people to hear about, what the 13 procedures, what the studies are, and what are some 14 development areas. So it's not just that there are 15 areas where we've had failures, but there are in 16 fact some successes. 17 So what we were hoping to do this afternoon, 18 at least the first session, is to cover some areas 19 that have been relatively successful attempts from 10 both the pre-clinical and moving into the clinical 11 area, to start looking at some areas that may be 	Page 1931of doing some of the trials, and the inability to12bring new drugs forward, and that the23pharmaceutical industry was actually losing34enthusiasm, losing interest, backing away from the45neuroscience area, the pain area. We heard Chas56Bountra yesterday tell us a little bit, reinforce67that particular concept.78One of the things that we did as we89organized this meeting, we were thinking, is there910a way to try to get away from some of that gloom1011and doom? Are there some successes that would be1112useful for people to hear about, what the1213procedures, what the studies are, and what are some1314development areas. So it's not just that there are1415areas where we've had failures, but there are in1516fact some successes.1617So what we were hoping to do this afternoon,1718at least the first session, is to cover some areas1819both the pre-clinical and moving into the clinical2020both the pre-clinical and moving into the clinical2021area, to start looking at some areas that may be21

	Page 197		Page 199
1	receptor antagonists for hypertension.	1	estrogen-evoked neurite sprouting in small medium
2	82 has been investigated in several spheres.		cultured dorsal root ganglion cells is eliminated
	Much less is known about the 82 receptor,		by AC2 receptor blockades, so it appears to be
	-		
	particularly its intracellular signaling		active at the DRG level. And the 82 receptor, but
	mechanisms, and really haven't been thought of as a		importantly not the AT1 receptors, is localized to
	drug target. And I think our trial is the first		small- and medium-sized neurons in both
	82-receptor antagonist to successfully have gone		human and in Praveen Anand's lab, it's been
	through a phase 2 clinical trial.		shown in the human cells and also in rat DRG, and
9	The first important point to make out is	9	trigeminal ganglia.
10	that 82 is not involved in the control of blood	10	I think quite an important point is that the
11	pressure, although of course we did look carefully	11	
12	for cardiovascular adverse effects.	12	appear to change in the DRGs of rat nerve injury
13	I'm going to talk about a number of	13	models, but expression of the ligand angiotensin 2
14	compounds, which were used in the pre-clinical	14	does increase.
15	development. The most important one is EMA401,	15	So Praveen Anand is involved in this
16	which is the sodium salt. And that was the one	16	Spinifex development program and has done a lot of
17	which we used in the clinical trial, and it has	17	work with cultured rat and, more importantly, human
18	selectivity for 82 over 81 receptors. Some of	18	DRG cells, including those taken from patients with
19	these early ones are also referred to by old Parke-	19	brachial plexus avulsion. And it's shown that the
20	Davis numbers.	20	EMA401 82 receptor antagonist that we used in the
21	So bearing in mind the nature of this	21	clinical trials we used the sodium salt in the
22	meeting, I did look at some of our G microarray	22	clinical trials inhibits capsaicin-induced
22		22	-
22	meeting, I did look at some of our G microarray Page 198	22	clinical trials inhibits capsaicin-induced Page 200
			-
1	Page 198	1	Page 200
1	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and	1 2	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2
1 2 3	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82	1 2 3	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and
1 2 3 4	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root	1 2 3 4	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like
1 2 3 4 5	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root ganglion in rats that have been subject to spinal	1 2 3 4 5	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like as has been shown in Smith's lab, angiotensin 2
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root ganglion in rats that have been subject to spinal nerve transection. But it remains at levels in a	1 2 3 4 5	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like as has been shown in Smith's lab, angiotensin 2 enhances neurite outgrowth and EMA401 is a type
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root ganglion in rats that have been subject to spinal nerve transection. But it remains at levels in a model of HIV neuropathy. But we also know that it networks with other pain genes.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like as has been shown in Smith's lab, angiotensin 2 enhances neurite outgrowth and EMA401 is a type that inhibits it. We also know that CFA induces proliferation
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root ganglion in rats that have been subject to spinal nerve transection. But it remains at levels in a model of HIV neuropathy. But we also know that it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like as has been shown in Smith's lab, angiotensin 2 enhances neurite outgrowth and EMA401 is a type that inhibits it. We also know that CFA induces proliferation
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root ganglion in rats that have been subject to spinal nerve transection. But it remains at levels in a model of HIV neuropathy. But we also know that it networks with other pain genes. There are other angiotensin signals, sometimes conflicting, hinting at involvement in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like as has been shown in Smith's lab, angiotensin 2 enhances neurite outgrowth and EMA401 is a type that inhibits it. We also know that CFA induces proliferation of dermal and epidermal sensory nerve fibers in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root ganglion in rats that have been subject to spinal nerve transection. But it remains at levels in a model of HIV neuropathy. But we also know that it networks with other pain genes. There are other angiotensin signals, sometimes conflicting, hinting at involvement in pain. For example, we know that consumption of ACE	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like as has been shown in Smith's lab, angiotensin 2 enhances neurite outgrowth and EMA401 is a type that inhibits it. We also know that CFA induces proliferation of dermal and epidermal sensory nerve fibers in skin and 82 receptor antagonists prevent that. So there appears to be some involvement of neurite
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root ganglion in rats that have been subject to spinal nerve transection. But it remains at levels in a model of HIV neuropathy. But we also know that it networks with other pain genes. There are other angiotensin signals, sometimes conflicting, hinting at involvement in pain. For example, we know that consumption of ACE	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like as has been shown in Smith's lab, angiotensin 2 enhances neurite outgrowth and EMA401 is a type that inhibits it. We also know that CFA induces proliferation of dermal and epidermal sensory nerve fibers in skin and 82 receptor antagonists prevent that. So there appears to be some involvement of neurite
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root ganglion in rats that have been subject to spinal nerve transection. But it remains at levels in a model of HIV neuropathy. But we also know that it networks with other pain genes. There are other angiotensin signals, sometimes conflicting, hinting at involvement in pain. For example, we know that consumption of ACE inhibitors is a risk factor for complex regional	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like as has been shown in Smith's lab, angiotensin 2 enhances neurite outgrowth and EMA401 is a type that inhibits it. We also know that CFA induces proliferation of dermal and epidermal sensory nerve fibers in skin and 82 receptor antagonists prevent that. So there appears to be some involvement of neurite sprouting under nociceptive conditions or pain
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root ganglion in rats that have been subject to spinal nerve transection. But it remains at levels in a model of HIV neuropathy. But we also know that it networks with other pain genes. There are other angiotensin signals, sometimes conflicting, hinting at involvement in pain. For example, we know that consumption of ACE inhibitors is a risk factor for complex regional pain syndrome, type 1. There's a paper in Cell at the moment which	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like as has been shown in Smith's lab, angiotensin 2 enhances neurite outgrowth and EMA401 is a type that inhibits it. We also know that CFA induces proliferation of dermal and epidermal sensory nerve fibers in skin and 82 receptor antagonists prevent that. So there appears to be some involvement of neurite sprouting under nociceptive conditions or pain conditions.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root ganglion in rats that have been subject to spinal nerve transection. But it remains at levels in a model of HIV neuropathy. But we also know that it networks with other pain genes. There are other angiotensin signals, sometimes conflicting, hinting at involvement in pain. For example, we know that consumption of ACE inhibitors is a risk factor for complex regional pain syndrome, type 1. There's a paper in Cell at the moment which	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like as has been shown in Smith's lab, angiotensin 2 enhances neurite outgrowth and EMA401 is a type that inhibits it. We also know that CFA induces proliferation of dermal and epidermal sensory nerve fibers in skin and 82 receptor antagonists prevent that. So there appears to be some involvement of neurite sprouting under nociceptive conditions or pain conditions. I'll come on to Maree Smith's role at the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root ganglion in rats that have been subject to spinal nerve transection. But it remains at levels in a model of HIV neuropathy. But we also know that it networks with other pain genes. There are other angiotensin signals, sometimes conflicting, hinting at involvement in pain. For example, we know that consumption of ACE inhibitors is a risk factor for complex regional pain syndrome, type 1. There's a paper in Cell at the moment which shows that a Baruli ulcer, which is a microbacterial infection associated with painless	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like as has been shown in Smith's lab, angiotensin 2 enhances neurite outgrowth and EMA401 is a type that inhibits it. We also know that CFA induces proliferation of dermal and epidermal sensory nerve fibers in skin and 82 receptor antagonists prevent that. So there appears to be some involvement of neurite sprouting under nociceptive conditions or pain conditions. I'll come on to Maree Smith's role at the end, but probably one of the most important studies was the inventor of this technology, Maree Smith at
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root ganglion in rats that have been subject to spinal nerve transection. But it remains at levels in a model of HIV neuropathy. But we also know that it networks with other pain genes. There are other angiotensin signals, sometimes conflicting, hinting at involvement in pain. For example, we know that consumption of ACE inhibitors is a risk factor for complex regional pain syndrome, type 1. There's a paper in Cell at the moment which shows that a Baruli ulcer, which is a microbacterial infection associated with painless ulcers, isn't like another microbacteria, leprosy.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like as has been shown in Smith's lab, angiotensin 2 enhances neurite outgrowth and EMA401 is a type that inhibits it. We also know that CFA induces proliferation of dermal and epidermal sensory nerve fibers in skin and 82 receptor antagonists prevent that. So there appears to be some involvement of neurite sprouting under nociceptive conditions or pain conditions. I'll come on to Maree Smith's role at the end, but probably one of the most important studies was the inventor of this technology, Maree Smith at the University of Queensland in Brisbane. And this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root ganglion in rats that have been subject to spinal nerve transection. But it remains at levels in a model of HIV neuropathy. But we also know that it networks with other pain genes. There are other angiotensin signals, sometimes conflicting, hinting at involvement in pain. For example, we know that consumption of ACE inhibitors is a risk factor for complex regional pain syndrome, type 1. There's a paper in Cell at the moment which shows that a Baruli ulcer, which is a microbacterial infection associated with painless ulcers, isn't like another microbacteria, leprosy. The painlessness in that is not due to destruction	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like as has been shown in Smith's lab, angiotensin 2 enhances neurite outgrowth and EMA401 is a type that inhibits it. We also know that CFA induces proliferation of dermal and epidermal sensory nerve fibers in skin and 82 receptor antagonists prevent that. So there appears to be some involvement of neurite sprouting under nociceptive conditions or pain conditions. I'll come on to Maree Smith's role at the end, but probably one of the most important studies was the inventor of this technology, Maree Smith at the University of Queensland in Brisbane. And this is one of her early studies, although we desisted
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 198 data, where we looked at various animal models, and we can see that the gene for angiotensin 82 receptors is decreased in the rat dorsal root ganglion in rats that have been subject to spinal nerve transection. But it remains at levels in a model of HIV neuropathy. But we also know that it networks with other pain genes. There are other angiotensin signals, sometimes conflicting, hinting at involvement in pain. For example, we know that consumption of ACE inhibitors is a risk factor for complex regional pain syndrome, type 1. There's a paper in Cell at the moment which shows that a Baruli ulcer, which is a microbacterial infection associated with painless ulcers, isn't like another microbacteria, leprosy.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 200 calcium influx, and, conversely, angiotensin 2 itself enhances that effect. And 81 receptor and blocker, losartan, had no such effect. Rather like as has been shown in Smith's lab, angiotensin 2 enhances neurite outgrowth and EMA401 is a type that inhibits it. We also know that CFA induces proliferation of dermal and epidermal sensory nerve fibers in skin and 82 receptor antagonists prevent that. So there appears to be some involvement of neurite sprouting under nociceptive conditions or pain conditions. I'll come on to Maree Smith's role at the end, but probably one of the most important studies was the inventor of this technology, Maree Smith at the University of Queensland in Brisbane. And this is one of her early studies, although we desisted

- 20 various other hints that it may be involved in pain
- 21 and nociception in some way.

Min-U-Script®

22 Studies in Smith's lab have shown that

20

But she showed that a number of

22 analgesics in the CCI model of peripheral nerve

21 angiotensin 2 receptor antagonists do act as

ansiormative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies	June 24, 2014		
Page 201	Page 203		
injury and has shown this for three of the	1 calculation. That would be different today. And		
development compounds that we've used, with the	2 concealed allocation, again, we didn't do, but that		
last one being similar to the one that is used in	3 would be different if I was to do the study today.		
the clinical trial I'm going to talk about.	4 We also commissioned some studies in		
There's a lot of talk about replication of	5 Aberdeen from Cameron and Cotter in the		
pre-clinical data. And we took a decision sometime	6 streptozotocin-induced model of diabetic		
ago. These were experiments performed, at least in	7 neuropathy. And these were fascinating to me.		
my lab, in around 2007. But we needed to replicate	8 Firstly, they were able to show that, similar to		
the work in my lab and Maree Smith's lab with	9 gabapentin, an 82 receptor antagonist here had		
regard to the activity of these compounds in	10 similar efficacy in terms of mechanical and thermal		
neuropathic pain models, and we just also took a	11 hypersensitivity in this model, and this was		
decision to publish these together.	12 sustained over a period of time.		
Now, certainly, my view and, I think, most	13 More interestingly and it's something we		
of you who would work in this area would agree that	14 haven't actually pursued in the clinical program		
exact replication of a protocol between two	15 yet; we're still discussing it the nerve		
distinct laboratories is really, really difficult,	16 conduction velocity of both motor and sensory		
if not impossible. There are so many confounds,	17 nerves was restored with the 82 receptor		
the supplier of the animals, the exact strain of	18 antagonists, but not with gabapentin, which may		
the animals.	19 have some reflections in terms of the mechanisms		
So what we did, which was different, was to	20 that I was talking about. And they used the sodium		
ask both labs to replicate the question. In other	21 salt of EMA401, which is the same compound we used		
words, are 82 receptor antagonists or the same 82	22 in the clinical trials.		
Page 202	Page 204		
receptor antagonists effective in animal models of	1 Maree Smith, in a recent publication, has		
antiretroviral toxic polyneuropathy? And there	2 also showed that in 82 receptor knock-out mice, the		
were variations between the study, but the	3 effect is largely abolished and has suggested the		
important thing is, they came to the same result.	4 inhibition of MAP kinase is maybe one of the		
On this slide, you can see the results from	5 intracellular signaling routes involved in that, in		
Maree Smith's, and there's a slight difference in	6 dorsal root ganglion cells.		
the dosing, the effective dosing, but they're in	7 I won't run through the pre-clinical		
the same ballpark. And we were both able to show	8 toxicology. We obviously looked quite hard for		
that the highest dose is examined. The efficacy in	9 cardiovascular signals, except to say that there		
this model of toxic neuropathy was roughly	10 really wasn't anything that has unduly alarmed us.		
equivalent to that of gabapentin. And we were able	11 One quite interesting feature that we discussed a		

- 11 equivalent to that of gabapentin. And we were able12 to show dose-response function.
- But I think the important point is that it
- 14 was replicated in two independent labs, about as
- 15 far away geographically as you can get, London and16 Brisbane.
- 17 I am very keen that we declare various
 18 factors concerned with how we did our studies. And
 19 these are pre- the ARRIVE guidelines. They were
 20 done again in 2007, but we were able to report
 21 inclusion and exclusion criteria, randomization,
- 22 blinding, et cetera. We didn't do a sample size

- 12 lot at the time was in the phase 1 human studies.13 We saw episodes of non-sustained ventricular
- 14 tachycardia both in the placebo-treated people and
- 15 in the drug-treated people.
- 16 I think there's an increasing awareness,
- 17 with that kind of monitoring, long-term ECG
- 18 monitoring, you are likely to see these adverse
- **19** events that, in our case, don't appear actually to
- 20 be related to the drug, although we did go and look
- 21 if there was a potential mechanism for it, and
- 22 there appears to be no association with the drug

110	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies	1	June 24, 201
	Page 205		Page 20
1	effect. It was a coincidental finding.	1	it was registered with the Australian and
2	So that was a brief summary of the	2	New Zealand clinical trials registry. Spinifex is
3	pre-clinical program. There were some differences	3	originally an Australian company.
4	to other pre-clinical programs, but nothing	4	We recruited between August 2011 and
5	actually stands out as really obvious to any other	5	May 2012 at an estimated sample size of 77 per
6	pre-clinical program that I have been involved in.	6	group in 6 countries and 29 centers. The centers
7	So we went on to a phase 2 clinical trial in	7	were in eastern Europe and South Africa. We
8	post-herpetic neuralgia. It's a rather typical	8	randomized 183 patients, assigned 92 to EMA401 and
9	design. We had a four-week treatment period of	9	91 to placebo. And of those, very few patients
LO	EMA401, and it shows post-herpetic neuralgia as the	10	dropped out. 86 completed the EMA401 arm and 83
.1	clinical trial model, I think, for two reasons. It	11	patients completed the placebo arm.
.2	was something we discussed an awful lot.	12	I'll come on to the adverse events profile,
L3	The first is that, as Bob Dworkin and his	13	but just to say at this stage, there were very few
4	colleagues have shown, you're most likely to see an	14	patients who dropped out because of adverse events,
	effect, which is of course what you want to do in a		but we didn't get a serious-adverse-events signal.
	phase 2 trial, with post-herpetic neuralgia	16	The demographics are very characteristic for
	compared to other neuropathic pain models that are	17	a PHN trial. The average age of the population was
	used in clinical trials.		around 62, 63. There was a slight female
٤9	Secondly, to me, if you're looking at		preponderance, as you'd expect in a population of
20	excitatory or blocking excitation in		that age. And they have had PHN for a duration of,
	hyper-excitable DRG cells or sensory neurons, then		on average, about two years with a baseline pain
	having a clinical trial model where there is both		intensity of around 6, 6 and a half.
	Page 206		Page 20
	-		-
1	patients with sensory gain and sensory loss	1	Because of the countries that we recruited
2	phenomena makes sense, whereas largely in, say, a		in, the number of con-meds were not similar to what
	diabetic neuropathy model, you may just see sensory		you'd expect in trials that were perhaps done in
4	loss phenomena.		Europe or North America. But a small number of
5	· ·		patients were taking either pregabalin or
	more than six months' duration. One thing that we		
_		6	gabapentin. They were the most common con-meds, or
7	did do that I've not seen done before is that the		gabapentin. They were the most common con-meds, or some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin,
7 8		7	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin, 8 percent, isn't available in those countries yet.
8		7	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin,
8 9	patients, the investigator, and the sites were all masks for the inclusion criteria. And we used an	7 8 9 10	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin, 8 percent, isn't available in those countries yet. The primary efficacy pain endpoint is pain intensity comparison between baseline and the final
8 9 10 11	patients, the investigator, and the sites were all masks for the inclusion criteria. And we used an algorithm that is still confidential, that excludes patients on the basis of the numerical rating scale	7 8 9 10	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin, 8 percent, isn't available in those countries yet. The primary efficacy pain endpoint is pain
8 9 10 11	patients, the investigator, and the sites were all masks for the inclusion criteria. And we used an algorithm that is still confidential, that excludes	7 8 9 10 11 12	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin, 8 percent, isn't available in those countries yet. The primary efficacy pain endpoint is pain intensity comparison between baseline and the final dose, end week. And we did both BOCF and LOCF imputations. There was actually no difference in
8 9 10 11 12	patients, the investigator, and the sites were all masks for the inclusion criteria. And we used an algorithm that is still confidential, that excludes patients on the basis of the numerical rating scale for pain variability and excessively high scores, and excessively low scores, and whether they	7 8 9 10 11 12	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin, 8 percent, isn't available in those countries yet. The primary efficacy pain endpoint is pain intensity comparison between baseline and the final dose, end week. And we did both BOCF and LOCF imputations. There was actually no difference in the outcome, but the primary was on LOCF.
8 9 10 11 12	patients, the investigator, and the sites were all masks for the inclusion criteria. And we used an algorithm that is still confidential, that excludes patients on the basis of the numerical rating scale for pain variability and excessively high scores,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin, 8 percent, isn't available in those countries yet. The primary efficacy pain endpoint is pain intensity comparison between baseline and the final dose, end week. And we did both BOCF and LOCF imputations. There was actually no difference in the outcome, but the primary was on LOCF. We saw a gradual increase in efficacy across
8 9 10 11 12 13	patients, the investigator, and the sites were all masks for the inclusion criteria. And we used an algorithm that is still confidential, that excludes patients on the basis of the numerical rating scale for pain variability and excessively high scores, and excessively low scores, and whether they completed their diaries. Now, we haven't published that trial because	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin, 8 percent, isn't available in those countries yet. The primary efficacy pain endpoint is pain intensity comparison between baseline and the final dose, end week. And we did both BOCF and LOCF imputations. There was actually no difference in the outcome, but the primary was on LOCF. We saw a gradual increase in efficacy across the trial in comparison to placebo. So by weeks 3
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	patients, the investigator, and the sites were all masks for the inclusion criteria. And we used an algorithm that is still confidential, that excludes patients on the basis of the numerical rating scale for pain variability and excessively high scores, and excessively low scores, and whether they completed their diaries. Now, we haven't published that trial because	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin, 8 percent, isn't available in those countries yet. The primary efficacy pain endpoint is pain intensity comparison between baseline and the final dose, end week. And we did both BOCF and LOCF imputations. There was actually no difference in the outcome, but the primary was on LOCF. We saw a gradual increase in efficacy across
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	patients, the investigator, and the sites were all masks for the inclusion criteria. And we used an algorithm that is still confidential, that excludes patients on the basis of the numerical rating scale for pain variability and excessively high scores, and excessively low scores, and whether they completed their diaries. Now, we haven't published that trial because it would lose its masking effect for future trials,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin, 8 percent, isn't available in those countries yet. The primary efficacy pain endpoint is pain intensity comparison between baseline and the final dose, end week. And we did both BOCF and LOCF imputations. There was actually no difference in the outcome, but the primary was on LOCF. We saw a gradual increase in efficacy across the trial in comparison to placebo. So by weeks 3
8 9 10 11 12	patients, the investigator, and the sites were all masks for the inclusion criteria. And we used an algorithm that is still confidential, that excludes patients on the basis of the numerical rating scale for pain variability and excessively high scores, and excessively low scores, and whether they completed their diaries. Now, we haven't published that trial because it would lose its masking effect for future trials,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin, 8 percent, isn't available in those countries yet. The primary efficacy pain endpoint is pain intensity comparison between baseline and the final dose, end week. And we did both BOCF and LOCF imputations. There was actually no difference in the outcome, but the primary was on LOCF. We saw a gradual increase in efficacy across the trial in comparison to placebo. So by weeks 3 and 4, there's a clear separation of EMA401 from
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	patients, the investigator, and the sites were all masks for the inclusion criteria. And we used an algorithm that is still confidential, that excludes patients on the basis of the numerical rating scale for pain variability and excessively high scores, and excessively low scores, and whether they completed their diaries. Now, we haven't published that trial because it would lose its masking effect for future trials, but we are prepared to share it with people who are proposing to use it in other trials, if you contact	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin, 8 percent, isn't available in those countries yet. The primary efficacy pain endpoint is pain intensity comparison between baseline and the final dose, end week. And we did both BOCF and LOCF imputations. There was actually no difference in the outcome, but the primary was on LOCF. We saw a gradual increase in efficacy across the trial in comparison to placebo. So by weeks 3 and 4, there's a clear separation of EMA401 from placebo.
8 9 L0 L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	patients, the investigator, and the sites were all masks for the inclusion criteria. And we used an algorithm that is still confidential, that excludes patients on the basis of the numerical rating scale for pain variability and excessively high scores, and excessively low scores, and whether they completed their diaries. Now, we haven't published that trial because it would lose its masking effect for future trials, but we are prepared to share it with people who are proposing to use it in other trials, if you contact us. In terms of con-meds, we allowed a maximum of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin, 8 percent, isn't available in those countries yet. The primary efficacy pain endpoint is pain intensity comparison between baseline and the final dose, end week. And we did both BOCF and LOCF imputations. There was actually no difference in the outcome, but the primary was on LOCF. We saw a gradual increase in efficacy across the trial in comparison to placebo. So by weeks 3 and 4, there's a clear separation of EMA401 from placebo. I should emphasize that there was no dose-
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	patients, the investigator, and the sites were all masks for the inclusion criteria. And we used an algorithm that is still confidential, that excludes patients on the basis of the numerical rating scale for pain variability and excessively high scores, and excessively low scores, and whether they completed their diaries. Now, we haven't published that trial because it would lose its masking effect for future trials, but we are prepared to share it with people who are proposing to use it in other trials, if you contact us. In terms of con-meds, we allowed a maximum of one drug at stable dose.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin, 8 percent, isn't available in those countries yet. The primary efficacy pain endpoint is pain intensity comparison between baseline and the final dose, end week. And we did both BOCF and LOCF imputations. There was actually no difference in the outcome, but the primary was on LOCF. We saw a gradual increase in efficacy across the trial in comparison to placebo. So by weeks 3 and 4, there's a clear separation of EMA401 from placebo. I should emphasize that there was no dose- titration phase, and we have had some discussions
8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .1 .2 .3 .2 .5 .1 .2 .2 .2 .2 .1 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2 .2	patients, the investigator, and the sites were all masks for the inclusion criteria. And we used an algorithm that is still confidential, that excludes patients on the basis of the numerical rating scale for pain variability and excessively high scores, and excessively low scores, and whether they completed their diaries. Now, we haven't published that trial because it would lose its masking effect for future trials, but we are prepared to share it with people who are proposing to use it in other trials, if you contact us. In terms of con-meds, we allowed a maximum of one drug at stable dose.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some certain anticonvulsant drugs. Capsaicin, 8 percent, isn't available in those countries yet. The primary efficacy pain endpoint is pain intensity comparison between baseline and the final dose, end week. And we did both BOCF and LOCF imputations. There was actually no difference in the outcome, but the primary was on LOCF. We saw a gradual increase in efficacy across the trial in comparison to placebo. So by weeks 3 and 4, there's a clear separation of EMA401 from placebo. I should emphasize that there was no dose- titration phase, and we have had some discussions as to why the efficacy takes a little bit of time

Transformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies			June 24, 2014			
	Page 209		Page 211			
1	We did a number of secondary and comparative	1	with the exception of intermittent pain, which is			
2	efficacy studies and looked at both the percentage	2	probably what you'd expect for post-herpetic			
3	of patients to achieve 30 percent pain relief and	3	neuralgia, and the scores on the brief pain			
4	50 percent pain relief. And they come out with, if	4	inventory measures did, as did the patient			
5	you like NNTs, at about 4 and a half for 30 percent	5	impression of change. We used the Insomnia			
6	and about 6.7 for 50 percent.	6	Severity Index, and that just failed to reach			
7	Now, there's a strange thing that happens	7	statistical difference between placebo and EMA401.			
8	when you're flying across the Atlantic, in that we	8	So in general, all the secondary outcome			
9	seem to favor the 50 percent in Europe and	9	measures for efficacy measures for pain moved in			
10	30 percent in U.S.A. They've both been justified.	10	the same direction as the primary.			
11	. But we also took an idea that John Farrar published	11	We didn't see this for depression, anxiety,			
12	a few years ago. Actually taking these pretty	12	and positive outlook or pain catastrophizing, but I			
13	arbitrary 30 percent and 50 percent fixed measures	13	think you really wouldn't expect to see that in a			
14	of responder rate are somewhat illogical, and we	14	four-week study. And also, certainly my personal			
15	produced this cumulative estimation of responder	15	view is that these are probably more useful as			
16	rate, which I think gives a much better, certainly	16	stratification measures than outcome measures.			
17	visual depiction of the efficacy against placebo.	17	I'm not sure how often they actually change,			
18	And certainly, I think that's a useful way of	18	even in longer pain trials. And I think that would			
19	showing that information.	19	be quite an interesting discussion to have around			
20	Just before we published the trial, Andrew	20	the group, as to where we ought to be placing these			
21	. Moore, fairly typically, published a fairly	21	measures in clinical trial design.			
22	innovative and thought-provoking way of looking at	22	There was really nothing to separate EMA401			
	Page 210		Page 212			
1	success for neuropathic pain neuropathic pain	1	from placebo in terms of the adverse events with			
2	efficacy in the BMJ. I won't go into it in detail	2	the exception of a slightly increased number of			
3	because it takes time to explain, but basically,	3	people that treated with the randomized EMA401 that			
4	it's a computation of success and failure rates of	4	had headache. And there were a couple of L3			
5	drugs based on the difference between the maximum	5	episodes of allergic dermatitis in the EMA401 group			
6	effect that you can achieve against the active drug	6	and one in the placebo group.			
7	and the difference between active drug and placebo.	7	I think I may have missed the slide that			
8	But basically, what this computation tells	8	showed the number of centers. We did a per-country			
9	us is that the efficacy of the drug that we're	9	analysis that was preplanned and saw no difference			
10	looking at, the EMA401, is very similar to that	10	in the efficacy between countries. Because two			

- 11 seen by pregabalin, and topical capsaicin, and
- 12 gabapentin, although quite an important point to
- 13 make is that we were looking at it in the context
- 14 of a four-week treatment period, whereas the
- 15 duration of the data for the other drugs is in
- 16 12 week, because they're much further ahead in17 development.
- 18 We had a number of other pain efficacy
- 19 measures, and we're very encouraged to see that,
- 20 generally, they all move in the same direction. So
- 21 on the new version of the short form McGill, all
- 22 the parameters separated between placebo and EMA401

15

14 results at all.

22 treatment period.

11 centers recruited a large number of patients, there

So we've now secured venture capital funding

12 is a center effect, but we've looked very closely

13 into that, and we don't think it compromised the

16 for the rest of the phase 2 and getting into17 phase 3 plans. And we are currently discussing

18 this. These are not yet set in stone, but we've

21 placebo-controlled trial in PHN with a 12-week

19 put them in a press release to date. We are

20 planning to do a phase 2B multidose

	instormative strategies-Development of Fam Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 213		Page 215
1	We don't think we have hit the full efficacy	1	their perspective, if they guess?
2	dose yet because we really weren't seeing adverse	2	DR. RICE: We didn't specifically look for
3	effects in this trial, so we think we can push the	3	that, but from the adverse effects profiles, you
4	dose somewhat higher. And we're also discussing	4	wouldn't expect that to be the case. I'm quite a
5	other clinical proof-of-concept studies at phase 2	5	big fan of asking patients at the end whether they
6	level in other neuropathic pain conditions. And	6	know which group they were allocated to, but we
7	I'm sure we'd love some feedback from the meeting	7	didn't do that in the phase 2 study.
8	as to the relative importance or usefulness of	8	DR. MCMAHON: The second question is a bit
9	different trial models, but we are certainly	9	more scientific. And that's, do you know whether
10	looking at diabetic neuropathy, and we're also	10	the compound is CNS penetrant, may have other
11	considering non-neuropathic pain conditions such as	11	actions that you haven't explored?
12	osteoarthritis, although the pre-clinical rationale	12	DR. RICE: In rat, anyway, it doesn't
13	is not that strong.	13	penetrate into the CNS. It's peripherally
14	One study I have left out is that Maree		restricted.
15	Smith has also recently published efficacy and pre-	15	DR. CLARK: I noticed one of the outcomes
	clinical models of cancer-induced bone pain.	16	that you looked at was spontaneous pain in the
17	So that's really a brief summary of the		clinical study and I think the result was positive.
18	EMA401 story to date. We're at phase 2. We've got	18	DR. RICE: Yes.
	a long way to go. You will have seen from the	19	DR. CLARK: In the pre-clinical models, did
	authors the people who have been involved, but I	20	you happen to look at spontaneous pain, and do you
	would like to highlight two particular people who		think it would correlate?
22	deserve special mention in regards to this program.	22	DR. RICE: Yes. Whether we can measure
	Page 214		Page 216
1	First is Maree Smith, who is basically at	1	spontaneous pain at all in animal models is another
2	the University of Queensland. She is essentially	2	issue. And Frank has already stood up. You want
3	the inventor, came up with the original hypothesis,	3	to talk?
4	and made contributions to some of the pre-clinical	4	DR. PORRECA: No, not for that. I had a
5	data. And Tom McCarthy, who is here, has been the	5	different question.
6	CEO of Spinifex. We worked it out. It was eight	6	DR. RICE: So my take on that is we look for
7	veers now Tam It as a shorter And Tam has		
1	years now, Tom. It seems shorter. And Tom has	7	changes in ethologically relevant behaviors. And
	done a sterling job in leading what is a very small	7 8	
8	-		we looked in a paradigm that is thigmotaxis in the
8 9	done a sterling job in leading what is a very small	8	we looked in a paradigm that is thigmotaxis in the open field. It's essentially predator avoidance
8 9 10	done a sterling job in leading what is a very small company so far, not only through the scientific	8 9	we looked in a paradigm that is thigmotaxis in the open field. It's essentially predator avoidance behavior, and the hypothesis, animals that are in
8 9 10	done a sterling job in leading what is a very small company so far, not only through the scientific aspects, but dealing with the venture capital	8 9 10 11	we looked in a paradigm that is thigmotaxis in the open field. It's essentially predator avoidance behavior, and the hypothesis, animals that are in
8 9 10 11	done a sterling job in leading what is a very small company so far, not only through the scientific aspects, but dealing with the venture capital funding for the company.	8 9 10 11	we looked in a paradigm that is thigmotaxis in the open field. It's essentially predator avoidance behavior, and the hypothesis, animals that are in pain, would engage in increased predator avoidance
8 9 10 11 12	done a sterling job in leading what is a very small company so far, not only through the scientific aspects, but dealing with the venture capital funding for the company. So thank you for your attention, and I'm	8 9 10 11 12 13	we looked in a paradigm that is thigmotaxis in the open field. It's essentially predator avoidance behavior, and the hypothesis, animals that are in pain, would engage in increased predator avoidance behavior.
8 9 10 11 12 13	done a sterling job in leading what is a very small company so far, not only through the scientific aspects, but dealing with the venture capital funding for the company. So thank you for your attention, and I'm happy to answer any questions.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we looked in a paradigm that is thigmotaxis in the open field. It's essentially predator avoidance behavior, and the hypothesis, animals that are in pain, would engage in increased predator avoidance behavior. We did that in two of the pre-clinical
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	done a sterling job in leading what is a very small company so far, not only through the scientific aspects, but dealing with the venture capital funding for the company. So thank you for your attention, and I'm happy to answer any questions. (Applause.)	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we looked in a paradigm that is thigmotaxis in the open field. It's essentially predator avoidance behavior, and the hypothesis, animals that are in pain, would engage in increased predator avoidance behavior. We did that in two of the pre-clinical studies and the signals were quite interesting. The first one, we did see a signal at the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	done a sterling job in leading what is a very small company so far, not only through the scientific aspects, but dealing with the venture capital funding for the company. So thank you for your attention, and I'm happy to answer any questions. (Applause.) DR. TURK: Questions for Andrew? DR. MCMAHON: So thanks. I think Chas is	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we looked in a paradigm that is thigmotaxis in the open field. It's essentially predator avoidance behavior, and the hypothesis, animals that are in pain, would engage in increased predator avoidance behavior. We did that in two of the pre-clinical studies and the signals were quite interesting. The first one, we did see a signal at the intermediate doses, but at the higher doses, we
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	done a sterling job in leading what is a very small company so far, not only through the scientific aspects, but dealing with the venture capital funding for the company. So thank you for your attention, and I'm happy to answer any questions. (Applause.) DR. TURK: Questions for Andrew? DR. MCMAHON: So thanks. I think Chas is	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we looked in a paradigm that is thigmotaxis in the open field. It's essentially predator avoidance behavior, and the hypothesis, animals that are in pain, would engage in increased predator avoidance behavior. We did that in two of the pre-clinical studies and the signals were quite interesting. The first one, we did see a signal at the intermediate doses, but at the higher doses, we
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	done a sterling job in leading what is a very small company so far, not only through the scientific aspects, but dealing with the venture capital funding for the company. So thank you for your attention, and I'm happy to answer any questions. (Applause.) DR. TURK: Questions for Andrew? DR. MCMAHON: So thanks. I think Chas is back again. Now, after his pessimism the whole of	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we looked in a paradigm that is thigmotaxis in the open field. It's essentially predator avoidance behavior, and the hypothesis, animals that are in pain, would engage in increased predator avoidance behavior. We did that in two of the pre-clinical studies and the signals were quite interesting. The first one, we did see a signal at the intermediate doses, but at the higher doses, we didn't, and I'll explain that. With EMA401, we didn't see a signal in that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	done a sterling job in leading what is a very small company so far, not only through the scientific aspects, but dealing with the venture capital funding for the company. So thank you for your attention, and I'm happy to answer any questions. (Applause.) DR. TURK: Questions for Andrew? DR. MCMAHON: So thanks. I think Chas is back again. Now, after his pessimism the whole of yesterday, it's good to see some positive news in	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we looked in a paradigm that is thigmotaxis in the open field. It's essentially predator avoidance behavior, and the hypothesis, animals that are in pain, would engage in increased predator avoidance behavior. We did that in two of the pre-clinical studies and the signals were quite interesting. The first one, we did see a signal at the intermediate doses, but at the higher doses, we didn't, and I'll explain that. With EMA401, we didn't see a signal in that regard. And we think the reason is that we made
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	done a sterling job in leading what is a very small company so far, not only through the scientific aspects, but dealing with the venture capital funding for the company. So thank you for your attention, and I'm happy to answer any questions. (Applause.) DR. TURK: Questions for Andrew? DR. MCMAHON: So thanks. I think Chas is back again. Now, after his pessimism the whole of yesterday, it's good to see some positive news in the pain field.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	we looked in a paradigm that is thigmotaxis in the open field. It's essentially predator avoidance behavior, and the hypothesis, animals that are in pain, would engage in increased predator avoidance behavior. We did that in two of the pre-clinical studies and the signals were quite interesting. The first one, we did see a signal at the intermediate doses, but at the higher doses, we didn't, and I'll explain that. With EMA401, we didn't see a signal in that regard. And we think the reason is that we made observations with both drugs that the injections
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	done a sterling job in leading what is a very small company so far, not only through the scientific aspects, but dealing with the venture capital funding for the company. So thank you for your attention, and I'm happy to answer any questions. (Applause.) DR. TURK: Questions for Andrew? DR. MCMAHON: So thanks. I think Chas is back again. Now, after his pessimism the whole of yesterday, it's good to see some positive news in the pain field. But a few things, really. One, do the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we looked in a paradigm that is thigmotaxis in the open field. It's essentially predator avoidance behavior, and the hypothesis, animals that are in pain, would engage in increased predator avoidance behavior. We did that in two of the pre-clinical studies and the signals were quite interesting. The first one, we did see a signal at the intermediate doses, but at the higher doses, we didn't, and I'll explain that. With EMA401, we didn't see a signal in that regard. And we think the reason is that we made observations with both drugs that the injections

115	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 217		Page 219
1	it was a pH effect from the solution. And I don't	1	DR. KATZ: Andrew, nice presentation. I'm
2	want to use the word anxiety, but the anxiety	2	curious whether you guys made any effort to subtype
3	related to that injection immediately prior to that	3	the patients with PHN, because if you believe in
	may have confounded it, so it's picking up a signal		typology of PHN, you could imagine that a
	in other regards. But in the EMA300 studies, we		peripherally restricted compound might not work on
	did see something of a signal in that regard. But		the deafferentation subtype, which is present
	they're not conclusive. That's why I didn't put		supposedly in a minority of patients.
	them up.	8	DR. RICE: We didn't and we discussed it
9	So we did look for what might be a surrogate	9	extensively before initiating that trial.
10	measure of spontaneous pain in animal models, but		Remember, it's a phase 2 study, so it's a small
	as we all know, we've got a long way to go in that		trial. And at that time, we were engaged with the
	regard.		German Neuropathic Pain Network, QST profiling,
13	DR. PORRECA: That was a very, very		which we do in our group. It takes probably one
	interesting story and very exciting. I am not		and a half hours per patient. It would be very
	really sure I understand the mechanism, I have to		costly to supply all the centers with the
	say, so that's one thing that I think seems		equipment, and to train them, and ensure data
	mysterious. You were going kind of fast with he		validity.
	slides, so I didn't see the selectivity of the	18	That was before Roy has published his
	molecules for 82 versus 81. I know it was probably		experiences with the Pfizer, sort of, if you like,
	up there, but maybe I didn't catch it.		bedside QST profiling. And at the moment, we are
21	Then the other question related to this is,		currently discussing whether we should include that
	what is the interaction of these molecules with		in the next study. My personal view is that we
	Page 218		Page 220
1	other targets? So ultimately, I guess what I'm	1	should.
	asking is how do you know that the effect that	2	There is also a paper that has come out of
	you're producing, that you're seeing, is due to		the IMI Euro Pain Network, that I think is going to
	this 82 mechanism? How can that be? Is that		be a landmark paper. It comes from Charles Jenson
	established or what?		and Nana Finrap. It's still under review, so I
6	DR. RICE: Here is the selectivity data.		don't want to say too much about it. But they have
	But we hadn't put it in for other targets. As far		fairly conclusively shown that, in a mixed group of
	as we know, it isn't interacting with other		patients with peripheral nerve injury, PHN, and
	targets, but I don't think we can be fully		diabetic neuropathy, using the full German QST
	confident in that.		profiling, patients who you would allocate to
10 11	DR. PORRECA: Yes. So how can you		sensory gain phenomena respond to oxcarbazepine,
	-		
	demonstrate that it is this mechanism, I guess? DR. RICE: Yes. So there was the 82		and those that don't have a sensory loss profile do not. To me, that is a very important paper. It's
13 14	knock-out.		a paper we've been asking for in our field.
15 16	DR. PORRECA: But that was affecting the	15	So the short answer is, we didn't include it
	actions of angiotensin, right?		for this study. We did think about it. But the
17	DR. RICE: No, no. The effect of the drug	17	
	is abolished in 82 knock-out animals in CCI. So		were not conducive to a phase 2 study. We're
	they put that one up. But in terms of the		actively talking about it for the next study. It's
	screening we've done so far, we don't think it's		one of the things we're thinking about.
21	active in other sites, but it's not really my area	21	DR. KATZ: Thanks.

22 of expertise.

[Page 221		Page 223
		get a sense of the patients. Some of them were		don't know, growing conventional wisdom that
		still on meds. Some weren't. Were they not on		neuropathic pain trials should be done in western
		because they didn't work? And in the results, if		Europe or the U.S.A. This really confronts that
		you look at the results, rather than an average		conventional wisdom square in the face. And it
		effect, those that were still on gabapentin, for	5	confronts it in a way that is somewhat surprising.
	6	example, did they have a better effect?	6	It actually shows that the placebo response was
	7	What I'm really getting at in a more general	7	attenuate.
	8	question is, does a patient that responds to	8	Your effect size I think those of us who
	9	gabapentin also respond to duloxetine, also respond	9	look at these trials pretty frequently was
	10	to this antagonist, or are they completely	10	driven by the attenuated placebo response that
	11	unpredictable?	11	occurred in the second half of the trial.
	12	DR. RICE: We saw no such effect, whether	12	So comment on those two questions.
	13	they were on treatment or off treatment. But this	13	DR. RICE: So before giving this
	14	trial wasn't designed to look at that. It's	14	presentation, I was talking with Tom and various
	15	probably too small to look at that. And the	15	other people about what differentiates this
	16	numbers of patients on any one drug or any one	16	pre-clinical program from many others. And
	17	class of drug wouldn't really be enough to conclude	17	actually, there are some bells and whistles that
	18	much from that.	18	prevented the human DRG studies, for example, that
	19	DR. BASBAUM: But many of the patients, the	19	gave us confidence.
	20	great majority, were on nothing	20	We replicated some of the pre-clinical model
	21	DR. RICE: Yes.	21	findings in independent labs, but that's actually
	22	DR. BASBAUM: which seems surprising.	22	not fundamentally different from many other drug
_		Dogo 222		Poge 224
-		Page 222		Page 224
_	1	Page 222 DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they	1	Page 224 development programs that have not had success in
-			1	development programs that have not had success in
	2	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they	2	development programs that have not had success in
	2 3	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the	2 3	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is,
	2 3	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not	2 3	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go.
	2 3 4	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there.	2 3 4 5	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go.
	2 3 4 5 6	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point.	2 3 4 5 6	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical
	2 3 4 5 6	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. RICE: Even for South Africa, a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical development programs is they are great at
	2 3 5 6 7 8	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. RICE: Even for South Africa, a lot of the drugs are not available.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical development programs is they are great at identifying the drugs that do work, but they're not
	2 3 5 7 8 9	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. RICE: Even for South Africa, a lot of the drugs are not available. DR. TURK: Roy, you want to get the last	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical development programs is they are great at identifying the drugs that do work, but they're not good at identifying the drugs that don't work. So
	2 3 5 7 8 9	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. RICE: Even for South Africa, a lot of the drugs are not available. DR. TURK: Roy, you want to get the last word in this session? There will be an opportunity for a panel, so don't feel, anybody who wants to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical development programs is they are great at identifying the drugs that do work, but they're not good at identifying the drugs that don't work. So to a degree, I don't want to use the word "luck,"
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. RICE: Even for South Africa, a lot of the drugs are not available. DR. TURK: Roy, you want to get the last word in this session? There will be an opportunity for a panel, so don't feel, anybody who wants to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical development programs is they are great at identifying the drugs that do work, but they're not good at identifying the drugs that don't work. So to a degree, I don't want to use the word "luck," but we're using a drug that now is shown to have
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. RICE: Even for South Africa, a lot of the drugs are not available. DR. TURK: Roy, you want to get the last word in this session? There will be an opportunity for a panel, so don't feel, anybody who wants to come up, that we didn't let you. Roy?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical development programs is they are great at identifying the drugs that do work, but they're not good at identifying the drugs that don't work. So to a degree, I don't want to use the word "luck," but we're using a drug that now is shown to have efficacy in phase 2. We didn't know that when we
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. RICE: Even for South Africa, a lot of the drugs are not available. DR. TURK: Roy, you want to get the last word in this session? There will be an opportunity for a panel, so don't feel, anybody who wants to come up, that we didn't let you. Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So maybe three quick	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical development programs is they are great at identifying the drugs that do work, but they're not good at identifying the drugs that don't work. So to a degree, I don't want to use the word "luck," but we're using a drug that now is shown to have efficacy in phase 2. We didn't know that when we were doing the pre-clinical studies. But there's
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. RICE: Even for South Africa, a lot of the drugs are not available. DR. TURK: Roy, you want to get the last word in this session? There will be an opportunity for a panel, so don't feel, anybody who wants to come up, that we didn't let you. Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So maybe three quick questions, 1A, B, and C. So 1A, Allan asked me a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical development programs is they are great at identifying the drugs that do work, but they're not good at identifying the drugs that don't work. So to a degree, I don't want to use the word "luck," but we're using a drug that now is shown to have efficacy in phase 2. We didn't know that when we were doing the pre-clinical studies. But there's nothing that really differentiates the pre-clinical
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. RICE: Even for South Africa, a lot of the drugs are not available. DR. TURK: Roy, you want to get the last word in this session? There will be an opportunity for a panel, so don't feel, anybody who wants to come up, that we didn't let you. Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So maybe three quick questions, 1A, B, and C. So 1A, Allan asked me a question yesterday about criteria for moving from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical development programs is they are great at identifying the drugs that do work, but they're not good at identifying the drugs that don't work. So to a degree, I don't want to use the word "luck," but we're using a drug that now is shown to have efficacy in phase 2. We didn't know that when we were doing the pre-clinical studies. But there's nothing that really differentiates the pre-clinical studies, that I can see, that is fundamentally
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. RICE: Even for South Africa, a lot of the drugs are not available. DR. TURK: Roy, you want to get the last word in this session? There will be an opportunity for a panel, so don't feel, anybody who wants to come up, that we didn't let you. Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So maybe three quick questions, 1A, B, and C. So 1A, Allan asked me a question yesterday about criteria for moving from pre-clinical to clinical. And here, you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical development programs is they are great at identifying the drugs that do work, but they're not good at identifying the drugs that don't work. So to a degree, I don't want to use the word "luck," but we're using a drug that now is shown to have efficacy in phase 2. We didn't know that when we were doing the pre-clinical studies. But there's nothing that really differentiates the pre-clinical studies, that I can see, that is fundamentally different from any other drug development
	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. RICE: Even for South Africa, a lot of the drugs are not available. DR. TURK: Roy, you want to get the last word in this session? There will be an opportunity for a panel, so don't feel, anybody who wants to come up, that we didn't let you. Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So maybe three quick questions, 1A, B, and C. So 1A, Allan asked me a question yesterday about criteria for moving from pre-clinical to clinical. And here, you are presenting, I guess and I've put Tom in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical development programs is they are great at identifying the drugs that do work, but they're not good at identifying the drugs that don't work. So to a degree, I don't want to use the word "luck," but we're using a drug that now is shown to have efficacy in phase 2. We didn't know that when we were doing the pre-clinical studies. But there's nothing that really differentiates the pre-clinical studies, that I can see, that is fundamentally different from any other drug development strategies.
	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. RICE: Even for South Africa, a lot of the drugs are not available. DR. TURK: Roy, you want to get the last word in this session? There will be an opportunity for a panel, so don't feel, anybody who wants to come up, that we didn't let you. Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So maybe three quick questions, 1A, B, and C. So 1A, Allan asked me a question yesterday about criteria for moving from pre-clinical to clinical. And here, you are presenting, I guess and I've put Tom in this position once before the poster child of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical development programs is they are great at identifying the drugs that do work, but they're not good at identifying the drugs that don't work. So to a degree, I don't want to use the word "luck," but we're using a drug that now is shown to have efficacy in phase 2. We didn't know that when we were doing the pre-clinical studies. But there's nothing that really differentiates the pre-clinical studies, that I can see, that is fundamentally different from any other drug development strategies. Eastern Europe. I don't share your conventional wisdom, actually. I think one of the
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. RICE: Even for South Africa, a lot of the drugs are not available. DR. TURK: Roy, you want to get the last word in this session? There will be an opportunity for a panel, so don't feel, anybody who wants to come up, that we didn't let you. Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So maybe three quick questions, 1A, B, and C. So 1A, Allan asked me a question yesterday about criteria for moving from pre-clinical to clinical. And here, you are presenting, I guess and I've put Tom in this position once before the poster child of the move from bench to bedside. What clues do we get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical development programs is they are great at identifying the drugs that do work, but they're not good at identifying the drugs that don't work. So to a degree, I don't want to use the word "luck," but we're using a drug that now is shown to have efficacy in phase 2. We didn't know that when we were doing the pre-clinical studies. But there's nothing that really differentiates the pre-clinical studies, that I can see, that is fundamentally different from any other drug development strategies. Eastern Europe. I don't share your conventional wisdom, actually. I think one of the
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. RICE: Not when you consider that they were recruited in eastern Europe. Many of the drugs that are currently available are not available there. DR. BASBAUM: Good point. DR. RICE: Even for South Africa, a lot of the drugs are not available. DR. TURK: Roy, you want to get the last word in this session? There will be an opportunity for a panel, so don't feel, anybody who wants to come up, that we didn't let you. Roy? DR. FREEMAN: So maybe three quick questions, 1A, B, and C. So 1A, Allan asked me a question yesterday about criteria for moving from pre-clinical to clinical. And here, you are presenting, I guess and I've put Tom in this position once before the poster child of the move from bench to bedside. What clues do we get from the decisions that were made at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	development programs that have not had success in phase 2. And the other thing to emphasize is, we're still only in phase 2. We've got phase 3 to go. So I think the problem with pre-clinical development programs is they are great at identifying the drugs that do work, but they're not good at identifying the drugs that don't work. So to a degree, I don't want to use the word "luck," but we're using a drug that now is shown to have efficacy in phase 2. We didn't know that when we were doing the pre-clinical studies. But there's nothing that really differentiates the pre-clinical studies, that I can see, that is fundamentally different from any other drug development strategies. Eastern Europe. I don't share your conventional wisdom, actually. I think one of the problems with doing studies in the U.S. and Europe

1B, and I'll just ask two. There is a, I

22 centers. And you often then attract the

. г 8	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 20
	Page 225		Page 2
1	treatment-resistant patients who haven't responded	1	although we're talking about something that's a
2	to anybody else. And that's why in the U.K., we	2	little bit different, of course, with a different
3	are piloting recruiting patients direct from	3	molecule.
4	primary care using the electronic healthcare	4	We'll be talking about anti-NGF antibodies,
5	records that, for example, cover the whole of	5	and Nat Katz is going to be presenting to us. Many
6	London or Scotland.	6	of you know Nat. He's been involved with analgesia
7	So one of the reasons we went to eastern	7	solutions for a long time. He's also affiliated
8	Europe is, of course, cost, but also we are more	8	with Tufts University and has been for many years.
9	likely to recruit a population that might, in a way	9	And I'll just thank him for all the assistance he's
0	reflect a truer PHN population, if you like, than	10	given us over the years to ACTTION IMPACT. So
L	those that have been through a lot of treatments	11	thank you very much, Nat.
2	that are available in the U.K. and Europe that are	12	Nat is going to start giving you another
3	probably treatment resistant. So that was one of	13	story about how a molecule moved forward, with the
1	the rationales.	14	idea being, again, we're trying to be on this,
5	I think that would be an interesting point	15	where are we seeing some positive findings to maybe
б	for the discussion about the geographical location	16	balance some of the concerns or some of the
7	of studies. I think Bob has talked a couple of	17	negative that we've been hearing or have been
8	times about some of the problems related to	18	hearing for a number of years.
9	becoming professional patients in trials.	19	So Nat, they're all yours.
0	Can I just make one other point? It's	20	Presentation – Nathaniel Katz
1	actually not in this study, but it is something I	21	DR. KATZ: Thanks very much, Dennis, and
2	would like to do in another trial. We've got a	22	thanks to the conference organizers. It turns out
	Page 226		Page 2
1	paper in press on the Pain website, which is a	1	that my talk follows up perfectly from Andrew's
	negative trial of pregabalin in HIV neuropathy.		and, more particularly, perfectly from Roy's
3			question 1A to Andrew. And I think, if I had more
4	it didn't make any difference to the negative	4	time, I would have retitled my talk, "An Answer to
5	outcome, was that we randomly sampled the patients	5	Roy's Question 1A."
б	because it's the culture in HIV for drug sharing,	6	(Laughter.)
7	for plasma levels of pregabalin. And we found that	7	DR. KATZ: The only reason I agreed to give
8	an appreciable number of patients allocated to	8	this presentation is because I know that probably
9	pregabalin, despite all the normal controls for	9	half the people in the room have been involved in
0	adherence, had no detectible pregabalin in their	10	the anti-NGF story much more intimately than I have
1	blood. Con-meds were found more often than we	11	and probably know it better.
2	expected, and some patients allocated to placebo	12	But I thought it would be a good opportunity
3	had detectible pregabalin in their blood.	13	for me to go back and look at what I think is
4	I think that's something that isn't reported	14	probably the most definitive success story that we
5	in clinical trials more often and probably	15	have in translation of efficacy. With the anti-NGF
6	something we should be taking more notice of.	16	antibodies, we now have more than 30 trials, more
7	(Applause.)	17	than 10,000 patients that have been studied.
8	DR. TURK: Thank you for that great	18	So the clinical efficacy signal is
9	presentation. And again, to stimulate us to be	19	incontrovertible. There's really nothing to talk
	thinking of the trials we're doing, and advancing		about there, except if you want to talk about how
0	thinking of the thats we're doing, and advancing	20	about there, except if you want to talk about how
	trials, and moving things forward, the next		massive it is. And of course, there is a strong

	Page 229		Page 231
1	So the reason I agreed to give this talk is	1	here. You can see him walking around the room.
	to try to figure out, at least in my own mind, what		His name is Dave Shelton. He's sitting in the
	might be an answer to Roy's question 1A. What does		back. And Dave has been intimately involved in
	differentiate a true success story like this, from		this whole story, and has witnessed, and has
	an efficacy translation perspective, from all of		continued to witness, the living history of the
	the other compounds that I and others have been		development of this class of compounds from the
	giving to people for decades, that seem, at least		very beginning.
	on the surface, to look similar from a pre-clinical	8	
9	perspective, but of course have not worked out yet	9	lot of time with me, helping me get these stories
10	in patients.		straight myself in making sure I understand the
11	In terms of disclosures, I have consulted	11	concepts, especially on the pre-clinical side,
12	extensively for, first, Rinat, and then Pfizer, and	12	which I never present pre-clinical data because
13	also J&J on their anti-NGF programs. I also worked	13	it's not my thing. So only because of Dave's
14	for virtually every other company in the analgesic	14	collaboration do I have the confidence to present
15	space at one time or another. However, I do not	15	you a little bit.
16	have any long-term stake in these compounds or any	16	Another person who has very generously spent
17	other compounds, actually, in terms of patents,	17	some time allowing me to interview her is Pat
18	royalties, equity, et cetera.	18	Walicke. Pat Walicke gave me a call in 2004 and
19	So it's been stated many times that rational	19	said, "We have this interesting class of compounds.
20	analgesic drug development, in terms of trying to	20	We think it might work for pain," the same question
21	find new compounds for new targets, has not worked.	21	I get asked every day, "What kind of clinical trial
22	And the anti-NGF antibodies is clearly the most	22	do you think we should do?"
	Page 230		Page 232
	-		
	definitive exception with due deference to the	1	
	story that Andrew told a minute ago and the one	2	
	that we'll hear momentarily.		over the last few days telling me what was going
4	So again, my purpose is to try to figure out		through her mind as she was making decisions for
	if there really is anything different about the		this program.
	pre-clinical efficacy profile that was seen at the time that the decisions were made with this class	6	
	to carry them forward into clinical trials that	7	
	gave extra confidence.	9 9	
10	gave extra connuctioe.	9	
	Now I will focus just on one of this class	10	So I'm going to tell this story in seven
	Now, I will focus just on one of this class, which is tanezumab, which used to be called RN624.	10 11	
	which is tanezumab, which used to be called RN624,	11	chapters. First chapter, at least the way that
12	which is tanezumab, which used to be called RN624, purely for my own personal convenience. I didn't	11 12	chapters. First chapter, at least the way that I've chosen to divide it up, is that nerve growth
12 13	which is tanezumab, which used to be called RN624, purely for my own personal convenience. I didn't have the time to look into the origins and data of	11 12 13	chapters. First chapter, at least the way that I've chosen to divide it up, is that nerve growth factor itself was very well known. There was a
12 13 14	which is tanezumab, which used to be called RN624, purely for my own personal convenience. I didn't have the time to look into the origins and data of all the products in this class. And for the	11 12 13 14	chapters. First chapter, at least the way that I've chosen to divide it up, is that nerve growth factor itself was very well known. There was a very well-established science going back to the
12 13 14 15	which is tanezumab, which used to be called RN624, purely for my own personal convenience. I didn't have the time to look into the origins and data of all the products in this class. And for the purpose of today's presentation, I'm just going to	11 12 13 14 15	chapters. First chapter, at least the way that I've chosen to divide it up, is that nerve growth factor itself was very well known. There was a very well-established science going back to the 1950s as the first in the neurotrophin family. And
12 13 14 15 16	which is tanezumab, which used to be called RN624, purely for my own personal convenience. I didn't have the time to look into the origins and data of all the products in this class. And for the purpose of today's presentation, I'm just going to make the assumption that this product represents	11 12 13 14 15 16	chapters. First chapter, at least the way that I've chosen to divide it up, is that nerve growth factor itself was very well known. There was a very well-established science going back to the
12 13 14 15 16 17	which is tanezumab, which used to be called RN624, purely for my own personal convenience. I didn't have the time to look into the origins and data of all the products in this class. And for the purpose of today's presentation, I'm just going to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	chapters. First chapter, at least the way that I've chosen to divide it up, is that nerve growth factor itself was very well known. There was a very well-established science going back to the 1950s as the first in the neurotrophin family. And its main role had been perceived as being growth

19 version of this presentation sometime in the 20 future.

21 Anything that I have to say that is

Min-U-Script®

22 intelligent, you can attribute to this guy over

19

Then data emerged in the 1980s that not only

20 did NGF have a role during development, but it also

22 was dramatically upregulated. That paper came out

21 appeared to have a role in inflammation, where it

Ir	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 233		Page 235
1	in 1987 and that observation actually just sat	1	as it evolved.
2	there, that NGF seems to have a role in	2	This is just a quote from one of the papers
3	inflammation as well.	3	of one of the two phase 1 studies that was done on
4	This is actually the paper that I'm	4	NGF itself. And I think it's worth listening to
5	referring to, Weskamp and Otten, 1987. And as you	5	this because it gives you a flavor from a clinical
6	can see, it was interesting to me I've not	6	perspective how unusual and how intense and
7	really been intimately involved in this field at	7	provocative this observation was.
8	all that looking back at this paper as being one	8	"Subjects who received recombinant human NGF
9	of the progenitors of the whole notion that NGF	9	developed diffuse myalgias beginning about 60 to
10	might have some involvement in pain, you can't even	10	90 minutes after administration, worsening over the
11	find that in the title of the paper.	11	next 4 to 6 hours, and then slowly resolving over 2
12	ELISA for nerve growth factor, they were	12	to 8 days.
13	basically developing a tool for studying it. But	13	"The subjects reported mild to moderate pain
14	if you look at the paper itself, you can see data	14	with swallowing, pain in the masseter muscles,
15	showing that NGF is dramatically upregulated. This	15	increased by chewing, sore throat, and pain with
16	is a blister-based preparation here. This is	16	eye movements. You never see anything like this in
17	intraplural injection of carrageenan here, where	17	a clinical trial.
18	there was this very major finding of regulation of	18	"Sometimes, abdominal and limb muscles were
19	NGF and inflammation.	19	involved. These myalgias were described as though
20	So that observation was sitting there. And	20	one had run a marathon without preconditioning, or
21	then, at the same time I will call this	21	as though one had done a thousand sit-ups or push-
22	chapter 2, and I've titled this chapter, "NGF	22	ups."
	Page 234		Page 236
1	Hurts." And so in the early 1990s, two	1	That was a quote from one of the patients.
2	observations happened at more or less the same	2	I've got more quotes, too, but I cut them out for
3	time. The first is that NGF was being developed by	3	the interest of time. This is a very stunning
4	Genentech at this time. And I know there's some	4	observation, I would say, from someone who does
5	folks from Genentech in the room, and maybe they	5	clinical trials.
6	can pipe in after, too, and fill in anything that I	6	So that's just a piece to give you a flavor
7	leave out.	7	of what was going on in the clinical side. The

- NGF itself, because of its role in promoting 8 9 and supporting neural growth, was being developed 10 as a therapeutic treatment for diabetic neuropathy.
- 11 There were some laboratory findings that suggested
- 12 that it could be beneficial, and then there were
- 13 first some phase 1 and then some phase 2 studies.
- 14 And the observation was that, when you injected NGF
- 15 into people, it hurt. And I'll tell you more about 16 that in a minute.
- 17 The second set of observations occurring at 18 around the same time is that the same thing was 19 happening in animals. If you injected NGF into a 20 rat, it hurt. And I know that there are people in 21 the room that were involved in that, and I'll look
- 22 forward to any further insights you have about that

- 8 same observations were made in the other phase 1,
- 9 the phase 2, and then finally the phase 3 study of
- 10 NGF before, finally, that program was stopped
- 11 because of failure of the phase 3 study.
- 12 Then on the pre-clinical side, you've got
- 13 another paper showing that the same thing applies
- to the rat. And here is his paper from Lewin and 14
- Mendell in 1993. And this is just one of the many 15
- 16 tables and figures in that paper that gives you a
- sense for, if you give NGF to rats, you get this 17
- major mechanical hyperalgesia, also a thermal 18
- 19 hyperalgesia compared to the control rats.
- 20 There are many other similar convergent
- 21 findings, and the interpretation of the authors was
- 22 that administration of NGF can lead to a profound

Tra	ird ACTTION Scientific Workshop ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 241		Page 243
1	folks that believed in the program, but the company	1	than other types of pain, and I'll tell you more
2	had decided not to pursue it.	2	about that later. But the conclusion of the group
3	So Rinat Neuroscience was spun out in 2001	3	was that what they called deep somatic pain,
4	based on VC funding, and the main drivers of this	4	arthritis models, that seemed to be where the
5	new entity were the folks that you see here, Arnon	5	strength in the pre-clinical program was.
6	Rosenthal, Patrick Lynn, and Alun Davies, although	6	It was very interesting to me to hear what
7	of course there are multiple others involved as	7	the obstacles were, and this may astonish some of
8	well.	8	you as much as it astonished me. First of all,
9	As Dave indicated to me, they looked at	9	apparently, there was a lot of debate at the
10	their new building just down the road from	10	company at the time about whether the quote was,
11	Genentech on September 11th, 2001, so a memorable	11	"How can we compete with aspirin?" was the quote.
12	day for a variety of different reasons, announced	12	"Do we really need a new pain therapeutic?"
13	their funding two months later, and then they were	13	What I heard in my mind, what I said to
14	in business, trying to figure out, "Now, what	14	myself in my mind, when I heard that was actually a
15	should we do with this? What should we spend our	15	question is, "Wow. How disconnected these
16	investors' money on?"	16	companies are from the real world." How can you
17	This is what determines what progresses.	17	ask a question like that? You just have to walk
18	And so there were a variety of different factors	18	into a pain clinic and spend 15 minutes there, and
19	that facilitated the decision to accelerate into a	19	you know that aspirin is really not doing the job
20	pain program, but there were a few obstacles as	20	out there in the real world. Forget about the GI
21	well. And I think it's interesting to think about	21	bleeds.
22	these practicalities.	22	But that was actually a topic of discussion.
	Page 242		Page 244
1	They were a new VC-backed company. They	1	And boy, if this is actually still a question in
2	wanted a clinical-stage molecule. That's what the	2	boardrooms of investors, then we really have a
3	investors wanted. They were very comfortable with	3	communication problem on our hands that we need to
4	antibodies. That was their sweet spot. The	4	fix. I don't know if it is or it isn't, but if it
5	pre-clinical efficacy data was deemed at the time	5	is, we need to work on that.
6	to be robust. And so we've just had a discussion	6	Another interesting dimension of this
7	of, "What does that mean? Is one pre-clinical	7	decision-making process was a concern that, well,
8	package any more robust than another?"	8	pain is a primary care problem. Everybody's got
9	On the next slide that I'll show you in a	9	it. Is a biologic ever going to be a primary care
10	minute, I have done my best to define what	10	drug? With the implication being that, "Unless we
11	robustness is in terms of what the perspective was	11	can really get it out there in primary care, where
12	at that time about the data. And I think that's	12	pain lives, it's not going to be successful in the
13	one of my take-home messages from this	13	marketplace."
14	presentation, is what does robustness mean? So	14	On the other hand, there was exactly the
15	you'll see that in a second.	15	opposite argument, which is that, well, what if we
16	There was a recognize at Rinat at the time	16	get some weird safety issue? Aren't we going to be
1		1	

- 17 that we can fool around all we want with
- 18 pre-clinical models, but the only way to actually
- 19 characterize the efficacy and safety of the
- 20 compound is in the clinic. And finally, there was
- 21 directionality for the pre-clinical program. There
- 22 was somewhat better efficacy in one type of pain
- 19 more compelling risk/benefit argument if there does 20 happen to be some weird risk that we run across?

17 glad if we start studying cancer pain or some more

18 niche part of the population, where we can make a

- 21 I think I'll leave that in your mind for
- 22 later because it remains an interesting issue,

Tra	insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 245		Page 247
1	given some of the safety questions that have been	1	eventually see p less than .05, but maybe out in
	raised around this class of a product. And I will		the marketplace, we'll see the commercial
	say a word about that later, although my focus will		differentiation that really is the requirement
	not be on safety during today's presentation.		these days for successful commercialization,
5	So having said all that, the facilitators		especially of expensive drugs.
6	outweigh the obstacles. They decide that there was	6	So this, to me, is actually a conclusion
	room for a new pain therapy, and the program	7	slide, although it's buried in the middle of my
	proceeded.		presentation.
9	Now, here is what I mean by robustness. So	9	So what did the team do next? This is
10	this is sort of a summary of the large amount of	10	chapter 5. It seemed like there was a lot of data,
11	pre-clinical data that was available at that time.	11	although most of it was out there in the
12	You saw efficacy. Regardless despite the fact	12	literature, rather than having being done at
13	that it was studied in different pain models,	13	Genentech or by some very closely held
14	different endpoints within those models were all	14	collaborator. And a lot of the models that were
15	positive. It wasn't just the von Frey or just the	15	done, one can ask what the relevance is to human
16	radiant heat.	16	clinical pain.
17	Virtually, whatever endpoint you looked at	17	So the next step that was taken was to
18	in those models was positive, different time points	18	confirm the pre-clinical efficacy profile with
19	within, though. It wasn't just positive at hour 4,	19	studies that were sparked by Genentech, but then
20	but it was positive across a spectrum of time	20	conducted with the insight, and knowledge, and
21	points. There were different types of rats used in	21	experience, and laboratory expertise of a number of
22	the different experiments. There were different	22	different collaborators.
	Date 040		Dava 040
	Page 246		Page 248
1	types of experimental conditions that were used,	1	So the key papers are the one from Tim
2	different feed, different bedding, different	2	Brennan's group of the hind paw incision model; a
3	researchers, et cetera.	3	paper authored by Dave Shelton and collaborators
4	Even the antibodies that were used in the	4	with a more aggressive model of CFA, where it's
5	different studies were different, providing again	5	injected into the tail base and produces a profound
6	convergent validation of the mechanism, different		destruction of the joint; and then also a cancer
	molecules that are not anti-NGF antibodies. For		bone pain model from Pat Mantyh's group that we've
	example, molecules that blocked binding to track A	8	heard mentioned previously.
	also produced similar findings, providing more	9	This is a picture of a cute little rat foot
	convergence, and again, labs, investigators,	10	with an incision from the hind paw incision model
11			
	continence, and finally and importantly, although I	11	
12	put it at the end, is that there was consistent	12	group showing that the anti-NGF antibody brought
12 13	put it at the end, is that there was consistent superiority against active comparators.	12 13	group showing that the anti-NGF antibody brought your pain sensitivity just about to what it was
12 13 14	put it at the end, is that there was consistent superiority against active comparators. That was important to the team for, really,	12 13 14	group showing that the anti-NGF antibody brought your pain sensitivity just about to what it was after the sham procedure.
12 13 14 15	put it at the end, is that there was consistent superiority against active comparators. That was important to the team for, really, two different reasons that may sound the same, but	12 13 14 15	group showing that the anti-NGF antibody brought your pain sensitivity just about to what it was after the sham procedure. This is a picture from the bone pain study
12 13 14 15 16	put it at the end, is that there was consistent superiority against active comparators. That was important to the team for, really, two different reasons that may sound the same, but they are different. One reason is that, if	12 13 14 15 16	group showing that the anti-NGF antibody brought your pain sensitivity just about to what it was after the sham procedure. This is a picture from the bone pain study from Pat Mantyh and his group, and
12 13 14 15 16 17	put it at the end, is that there was consistent superiority against active comparators. That was important to the team for, really, two different reasons that may sound the same, but they are different. One reason is that, if something really is better than morphine,	12 13 14 15 16 17	group showing that the anti-NGF antibody brought your pain sensitivity just about to what it was after the sham procedure. This is a picture from the bone pain study from Pat Mantyh and his group, and shows actually, there is a lot on this slide,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	put it at the end, is that there was consistent superiority against active comparators. That was important to the team for, really, two different reasons that may sound the same, but they are different. One reason is that, if something really is better than morphine, gabapentin, et cetera, maybe you will be more	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	group showing that the anti-NGF antibody brought your pain sensitivity just about to what it was after the sham procedure. This is a picture from the bone pain study from Pat Mantyh and his group, and shows actually, there is a lot on this slide, and in the interest of time, I'm going to gloss
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	put it at the end, is that there was consistent superiority against active comparators. That was important to the team for, really, two different reasons that may sound the same, but they are different. One reason is that, if something really is better than morphine, gabapentin, et cetera, maybe you will be more likely to see technical success in your clinical	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	group showing that the anti-NGF antibody brought your pain sensitivity just about to what it was after the sham procedure. This is a picture from the bone pain study from Pat Mantyh and his group, and shows actually, there is a lot on this slide, and in the interest of time, I'm going to gloss over it.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	put it at the end, is that there was consistent superiority against active comparators. That was important to the team for, really, two different reasons that may sound the same, but they are different. One reason is that, if something really is better than morphine, gabapentin, et cetera, maybe you will be more likely to see technical success in your clinical trials if the drug's that good.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	group showing that the anti-NGF antibody brought your pain sensitivity just about to what it was after the sham procedure. This is a picture from the bone pain study from Pat Mantyh and his group, and shows actually, there is a lot on this slide, and in the interest of time, I'm going to gloss over it. First of all, when you put sarcoma cells in
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	put it at the end, is that there was consistent superiority against active comparators. That was important to the team for, really, two different reasons that may sound the same, but they are different. One reason is that, if something really is better than morphine, gabapentin, et cetera, maybe you will be more likely to see technical success in your clinical	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	group showing that the anti-NGF antibody brought your pain sensitivity just about to what it was after the sham procedure. This is a picture from the bone pain study from Pat Mantyh and his group, and shows actually, there is a lot on this slide, and in the interest of time, I'm going to gloss over it.

	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 249		Page 251
1	the pain associated with it, are blocked by the	1	way we can get early signals of efficacy, of human
2	administration of NGF.	2	efficacy, out of the phase 1 study, and what are
3	So that gave a very powerful convergence	3	the options?
4	with the other pre-clinical findings. And all	4	The options are studying patients in phase 1
5	those three studies that I mentioned were positive,	5	using human experimental pain models in your
6	so done under much more careful conditions, and	6	healthy volunteers, which, as much as they might
7	with more visibility to the sponsor at Genentech,	7	show you interesting data, they raise all sorts of
8	and all convergently positive.	8	other questions about whether that itself is going
9	Chapter 6, in the meantime while all this is	9	to translate into patients when you get there. And
10	going on, nothing that I've showed you	10	that's pretty much it.
11	today I've not mentioned the word tanezumab yet	11	So the drive was to try to do phase 1 in
12	because nothing that I've showed you has anything	12	patients. And that was not really an issue at a
13	to do with tanezumab. It was not used in any of	13	biologics company because, given all their
14	those studies. And so meanwhile, Genentech is	14	experience in oncology, they were used to doing
15	trying to figure out what antibodies should we	15	their phase 1 studies in patients anyway, so that
16	actually bring forward into the clinic.	16	felt very natural to them, although it might feel
17	I'm not going to go through this in any	17	awkward in a small molecule environment.
18	detail. Suffice it to say that there were a number	18	So if we're going to study patients, what
19	of criteria that the company had for what would be	19	kind of patients? If we're interested in bone
20	an appropriate antibody among the many options that	20	pain, then the feeling was that osteoarthritis is
21	they had. And they finally ended up in April 2002	21	probably the most practical, and convenient, and
22	with the product that they had ultimately decided	22	representative model of bone pain. You can find
	Page 250		Page 252
1	to bring forward. And if you have any questions	1	the patients. There's lots of them out there.
2	about that, then we can ask Dave at the break.	2	Their pain syndromes tend to be relatively stable.
3	The next chapter and the last chapter is	3	It's not like back pain, which is complicated for
4	where I personally got involved in this program,	4	reasons that were discussed in detail yesterday
5	which is now, what clinical syndrome given this	5	during another talk.
6	pre-clinical data, what do we do clinically. And	6	So the decision was made to there had
7	there were a number of drivers of that decision.	7	been lots of positive studies in various kinds of
8	And, again, that program is led by Pat Walicke.	8	agents in osteoarthritis, relatively well-
9	First is that I'm not actually showing you	9	established how to do those studies, validated
10	any details of the breadth of pre-clinical data,	10	endpoints, et cetera, et cetera.
11		11	So the other interesting facet of their
12	neuropathic, and visceral pain models. And while	12	phase 1 studies, and what gave them an opportunity
	(hanna an an an a' Churan a' ann a le an Chur (f ean an ann an		to continue contractor of office or in that

- 13 to capture early signals of efficacy, is that
- 14 because of the prolonged half-life of these
- 15 antibodies, you need weeks between dose escalation
- 16 cohorts. And observing patients for weeks gives
- 17 you more opportunity to capture efficacy data than
- 18 if you're just observing a patient for a few hours
- 19 with a chronic pain syndrome; although that can be
- 20 done, too, which is another story. But at that
- 21 time, that was viewed as a positive reason to do
- 22 phase 1 in OA and try to get early signals of

16 model.

17

13 there were positive signals of efficacy across all

14 three types of pain, the feeling the team was that

15 the efficacy was most profound in the bone pain

18 bone pain. Because of funding, and the pressures,

19 and the need for success, there was a drive to try

20 to get early signals of efficacy from the phase 1

21 studies. And this is a question that I get asked

22 all the time. We need to do phase 1. Is there any

So the pre-clinical was driving them towards

112	ird ACTTION Scientific Workshop ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies	June 24, 2014		
	Page 253		Page 255	
1	efficacy.	1	product was put on clinical hold by the FDA in 2012	
2			because of a joint safety issue. So there has been	
3	smart woman and has a lot of experience in clinical		very little done since 2012.	
	research. She read a lot, and she caught on to	4	In fact, I think the only other studies that	
	this whole assay sensitivity concept. And back in	5	I've read out since then is the cancer study	
6	2004, it was still early days. Our first impact	6	because that's the only study that was allowed to	
7	meeting was, what, 2002 or something like that?	7	proceed at that time.	
8	And so I was very gratified to hear from her on the	8	So here is what tanezumab does. So first of	
9	phone the other day when I spoke to her, that she	9	all, just in terms of the breadth of the program,	
10	read all the IMPACT papers at that time, was very	10	you've got 30 clinical trials that have been	
11	familiar with all the contributors. And it made me	11	completed, 28 plus 2 phase 1 studies that are not	
12	feel good that we had accomplished something	12	on this slide.	
13	without necessarily knowing it at that time.	13	You've got 17 studies in osteoarthritis,	
14	So the phase 1 studies are not published, so	14	2 studies in chronic low back pain, the cancer	
15	I don't have that data to show you, but suffice it	15	study that I mentioned, a bunionectomy study, which	
16	to say they were positive, as you probably could	16	was negative. And I've organized these studies by	
17	guess. And that led to proof of concept, a rather	17	pain category. So the bone pain or the somatic	
18	large landmark proof-of-concept study of tanezumab	18	pain are up here. There have been two small	
19	in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. This	19	neuropathic pain studies and four small visceral	
20	was published in the New England Journal of	20	pain studies.	
21	Medicine in 2010 and showed essentially a degree of	21	The results, what these pluses and minuses	
22	efficacy that had, to my mind, never been seen	22	mean are the following. Two pluses means that the	
	Page 254		Page 256	
1				
- -	before in a clinical trial of an analgesic for any	1	p value of the study was less than .05. One plus	
	before in a clinical trial of an analgesic for any kind of chronic pain indication.		p value of the study was less than .05. One plus means that the p value of the study was not less	
		2		
2 3	kind of chronic pain indication.	2 3	means that the p value of the study was not less	
2 3	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a	2 3 4	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring	
2 3 4 5	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a	2 3 4 5	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that	
2 3 4 5 6	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their	2 3 4 5	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy	
2 3 4 5 6 7	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest	2 3 4 5 6 7	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever.	
2 3 5 6 7 8	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest dose of the tanezumab group, you've got a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever. So just to give you the quick overview,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest dose of the tanezumab group, you've got a 45 millimeter reduction in pain intensity, a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever. So just to give you the quick overview, there's strong evidence of efficacy and	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest dose of the tanezumab group, you've got a 45 millimeter reduction in pain intensity, a 30 millimeter difference between active and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever. So just to give you the quick overview, there's strong evidence of efficacy and osteoarthritis, 2 positive studies in chronic low back pain with 1500 patients in them. The bone	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest dose of the tanezumab group, you've got a 45 millimeter reduction in pain intensity, a 30 millimeter difference between active and placebo. And I can tell you, from the world of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever. So just to give you the quick overview, there's strong evidence of efficacy and osteoarthritis, 2 positive studies in chronic low back pain with 1500 patients in them. The bone	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest dose of the tanezumab group, you've got a 45 millimeter reduction in pain intensity, a 30 millimeter difference between active and placebo. And I can tell you, from the world of clinical trials, you just don't see that. You just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever. So just to give you the quick overview, there's strong evidence of efficacy and osteoarthritis, 2 positive studies in chronic low back pain with 1500 patients in them. The bone cancer study was not statistically significant, but	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest dose of the tanezumab group, you've got a 45 millimeter reduction in pain intensity, a 30 millimeter difference between active and placebo. And I can tell you, from the world of clinical trials, you just don't see that. You just don't see that. So the rest kind of is a history. I'm not going to dwell in detail on what's happened since	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever. So just to give you the quick overview, there's strong evidence of efficacy and osteoarthritis, 2 positive studies in chronic low back pain with 1500 patients in them. The bone cancer study was not statistically significant, but there was a trend in favor of the active group that	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest dose of the tanezumab group, you've got a 45 millimeter reduction in pain intensity, a 30 millimeter difference between active and placebo. And I can tell you, from the world of clinical trials, you just don't see that. You just don't see that. So the rest kind of is a history. I'm not going to dwell in detail on what's happened since then, since this is a meeting about translation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever. So just to give you the quick overview, there's strong evidence of efficacy and osteoarthritis, 2 positive studies in chronic low back pain with 1500 patients in them. The bone cancer study was not statistically significant, but there was a trend in favor of the active group that manuscript is soon to be submitted into the literature. The bunionectomy study, which is an acute	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest dose of the tanezumab group, you've got a 45 millimeter reduction in pain intensity, a 30 millimeter difference between active and placebo. And I can tell you, from the world of clinical trials, you just don't see that. You just don't see that. So the rest kind of is a history. I'm not going to dwell in detail on what's happened since then, since this is a meeting about translation. And I think the focus is about, well, what was it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever. So just to give you the quick overview, there's strong evidence of efficacy and osteoarthritis, 2 positive studies in chronic low back pain with 1500 patients in them. The bone cancer study was not statistically significant, but there was a trend in favor of the active group that manuscript is soon to be submitted into the literature. The bunionectomy study, which is an acute pain study, that failed totally. There was no sign	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest dose of the tanezumab group, you've got a 45 millimeter reduction in pain intensity, a 30 millimeter difference between active and placebo. And I can tell you, from the world of clinical trials, you just don't see that. You just don't see that. So the rest kind of is a history. I'm not going to dwell in detail on what's happened since then, since this is a meeting about translation. And I think the focus is about, well, what was it about the pre-clinical data that might have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever. So just to give you the quick overview, there's strong evidence of efficacy and osteoarthritis, 2 positive studies in chronic low back pain with 1500 patients in them. The bone cancer study was not statistically significant, but there was a trend in favor of the active group that manuscript is soon to be submitted into the literature. The bunionectomy study, which is an acute pain study, that failed totally. There was no sign of efficacy of tanezumab. But for reasons that I	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest dose of the tanezumab group, you've got a 45 millimeter reduction in pain intensity, a 30 millimeter difference between active and placebo. And I can tell you, from the world of clinical trials, you just don't see that. You just don't see that. So the rest kind of is a history. I'm not going to dwell in detail on what's happened since then, since this is a meeting about translation. And I think the focus is about, well, what was it about the pre-clinical data that might have been different?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever. So just to give you the quick overview, there's strong evidence of efficacy and osteoarthritis, 2 positive studies in chronic low back pain with 1500 patients in them. The bone cancer study was not statistically significant, but there was a trend in favor of the active group that manuscript is soon to be submitted into the literature. The bunionectomy study, which is an acute pain study, that failed totally. There was no sign of efficacy of tanezumab. But for reasons that I don't understand, they had no positive control in	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest dose of the tanezumab group, you've got a 45 millimeter reduction in pain intensity, a 30 millimeter difference between active and placebo. And I can tell you, from the world of clinical trials, you just don't see that. You just don't see that. So the rest kind of is a history. I'm not going to dwell in detail on what's happened since then, since this is a meeting about translation. And I think the focus is about, well, what was it about the pre-clinical data that might have been different? I will give you a quick overview of where we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever. So just to give you the quick overview, there's strong evidence of efficacy and osteoarthritis, 2 positive studies in chronic low back pain with 1500 patients in them. The bone cancer study was not statistically significant, but there was a trend in favor of the active group that manuscript is soon to be submitted into the literature. The bunionectomy study, which is an acute pain study, that failed totally. There was no sign of efficacy of tanezumab. But for reasons that I don't understand, they had no positive control in that study, which is a mistake. You'd never do a	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest dose of the tanezumab group, you've got a 45 millimeter reduction in pain intensity, a 30 millimeter difference between active and placebo. And I can tell you, from the world of clinical trials, you just don't see that. You just don't see that. So the rest kind of is a history. I'm not going to dwell in detail on what's happened since then, since this is a meeting about translation. And I think the focus is about, well, what was it about the pre-clinical data that might have been different? I will give you a quick overview of where we are now with this particular compound. This is an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever. So just to give you the quick overview, there's strong evidence of efficacy and osteoarthritis, 2 positive studies in chronic low back pain with 1500 patients in them. The bone cancer study was not statistically significant, but there was a trend in favor of the active group that manuscript is soon to be submitted into the literature. The bunionectomy study, which is an acute pain study, that failed totally. There was no sign of efficacy of tanezumab. But for reasons that I don't understand, they had no positive control in that study, which is a mistake. You'd never do a bunionectomy study without a positive control. I'm	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest dose of the tanezumab group, you've got a 45 millimeter reduction in pain intensity, a 30 millimeter difference between active and placebo. And I can tell you, from the world of clinical trials, you just don't see that. You just don't see that. So the rest kind of is a history. I'm not going to dwell in detail on what's happened since then, since this is a meeting about translation. And I think the focus is about, well, what was it about the pre-clinical data that might have been different? I will give you a quick overview of where we are now with this particular compound. This is an overview of all the randomized control trials that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever. So just to give you the quick overview, there's strong evidence of efficacy and osteoarthritis, 2 positive studies in chronic low back pain with 1500 patients in them. The bone cancer study was not statistically significant, but there was a trend in favor of the active group that manuscript is soon to be submitted into the literature. The bunionectomy study, which is an acute pain study, that failed totally. There was no sign of efficacy of tanezumab. But for reasons that I don't understand, they had no positive control in that study, which is a mistake. You'd never do a bunionectomy study without a positive control. I'm not sure why. There must have been a reason, but I	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	kind of chronic pain indication. Just to give you a flavor, this is the placebo in this grayish color. And they had a 15-ish-millimeter reduction from baseline in their average pain intensity. And here, in the highest dose of the tanezumab group, you've got a 45 millimeter reduction in pain intensity, a 30 millimeter difference between active and placebo. And I can tell you, from the world of clinical trials, you just don't see that. You just don't see that. So the rest kind of is a history. I'm not going to dwell in detail on what's happened since then, since this is a meeting about translation. And I think the focus is about, well, what was it about the pre-clinical data that might have been different? I will give you a quick overview of where we are now with this particular compound. This is an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	means that the p value of the study was not less than .05, but there was a numerical trend favoring the active group. And the minus sign means that the study was flat. There was no sign of efficacy whatsoever. So just to give you the quick overview, there's strong evidence of efficacy and osteoarthritis, 2 positive studies in chronic low back pain with 1500 patients in them. The bone cancer study was not statistically significant, but there was a trend in favor of the active group that manuscript is soon to be submitted into the literature. The bunionectomy study, which is an acute pain study, that failed totally. There was no sign of efficacy of tanezumab. But for reasons that I don't understand, they had no positive control in that study, which is a mistake. You'd never do a bunionectomy study without a positive control. I'm	

Thi Tra	ird ACTTION Scientific Workshop ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 257		Page 259
1	or so later. But so far as we know, this drug was	1	So I have two conclusion slides. And these
	not effective in this acute pain model.	2	are the lessons that I think I've learned as a
3		3	non-scientist, as a clinician and a clinical
4	significant results in painful diabetic neuropathy	4	trialist, from looking back at this particular
5	and a very small 30-ish per-arm clinical trial. In	5	program and trying to ask myself the question,
6	another 30-ish per-arm post-herpetic neuralgia	6	"What was different here, if anything?"
7	study, the p value was I forget09 or	7	These are my thoughts about it, and, again,
8	something like that, with about a .6 or .7	8	there are people out here that know much more about
9	difference. So I think, actually, this medication	9	this program than I do, and I'll be curious to how
10	probably works in neuropathic pain as well.	10	people react to this.
11	Visceral pain is kind of an interesting	11	First is, I can envision in my own mind a
12	story. So there was a very small interstitial	12	robustness checklist. And what I mean by
13	cystitis study. And a lot of people view	13	robustness checklist is that slide that I showed
14	interstitial cystitis as a graveyard. Good luck	14	before. When your efficacy is robust across all
15	getting a positive study of anything in that	15	those different sorts of conditions, it's more
16	syndrome, but in fact, the study was positive,	16	persuasive than if it's not robust across those
17	prostatitis, a trend, but negative p value.	17	conditions. That's the first lesson that I have
18	They started a study in pancreatitis, but	18	learned.
19	stopped it because of the clinical hold after two	19	I have looked at a lot of pre-clinical data
20	patients, and there was a negative endometriosis	20	from the perspective of the clinic over the last
21	study. So I think, again, an encouraging signal in		25 years of giving drugs to patients in clinical
22	visceral pain as well.	22	trials, and I don't think I've seen a package with
	Page 258		Page 260
1	So that's where we are right now with	1	this degree of robustness. I haven't looked back
2	tanezumab. In the interests of time, the only	2	in the bowels of my computer to confirm that that's
3	point that I'll make with this slide, which is a	3	really true. I'll be curious what other people
4	pooled analysis of all the OA studies, is that	4	think. But this feels very different to me.
5	there is superiority over an active comparator,	5	The second checklist that I could imagine
6	which in this case was naproxen. And in the world	6	using is what I would call a methodology checklist.
7	of pain research, we don't see superiority over	7	And what I'm thinking there is the checklist that
8	active comparators.	8	Andrew Rice and colleagues have contributed to the
9	Also, superiority of oxycodone in a clinical	9	literature, where if studies are not done and with
10	trial, another osteoarthritis clinical trial;	10	all the features that Andrew has shown on his
11	again, that's good, although I do feel obligated to	11	slide randomization, blinding, et cetera,
12	point out that oxycodone was not superior to	12	et cetera, et cetera they are hypothesis
13		13	generating only.
	technical issues with how it was dosed, but still,	14	Thirdly, benefit over standards, that's
15	I sense that it's superior to an opioid comparator		something worth looking out for in the pre-clinical
16	as well.		data set. And of course, I have seen a lot of data
17	Again, my talk is not on safety, but I feel	17	that shows supposedly superiority over standards,

- 19 data that I've seen, you would not see that
- 20 superiority over standards replicated across that
- 21 robustness checklist that I gave you.
 - Next, just a word of caution -- and this is

18 compelled to mention that these programs were put

19 on clinical hold since 2012. And the two main

22 safety has been another concern.

20 concerns have been joint safety. That was how it

21 all started, and also sympathetic nervous system

22

1 ra	insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 261		Page 263
1	really more Dave's thought that beware of	1	in patients and utilizing those methods to try to
2	interpreting results about superiority when you're	2	get more early signals of efficacy. And I see that
3	studying a drug that was when you're using a	3	happening in pain research from my vantage point.
4	model that was developed for another drug. So for	4	I think that if the phase 1 studies had been
5	example, if you were testing ibuprofen versus	5	done on volunteers and there was some readout on
6	morphine in a CFA model, you might be tempted to	6	experimental pain, that would not have been
7	say, "Well, look at this great new drug, ibuprofen.	7	persuasive as what they had, which was a readout in
8	It's better than morphine." But, of course, that	8	real patients. So I'm a fan of studying patients
9	model preferentially shows the efficacy of NSAIDs	9	and getting clinical endpoints when you can as
10	over opioids.	10	opposed to experimental endpoints, although
11	So be careful about drawing superiority	11	hopefully we'll learn more about those over time.
12	conclusions with certain models since the models	12	The methods to augment assay sensitivity are
13	themselves may bias you in favor of one class over	13	really critical, especially in the early small
14	another.	14	studies. It seemed like, in this case, there was
15	This is a point that I got from Steve	15	some pre-clinical suggestion about where the most
16	McMahon I'll give you the American pronunciation	16	robust findings were. And that does seem, at least
17	of his last name for the purposes of today which	17	from what we can tell right now, to map onto what
18	maybe he'll want to discuss in more detail during	18	we're seeing clinically.
19	the break, which is that and I didn't really	19	Finally, you don't know what your clinical
20	appreciate this a number of our efficacy models;	20	efficacy profile is until you study your drug in
21	if the drug that we're testing produces sedation,	21	patients with different clinical syndromes.
22	or dizziness, or nausea, or Lord knows what, the	22	There's just no shortcut. And I think it's
	Page 262		Page 264
1	readout might be an analgesic readout. You might	1	fabulous that Pfizer, J&J, and other people that
2	interpret that sedation as analgesia.	2	are working on these compounds have the courage and
3	I actually hadn't ever thought about that	3	vision to test diverse clinical syndromes, even
4	before, but that makes me very skeptical now about	4	where we actually don't know a lot about how to do
5	models where a side effect might actually confound	5	clinical trials.
6	the primary efficacy endpoint. And I'm going to	6	Who knows how to do a chronic prostatitis
7	have to think a little bit more about which models	7	study? If you raise your hand, you're a liar.
8	ought to be viewed more skeptically because of that	8	Nobody knows how to do those studies. And so I
9	problem or, alternatively, what models should be	9	give the sponsor credit for at least beginning the
1		-	
	viewed favorably because the side effects do not	10	process of exploring how to do studies in clinical
11	viewed favorably because the side effects do not confound the readout of the primary efficacy	10 11	process of exploring how to do studies in clinical syndrome, where there is a high unmet need, but not
11	viewed favorably because the side effects do not confound the readout of the primary efficacy endpoint.	10 11	process of exploring how to do studies in clinical syndrome, where there is a high unmet need, but not a lot of track record about how to do the studies.
11 12 13	viewed favorably because the side effects do not confound the readout of the primary efficacy endpoint. So this is what I think I'm going to be	10 11 12 13	process of exploring how to do studies in clinical syndrome, where there is a high unmet need, but not a lot of track record about how to do the studies. That minor point there is that we and the
11 12 13 14	viewed favorably because the side effects do not confound the readout of the primary efficacy endpoint. So this is what I think I'm going to be looking for in the future when someone presents me	10 11 12 13 14	process of exploring how to do studies in clinical syndrome, where there is a high unmet need, but not a lot of track record about how to do the studies. That minor point there is that we and the clinical research methods development community
11 12 13 14 15	viewed favorably because the side effects do not confound the readout of the primary efficacy endpoint. So this is what I think I'm going to be looking for in the future when someone presents me a pre-clinical data set and says, "Do you think we	10 11 12 13 14	process of exploring how to do studies in clinical syndrome, where there is a high unmet need, but not a lot of track record about how to do the studies. That minor point there is that we and the clinical research methods development community need to develop better visceral pain models to
11 12 13 14 15	viewed favorably because the side effects do not confound the readout of the primary efficacy endpoint. So this is what I think I'm going to be looking for in the future when someone presents me a pre-clinical data set and says, "Do you think we should bring this into patients?"	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	process of exploring how to do studies in clinical syndrome, where there is a high unmet need, but not a lot of track record about how to do the studies. That minor point there is that we and the clinical research methods development community need to develop better visceral pain models to foster research in that area. Thank you very much
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	viewed favorably because the side effects do not confound the readout of the primary efficacy endpoint. So this is what I think I'm going to be looking for in the future when someone presents me a pre-clinical data set and says, "Do you think we should bring this into patients?" From a clinical perspective, I think there	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	process of exploring how to do studies in clinical syndrome, where there is a high unmet need, but not a lot of track record about how to do the studies. That minor point there is that we and the clinical research methods development community need to develop better visceral pain models to foster research in that area. Thank you very much for your attention.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	viewed favorably because the side effects do not confound the readout of the primary efficacy endpoint. So this is what I think I'm going to be looking for in the future when someone presents me a pre-clinical data set and says, "Do you think we should bring this into patients?" From a clinical perspective, I think there are also some interesting lessons to be derived	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	process of exploring how to do studies in clinical syndrome, where there is a high unmet need, but not a lot of track record about how to do the studies. That minor point there is that we and the clinical research methods development community need to develop better visceral pain models to foster research in that area. Thank you very much for your attention. (Applause.)
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	viewed favorably because the side effects do not confound the readout of the primary efficacy endpoint. So this is what I think I'm going to be looking for in the future when someone presents me a pre-clinical data set and says, "Do you think we should bring this into patients?" From a clinical perspective, I think there are also some interesting lessons to be derived from this story. But one lesson is that, now that	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	process of exploring how to do studies in clinical syndrome, where there is a high unmet need, but not a lot of track record about how to do the studies. That minor point there is that we and the clinical research methods development community need to develop better visceral pain models to foster research in that area. Thank you very much for your attention. (Applause.) DR. TURK: [Inaudible – off
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	viewed favorably because the side effects do not confound the readout of the primary efficacy endpoint. So this is what I think I'm going to be looking for in the future when someone presents me a pre-clinical data set and says, "Do you think we should bring this into patients?" From a clinical perspective, I think there are also some interesting lessons to be derived from this story. But one lesson is that, now that we have methods for improved assay sensitivity,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	process of exploring how to do studies in clinical syndrome, where there is a high unmet need, but not a lot of track record about how to do the studies. That minor point there is that we and the clinical research methods development community need to develop better visceral pain models to foster research in that area. Thank you very much for your attention. (Applause.) DR. TURK: [Inaudible – off mic] stimulating to get us again thinking about,
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	viewed favorably because the side effects do not confound the readout of the primary efficacy endpoint. So this is what I think I'm going to be looking for in the future when someone presents me a pre-clinical data set and says, "Do you think we should bring this into patients?" From a clinical perspective, I think there are also some interesting lessons to be derived from this story. But one lesson is that, now that we have methods for improved assay sensitivity, which we could present a long list of what those	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	process of exploring how to do studies in clinical syndrome, where there is a high unmet need, but not a lot of track record about how to do the studies. That minor point there is that we and the clinical research methods development community need to develop better visceral pain models to foster research in that area. Thank you very much for your attention. (Applause.) DR. TURK: [Inaudible – off mic] stimulating to get us again thinking about, what are the things we want to be thinking about as
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	viewed favorably because the side effects do not confound the readout of the primary efficacy endpoint. So this is what I think I'm going to be looking for in the future when someone presents me a pre-clinical data set and says, "Do you think we should bring this into patients?" From a clinical perspective, I think there are also some interesting lessons to be derived from this story. But one lesson is that, now that we have methods for improved assay sensitivity,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	process of exploring how to do studies in clinical syndrome, where there is a high unmet need, but not a lot of track record about how to do the studies. That minor point there is that we and the clinical research methods development community need to develop better visceral pain models to foster research in that area. Thank you very much for your attention. (Applause.) DR. TURK: [Inaudible – off mic] stimulating to get us again thinking about,

-	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 20	
	Page 265		Page 267	
1	are running a little behind schedule, so we'll just	1	potential safety signals, including ones that	
	take a couple questions. Then we'll save other		aren't anticipated.	
	ones for the discussion.	3	DR. KATZ: It's a very interesting point,	
4	Sharon?	4	and I also took note of the fact that NGF	
5	DR. HERTZ: Sharon Hertz. So you presented	5	inhibition produces a cascade of all sorts of	
6	a lot, and you really focused on the efficacy. But	6	different effects. And the question is, well, is	
7	we can't escape thinking about the safety. And	7	that positive because it's necessary? Maybe that's	
8	this is a really good example of situations that	8	a way to produce better efficacy from new compounds	
9	arise.	9	rather than narrow targeting. But maybe that	
10	So two points that I'd like to make is	10	creates safety risks.	
11	there's been a lot of discussion about how dirty	11	Chas?	
12	molecules work better, but they also hurt more.	12	DR. BOUNTRA: Nat, I thought that was	
13	And we still have some pretty notable safety issues	13	brilliant. Thank you. Just a couple of comments.	
14	that need to be addressed with this. And what's	14	It's interesting I mean, all the data that Steve	
15	interesting from a translation perspective is how	15	and Clifford generated in the mid-90s. I mean,	
16	the efficacy here seems to have been very	16	everybody was aware of that. But it was	
17	predictive, with the safety not so much. And	17	interesting that big PhRMA weren't leaders in this	
18	that's something that would be interesting to see	18	target. Secondly, both the two stories we've heard	
19	if there's any way to think about that and	19	today, this afternoon, have been peripheral	
20	anticipate it more in the future.	20	mechanisms.	
21	There was one other point I wanted to make,	21	Thirdly, you seem to be implying that, if	
22	but I think I lost it already. But anyway, I don't	22	you have a target or an asset that works in loads	
	Page 266		Page 268	
1	know what else could have been done. We have			
<u>т</u>		1	of animal models, treating pain almost as one big	
	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see		of animal models, treating pain almost as one big thing, it's going to work in all pains in the	
2		2		
2 3	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see	2 3	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the	
2 3 4	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated	2 3 4	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am	
2 3 4	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's	2 3 4 5	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is	
2 3 4 5 6	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that.	2 3 4 5	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into	
2 3 4 5 6 7	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them	2 3 4 5 6 7	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them yet, I am still a fan of more specific targeting,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic. DR. KATZ: I think that's a question. It's	
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them yet, I am still a fan of more specific targeting, even if it's not an expected target, in terms of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic. DR. KATZ: I think that's a question. It's a latter point that you made, Chas, is this	
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them yet, I am still a fan of more specific targeting, even if it's not an expected target, in terms of reducing the amount of noise in the safety side of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic. DR. KATZ: I think that's a question. It's a latter point that you made, Chas, is this pre-clinical efficacy profile really more robust in	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them yet, I am still a fan of more specific targeting, even if it's not an expected target, in terms of reducing the amount of noise in the safety side of things.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic. DR. KATZ: I think that's a question. It's a latter point that you made, Chas, is this pre-clinical efficacy profile really more robust in the ways that I suggested than other compounds that	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them yet, I am still a fan of more specific targeting, even if it's not an expected target, in terms of reducing the amount of noise in the safety side of things. DR. KATZ: What do you mean by more specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic. DR. KATZ: I think that's a question. It's a latter point that you made, Chas, is this pre-clinical efficacy profile really more robust in the ways that I suggested than other compounds that have been brought into the clinic and have been	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them yet, I am still a fan of more specific targeting, even if it's not an expected target, in terms of reducing the amount of noise in the safety side of things. DR. KATZ: What do you mean by more specific targeting, Sharon? DR. HERTZ: So even though this is called nerve growth factor and we're targeting anybody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic. DR. KATZ: I think that's a question. It's a latter point that you made, Chas, is this pre-clinical efficacy profile really more robust in the ways that I suggested than other compounds that have been brought into the clinic and have been shown in clinical trials to fail? I personally think that, if anything were to come out of this meeting, that would be something	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them yet, I am still a fan of more specific targeting, even if it's not an expected target, in terms of reducing the amount of noise in the safety side of things. DR. KATZ: What do you mean by more specific targeting, Sharon? DR. HERTZ: So even though this is called nerve growth factor and we're targeting anybody who's against it, it's not specific for nerves or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic. DR. KATZ: I think that's a question. It's a latter point that you made, Chas, is this pre-clinical efficacy profile really more robust in the ways that I suggested than other compounds that have been brought into the clinic and have been shown in clinical trials to fail? I personally think that, if anything were to come out of this meeting, that would be something very important to look at, because if there is a	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them yet, I am still a fan of more specific targeting, even if it's not an expected target, in terms of reducing the amount of noise in the safety side of things. DR. KATZ: What do you mean by more specific targeting, Sharon? DR. HERTZ: So even though this is called nerve growth factor and we're targeting anybody who's against it, it's not specific for nerves or nerve growth in any way. And that's where we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic. DR. KATZ: I think that's a question. It's a latter point that you made, Chas, is this pre-clinical efficacy profile really more robust in the ways that I suggested than other compounds that have been brought into the clinic and have been shown in clinical trials to fail? I personally think that, if anything were to come out of this meeting, that would be something very important to look at, because if there is a way of predicting in advance what products are	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them yet, I am still a fan of more specific targeting, even if it's not an expected target, in terms of reducing the amount of noise in the safety side of things. DR. KATZ: What do you mean by more specific targeting, Sharon? DR. HERTZ: So even though this is called nerve growth factor and we're targeting anybody who's against it, it's not specific for nerves or nerve growth in any way. And that's where we're starting to see the other some of this toxicity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic. DR. KATZ: I think that's a question. It's a latter point that you made, Chas, is this pre-clinical efficacy profile really more robust in the ways that I suggested than other compounds that have been brought into the clinic and have been shown in clinical trials to fail? I personally think that, if anything were to come out of this meeting, that would be something very important to look at, because if there is a way of predicting in advance what products are going to work in the clinic and what aren't, by	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them yet, I am still a fan of more specific targeting, even if it's not an expected target, in terms of reducing the amount of noise in the safety side of things. DR. KATZ: What do you mean by more specific targeting, Sharon? DR. HERTZ: So even though this is called nerve growth factor and we're targeting anybody who's against it, it's not specific for nerves or nerve growth in any way. And that's where we're starting to see the other some of this toxicity profile is nerve related, but it's also not nerve	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic. DR. KATZ: I think that's a question. It's a latter point that you made, Chas, is this pre-clinical efficacy profile really more robust in the ways that I suggested than other compounds that have been brought into the clinic and have been shown in clinical trials to fail? I personally think that, if anything were to come out of this meeting, that would be something very important to look at, because if there is a way of predicting in advance what products are going to work in the clinic and what aren't, by looking at the pre-clinical efficacy profile, if my	
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them yet, I am still a fan of more specific targeting, even if it's not an expected target, in terms of reducing the amount of noise in the safety side of things. DR. KATZ: What do you mean by more specific targeting, Sharon? DR. HERTZ: So even though this is called nerve growth factor and we're targeting anybody who's against it, it's not specific for nerves or nerve growth in any way. And that's where we're starting to see the other some of this toxicity profile is nerve related, but it's also not nerve related. And apparently, if you start really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic. DR. KATZ: I think that's a question. It's a latter point that you made, Chas, is this pre-clinical efficacy profile really more robust in the ways that I suggested than other compounds that have been brought into the clinic and have been shown in clinical trials to fail? I personally think that, if anything were to come out of this meeting, that would be something very important to look at, because if there is a way of predicting in advance what products are going to work in the clinic and what aren't, by looking at the pre-clinical efficacy profile, if my intuition is right, that's worth knowing. And if	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them yet, I am still a fan of more specific targeting, even if it's not an expected target, in terms of reducing the amount of noise in the safety side of things. DR. KATZ: What do you mean by more specific targeting, Sharon? DR. HERTZ: So even though this is called nerve growth factor and we're targeting anybody who's against it, it's not specific for nerves or nerve growth in any way. And that's where we're starting to see the other some of this toxicity profile is nerve related, but it's also not nerve related. And apparently, if you start really exploring the targets or the areas where nerve	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic. DR. KATZ: I think that's a question. It's a latter point that you made, Chas, is this pre-clinical efficacy profile really more robust in the ways that I suggested than other compounds that have been brought into the clinic and have been shown in clinical trials to fail? I personally think that, if anything were to come out of this meeting, that would be something very important to look at, because if there is a way of predicting in advance what products are going to work in the clinic and what aren't, by looking at the pre-clinical efficacy profile, if my intuition is right, that's worth knowing. And if it's wrong, it's also worth knowing.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them yet, I am still a fan of more specific targeting, even if it's not an expected target, in terms of reducing the amount of noise in the safety side of things. DR. KATZ: What do you mean by more specific targeting, Sharon? DR. HERTZ: So even though this is called nerve growth factor and we're targeting anybody who's against it, it's not specific for nerves or nerve growth in any way. And that's where we're starting to see the other some of this toxicity profile is nerve related, but it's also not nerve related. And apparently, if you start really exploring the targets or the areas where nerve growth factor are active, it's pretty diverse,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic. DR. KATZ: I think that's a question. It's a latter point that you made, Chas, is this pre-clinical efficacy profile really more robust in the ways that I suggested than other compounds that have been brought into the clinic and have been shown in clinical trials to fail? I personally think that, if anything were to come out of this meeting, that would be something very important to look at, because if there is a way of predicting in advance what products are going to work in the clinic and what aren't, by looking at the pre-clinical efficacy profile, if my intuition is right, that's worth knowing. And if it's wrong, it's also worth knowing. DR. TURK: We're going to have the last	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21	looked back at the non-clinical work, trying to see if there's any way that we could have anticipated the arthropathy signal. I'm not sure that there's anything there that would have anticipated that. But to the extent that we don't have them yet, I am still a fan of more specific targeting, even if it's not an expected target, in terms of reducing the amount of noise in the safety side of things. DR. KATZ: What do you mean by more specific targeting, Sharon? DR. HERTZ: So even though this is called nerve growth factor and we're targeting anybody who's against it, it's not specific for nerves or nerve growth in any way. And that's where we're starting to see the other some of this toxicity profile is nerve related, but it's also not nerve related. And apparently, if you start really exploring the targets or the areas where nerve	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	thing, it's going to work in all pains in the clinic. I'm not sure I agree with that. I also am not sure I agree that the data package for this is more robust than everything else we've taken into the clinic. DR. KATZ: I think that's a question. It's a latter point that you made, Chas, is this pre-clinical efficacy profile really more robust in the ways that I suggested than other compounds that have been brought into the clinic and have been shown in clinical trials to fail? I personally think that, if anything were to come out of this meeting, that would be something very important to look at, because if there is a way of predicting in advance what products are going to work in the clinic and what aren't, by looking at the pre-clinical efficacy profile, if my intuition is right, that's worth knowing. And if it's wrong, it's also worth knowing.	

	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 269		Page 271
1	DR. BASBAUM: I'm just curious. I'm very	1	dependent upon these NGF-related mechanisms, and
2	familiar with the program. The discussion is, you		it's only what's going on after some period of
3	seem to be convinced, in retrospect, it's correct,	3	time, 12 hours, 24 hours, whatever, that becomes
4	of course, that OA was a good indication to go	4	dependent upon NGF mechanisms.
5	after. And I don't know and Dave Shelton would	5	So I don't know the answer, but there may be
6	know the answer to this. I don't know if it was	6	an answer.
7	ever tested in the MIA model, the monoiodoacetate	7	DR. BASBAUM: That's a good question.
8	model that's ostensibly an arthritis model.	8	DR. SHELTON: Just to add one thing, that
9	Then, of course, the real issue is, is OA,	9	was probably more properly called a broken study.
10	even though it responds to an NSAID, an	10	We went to sort of great pains to use one surgeon
11	inflammatory condition. And one wonders whether	11	and be very careful that the surgeries were all
12	that choice in retrospect was great, but really, if	12	very similar. And what we ended up with is
13	one had to sit around a table, would one say,	13	patients with essentially pain scores of zero
14	"Given that pre-clinical package, let's go after	14	within 24 hours. So to be clear, we saw nothing
15	OA."	15	that looked like efficacy, but we didn't have a lot
16	Deep somatic pain in OA, I don't find those	16	to work with.
17	necessarily the same.	17	DR. TURK: Thank you, Nat. And there will
18	DR. KATZ: So obviously, I don't know the	18	be time again for more questions after, when we
19	answer to your question, but I'll throw out an	19	have the panel discussion. So if you've had
20	idea. I think, because none of these pre-clinical	20	questions for any of the speakers, we'll be
	models are models of OA, the more convergence you	21	bringing that back up. So we're continuing in the
22	have from different poorly representative models,	22	same pattern, which is to be looking at stories to
	Page 270		Page 272
	Page 270		Page 272
	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will	1	tell us about how things have evolved. And,
2	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human.	2	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start
2 3	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about	2 3	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can
2 3 4	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op?	2 3 4	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future
2 3 4 5	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in	2 3 4 5	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those.
2 3 4 5 6	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what?	2 3 4 5 6	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars
2 3 4 5 6 7	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.]	2 3 4 5 6 7	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: Why didn't it work in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name wrong who is a professor and chairman of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: Why didn't it work in the bunionectomy study, do you mean?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name wrong who is a professor and chairman of the department of medicine at Lund University in Lund,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: Why didn't it work in the bunionectomy study, do you mean? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name wrong who is a professor and chairman of the department of medicine at Lund University in Lund, Sweden. It's my pleasure to have him come and join
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: Why didn't it work in the bunionectomy study, do you mean? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: I don't know. And Dave and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name wrong who is a professor and chairman of the department of medicine at Lund University in Lund, Sweden. It's my pleasure to have him come and join us. He's another one of these people who's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: Why didn't it work in the bunionectomy study, do you mean? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: I don't know. And Dave and I actually have spoke at length about that. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name wrong who is a professor and chairman of the department of medicine at Lund University in Lund, Sweden. It's my pleasure to have him come and join us. He's another one of these people who's traveled a great distance for coming here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: Why didn't it work in the bunionectomy study, do you mean? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: I don't know. And Dave and I actually have spoke at length about that. And I don't know why. But I think Dave, maybe you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name wrong who is a professor and chairman of the department of medicine at Lund University in Lund, Sweden. It's my pleasure to have him come and join us. He's another one of these people who's traveled a great distance for coming here. So now, we're going to be hearing about CGRP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: Why didn't it work in the bunionectomy study, do you mean? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: I don't know. And Dave and I actually have spoke at length about that. And I don't know why. But I think Dave, maybe you remember. I think that the drug was dosed pretty	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name wrong who is a professor and chairman of the department of medicine at Lund University in Lund, Sweden. It's my pleasure to have him come and join us. He's another one of these people who's traveled a great distance for coming here. So now, we're going to be hearing about CGRP and blocking agents with potential for antimigraine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: Why didn't it work in the bunionectomy study, do you mean? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: I don't know. And Dave and I actually have spoke at length about that. And I don't know why. But I think Dave, maybe you remember. I think that the drug was dosed pretty close to the actual time of the surgery.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name wrong who is a professor and chairman of the department of medicine at Lund University in Lund, Sweden. It's my pleasure to have him come and join us. He's another one of these people who's traveled a great distance for coming here. So now, we're going to be hearing about CGRP and blocking agents with potential for antimigraine therapy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: Why didn't it work in the bunionectomy study, do you mean? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: I don't know. And Dave and I actually have spoke at length about that. And I don't know why. But I think Dave, maybe you remember. I think that the drug was dosed pretty close to the actual time of the surgery. If there needs to be some time for these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name wrong who is a professor and chairman of the department of medicine at Lund University in Lund, Sweden. It's my pleasure to have him come and join us. He's another one of these people who's traveled a great distance for coming here. So now, we're going to be hearing about CGRP and blocking agents with potential for antimigraine therapy. Presentation – Lars Edvinsson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: Why didn't it work in the bunionectomy study, do you mean? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: I don't know. And Dave and I actually have spoke at length about that. And I don't know why. But I think Dave, maybe you remember. I think that the drug was dosed pretty close to the actual time of the surgery. If there needs to be some time for these anti-NGF antibodies to do whatever they do, then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name wrong who is a professor and chairman of the department of medicine at Lund University in Lund, Sweden. It's my pleasure to have him come and join us. He's another one of these people who's traveled a great distance for coming here. So now, we're going to be hearing about CGRP and blocking agents with potential for antimigraine therapy. Presentation – Lars Edvinsson DR. EDVINSSON: Thank you very much for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: Why didn't it work in the bunionectomy study, do you mean? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: I don't know. And Dave and I actually have spoke at length about that. And I don't know why. But I think Dave, maybe you remember. I think that the drug was dosed pretty close to the actual time of the surgery. If there needs to be some time for these anti-NGF antibodies to do whatever they do, then perhaps dosing further in advance of surgery would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name wrong who is a professor and chairman of the department of medicine at Lund University in Lund, Sweden. It's my pleasure to have him come and join us. He's another one of these people who's traveled a great distance for coming here. So now, we're going to be hearing about CGRP and blocking agents with potential for antimigraine therapy. Presentation – Lars Edvinsson DR. EDVINSSON: Thank you very much for the invitation to come here and speak to you. It's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: Why didn't it work in the bunionectomy study, do you mean? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: I don't know. And Dave and I actually have spoke at length about that. And I don't know why. But I think Dave, maybe you remember. I think that the drug was dosed pretty close to the actual time of the surgery. If there needs to be some time for these anti-NGF antibodies to do whatever they do, then perhaps dosing further in advance of surgery would be a strategy where it could have worked. Or it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name wrong who is a professor and chairman of the department of medicine at Lund University in Lund, Sweden. It's my pleasure to have him come and join us. He's another one of these people who's traveled a great distance for coming here. So now, we're going to be hearing about CGRP and blocking agents with potential for antimigraine therapy. Presentation – Lars Edvinsson DR. EDVINSSON: Thank you very much for the invitation to come here and speak to you. It's been a fabulous two days for me. A migraine is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: Why didn't it work in the bunionectomy study, do you mean? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: I don't know. And Dave and I actually have spoke at length about that. And I don't know why. But I think Dave, maybe you remember. I think that the drug was dosed pretty close to the actual time of the surgery. If there needs to be some time for these anti-NGF antibodies to do whatever they do, then perhaps dosing further in advance of surgery would be a strategy where it could have worked. Or it could be that the other idea that we discussed was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name wrong who is a professor and chairman of the department of medicine at Lund University in Lund, Sweden. It's my pleasure to have him come and join us. He's another one of these people who's traveled a great distance for coming here. So now, we're going to be hearing about CGRP and blocking agents with potential for antimigraine therapy. Presentation – Lars Edvinsson DR. EDVINSSON: Thank you very much for the invitation to come here and speak to you. It's been a fabulous two days for me. A migraine is a very common disease. Perhaps up to 1 out of 6
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the more robust the overall conclusion is that will ultimately work in some human. DR. BASBAUM: What would you say about post-op? DR. KATZ: What would I say about post-op in terms of what? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: Why didn't it work in the bunionectomy study, do you mean? DR. BASBAUM: [Inaudible – off mic.] DR. KATZ: I don't know. And Dave and I actually have spoke at length about that. And I don't know why. But I think Dave, maybe you remember. I think that the drug was dosed pretty close to the actual time of the surgery. If there needs to be some time for these anti-NGF antibodies to do whatever they do, then perhaps dosing further in advance of surgery would be a strategy where it could have worked. Or it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 tell us about how things have evolved. And, hopefully, at the end, you'll be able to start pulling some things together. And maybe you can give us some guidance and some advice on future molecules and future development of those. Our next speaker is going to be Dr. Lars Edvinsson I'm probably saying your name wrong who is a professor and chairman of the department of medicine at Lund University in Lund, Sweden. It's my pleasure to have him come and join us. He's another one of these people who's traveled a great distance for coming here. So now, we're going to be hearing about CGRP and blocking agents with potential for antimigraine therapy. Presentation – Lars Edvinsson DR. EDVINSSON: Thank you very much for the invitation to come here and speak to you. It's been a fabulous two days for me. A migraine is a

	insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 273		Page 275
1	severe pain, lasting between 4 and 72 hours,	1	involved in these attacks. So I'm just giving you
	associated with a lot symptoms that you are		this aspect. It's a CNS disorder.
	familiar with.	3	
4	The socioeconomic costs of migraine is	_	to speak to you about my part of this kind of
	astronomical. It's actually in the same level as a		puzzle. And my original work was centered around
	stroke. I didn't know that, so it's a very		understanding cerebral blood flow, its regulation
	important issue to care for. I put on this girl		in health and disease. And as we can see here, we
	here because we didn't qualify for the world		have one brain vessel. We have the sympathetic
	championship, but in soccer for women, we are very		nerves with, like, three signal substances. We
	good. And actually, she has a migraine.		have the parasympathetics with a lot of other
11	There is a good match between blood flow in		signals like nitric oxide, acetylcholine, PACA, and
	the brain and neurology symptoms. And as we can		VIP, and finally the trigeminal system, again, with
	see here, this is blood flow in the brain. This		many substances, including CGRP.
	patient has a visual aura. The blood flow in the	14	5 5
	visual cortex is low, coupling between the neuronal		doing all this kind of work as the first in the
16	symptoms and flow of metabolism.		world, and we looked upon how these signals can
17	This patient has a visual aura that		regulate blood flow and their role. But the story
	continues to be a sensory motor aura with the		about the CGRP came when the CALCA gene was mapped,
	numbness and weakness of the arm. So it's a nice		the calcitonin gene, in 1983. Immediately when
	coupling. And another issue is just, you can say,		that was published, we made antibodies, set up
21	some kind of a background because I would like to	21	Regimmune assays, and we had a whole lot of methods
22	tell you that migraine is a disease of the central	22	to examine this in the cerebral circulation.
	Da w. 074		D
	Page 274		Page 276
1	Page 274 nervous system.	1	
1	nervous system.		-
2	nervous system.	2	For example, like we have seen here with
2 3	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients	2 3	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this
2 3 4	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come	2 3 4	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in
2 3 4	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they	2 3 4 5	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and
2 3 4 5 6	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera.	2 3 4 5	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at
2 3 4 5 6 7	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients,	2 3 4 5 6 7	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients, they had activation in the brain stem, in a region which is around, shall we say, the rough and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time. So that was a neat method. We also saw
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients, they had activation in the brain stem, in a region	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time. So that was a neat method. We also saw these kind of thin fibers in the blood vessels of the brain and also in other cranial vessels. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients, they had activation in the brain stem, in a region which is around, shall we say, the rough and the PAG. And also a very other notable finding, which has been not discussed so much, is this one. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time. So that was a neat method. We also saw these kind of thin fibers in the blood vessels of the brain and also in other cranial vessels. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients, they had activation in the brain stem, in a region which is around, shall we say, the rough and the PAG. And also a very other notable finding, which has been not discussed so much, is this one. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time. So that was a neat method. We also saw these kind of thin fibers in the blood vessels of the brain and also in other cranial vessels. We did tracing, anterograde and retrograde, and found
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients, they had activation in the brain stem, in a region which is around, shall we say, the rough and the PAG. And also a very other notable finding, which has been not discussed so much, is this one. It's actually in the cerebellum.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time. So that was a neat method. We also saw these kind of thin fibers in the blood vessels of the brain and also in other cranial vessels. We did tracing, anterograde and retrograde, and found that it comes from the trigeminal ganglion. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients, they had activation in the brain stem, in a region which is around, shall we say, the rough and the PAG. And also a very other notable finding, which has been not discussed so much, is this one. It's actually in the cerebellum. Today's findings, we have seen that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time. So that was a neat method. We also saw these kind of thin fibers in the blood vessels of the brain and also in other cranial vessels. We did tracing, anterograde and retrograde, and found that it comes from the trigeminal ganglion. And again, co-localization with substance P, which was the agent that was very hot for pain in those days.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients, they had activation in the brain stem, in a region which is around, shall we say, the rough and the PAG. And also a very other notable finding, which has been not discussed so much, is this one. It's actually in the cerebellum. Today's findings, we have seen that the purkinje cells of the cerebellum are heavy with CGRP, and the dendrites and axons are full of their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time. So that was a neat method. We also saw these kind of thin fibers in the blood vessels of the brain and also in other cranial vessels. We did tracing, anterograde and retrograde, and found that it comes from the trigeminal ganglion. And again, co-localization with substance P, which was the agent that was very hot for pain in those days. The question we had at that time was to find
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients, they had activation in the brain stem, in a region which is around, shall we say, the rough and the PAG. And also a very other notable finding, which has been not discussed so much, is this one. It's actually in the cerebellum. Today's findings, we have seen that the purkinje cells of the cerebellum are heavy with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time. So that was a neat method. We also saw these kind of thin fibers in the blood vessels of the brain and also in other cranial vessels. We did tracing, anterograde and retrograde, and found that it comes from the trigeminal ganglion. And again, co-localization with substance P, which was the agent that was very hot for pain in those days.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients, they had activation in the brain stem, in a region which is around, shall we say, the rough and the PAG. And also a very other notable finding, which has been not discussed so much, is this one. It's actually in the cerebellum. Today's findings, we have seen that the purkinje cells of the cerebellum are heavy with CGRP, and the dendrites and axons are full of their CGRP receptors. So that's one issue we are looking into.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time. So that was a neat method. We also saw these kind of thin fibers in the blood vessels of the brain and also in other cranial vessels. We did tracing, anterograde and retrograde, and found that it comes from the trigeminal ganglion. And again, co-localization with substance P, which was the agent that was very hot for pain in those days. The question we had at that time was to find out what are these sensory fibers doing for the cerebral circulation? At that time, we were not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients, they had activation in the brain stem, in a region which is around, shall we say, the rough and the PAG. And also a very other notable finding, which has been not discussed so much, is this one. It's actually in the cerebellum. Today's findings, we have seen that the purkinje cells of the cerebellum are heavy with CGRP, and the dendrites and axons are full of their CGRP receptors. So that's one issue we are looking into. Another interesting aspect here is that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time. So that was a neat method. We also saw these kind of thin fibers in the blood vessels of the brain and also in other cranial vessels. We did tracing, anterograde and retrograde, and found that it comes from the trigeminal ganglion. And again, co-localization with substance P, which was the agent that was very hot for pain in those days. The question we had at that time was to find out what are these sensory fibers doing for the cerebral circulation? At that time, we were not interested in migraine. We were just interested in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients, they had activation in the brain stem, in a region which is around, shall we say, the rough and the PAG. And also a very other notable finding, which has been not discussed so much, is this one. It's actually in the cerebellum. Today's findings, we have seen that the purkinje cells of the cerebellum are heavy with CGRP, and the dendrites and axons are full of their CGRP receptors. So that's one issue we are looking into. Another interesting aspect here is that, after treating these patients with sumatriptan, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time. So that was a neat method. We also saw these kind of thin fibers in the blood vessels of the brain and also in other cranial vessels. We did tracing, anterograde and retrograde, and found that it comes from the trigeminal ganglion. And again, co-localization with substance P, which was the agent that was very hot for pain in those days. The question we had at that time was to find out what are these sensory fibers doing for the cerebral circulation? At that time, we were not interested in migraine. We were just interested in how do these fibers regulate blood flow? And we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients, they had activation in the brain stem, in a region which is around, shall we say, the rough and the PAG. And also a very other notable finding, which has been not discussed so much, is this one. It's actually in the cerebellum. Today's findings, we have seen that the purkinje cells of the cerebellum are heavy with CGRP, and the dendrites and axons are full of their CGRP receptors. So that's one issue we are looking into. Another interesting aspect here is that, after treating these patients with sumatriptan, the pain disappeared, but these changes remained. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time. So that was a neat method. We also saw these kind of thin fibers in the blood vessels of the brain and also in other cranial vessels. We did tracing, anterograde and retrograde, and found that it comes from the trigeminal ganglion. And again, co-localization with substance P, which was the agent that was very hot for pain in those days. The question we had at that time was to find out what are these sensory fibers doing for the cerebral circulation? At that time, we were not interested in migraine. We were just interested in how do these fibers regulate blood flow? And we did a lot of experiments, I can tell you, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients, they had activation in the brain stem, in a region which is around, shall we say, the rough and the PAG. And also a very other notable finding, which has been not discussed so much, is this one. It's actually in the cerebellum. Today's findings, we have seen that the purkinje cells of the cerebellum are heavy with CGRP, and the dendrites and axons are full of their CGRP receptors. So that's one issue we are looking into. Another interesting aspect here is that, after treating these patients with sumatriptan, the pain disappeared, but these changes remained. So the attack was still there. And some of Peter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time. So that was a neat method. We also saw these kind of thin fibers in the blood vessels of the brain and also in other cranial vessels. We did tracing, anterograde and retrograde, and found that it comes from the trigeminal ganglion. And again, co-localization with substance P, which was the agent that was very hot for pain in those days. The question we had at that time was to find out what are these sensory fibers doing for the cerebral circulation? At that time, we were not interested in migraine. We were just interested in how do these fibers regulate blood flow? And we did a lot of experiments, I can tell you, and different kinds.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	nervous system. In a German study, they looked on patients with acute migraine attacks, and they had to come to the clinic in the middle of the night and they put on the PET camera. What happened was that in these 9 patients, they had activation in the brain stem, in a region which is around, shall we say, the rough and the PAG. And also a very other notable finding, which has been not discussed so much, is this one. It's actually in the cerebellum. Today's findings, we have seen that the purkinje cells of the cerebellum are heavy with CGRP, and the dendrites and axons are full of their CGRP receptors. So that's one issue we are looking into. Another interesting aspect here is that, after treating these patients with sumatriptan, the pain disappeared, but these changes remained. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	For example, like we have seen here with immunogold methods, antibodies towards, in this case, neuro peptide Y in sympathetics and here in the sensory, the large vesicles containing CGRP and the electron-lucent ones, presumably substance P at that time. So that was a neat method. We also saw these kind of thin fibers in the blood vessels of the brain and also in other cranial vessels. We did tracing, anterograde and retrograde, and found that it comes from the trigeminal ganglion. And again, co-localization with substance P, which was the agent that was very hot for pain in those days. The question we had at that time was to find out what are these sensory fibers doing for the cerebral circulation? At that time, we were not interested in migraine. We were just interested in how do these fibers regulate blood flow? And we did a lot of experiments, I can tell you, and

I ra	insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 277		Page 279
1	the PL vessels. We can apply a different kind of	1	for the treatment of migraine at some time, it
	stimuli. And we tried a lot of vasodilators. We		was a very large discussion. How about the
3	tried vasoconstrictors, only vasoconstrictors		endothelium? Is there any kind of CGRP receptors
	caused click contraction, like in this case,		in the endothelium? And as you can see here, this
	noradrenaline, and then returned very quickly to		is just a marker of the endothelium. And if you
	control. And that is to be expected, of course.	6	look on CLR or ramp, there is none.
7	But in animals, where we had taken away the	7	So in other experiments, we showed it has
8	trigeminal nerve, innervated the sensory system.	8	nothing to do with the endothelium. The receptors
9	We got the same amount of constriction, but it took		are on the smooth muscle cells. And you can see,
	a long way to get back. So this was a trigeminal		actually, they're all ramp 1, 2, and 3. But the
	vascular pathway that we had found.		experiments have shown that, if ramp is present, it
12	What is a bit funny for the migraine field		predominates, so ramp 1 and CLR joins to form this
13	was that people give vasodilators, and that causes		receptor complex.
	a migraine or a headache-like symptom today. And I	14	You have seen other kinds of antibodies.
	don't think that is activating this. What can	15	You can see, again, co-localization on the smooth
	activate it? We went actually into stroke models		muscle cells. They are recent, specific, ramp 1
17	to look on that. And in patients with subarachnoid		and CLR antibodies.
	hemorrhage, this mechanism is activated to defend	18	If we compare human middle meningeal artery,
19	the brain towards vasoconstriction.	19	that's a dura mater artery which lacks the
20	I also went to the pathology and pulled out	20	blood-brain barrier and then middle cerebral
21	the vessels from patients that have died from a	21	artery, we can easily go down here and say that
22	subarachnoid hemorrhage, and it seemed that they	22	CGRP is 10 times more potent on the cerebral
	Page 278		Page 280
1	were completely devoid of CGRP, but not of any	1	artery. The reason I think is due to number of
2	other signal substance. So the theory worked.	2	CGRP receptors available in these vessels.
3	So that's kind of where I think this	3	The dura mater has been used in a lot of
4	mechanism comes into play, shall we say, in this	4	migraine models as a surrogate. And since you are
5	defense role. It could, of course you talk	5	paying the researchers, you are well aware of
6	about spreading depression in the migraine field.	6	C fibers, A delta, and the other kind of A fibers.
7	That is a kind of vasoconstrictor that you can	7	We find that in the dura, we have thin,
8	elicit. And the aura is thought to be such a	8	unmyelinated C fibers and they contain CGRP. We
9	vasoconstrictor. And it has been shown that CGRP	9	have the A fibers, and they contain ramp 1 and CLR.
10	is part of this early hyperemic phase in spreading	10	So there's a clear separation between their
11	depression.	11	localization.
12	Now, if we now move into the CGRP receptor,	12	Another issue is the mast cells, which have
	this first part is something Frank asked me to do		been discussed a lot. We can just move up to this
	to give us a little bit of the history. Now, the	14	one. There are lots of mast cells on the
	more modern thing is that the CGRP receptor, as you	15	
	see here, consists of this part, the 70 calcitonin	16	rodents, they contain the CGRP receptor complex,
	receptor-like receptor, CLR, and ramp 1. When CGRP	17	
	comes here, it docks here and this moves together,	18	,
	activating receptor, and recombinant protein, and	19	signals.
	then the G protein to produce its effect.	20	However, we have done it in humans as well.
21	When we looked, where could the receptors		And then we don't find a receptor. And we have
22	be, because that's where the questions are today	22	done experiments. We can't get CGRP to release

Third ACTTION Scientific Workshop of Doin Th

Tra	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 281		Page 283
1	histamine from the human muscles. So again, as	1	have rhinorrhea, nasal congestion, other symptoms
	people have been saying here, man is our key model.		from the parasympathetics.
	Strange, isn't it?	3	So we see this is from a migraine, but in
4		4	those cluster patients, there is a co-release of
5	me. So you can figure out that I did a lot of this		VIP, as you can see, and also in another kind of
6			chronic paroxysmal headache. The interesting issue
7	meeting actually, it was '86. Peter came to		with a cluster headache is that triptans work also
	Lund, to a meeting we had. And we met there for		on these. So there is a kind of brain stem
	the first time. He was a young researcher working		interaction between the two systems.
	in Sydney. So we agreed upon collaboration and	10	Now, we move into something perhaps a little
	that's perhaps what started his career. I can		more interesting for you as general pain
	always be nice to friends.		researchers. We have these small molecules. They
13	•		are called gepants, and they have been tried in
	stimulated a trigeminal ganglion in patients with		acute migraine trials. Patients having an acute
	trigeminal neuralgia. And what happened was that		migraine attack, they get a tablet, or sometimes
	each patient secreted CGRP and substance P. That		injection with olcegepant, which was the first one.
	was to be expected. Both peptides are in the		That caused the pain to be less, significantly
	trigeminal ganglion, so no strange thing.		less, so they work.
19		19	But that is not always the issue. If you
	experiments, was that we compared initially, if		like, you can just look at my review in the Lancet
	we take the samples from the external jugular vein		about all these results. But the burning issue is,
	or from the cubital fossa, it turned out very		where can they act? And of course, do they need
	Page 282		Page 284
1	quickly that we never got any signal when we took	1	the blood-brain barrier? And then we have the
2	the peptide measurements in the cubital fossa. And	2	issues of antibodies and these Spiegelmers, which
3	of course, it's because it's released from the hip.	3	are another group of agents which can block CGRP.
4	In the migraine patients, it was also a very	4	I'll try to dissect the kind of parts that
5	strange finding. CGRP was released in all the	5	we need to discuss to understand where they could
6	migraine patients, and it correlated with the pain	6	act because we know if they act. If we just take
7	of the patients. After triptan treatment, it	7	telcagepant, which is the one that is mostly
8	normalized, and the pain disappeared.	8	studied, you can see that this is CGRP dilatation.
9	At the same time, we did substance P and	9	And this is a human brain vessel gotten from
10	we'd never saw any substance P release. And	10	neurosurgery. So that's your English part of the
11	actually, subsequently, I've taken samples and done	11	cortex when that is removed sorry.
12	studies of 10 more of the neural transmitters	12	Now, they have the tumor or an epileptic
13	related to the cranial circulation, and it seems to	13	focus, which they are operated for. And sometimes,
14	be very unique that's it's CGRP that is released.	14	there is a small vessel which we can use. So we
15	For example, you can see again substance P.	15	can see, here's a nice parallel shift with
16	VIP has a special story, and VIP is	16	telcagepant, and here is a middle meningeal artery.
17	co-released in cluster headache, what is now	17	Same thing. We can calculate to dissociation
18	cluster headache. Well, if you have a colleague	18	constant. And of course, it's the same receptor,
19	that has migraine, and they have an attack, they	19	so the PA2 values are the same.
20	have, of course, a severe headache, they are pale	20	What you can see also, if you are interested
1	in the face biletenelly, as to say. A shorten		in the manual and in the title of first ment is a

- 20 21 in the face bilaterally, so to say. A cluster 21 in pharmacology, is that the first part is a
- 22 headache, they have unilateral reddening. They

22 parallel shift without dropping Emax, but later on,

24 2014

4 do something

How about the antibodies? We have now three

6 antibodies towards CGRP. We have one antibody

towards the CGRP receptor available. Are they

was presented data for two of the CGRP antibodies,

How specific are they? Well, they are very

8 effective? Well, I think, a month or ago or so, it

12 specific for CGRP. And they don't cross a reactor

antibodies are directed towards the C terminal.

It's also interesting, just like tercagepant, they

have the better focus and, here, better binding to

primates than to rodents, and they are active in

Cal Sure [ph], and of course, CGRP induces cyclic

There's also been a study looking on the

picomole concentrations. It's been studied in

AMP generation, and this can be blocked.

13 towards other members of the same family, like

14 amylin, calcitonin, or adrenomedullin. The

10 that they were effective in chronic migraine.

5

7

9

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Are there other parts where these blockers
- 5 connect? Where are the receptors? And this is not
- 6 for you do any kind of major thing, but this is
- 7 just a list to show inside the brain, that there
- 8 are lots of different sites where we have CGRP
- 9 receptors available, and some of them are listed
- 10 here. Of course, in PAG, there are colliculus, for
- 11 example, and some other regions here and also in
- 12 the cerebellum; so not many binding sites that it
- 13 could act there [indiscernible]. Do they pass the
- 14 blood-brain barrier?

4

- 15 Then this has been discussed ever since the
- 16 triptans were introduced into the market. People
- 17 have said yes or no. They have been saying
- 18 patients get stiff when they get their sumatriptan
- 19 or some kind of neurasthenia.

- 20 Nork [ph] did this study. They gave a PET
- 21 tracer, which was marked for CGRP receptors. And
- 22 as you can see, perhaps not so good, but here is
- - Page 286

Page 288

Page 287

1 the brain, and some small radiance in the brain	1	skin vasodilatation and on an increase in middle
2 stem, but the cerebellum lights up like a beacon.	2	meningeal lottery diameter. And it was compared
3 Then they gave systemically tercagepant in a dose	3	with one of the gepants and virtually showed that
4 which is used in the clinic. Nothing happened.	4	the antibody that they studied was effective, but
5 It's the same amount of PET tracing, PET signal.	5	it was slow or in onset, and it lasted much longer.
6 Only when they gave about 10 times higher dose of	6	We have another group of agents, which are
7 tercagepant did they, as I say, get the initial PET	7	called CGRP-binding Spiegelmers, oligonucleotides.
8 tracer to disappear from the binding sides.	8	And it's been difficult for me, really. I followed
9 So it suggests, if anything, that if there	9	it a little to understand what they do, but it
10 is a little tercagepant passing into the brain, it	10	appears from people working there that you have
1 does not have much to do with the efficacy of the	11	this CGRP molecule in the circulation and these,
L2 drug. So this is my current view, that even this	12	should I say, oligonucleotides often are the size
13 small molecule doesn't pass in, shall we say, a	13	of 10 marrow or something like that. They can bind
4 dose that is producing the clinical efficacy.	14	on to CGRP in making a complex, which is hindered
5 What other issues do we have, and where else	15	or blocked from having an effect on the receptor
L6 could the CGRP blockers and antibodies work? Could	16	sides.
17 it be on the dural extracranial vessels or, which I	17	The first one that I got my hands on was
18 would be advocating for today, the trigeminal	18	NOX/C89. And we had some effects, and I'll show
L9 ganglion.	19	you them. So there is also others coming out from
For the gepants, we now have five of them.	20	the same company. They haven't tried them in
21 They have no effect on the general circulation when	21	migraines as I know yet, but speaking with the
22 you give them. Why? Probably, CGRP doesn't have	22	Kirk [ph], it's you can say it's like a
	 stem, but the cerebellum lights up like a beacon. Then they gave systemically tercagepant in a dose which is used in the clinic. Nothing happened. It's the same amount of PET tracing, PET signal. Only when they gave about 10 times higher dose of tercagepant did they, as I say, get the initial PET tracer to disappear from the binding sides. So it suggests, if anything, that if there is a little tercagepant passing into the brain, it does not have much to do with the efficacy of the drug. So this is my current view, that even this small molecule doesn't pass in, shall we say, a dose that is producing the clinical efficacy. What other issues do we have, and where else could the CGRP blockers and antibodies work? Could it be on the dural extracranial vessels or, which I would be advocating for today, the trigeminal ganglion. For the gepants, we now have five of them. 	2stem, but the cerebellum lights up like a beacon.23Then they gave systemically tercagepant in a dose34which is used in the clinic. Nothing happened.45It's the same amount of PET tracing, PET signal.56Only when they gave about 10 times higher dose of67tercagepant did they, as I say, get the initial PET78tracer to disappear from the binding sides.89So it suggests, if anything, that if there90is a little tercagepant passing into the brain, it101does not have much to do with the efficacy of the112drug. So this is my current view, that even this123small molecule doesn't pass in, shall we say, a134dose that is producing the clinical efficacy.145What other issues do we have, and where else156could the CGRP blockers and antibodies work? Could167it be on the dural extracranial vessels or, which I178would be advocating for today, the trigeminal189ganglion.1910For the gepants, we now have five of them.2011They have no effect on the general circulation when21

Tra	ird ACTTION Scientific Workshop ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 289		Page 291
1	chemical entity, almost like an antibody, but in a	1	Just some obvious points. If we perfuse
	different way, but doing the same job.		MCA, it maintains its blood-brain barrier. If we
3	Topical application of this NOX/C89 could		put in CGRP in this vessel, it doesn't dilate if we
4	inhibit CGRP-induced dilatation. And when you came		give it luminally. Again, it doesn't affect the
	to other methods, like electrical stimulation, it		endothelium, but if we give it abluminally, it
	took some time in the method. And as I wrote here,		dilates.
7	"It was significant, not until there had been 7 or	7	So I jumped on this. And if we don't take
8	9 stimulations." So it seems that it takes a long	8	this same vessel here is the CGRP response. We
9	time for it to act in vivo, at least. And more	9	put on CGRP abluminally, on the outside, where the
10	recently, there is even more specific NOX molecule	10	nerves are. So this is sensory nerves going to the
11	appearing.	11	perivascular space. And if we put on a CGRP
12	So just having a look on the trigeminal	12	blocker this is the old one, CGRP 8 to 37. And
13	ganglion, you can say we have small- to medium-size	13	if we put it abluminal on the same side, you can
14	ganglion cells, and they contain CGRP. But the	14	block the response. If you put it luminally, it
15	large ganglion cells, they contain the CGRP	15	has no effect.
16	receptor. Can they communicate as I indicated?	16	Here is the case for the antibody. So if
17	These red balls, I put out. I think so because we	17	you put the antibody on the outside, you can block
18	have, also, this it's actually nerve fibers that	18	it. If you put it on the inside, nothing happens.
19	you can find within the ganglion.	19	And this is one of the gepants, same thing. And
20	Another very important aspect is these		here is the NOX blocker. So it did, in my hands,
	cells, the satellite glial cells. And they are	21	very little even when it was put on the outside.
22	like glia in other places. They've formed around	22	So that was for the trigeminal ganglion. I
	Page 290		Page 292
1	Page 290 the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP	1	Page 292 will just come to nearly the end here. The third
	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP	2	will just come to nearly the end here. The third
2 3	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements.	2 3	will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the
2 3 4 5	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain	2 3 4 5	will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and
2 3 4 5 6	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it,	2 3 4 5	will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate.
2 3 4 5 6 7	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish	2 3 4 5 6 7	will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish because it has a very poor blood-brain barrier. If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from the containing receptors, the A fibers, and on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish because it has a very poor blood-brain barrier. If you take out the trigeminal ganglion, it's blue, so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from the containing receptors, the A fibers, and on the slightly more inner part, that's where we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish because it has a very poor blood-brain barrier. If you take out the trigeminal ganglion, it's blue, so it lacks the blood-brain barrier.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from the containing receptors, the A fibers, and on the slightly more inner part, that's where we have CGRP. And they are on separate fibers, so they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish because it has a very poor blood-brain barrier. If you take out the trigeminal ganglion, it's blue, so it lacks the blood-brain barrier. You can, of course, see that lots of dye	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from the containing receptors, the A fibers, and on the slightly more inner part, that's where we have CGRP. And they are on separate fibers, so they could interact here in some way or, of course, to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish because it has a very poor blood-brain barrier. If you take out the trigeminal ganglion, it's blue, so it lacks the blood-brain barrier. You can, of course, see that lots of dye mingle in the trigeminal ganglion. That would mean	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from the containing receptors, the A fibers, and on the slightly more inner part, that's where we have CGRP. And they are on separate fibers, so they could interact here in some way or, of course, to go to other parts.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish because it has a very poor blood-brain barrier. If you take out the trigeminal ganglion, it's blue, so it lacks the blood-brain barrier. You can, of course, see that lots of dye mingle in the trigeminal ganglion. That would mean that this is an excellent site where your agents	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from the containing receptors, the A fibers, and on the slightly more inner part, that's where we have CGRP. And they are on separate fibers, so they could interact here in some way or, of course, to go to other parts. I'm not boring you with any more of this, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish because it has a very poor blood-brain barrier. If you take out the trigeminal ganglion, it's blue, so it lacks the blood-brain barrier. You can, of course, see that lots of dye mingle in the trigeminal ganglion. That would mean that this is an excellent site where your agents easily can go to. I think we have forgotten this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from the containing receptors, the A fibers, and on the slightly more inner part, that's where we have CGRP. And they are on separate fibers, so they could interact here in some way or, of course, to go to other parts. I'm not boring you with any more of this, I think. The main issue, I would think, is that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish because it has a very poor blood-brain barrier. If you take out the trigeminal ganglion, it's blue, so it lacks the blood-brain barrier. You can, of course, see that lots of dye mingle in the trigeminal ganglion. That would mean that this is an excellent site where your agents easily can go to. I think we have forgotten this kind of obvious place.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from the containing receptors, the A fibers, and on the slightly more inner part, that's where we have CGRP. And they are on separate fibers, so they could interact here in some way or, of course, to go to other parts. I'm not boring you with any more of this, I think. The main issue, I would think, is that we should look more into the trigeminal ganglion as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish because it has a very poor blood-brain barrier. If you take out the trigeminal ganglion, it's blue, so it lacks the blood-brain barrier. You can, of course, see that lots of dye mingle in the trigeminal ganglion. That would mean that this is an excellent site where your agents easily can go to. I think we have forgotten this kind of obvious place. Another aspect is, you can see here, there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from the containing receptors, the A fibers, and on the slightly more inner part, that's where we have CGRP. And they are on separate fibers, so they could interact here in some way or, of course, to go to other parts. I'm not boring you with any more of this, I think. The main issue, I would think, is that we should look more into the trigeminal ganglion as a possible site where we could have the therapeutic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish because it has a very poor blood-brain barrier. If you take out the trigeminal ganglion, it's blue, so it lacks the blood-brain barrier. You can, of course, see that lots of dye mingle in the trigeminal ganglion. That would mean that this is an excellent site where your agents easily can go to. I think we have forgotten this kind of obvious place. Another aspect is, you can see here, there are blood vessels. They're also full of Evans blue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from the containing receptors, the A fibers, and on the slightly more inner part, that's where we have CGRP. And they are on separate fibers, so they could interact here in some way or, of course, to go to other parts. I'm not boring you with any more of this, I think. The main issue, I would think, is that we should look more into the trigeminal ganglion as a possible site where we could have the therapeutic effect of the CGRP antagonistic agents. Thank you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish because it has a very poor blood-brain barrier. If you take out the trigeminal ganglion, it's blue, so it lacks the blood-brain barrier. You can, of course, see that lots of dye mingle in the trigeminal ganglion. That would mean that this is an excellent site where your agents easily can go to. I think we have forgotten this kind of obvious place. Another aspect is, you can see here, there are blood vessels. They're also full of Evans blue staining, so here is a good case that we have a lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from the containing receptors, the A fibers, and on the slightly more inner part, that's where we have CGRP. And they are on separate fibers, so they could interact here in some way or, of course, to go to other parts. I'm not boring you with any more of this, I think. The main issue, I would think, is that we should look more into the trigeminal ganglion as a possible site where we could have the therapeutic effect of the CGRP antagonistic agents. Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish because it has a very poor blood-brain barrier. If you take out the trigeminal ganglion, it's blue, so it lacks the blood-brain barrier. You can, of course, see that lots of dye mingle in the trigeminal ganglion. That would mean that this is an excellent site where your agents easily can go to. I think we have forgotten this kind of obvious place. Another aspect is, you can see here, there are blood vessels. They're also full of Evans blue staining, so here is a good case that we have a lot of agents that can pass into that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from the containing receptors, the A fibers, and on the slightly more inner part, that's where we have CGRP. And they are on separate fibers, so they could interact here in some way or, of course, to go to other parts. I'm not boring you with any more of this, I think. The main issue, I would think, is that we should look more into the trigeminal ganglion as a possible site where we could have the therapeutic effect of the CGRP antagonistic agents. Thank you very much. (Applause.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish because it has a very poor blood-brain barrier. If you take out the trigeminal ganglion, it's blue, so it lacks the blood-brain barrier. You can, of course, see that lots of dye mingle in the trigeminal ganglion. That would mean that this is an excellent site where your agents easily can go to. I think we have forgotten this kind of obvious place. Another aspect is, you can see here, there are blood vessels. They're also full of Evans blue staining, so here is a good case that we have a lot of agents that can pass into that. We have done some experiments using the MCA.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from the containing receptors, the A fibers, and on the slightly more inner part, that's where we have CGRP. And they are on separate fibers, so they could interact here in some way or, of course, to go to other parts. I'm not boring you with any more of this, I think. The main issue, I would think, is that we should look more into the trigeminal ganglion as a possible site where we could have the therapeutic effect of the CGRP antagonistic agents. Thank you very much. (Applause.) DR. TURK: We're running a little late, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the neurons, and they also contain the CGRP receptor elements. Another aspect, which you probably are very familiar with is that if you give Evans blue, which is an old dye, the whole rat is blue, but the brain is of course, if you haven't manipulated it, it's pale. If you take out the pineal, it's bluish because it has a very poor blood-brain barrier. If you take out the trigeminal ganglion, it's blue, so it lacks the blood-brain barrier. You can, of course, see that lots of dye mingle in the trigeminal ganglion. That would mean that this is an excellent site where your agents easily can go to. I think we have forgotten this kind of obvious place. Another aspect is, you can see here, there are blood vessels. They're also full of Evans blue staining, so here is a good case that we have a lot of agents that can pass into that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 will just come to nearly the end here. The third place where we can imagine is the brain stem, the trigeminal nucleocomplex. And one thing which has been discussed is where do these trigeminal fibers go in the brain stem? Of course, to luminal 1 and 2, but they are separate. So in the outer part, we see the fibers from the containing receptors, the A fibers, and on the slightly more inner part, that's where we have CGRP. And they are on separate fibers, so they could interact here in some way or, of course, to go to other parts. I'm not boring you with any more of this, I think. The main issue, I would think, is that we should look more into the trigeminal ganglion as a possible site where we could have the therapeutic effect of the CGRP antagonistic agents. Thank you very much. (Applause.)

110	instormative Strategies-Development of Fam Therapies	1	5 une 24, 2014
	Page 293		Page 295
1	We'll have a 15-minute coffee break. We'll come	1	more presentation left and then we'll have a panel
2	back for a last presentation and then a short	2	discussion, like we have had before, for about
3	panel. So two questions if there are. I know	3	45 minutes at most. And the plan is to call the
4	we're keeping you from coffee.	4	meeting to an end at 4:45. So if you're planning
5	Allan?	5	to have a taxi or a shuttle bus outside at 5:00,
6	DR. BASBAUM: Why not? What I've always	6	you don't really have to rush and leave the meeting
7	been puzzled is not puzzled is there	7	early because we'll end at 4:45.
8	something unique about the trigeminal vasculature	8	So it's a great pleasure to introduce our
9	or even the ganglion? Because one tends to	9	last speaker, Dr. Marcelo Bigal, who was at Albert
10	analogize to other parts of the body. And is it	10	Einstein College of Medicine for a great many
11	absolutely clear that the anti-CGRP has no effects	11	years. And then he moved a few years ago to Merck
12	in other parts of the body and in other conditions,	12	and, more recently, moved to Labrys, a small
13	even particularly, say, ischemic conditions where	13	start-up in San Mateo, California. And so it's
14	there might be a vascular component?	14	delightful to have him here with us to talk about
15	DR. EDVINSSON: One aspect with CGRP in the	15	clinical studies of anti-CGRP agents.
16	trigeminal ganglion, which is a bit unique, is that	16	Presentation – Marcelo Bigal
17	50 percent of the neurons contain CGRP. So it's	17	DR. BIGAL: Good afternoon, everybody. I
18	very high. And the other half contain the	18	know it has been an incredibly busy two days for
19	receptor, so it seems to be very concentrated on	19	everybody. I'm going to try to be concise and make
20	that. I haven't really gone through in detail the	20	the clinical points here. As a disclosure, I am
21	dorsal root ganglia yet, but it's a tempting	21	the chief medical officer of Labrys Biologics.
22	scenario. Other signals, we have looked on in the	22	Labrys is developing one antibody, anti-CGRP, and
	Page 294		Page 296
1	trigeminal ganglion I mean, I have gone through	1	Labrys is in the process of being acquired by Teva
2	a lot of them there is nothing standing out so	2	Pharmaceuticals.
3	beautifully as the CGRP system. So it could be	3	Before Labrys, I was at Merck, developing
4	that whatever, epigenetics or something, makes this	4	the CGRP antagonist platform. And I have been on
5	kind of prime CGRP site.	5	the other side as well since 2001, heading two
6	When it comes to the ischemia question,	6	headache research centers in the United States, in
7	there was one study on the heart. They had the	7	Connecticut and in New York City.
8	dogs. They were exercised, and then nothing	8	What I would like to discuss with you is, I
9	happened. But then if you make the coronary artery	9	am not going to repeat what Dr. Edvinsson has
10	very much thinner, you can exercise them again, and	10	presented already. He's the main source. He is
11	they are on the verge of developing ischemia, but	11	the man that discovered and described the story.
12	nothing happens. You give a CGRP blocker, same	12	I'm going to, however, present my perspective on
13	thing, but if you give it triptan, they develop an	13	some specific aspects of the relevance of CGRP in
14	infarct.	14	migraine, then the challenges we face when we try
15	DR. TURK: Any last question before we have	15	to develop a small molecule for, again, CGRP, where
16	a coffee break? And there will be a chance for	16	we are in antibodies. And I'm going to talk a
17	questions a little bit later. So let's come back	17	little bit about the one I am developing because
18	at 20 to what, Bob? Make it a short coffee	18	it's one that I have more data about.
19	break. Come back at 25 to 4:00.	19	So CGRP migraine, migraine is a disease that
20	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)	20	actually is a disease of stages. The disease is
	DD DMODICINE Convoltor religente start angle		entificielly, divided in characteric and entired in

21

DR. DWORKIN: So we're going to start again.

22 Thank you all for your endurance. So we have one

21 artificially divided in chronic and episodic in

22 absolute lack of data. Why? There is a stage of

June 24, 2014

110	instormative Strategies-Development of Fam Therapies	1	Suit 24, 2014
	Page 297		Page 299
1	migraine that's called chronic and is defined when	1	CGRP stands for calcitonin gene-related peptide.
2	people have more than 15 days of headache per month	2	It's the most potent vasodilator that we are aware
3	and another one that is episodic, and they have	3	of. It causes brutal vasodilation when on the top
4	less than 15. It's very scientific. It's like	4	of blood vessels. But more important, it was
5	this, 15, less than 15, symmetric, symmetrical,	5	initially discovered when we were developing the
6	let's divide the disease in two stages.	6	triptans for the acute therapy of migraine.
7	There is migraine. Migraine is a disease	7	We found that, actually, when blocking
8	that may be very benign, and people have very	8	triptans, the therapeutic discovery, actually,
9	infrequent headache attacks. And they treat with	9	Dr. Edvinsson discovered all these steps that
10	over-the-counters or symptomatic medication.	10	actually preceded the triptans. But the
11	Migraine may be a disease that effects individuals	11	therapeutic importance of CGRP became evidence when
12	on a daily basis, and there is everything in	12	we realized that, when we give a triptan like
13	between.	13	sumatriptan for the acute therapy of migraine and
14	So it's a disease. And to complicate	14	we address the serotonin pathways, we would block
15	matters, meaning migraine patients evolve into a	15	the degranulation of the trigeminal nerve. And
16	more chronic stage and remit to a more benign state	16	among the substances that were not being released
17	through their lives until they find a stage where	17	any longer, we had CGRP.
18	they belong and they stay there.	18	At the time, we thought that migraine was a
19	So I prefer to divide migraine as I am	19	vascular disease, not as nowadays, that we know
20	saying here, as single disease, with stages, each	20	that migraine is a neurological disease. So
21	of them with different medical needs. So in the	21	basically, we were thinking about this vascular
22	very low frequent stages, patients are well-	22	condition that I can give vasoconstrictive
	Page 298		Page 300
1	attended with OTCs. Patients with more frequent	1	medications and block the attack. And by the way,
2	headaches, we require the triptans, specific	2	I just discovered this important and potent
3	migraine medications that block the serotonin	3	vasodilatory molecule. Bingo. Let's block this to
4	pathways.	4	avoid the vasodilation because the vasodilation is
5	These are easy to manage most of the time,	5	the cause of the migraine pain.
6	easy-to-manage patients with low risk of	6	It is not. Right? Although CGRP caused
7	complications, but as patients start evolving, some	7	vasodilation, and although vasodilation caused
8	of them start developing more frequent forms of	8	edema in the blood vessels which blood vessels?
9	migraine. They require prophylaxis now, not only	9	The dura mater blood vessels and the blood vessels
10	symptomatic medication. They require prophylaxis.	10	innervated by the trigeminal nerve. But actually,
11	And some of them, 1 percent in the population, will	11	CGRP is indeed released when the trigeminal nucleus
12	evolve to a stage where they have migraines on more	12	caudalis is activated.
13	days than not, full-blown migraines, headache,	13	So the vasodilation that happens in migraine
14	photophobia, phonophobia, nausea, the whole	14	is very late in the process of the migraine attack.
15	picture. And this can be incredibly debilitating,	15	And indeed, CGRP seems to be involved, but not only
16	meaning incompatible with normal functioning.	16	on vasodilation, but on an inflammatory cascade
1		1	

- The biology of the disease is the same, 17 that happens outside of the blood-brain barrier at
- 18 however, largely the same. There are added
- 19 factors, risk factors, biological vulnerability,
- 20 that stages may have that others don't, but the
- 21 disease is largely once.
- 22 You learned this story about CGRP. Right?

19

18 the level of the trigeminal endings.

But this is also not primary migraine.

20 What's primary migraine is actually what you saw

22 at the brain stem. That's where migraine begins.

21 Dr. Edvinsson showing, some activations that happen

17

1	LIa	insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
		Page 301		Page 303
	1	Migraine begins when individuals that suffer from	1	clearly demonstrated and the work was pivotal
		the disease, for reasons that we do not fully		because it settled 15 years of discussion or
		understand, have activation in the brain		more you can clearly have efficacy blocking CGRP
		stem have activations in certain areas of the	4	
		brain stem.	5	
	6	When they have these activations, the gate	6	
		opens. The gate to what? To everything. Now,	_	what else is distal to the trigeminal ganglia.
		minor insults are perceived as severe pain. Normal	8	
		light is perceived as photophobia. Normal sound is	9	
		perceived as phonophobia. Right? Your brain	_	CGRP des not cause vasoconstriction. It restored
		became hypersensitive to the internal and external		the normal tone, as it does not cause
		environment. Right?		vasoconstriction. I'm going to talk a little bit about clinical data on that.
	13	So the gate opens and the gate is inside the		
		brain, but the gate means nothing. It's like a	14	•
		road where the gate opens. The gate means nothing		levels and jugular levels of CGRP are elevated in
		if a car doesn't go through. And what is		the course of migraine attacks, as his pivotal work
		happening, the CGRP, therefore, in my way of		showed, and the levels are restored by
		seeing, has a dual importance.		administration of sumatriptan, as I mentioned.
	19	First, CGRP is represented here and is	19	
		involved in the activations that actually are		migraine versus episodic. The more headaches they
		initiated in the beginning of the migraine attack		have, the higher is the level of CGRP, even in
	22	and are involved in opening the gate. But CGRP is	22	between attacks. I'm not talking during the pain;
-		Page 302		Page 304
		Page 302		Ĵ
		going to release it outside of the blood-brain		in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved
		going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier.	2	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in
	2 3	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain	2 3	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic
	2 3 4	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation	2 3	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic.
	2 3 4 5	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because	2 3 4 5	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story.
	2 3 4 5 6	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP	2 3 4 5	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause
	2 3 4 5 6 7	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal	2 3 4 5	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal endings, causing primary sensitization, secondary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine is started with ergotamine compounds, the specific
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal endings, causing primary sensitization, secondary sensitization, and eventually thalamic	2 3 4 5 7 8 9	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine is started with ergotamine compounds, the specific therapy, that would block every single receptor
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal endings, causing primary sensitization, secondary sensitization, and eventually thalamic sensitization.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine is started with ergotamine compounds, the specific therapy, that would block every single receptor that we know of.
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal endings, causing primary sensitization, secondary sensitization, and eventually thalamic sensitization. So it's released, and it comes back to bite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine is started with ergotamine compounds, the specific therapy, that would block every single receptor that we know of. Then we developed the triptans that are
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal endings, causing primary sensitization, secondary sensitization, and eventually thalamic sensitization. So it's released, and it comes back to bite the brain. It releases and comes back again. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine is started with ergotamine compounds, the specific therapy, that would block every single receptor that we know of. Then we developed the triptans that are second generation or gotamines, where we block only
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal endings, causing primary sensitization, secondary sensitization, and eventually thalamic sensitization. So it's released, and it comes back to bite the brain. It releases and comes back again. And patients will start having pain and photophobia,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine is started with ergotamine compounds, the specific therapy, that would block every single receptor that we know of. Then we developed the triptans that are second generation or gotamines, where we block only certain serotonin receptors, and we stop the
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal endings, causing primary sensitization, secondary sensitization, and eventually thalamic sensitization. So it's released, and it comes back to bite the brain. It releases and comes back again. And patients will start having pain and photophobia, and phonophobia, and central sensitization. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine is started with ergotamine compounds, the specific therapy, that would block every single receptor that we know of. Then we developed the triptans that are second generation or gotamines, where we block only certain serotonin receptors, and we stop the release of CGRP and other molecules. Let's be more
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal endings, causing primary sensitization, secondary sensitization, and eventually thalamic sensitization. So it's released, and it comes back to bite the brain. It releases and comes back again. And patients will start having pain and photophobia, and phonophobia, and central sensitization. And therefore, they become more predisposed to pain and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine is started with ergotamine compounds, the specific therapy, that would block every single receptor that we know of. Then we developed the triptans that are second generation or gotamines, where we block only certain serotonin receptors, and we stop the release of CGRP and other molecules. Let's be more selective. Let's try to block CGRP alone again
	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal endings, causing primary sensitization, secondary sensitization, and eventually thalamic sensitization. So it's released, and it comes back to bite the brain. It releases and comes back again. And patients will start having pain and photophobia, and phonophobia, and central sensitization. And therefore, they become more predisposed to pain and everything else. And they evolve from very low-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine is started with ergotamine compounds, the specific therapy, that would block every single receptor that we know of. Then we developed the triptans that are second generation or gotamines, where we block only certain serotonin receptors, and we stop the release of CGRP and other molecules. Let's be more selective. Let's try to block CGRP alone again only. I'm sorry, only.
	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal endings, causing primary sensitization, secondary sensitization, and eventually thalamic sensitization. So it's released, and it comes back to bite the brain. It releases and comes back again. And patients will start having pain and photophobia, and phonophobia, and central sensitization. And therefore, they become more predisposed to pain and everything else. And they evolve from very low- frequency forms of migraine into very high	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine is started with ergotamine compounds, the specific therapy, that would block every single receptor that we know of. Then we developed the triptans that are second generation or gotamines, where we block only certain serotonin receptors, and we stop the release of CGRP and other molecules. Let's be more selective. Let's try to block CGRP alone again only. I'm sorry, only. Five small molecules have been advanced
	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal endings, causing primary sensitization, secondary sensitization, and eventually thalamic sensitization. So it's released, and it comes back to bite the brain. It releases and comes back again. And patients will start having pain and photophobia, and phonophobia, and central sensitization. And therefore, they become more predisposed to pain and everything else. And they evolve from very low- frequency forms of migraine into very high frequency forms of migraine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine is started with ergotamine compounds, the specific therapy, that would block every single receptor that we know of. Then we developed the triptans that are second generation or gotamines, where we block only certain serotonin receptors, and we stop the release of CGRP and other molecules. Let's be more selective. Let's try to block CGRP alone again only. I'm sorry, only. Five small molecules have been advanced into this is just illustrative. Don't try to
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal endings, causing primary sensitization, secondary sensitization, and eventually thalamic sensitization. So it's released, and it comes back to bite the brain. It releases and comes back again. And patients will start having pain and photophobia, and phonophobia, and central sensitization. And therefore, they become more predisposed to pain and everything else. And they evolve from very low- frequency forms of migraine into very high frequency forms of migraine. So you can block the CGRP pathway inside the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine is started with ergotamine compounds, the specific therapy, that would block every single receptor that we know of. Then we developed the triptans that are second generation or gotamines, where we block only certain serotonin receptors, and we stop the release of CGRP and other molecules. Let's be more selective. Let's try to block CGRP alone again only. I'm sorry, only. Five small molecules have been advanced into this is just illustrative. Don't try to read this. But five molecules were advanced into
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal endings, causing primary sensitization, secondary sensitization, and eventually thalamic sensitization. So it's released, and it comes back to bite the brain. It releases and comes back again. And patients will start having pain and photophobia, and phonophobia, and central sensitization. And therefore, they become more predisposed to pain and everything else. And they evolve from very low- frequency forms of migraine into very high frequency forms of migraine. So you can block the CGRP pathway inside the brain. You can block the CGRP pathway outside of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine is started with ergotamine compounds, the specific therapy, that would block every single receptor that we know of. Then we developed the triptans that are second generation or gotamines, where we block only certain serotonin receptors, and we stop the release of CGRP and other molecules. Let's be more selective. Let's try to block CGRP alone again only. I'm sorry, only. Five small molecules have been advanced into this is just illustrative. Don't try to read this. But five molecules were advanced into phase 2, phase 3. They're small molecules. They
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21	going to release it outside of the blood-brain barrier. So inside, outside of the blood-brain barrier, creating a low degree of inflammation that's now perceived as a lot of pain just because the gate is open inside the brain. And this CGRP is going to cause this mass at the trigeminal endings. We also come and sensitize the trigeminal endings, causing primary sensitization, secondary sensitization, and eventually thalamic sensitization. So it's released, and it comes back to bite the brain. It releases and comes back again. And patients will start having pain and photophobia, and phonophobia, and central sensitization. And therefore, they become more predisposed to pain and everything else. And they evolve from very low- frequency forms of migraine into very high frequency forms of migraine. So you can block the CGRP pathway inside the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	in between attacks. So CGRP is not only involved in the paraphysiology of migraine, it's involved in the paraphysiology of transformation from episodic into chronic. So I told you a little bit about this story. We can block this molecule. We don't cause vasoconstriction. Let's go for it. It's much more selective. Remember, the acute therapy of migraine is started with ergotamine compounds, the specific therapy, that would block every single receptor that we know of. Then we developed the triptans that are second generation or gotamines, where we block only certain serotonin receptors, and we stop the release of CGRP and other molecules. Let's be more selective. Let's try to block CGRP alone again only. I'm sorry, only. Five small molecules have been advanced into this is just illustrative. Don't try to read this. But five molecules were advanced into

June 24, 2014

_	ransformative Strategies-Development of rain Therapies	June 24, 2014
	Page 305	Page 307
	1 small molecule that we brought into phase 2 and	1 the PET scan and stuff. It was telcagepant.
	2 phase 3 that failed on efficacy. Nonetheless, we	2 Telcagepant was an oral medication. And here you
	3 still don't have anything approved because of	3 have the two pivotals, Protocol 11 and Protocol 16
	4 something that I'm going to discuss with you, that	4 were the two phase 3 studies, clearly showing that,
	5 was a challenge, that forced us to change the	5 actually, the efficacy was aligned with a very good
	6 paradigm.	6 triptan, better than placebo for primary endpoints
	7 The initial molecules were being developed	7 and secondary endpoints, but not only this, for
	8 for the acute therapy of migraine, not prophylaxis.	8 photophobia, for phonophobia, and for nausea. It
	9 Right? They were being developed to be used as a	9 was an approved med based on efficacy parameters.
1	o symptomatic. Patients would use when they have	10 But something happened and save this,
	1 pain, only when they have pain.	11 because there's something that happened with
	2 The initial medication that was advanced	12 telcagepant that happened to most of the
1	.3 into phase 2, Dr. Edvinsson mentioned, was	13 medications, as a small molecule. But the
	4 olcegepant. Olcegepant was developed by Boehringer	14 medication was coming clean, and beautiful, and
	.5 Ingelheim. It was an IV molecule when these	15 most important and I really ask you to save this
	6 studies were done. First proof of concept,	16 parameter placebo-like adverse events, every
	7 beautiful. Right? I mean, classical pharmacology	17 single CGRP that was ever developed has a
	8 textbook, dose response, efficacy in the primary,	18 tolerability that's similar to placebo, not
	9 efficacy in the secondary.	19 telcagepant. All.
	The efficacy was coming at a very	20 You do not find a pattern of adverse events.
	1 interesting level. So for those of you that	21 They are very well-tolerated. You don't find a
	2 actually do not follow the regulatory path for	22 syndrome that actually could so very tolerable.
	Page 306	Page 308
	Page 306 1 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies,	Page 308 1 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one
	-	
	1 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies,	1 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one
	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related
	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the
	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two hours after administration, they become pain free. 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant.
	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two hours after administration, they become pain free. The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were
	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two hours after administration, they become pain free. The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times
	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two hours after administration, they become pain free. The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. So for pain relief, two-thirds of the 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times more potent than telcagepant. It was called
1	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two hours after administration, they become pain free. The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. So for pain relief, two-thirds of the patients had pain relief; 42 percent had sustained 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times more potent than telcagepant. It was called MK3207. We didn't give a name because we had
	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two hours after administration, they become pain free. The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. So for pain relief, two-thirds of the patients had pain relief; 42 percent had sustained pain free. This is a very strict endpoint. They 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times more potent than telcagepant. It was called MK3207. We didn't give a name because we had killed it before. We also advanced it into
1	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two hours after administration, they become pain free. The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. So for pain relief, two-thirds of the patients had pain relief; 42 percent had sustained pain free. This is a very strict endpoint. They become pain free at 2 hours and the pain does not 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times more potent than telcagepant. It was called MK3207. We didn't give a name because we had killed it before. We also advanced it into phase 2. It was an adaptive design, so it was an
1 1	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two hours after administration, they become pain free. The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. So for pain relief, two-thirds of the patients had pain relief; 42 percent had sustained pain free. This is a very strict endpoint. They become pain free at 2 hours and the pain does not come back in 24 hours. 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times more potent than telcagepant. It was called MK3207. We didn't give a name because we had killed it before. We also advanced it into phase 2. It was an adaptive design, so it was an exploratory of the doses. So that's why you don't
1 1 1	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two hours after administration, they become pain free. The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. So for pain relief, two-thirds of the patients had pain relief; 42 percent had sustained pain free. This is a very strict endpoint. They become pain free at 2 hours and the pain does not come back in 24 hours. All the medications that we have available 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times more potent than telcagepant. It was called MK3207. We didn't give a name because we had killed it before. We also advanced it into phase 2. It was an adaptive design, so it was an exploratory of the doses. So that's why you don't see a clear signal as you saw with the others, but
1 1 1 1	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two hours after administration, they become pain free. The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. So for pain relief, two-thirds of the patients had pain relief; 42 percent had sustained pain free. This is a very strict endpoint. They become pain free at 2 hours and the pain does not come back in 24 hours. All the medications that we have available in the market, the triptans have lower rates than 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times more potent than telcagepant. It was called MK3207. We didn't give a name because we had killed it before. We also advanced it into phase 2. It was an adaptive design, so it was an exploratory of the doses. So that's why you don't see a clear signal as you saw with the others, but that from an efficacy perspective, significantly
1 1 1 1	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two hours after administration, they become pain free. The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. So for pain relief, two-thirds of the patients had pain relief; 42 percent had sustained pain free. This is a very strict endpoint. They become pain free at 2 hours and the pain does not come back in 24 hours. All the medications that we have available in the market, the triptans have lower rates than that, no serious adverse events, no cardiovascular 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times more potent than telcagepant. It was called MK3207. We didn't give a name because we had killed it before. We also advanced it into phase 2. It was an adaptive design, so it was an exploratory of the doses. So that's why you don't see a clear signal as you saw with the others, but that from an efficacy perspective, significantly more promising than telcagepant, which is the one
1 1 1 1 1	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two hours after administration, they become pain free. The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. So for pain relief, two-thirds of the patients had pain relief; 42 percent had sustained pain free. This is a very strict endpoint. They become pain free at 2 hours and the pain does not come back in 24 hours. All the medications that we have available in the market, the triptans have lower rates than that, no serious adverse events, no cardiovascular events. Remember, this is a vasodilatory. We 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times more potent than telcagepant. It was called MK3207. We didn't give a name because we had killed it before. We also advanced it into phase 2. It was an adaptive design, so it was an exploratory of the doses. So that's why you don't see a clear signal as you saw with the others, but that from an efficacy perspective, significantly more promising than telcagepant, which is the one that I just showed you, meaning this was coming
1 1 1 1 1	 1 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, 2 for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients 3 that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two 4 hours after administration, they become pain free. 5 The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They 6 can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. 7 So for pain relief, two-thirds of the 8 patients had pain relief; 42 percent had sustained 9 pain free. This is a very strict endpoint. They 0 become pain free at 2 hours and the pain does not 1 come back in 24 hours. 2 All the medications that we have available 3 in the market, the triptans have lower rates than 4 that, no serious adverse events, no cardiovascular 5 events. Remember, this is a vasodilatory. We 6 started developing these. Our main and solo 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times more potent than telcagepant. It was called MK3207. We didn't give a name because we had killed it before. We also advanced it into phase 2. It was an adaptive design, so it was an exploratory of the doses. So that's why you don't see a clear signal as you saw with the others, but that from an efficacy perspective, significantly more promising than telcagepant, which is the one that I just showed you, meaning this was coming really great, but something happened.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 1 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, 2 for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients 3 that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two 4 hours after administration, they become pain free. 5 The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They 6 can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. 7 So for pain relief, two-thirds of the 8 patients had pain relief; 42 percent had sustained 9 pain free. This is a very strict endpoint. They 0 become pain free at 2 hours and the pain does not 1 come back in 24 hours. 2 All the medications that we have available 3 in the market, the triptans have lower rates than 4 that, no serious adverse events, no cardiovascular 5 events. Remember, this is a vasodilatory. We 6 started developing these. Our main and solo 7 concern was hemodynamics. So nothing came here. 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times more potent than telcagepant. It was called MK3207. We didn't give a name because we had killed it before. We also advanced it into phase 2. It was an adaptive design, so it was an exploratory of the doses. So that's why you don't see a clear signal as you saw with the others, but that from an efficacy perspective, significantly more promising than telcagepant, which is the one that I just showed you, meaning this was coming really great, but something happened.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two hours after administration, they become pain free. The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. So for pain relief, two-thirds of the patients had pain relief; 42 percent had sustained pain free. This is a very strict endpoint. They become pain free at 2 hours and the pain does not come back in 24 hours. All the medications that we have available in the market, the triptans have lower rates than that, no serious adverse events, no cardiovascular events. Remember, this is a vasodilatory. We started developing these. Our main and solo concern was hemodynamics. So nothing came here. 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times more potent than telcagepant. It was called MK3207. We didn't give a name because we had killed it before. We also advanced it into phase 2. It was an adaptive design, so it was an exploratory of the doses. So that's why you don't see a clear signal as you saw with the others, but that from an efficacy perspective, significantly more promising than telcagepant, which is the one that I just showed you, meaning this was coming really great, but something happened. There were, so far, three CGRP antagonists and three affected stories. Boehringer, after
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	 1 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, 2 for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients 3 that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two 4 hours after administration, they become pain free. 5 The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They 6 can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. 7 So for pain relief, two-thirds of the 8 patients had pain relief; 42 percent had sustained 9 pain free. This is a very strict endpoint. They 0 become pain free at 2 hours and the pain does not 1 come back in 24 hours. 2 All the medications that we have available 3 in the market, the triptans have lower rates than 4 that, no serious adverse events, no cardiovascular 5 events. Remember, this is a vasodilatory. We 6 started developing these. Our main and solo 7 concern was hemodynamics. So nothing came here. 8 The medication was discontinued. And the 9 reason given was that, actually, they could not 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times more potent than telcagepant. It was called MK3207. We didn't give a name because we had killed it before. We also advanced it into phase 2. It was an adaptive design, so it was an exploratory of the doses. So that's why you don't see a clear signal as you saw with the others, but that from an efficacy perspective, significantly more promising than telcagepant, which is the one that I just showed you, meaning this was coming really great, but something happened. There were, so far, three CGRP antagonists and three affected stories. Boehringer, after olcegepant, developed a 4-CGRP receptor antagonist.
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	 1 migraine, the primary endpoint in acute therapies, 2 for acute therapies, is a proportion of patients 3 that become pain-free, zero pain at two hours. Two 4 hours after administration, they become pain free. 5 The secondary endpoint is called pain relief. They 6 can have pain, but the pain has to be mild. 7 So for pain relief, two-thirds of the 8 patients had pain relief; 42 percent had sustained 9 pain free. This is a very strict endpoint. They 0 become pain free at 2 hours and the pain does not 1 come back in 24 hours. 2 All the medications that we have available 3 in the market, the triptans have lower rates than 4 that, no serious adverse events, no cardiovascular 5 events. Remember, this is a vasodilatory. We 6 started developing these. Our main and solo 7 concern was hemodynamics. So nothing came here. 8 The medication was discontinued. And the 9 reason given was that, actually, they could not 10 formulate into just oral tablet. 	 Patients receiving zolmitriptan, which is one triptan, 40 percent of them have drug-related adverse events versus 20 percent, 22 percent in the telcagepant. As we were developing telcagepant, we were developing a back-up compound that was 10 times more potent than telcagepant. It was called MK3207. We didn't give a name because we had killed it before. We also advanced it into phase 2. It was an adaptive design, so it was an exploratory of the doses. So that's why you don't see a clear signal as you saw with the others, but that from an efficacy perspective, significantly more promising than telcagepant, which is the one that I just showed you, meaning this was coming really great, but something happened. There were, so far, three CGRP antagonists and three affected stories. Boehringer, after olcegepant, developed a 4-CGRP receptor antagonist.

June 24, 2014

Tra	insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 309		Page 311
1	the efficacy of the molecule in early time points	1	happen and you have to stress the molecule.
	going all the way to 48 hours, and in very dark,	2	
	you have eletriptan.		frequent was the use, the more likely they were to
4	So another attribute I already told you	4	
	about the brutal tolerability of CGRP antagonists.	5	
	The other attribute of the compounds in general,	6	
	the class, they are not the fastest medications in		accumulation of telcagepant was low
	town triptans can be faster but they last		creatinine [ph], so when we gave these very
	long.		frequent exposure paradigms, we increased the area
10	So if you start seeing I mean, they go in	10	
11	parallel to eletriptan. At two hours, eletriptan	11	lot, more than we should.
	was better, at least numerically, than the doses of	12	
	CGRP antagonists. At 24 hours, there is a reversal	13	with other molecules, where we were giving less.
	of the trend, at 48 hours a little bit, and it		And the reason was never related to the CGRP,
	lasts. The efficacy of CGRP receptor antagonists	15	
	lasts.	16	With the antibodies that you are going to see,
17	Everything that I am telling you here is for	17	
18	the acute therapy of migraine. And finally, later,	18	the molecule and the fact that we rescaffolded the
	Bristol-Myers and there is the co-author of this	19	same molecule into its likely different copies,
	study over there in the back. Bristol-Myers		trying to improve the qualities of the molecule.
	developed a fifth CGRP receptor antagonist. The		And we were scaffolding the liver tox group in the
	active comparator was sumatriptan. And once more,		molecule.
	Page 310		Page 312
1	several of the doses came effective, and, once	1	The liver tox could be like this, meaning
2	more, brutal tolerability. I happened to be	2	look what happened with the ALTs in some patients.
3	invited to write an editorial to this paper that	3	Very scary. So we discontinued telcagepant. The
4	was published in Cephalagia a few months ago.	4	rate of liver tox with telcagepant was lower than
5	So the question is, actually, if they are	5	what we have with a proven medication such as
6	always effective and they become tolerable, why	6	Maxoud, significantly lower than what we have with
7	don't they get into approval?	7	Tylenol, only happen at frequent exposures, but it
8	I cannot tell about the others, but I can	8	eventually caused a voluntary discontinuation of
9	tell you about what I did, the ones that I did.	9	the program. The FDA didn't even wait on this.
10	You have liver tox. So what happened with this	10	So then, after five trials and five
11	CGRP receptor? You don't have liver tox when you	11	failures, what's next for us? There is something
12	give it sporadically. But because migrainers, many	12	here. The medication works. The tolerability is
13	migrainers who have very frequent migraines, many	13	excellent. So when you have medication, so for
14	migrainers will not use this medication	14	migraine, every time you have something that's very
15	sporadically. They may use it daily.	15	tolerable, it becomes a great candidate for
16	So the agency properly asks us to stress the	16	prophylaxis.
17	molecule and create studies where we test the	17	Efficacy drives the Q2s [ph]. If you are
18	molecules in frequent paradigms of usage. And when	18	miserable because of pain, you are going to take
19	we did this with telcagepant in a study that they	19	whatever they give you, and you are going to accept
	were using daily as some people could eventually	20	the side effects. But if I'm asking you to use
21	do in real life. But remember, 12 percent of the	21	something for months in a row or for years in a
22	population has migraines. So a lot of things may	22	row, it better be tolerable.
		1	

	Page 313		Page 315
1	So what we tried to do here is actually	1	developed are either fully humanized or human. And
2	switch the paradigm and use the fact take	2	I'm going to talk a little bit about this, meaning
	advantage of the fact that monoclonal antibodies	3	there are several meaning, of course, developing
	are not created in the liver, are very unlikely	4	a monoclonal antibody is very different than
5	related to liver toxicity. And however, they have	5	developing a small molecule, and the studies are
6	incredibly long half-lives.	6	different, and the paradigm is different. And
7	So what can I do if a medication that has a	7	there are four companies navigating this ocean, and
8	very long half-life, is very tolerable for my	8	I'm going to share a little bit of this with you.
9	prophylaxis? I can give very infrequent use of	9	I'm running a little bit on these topics
10	something for patients that are currently	10	that I assume you guys are very comfortable with,
11	absolutely miserable with what they have, mainly	11	but I'll be happy to discuss in the Q&A any details
12	patients with chronic migraine.	12	that you have.
13	I'm not going to discuss this with you, the	13	Right now, there are four antibodies being
14	entire course, for there are many talks about this,	14	developed against CGRP. The one that I am
15	many. But we took advantage of the exquisite	15	developing is this one. And I'm going to talk
16	specificity that antibodies have. They go to CGRP	16	about what I know about the other three, what's in
17	and only through CGRP, nothing else.	17	the public domain, and focus a little bit on us.
18	They do not go to any of the cousin	18	So one important difference is, actually,
19	molecules like amylin, adrenalmedullin, calcitonin.	19	one of these antibodies, the antibody being
20	The half-life that they carry is, if they prove to	20	developed by Amgen, targets the receptor to CGRP.
21	be safe, an incredible advantage, meaning you can	21	The other three target the ligand CGRP receptor.
22	get these patients so for example, the	22	Three of them are going for episodic migraine,
	Dage 214		Dage 216
	Page 314		Page 316
	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day	1	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients
2	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and	1	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require
2 3	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these	2 3	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it
2 3 4	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches,	2 3 4	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14
2 3 4 5	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three	2 3 4 5	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual
2 3 4 5 6	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and	2 3 4 5 6	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for
2 3 4 5 6 7	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and eventually treat with one injection every six weeks	2 3 4 5 6 7	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for chronic.
2 3 4 5 6	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and eventually treat with one injection every six weeks or an injection every month.	2 3 4 5 6	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for chronic. We are all in phase 2. All of us are in
2 3 4 5 6 7	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and eventually treat with one injection every six weeks or an injection every month. You know how monoclonal antibodies are	2 3 4 5 6 7	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for chronic. We are all in phase 2. All of us are in phase 2. Most of us are subcutaneous. One is IV.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and eventually treat with one injection every six weeks or an injection every month. You know how monoclonal antibodies are developed. I am not going to spend your time here.	2 3 6 7 8 9	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for chronic. We are all in phase 2. All of us are in phase 2. Most of us are subcutaneous. One is IV. They are developing a subcutaneous. And the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and eventually treat with one injection every six weeks or an injection every month. You know how monoclonal antibodies are developed. I am not going to spend your time here. You're all bored. You're all tired. But actually,	2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for chronic. We are all in phase 2. All of us are in phase 2. Most of us are subcutaneous. One is IV. They are developing a subcutaneous. And the paradigms of that administration vary according to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and eventually treat with one injection every six weeks or an injection every month. You know how monoclonal antibodies are developed. I am not going to spend your time here. You're all bored. You're all tired. But actually, it was a paradigm shift. It's the first time that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for chronic. We are all in phase 2. All of us are in phase 2. Most of us are subcutaneous. One is IV. They are developing a subcutaneous. And the paradigms of that administration vary according to the half-life of the molecule.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and eventually treat with one injection every six weeks or an injection every month. You know how monoclonal antibodies are developed. I am not going to spend your time here. You're all bored. You're all tired. But actually, it was a paradigm shift. It's the first time that we are developing a biological for the treatment of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for chronic. We are all in phase 2. All of us are in phase 2. Most of us are subcutaneous. One is IV. They are developing a subcutaneous. And the paradigms of that administration vary according to the half-life of the molecule. So let's talk a little bit about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and eventually treat with one injection every six weeks or an injection every month. You know how monoclonal antibodies are developed. I am not going to spend your time here. You're all bored. You're all tired. But actually, it was a paradigm shift. It's the first time that we are developing a biological for the treatment of migraine and headaches. Biologicals are known for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for chronic. We are all in phase 2. All of us are in phase 2. Most of us are subcutaneous. One is IV. They are developing a subcutaneous. And the paradigms of that administration vary according to the half-life of the molecule. So let's talk a little bit about the clinical. You asked me to come here and talk about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and eventually treat with one injection every six weeks or an injection every month. You know how monoclonal antibodies are developed. I am not going to spend your time here. You're all bored. You're all tired. But actually, it was a paradigm shift. It's the first time that we are developing a biological for the treatment of migraine and headaches. Biologicals are known for a neurology. Biologicals are known for pain, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for chronic. We are all in phase 2. All of us are in phase 2. Most of us are subcutaneous. One is IV. They are developing a subcutaneous. And the paradigms of that administration vary according to the half-life of the molecule. So let's talk a little bit about the clinical. You asked me to come here and talk about what's available clinically. I did from my small
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and eventually treat with one injection every six weeks or an injection every month. You know how monoclonal antibodies are developed. I am not going to spend your time here. You're all bored. You're all tired. But actually, it was a paradigm shift. It's the first time that we are developing a biological for the treatment of migraine and headaches. Biologicals are known for a neurology. Biologicals are known for pain, but never for migraine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for chronic. We are all in phase 2. All of us are in phase 2. Most of us are subcutaneous. One is IV. They are developing a subcutaneous. And the paradigms of that administration vary according to the half-life of the molecule. So let's talk a little bit about the clinical. You asked me to come here and talk about what's available clinically. I did from my small molecule perspective. There is still very little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and eventually treat with one injection every six weeks or an injection every month. You know how monoclonal antibodies are developed. I am not going to spend your time here. You're all bored. You're all tired. But actually, it was a paradigm shift. It's the first time that we are developing a biological for the treatment of migraine and headaches. Biologicals are known for a neurology. Biologicals are known for pain, but never for migraine. So all the processes that other areas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for chronic. We are all in phase 2. All of us are in phase 2. Most of us are subcutaneous. One is IV. They are developing a subcutaneous. And the paradigms of that administration vary according to the half-life of the molecule. So let's talk a little bit about the clinical. You asked me to come here and talk about what's available clinically. I did from my small molecule perspective. There is still very little on clinical efficacy for the antibodies, short of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and eventually treat with one injection every six weeks or an injection every month. You know how monoclonal antibodies are developed. I am not going to spend your time here. You're all bored. You're all tired. But actually, it was a paradigm shift. It's the first time that we are developing a biological for the treatment of migraine and headaches. Biologicals are known for a neurology. Biologicals are known for pain, but never for migraine. So all the processes that other areas acquired very early in the development, such as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for chronic. We are all in phase 2. All of us are in phase 2. Most of us are subcutaneous. One is IV. They are developing a subcutaneous. And the paradigms of that administration vary according to the half-life of the molecule. So let's talk a little bit about the clinical. You asked me to come here and talk about what's available clinically. I did from my small molecule perspective. There is still very little on clinical efficacy for the antibodies, short of the company's release of data in the form of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and eventually treat with one injection every six weeks or an injection every month. You know how monoclonal antibodies are developed. I am not going to spend your time here. You're all bored. You're all tired. But actually, it was a paradigm shift. It's the first time that we are developing a biological for the treatment of migraine and headaches. Biologicals are known for a neurology. Biologicals are known for pain, but never for migraine. So all the processes that other areas acquired very early in the development, such as master-cell banks and all the quality control, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for chronic. We are all in phase 2. All of us are in phase 2. Most of us are subcutaneous. One is IV. They are developing a subcutaneous. And the paradigms of that administration vary according to the half-life of the molecule. So let's talk a little bit about the clinical. You asked me to come here and talk about what's available clinically. I did from my small molecule perspective. There is still very little on clinical efficacy for the antibodies, short of the company's release of data in the form of abstracts. So everything that I am aware is in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	medication that I'm developing has a 45-day half-life. So you can basically, if it works and if it proves to be safe, actually take these patients that actually now have daily headaches, daily migraine headaches, and use two or three classes of medication twice of them each, and eventually treat with one injection every six weeks or an injection every month. You know how monoclonal antibodies are developed. I am not going to spend your time here. You're all bored. You're all tired. But actually, it was a paradigm shift. It's the first time that we are developing a biological for the treatment of migraine and headaches. Biologicals are known for a neurology. Biologicals are known for pain, but never for migraine. So all the processes that other areas acquired very early in the development, such as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which is actually high-frequency episodic, patients with less than 15 days of migraine that require prophylaxis. And we are going for both. I find it of absolutely no sense to treat people with 5 to 14 and not 15 to 30. So we have an individual development, one trial for episodic, one trial for chronic. We are all in phase 2. All of us are in phase 2. Most of us are subcutaneous. One is IV. They are developing a subcutaneous. And the paradigms of that administration vary according to the half-life of the molecule. So let's talk a little bit about the clinical. You asked me to come here and talk about what's available clinically. I did from my small molecule perspective. There is still very little on clinical efficacy for the antibodies, short of the company's release of data in the form of abstracts. So everything that I am aware is in

22 The monoclonal antibodies that are being

	Page 317		Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	others, is not manufactured using a mammal cell platform. This is yeast, and there are pros and cons. However, they validated the target. Basically, it's very interesting. They gave a very simple phase 2 study, one IV dose, very high dose, IV, once. Why? Because they did not yet have the toxicology package required by the FDA. But although they only gave once, they followed them for six months after a single administration, not monthly administration. And as you can see, with a single administration, 67 percent of the patients had very meaningful improvement over the first three months of the study, a single administration. This was superior to placebo, although this is the highest placebo rate I've seen in a migraine	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have efficacy data so far in early stages. Two are more advanced in Amgen, but still do not have efficacy data where it should be. And so far, the two antibodies succeeded. This is us. LBR-101 is fully humanized at IgG2. We engineered two mutations to avoid effector functions. We on purpose want to stay away from the receptor. And the reason being is that we don't know who is right. There is one company that has an incredible know-how engine that is going to the receptor, and there are others that are not. My justification is, I don't want to knock out the signaling. I don't want you to bring the signaling down to zero. This is not acute therapy. I want to have a pool of circulating CGRP able to
	trial in ages. And we can discuss a little bit		be recruited to homeostatic functions. You don't
18	about this in a migraine trial. So the therapeutic gain is not that		have a molecule that's so distributed doing nothing.
20	impressive, but if you consider that they only gave	20	So we want to preserve some
21	once and this is a competitor, so I have no	21	tone [indiscernible]. That's why we are not going
22	interest in coming here and but we have to give	22	to the receptor. Actually, we voluntarily induce
	Page 318		Page 320
1	credit where it belongs. If you only consider that	1	the 2-point mutations to stay away from the
2	they gave only once and they were able to find	2	receptor. We don't bind to anything else. We only
3	superiority statistically, that's something to pay	3	bind to CGRP.
4	attention to. They do not penetrate the brain,	4	We concluded six phase 1 studies already,
	which validates what Dr. Edvinsson said. We are		five with IV, going as high as 2,000 mgs. The
	going to the trigeminal ganglia and to whatever	6	highest dose we were testing in phase 2 is 900, in
7	comes downstream to that.	7	
8	The second antibody that released data is	8	2,000 mgs. And in the toxicology package, which I
9		9	•
10		10	
11		11	
	one did, they gave subcutaneous administrations		weight of the animals.
13	every two weeks for three months. It resembled what phase 3 would look like for them, double-blind	13	We did six phase 1 studies to bridge the IV formulation to subcutaneous formulation. The
	placebo-controlled, and very similar results,		half-life of the molecule varies a little bit
1.2	placebo-controlled, and very similar results,	1.2	

17 well.

18

16 63 percent efficacy, very high placebo rate as

20 efficacy for the antibodies available so far.

Both of them included patients with less

19 than 15 days of headache per month. So this is the

21 There have been five small molecules. The five

22 were effective, so there are four antibodies. Two

20

16 according to the dose we are giving, but it's

19 for high-frequency episodic migraine.

17 around 45 days. And we are in the midst of two

21 two doses versus placebo. And the doses are

22 different and staggered. So in between the two

18 phase 2B studies, one for chronic migraine and one

In each of these three studies, we tested

June 24, 2014

	ird ACTTION Scientific Workshop ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 201
	Page 321		Page 323
1	studies, we are testing four dosing paradigms.	1	preserve time for the discussion, but I just want
2	There is no reason to believe that something that	2	to pause a little bit here and say the main
3	works in chronic does not work in episodic and	3	concern and I've been doing this now for almost
4	something that works in episodic does not work in	4	a decade. The main concern of inhibiting CGRP was
5	chronic. It's irrational.	5	what happens to your body when you inhibit such a
6	So we are taking is advantage of these and	6	potent vasodilator.
7	testing for those new paradigms. They are both	7	So in the very beginning, we were insane
8	underway. Both of them have altogether, we	8	about that. Two knock-out models of mice were
9	already have around 280 patients in phase 2.	9	created without any CGRP. They do not have
10	Several of them already finished. They are going	10	increased blood pressure. They are not more likely
11	to receive medication monthly for three months. So	11	to die from cardiovascular events. Actually, they
12	the duration of this study is four months. These	12	live longer. Go figure.
13	studies are parallel. There are three doses, three	13	When we were developing telcagepant, we got
14	dosing, and four dosing paradigms.	14	to the extremes of the study that Dr. Edvinsson
15	I'm blinded. I don't know if this is going	15	mentioned. So we did pre-clinical studies in pigs,
16	to work or it's not going to work. But I tell you	16	in dogs, in human coronaries, for clinical animal
17	that, actually, we only lost two patients after	17	studies. Then we got to a point where we did one
18	randomization, so only two drop-outs, none for	18	study for telcagepant in humans with stable angina.
19	adverse events. It's an attribute of the class.	19	So we basically got patients with stable
20	Everyone else is going to come like that.	20	angina, ran them, of course, in an intensive care
21	It's an attribute of the class. It's even	21	unit and, of course, upon the request of the
22	difficult for us when I was writing the	22	agency.
	Page 322		Page 324
1	investigator brochure that, as you know, you have	1	We randomized the patients to a treadmill
2	to release what are the expected adverse events. I	2	test until pain. So they were randomized to
3	had trouble coming with the adverse events because	3	receive placebo or four times the highest dose of
4	we see very little.	4	telcagepant, four times the highest dose that we
5	If phase 2 becomes positive, we are	5	were testing in clinic. They walk it until pain,
6	eventually going to start a dual phase 3 program,	6	and there was no difference.
7	one for chronic migraine, one for high-frequency	7	So now, we build so much confidence that,
8	episodic migraines. This is just details of the	8	actually, this is less of an issue, that we are
9	IV-completed studies. Many doses that we tested in	9	moving to other issues. What about infusion
10	IV were super therapeutic. I don't know about	10	reaction? What about potential for immunogenicity
11	super therapeutic, but actually significantly	11	or for things like that? But obviously, in
L2	superior to the doses that we are testing in	12	phase 1, we were I am sure the others were as
13	phase 2.	13	well. I have hours.
14	This is phase 1. Treatment-related adverse	14	We were almost insanely looking for
15	events happen in 17.8 percent of participants	15	cardiovascular signals. So everybody in our
	receiving placebo, 20, 22 percent of patients	16	phase 1 study stayed confined for seven days. The
	receiving LBR-101. The rate did not increase with		Tmax and the IV was three hours. For example, just
	the dapa. Co 2 000 map had the same rate of		inn day 1 where the Tracy hernened, they would be

- 18 inn day 1, where the Tmax happened, they would be
 - 19 on continuous monitorization plus 12 EKGs. Then we
 - 20 did triplicate EKGs daily for seven days. Then we
 - 21 followed these people that receive medication only
 - 22 once for 90 days. And we just published these

22

18 the dose. So 2,000 mgs had the same rate of

21 again, and again, and again.

19 1,000 mgs, which had the same rate of 250 mgs.

20 There was not a dose dependent, and we see this

I have one final slide, and I want to

Third ACTTION Scientific Workshop

	rd ACTTION Scientific Workshop Insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 325		Page 327
1	results and nothing happened.	1	constitutively. And that was supposed to act back
2	Did it surprise us? No, it didn't, because	2	on auto receptors.
3	actually, now, at this point, I think we are	3	I don't know if that paper was true, but you
4	getting to a point that we totally understand that	4	have a great opportunity to test some of those
5	there is so much redundancy in the homeostatic	5	ideas in humans. So a direct prediction of that
6	control of cardiovascular functions. My	6	paper would be that CGRP blockage would lead to an
7	understanding now is CGRP over evolution CGRP is	7	increase in heat pain threshold. People will
8	so preserved. And it started in evolutionary terms	8	become less sensitive.
9	as a cardiovascular molecule and evolve into a	9	It's a very simple measure to do that's done
10	neuropeptide that is key in pain transmission and	10	every day in all kinds of testing. And I wondered
11	pain modulation.	11	whether you've ever looked at that or seriously
12	So in summary, CGRP is a relevant molecule	12	considered the possibility that the mechanism they
13	for a migraine. CGRP receptors, antagonists have	13	propose might be a side effect in your treatments.
14	never failed on efficacy. CGRP antagonists have	14	And if it's true, it could actually be of relevance
	been difficult to develop due to issues of liver		to an understanding of the pathophysiology of
16	toxicity.	16	migraine.
17	Monoclonal antibodies may target CGRP, as we	17	DR. BIGAL: Thank you. I appreciate your
	are doing, or its receptor, as Amgen is doing.		comment. It's unfortunate that Dr. Brick [ph] is
	They are not degraded by the liver. And several		not here anymore. He is doing a study using our
	antibodies, anti-CGRP, are currently in		antibody, where he is basically inducing allodynia
	development. Thanks for your attention.		into rats. And he is using two models. One model
22	(Applause.)	22	is, he induces allodynia with sumatriptan. The
	Page 326		Page 328
1	Page 326 DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice,	1	Page 328 other model, he induces allodynia with opioids.
	-		
2	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice,	2	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids.
2 3	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is	2 3	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo.
2 3 4	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia,	2 3	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our
2 3 4 5	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel.	2 3 4 5	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody.
2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any	2 3 4 5 6	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any questions for Dr. Bigal since I preempted a	2 3 4 5 6	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both allodynia models, the threshold it's not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any questions for Dr. Bigal since I preempted a discussion section for his talk. Steve?	2 3 4 5 6 7	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both allodynia models, the threshold it's not a hyperalgesia model. It's an allodynia model, but
2 3 6 7 8 9	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any questions for Dr. Bigal since I preempted a discussion section for his talk. Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So one question on the CGRPs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both allodynia models, the threshold it's not a hyperalgesia model. It's an allodynia model, but it goes to the same direction that you predicted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any questions for Dr. Bigal since I preempted a discussion section for his talk. Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So one question on the CGRPs. It may sound slightly esoteric. About five or six	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both allodynia models, the threshold it's not a hyperalgesia model. It's an allodynia model, but it goes to the same direction that you predicted. DR. MCMAHON: That begs the question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any questions for Dr. Bigal since I preempted a discussion section for his talk. Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So one question on the CGRPs. It may sound slightly esoteric. About five or six years ago, there was a reasonably high-profile	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both allodynia models, the threshold it's not a hyperalgesia model. It's an allodynia model, but it goes to the same direction that you predicted. DR. MCMAHON: That begs the question [inaudible – off mic.]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any questions for Dr. Bigal since I preempted a discussion section for his talk. Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So one question on the CGRPs. It may sound slightly esoteric. About five or six years ago, there was a reasonably high-profile paper from Jeff Mogil, who is a geneticist, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both allodynia models, the threshold it's not a hyperalgesia model. It's an allodynia model, but it goes to the same direction that you predicted. DR. MCMAHON: That begs the question [inaudible – off mic.] DR. BIGAL: I think it's relevant to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any questions for Dr. Bigal since I preempted a discussion section for his talk. Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So one question on the CGRPs. It may sound slightly esoteric. About five or six years ago, there was a reasonably high-profile paper from Jeff Mogil, who is a geneticist, and Peter Reeh, who is a pain electrophysiologist.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both allodynia models, the threshold it's not a hyperalgesia model. It's an allodynia model, but it goes to the same direction that you predicted. DR. MCMAHON: That begs the question [inaudible – off mic.] DR. BIGAL: I think it's relevant to migraine progression. So basically, when people
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any questions for Dr. Bigal since I preempted a discussion section for his talk. Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So one question on the CGRPs. It may sound slightly esoteric. About five or six years ago, there was a reasonably high-profile paper from Jeff Mogil, who is a geneticist, and Peter Reeh, who is a pain electrophysiologist. The thrust of this paper is that it started	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both allodynia models, the threshold it's not a hyperalgesia model. It's an allodynia model, but it goes to the same direction that you predicted. DR. MCMAHON: That begs the question [inaudible – off mic.] DR. BIGAL: I think it's relevant to migraine progression. So basically, when people evolve from episodic migraine into chronic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any questions for Dr. Bigal since I preempted a discussion section for his talk. Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So one question on the CGRPs. It may sound slightly esoteric. About five or six years ago, there was a reasonably high-profile paper from Jeff Mogil, who is a geneticist, and Peter Reeh, who is a pain electrophysiologist. The thrust of this paper is that it started with Jeff Mogil's interest as to why different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both allodynia models, the threshold it's not a hyperalgesia model. It's an allodynia model, but it goes to the same direction that you predicted. DR. MCMAHON: That begs the question [inaudible – off mic.] DR. BIGAL: I think it's relevant to migraine progression. So basically, when people evolve from episodic migraine into chronic migraine, the biological features of migraine are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any questions for Dr. Bigal since I preempted a discussion section for his talk. Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So one question on the CGRPs. It may sound slightly esoteric. About five or six years ago, there was a reasonably high-profile paper from Jeff Mogil, who is a geneticist, and Peter Reeh, who is a pain electrophysiologist. The thrust of this paper is that it started with Jeff Mogil's interest as to why different mouse strains have different pain sensitivities.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both allodynia models, the threshold it's not a hyperalgesia model. It's an allodynia model, but it goes to the same direction that you predicted. DR. MCMAHON: That begs the question [inaudible – off mic.] DR. BIGAL: I think it's relevant to migraine progression. So basically, when people evolve from episodic migraine into chronic migraine, the biological features of migraine are retained, but migraine acquires components of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any questions for Dr. Bigal since I preempted a discussion section for his talk. Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So one question on the CGRPs. It may sound slightly esoteric. About five or six years ago, there was a reasonably high-profile paper from Jeff Mogil, who is a geneticist, and Peter Reeh, who is a pain electrophysiologist. The thrust of this paper is that it started with Jeff Mogil's interest as to why different mouse strains have different pain sensitivities. He did the genetics and Peter did the recordings	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both allodynia models, the threshold it's not a hyperalgesia model. It's an allodynia model, but it goes to the same direction that you predicted. DR. MCMAHON: That begs the question [inaudible – off mic.] DR. BIGAL: I think it's relevant to migraine progression. So basically, when people evolve from episodic migraine into chronic migraine, the biological features of migraine are retained, but migraine acquires components of chronic pain now. So episodic migraine is pure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any questions for Dr. Bigal since I preempted a discussion section for his talk. Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So one question on the CGRPs. It may sound slightly esoteric. About five or six years ago, there was a reasonably high-profile paper from Jeff Mogil, who is a geneticist, and Peter Reeh, who is a pain electrophysiologist. The thrust of this paper is that it started with Jeff Mogil's interest as to why different mouse strains have different pain sensitivities. He did the genetics and Peter did the recordings and the functional studies. And the conclusion of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both allodynia models, the threshold it's not a hyperalgesia model. It's an allodynia model, but it goes to the same direction that you predicted. DR. MCMAHON: That begs the question [inaudible – off mic.] DR. BIGAL: I think it's relevant to migraine progression. So basically, when people evolve from episodic migraine into chronic migraine, the biological features of migraine are retained, but migraine acquires components of chronic pain now. So episodic migraine is pure migraine. It has very little resemblance with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any questions for Dr. Bigal since I preempted a discussion section for his talk. Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So one question on the CGRPs. It may sound slightly esoteric. About five or six years ago, there was a reasonably high-profile paper from Jeff Mogil, who is a geneticist, and Peter Reeh, who is a pain electrophysiologist. The thrust of this paper is that it started with Jeff Mogil's interest as to why different mouse strains have different pain sensitivities. He did the genetics and Peter did the recordings and the functional studies. And the conclusion of the paper was, the mice strains have different pain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both allodynia models, the threshold it's not a hyperalgesia model. It's an allodynia model, but it goes to the same direction that you predicted. DR. MCMAHON: That begs the question [inaudible – off mic.] DR. BIGAL: I think it's relevant to migraine progression. So basically, when people evolve from episodic migraine into chronic migraine, the biological features of migraine are retained, but migraine acquires components of chronic pain now. So episodic migraine is pure migraine. It has very little resemblance with chronic pain syndromes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. DWORKIN: I'd like to invite Drs. Rice, Katz, Edvinsson, and also Dr. Rappaport, who is director of the Division of Anesthesia, Analgesia, and Addiction Products at the FDA to the panel. And what we'll do is and you can all have a seat up here. And I will keep my promise of ending in exactly 30 minutes. And so let's start with any questions for Dr. Bigal since I preempted a discussion section for his talk. Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So one question on the CGRPs. It may sound slightly esoteric. About five or six years ago, there was a reasonably high-profile paper from Jeff Mogil, who is a geneticist, and Peter Reeh, who is a pain electrophysiologist. The thrust of this paper is that it started with Jeff Mogil's interest as to why different mouse strains have different pain sensitivities. He did the genetics and Peter did the recordings and the functional studies. And the conclusion of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	other model, he induces allodynia with opioids. Some of the animals are pretreated with placebo. Some of the animals are pretreated with our antibody. These are not migraine models. These are pain models. And he demonstrated what you said, when you knock out CGRP in the animals, in both allodynia models, the threshold it's not a hyperalgesia model. It's an allodynia model, but it goes to the same direction that you predicted. DR. MCMAHON: That begs the question [inaudible – off mic.] DR. BIGAL: I think it's relevant to migraine progression. So basically, when people evolve from episodic migraine into chronic migraine, the biological features of migraine are retained, but migraine acquires components of chronic pain now. So episodic migraine is pure migraine. It has very little resemblance with

	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies	1	June 24, 2014
	Page 329		Page 331
1	the allodynia. They require the extracephalic	1	clinical trial applies there. Everybody is having
	allodynia. And they acquired all the co-		their allodynia captured by the questionnaire.
	morbidities of chronic pain. So I think that's why	3	
	I'm so keen to study these on chronic migraine	-	that we are going to do is actually the efficacy as
	because I think that, actually, this molecule,		a function of allodynia or not.
	based on what we did at Merck, has the attributes	6	
	to revert the biology of migraine and the secondary		quantitative sensory testing like Rami Burstein or
	chronic pain features that develop over the years.		a few other investigators. I mean, I am not aware.
9	DR. MCMAHON: I don't want to keep going on	9	DR. BIJAL: We did not. So Rami Burstein
	about it, but they have an interesting mechanism.		allodynia studies for us with animals. So we
	They claimed that the animals that release lots of		developed one CGRP antagonist called AA25. And he
	CGRP acted on autoreceptors to further reinforce		used his animals of inflammatory soup to induce
	CGRP production. So actually, a migraine is, you		allodynia in animals and show that, actually,
	could imagine, a slow cumulative wind-up of the		triptans could not revert several of these
	whole system that would take you from normal, to		neuronal activations in the presence of allodynia,
	occasional, to a kind of chronic migraine stage if	16	but CGRP receptor antagonists did.
17	it was true. Yes.	17	What we did not do and we are doing now with
18	DR. BACKONJA: Actually, just a follow-up on		our trial but what we did not do is in humans
	the same question regarding the sensitivity I'm	19	with QST.
20	aware that some of the investigators have done	20	DR. DWORKIN: Other questions for Dr. Bigal?
21	quite the sensory testing in patients with	21	(No response.)
22	migraines and it clearly demonstrated the presence	22	DR. DWORKIN: I don't want any of you to
	Da		D 000
	Page 330		Page 332
1	Page 330 of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of	1	Page 332 leave this afternoon without having had your
			-
	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of	2	leave this afternoon without having had your
2 3	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine.	2 3	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any
2 3 4	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results	2 3	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session?
2 3 4 5	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small	2 3 4	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session?
2 3 4 5	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a	2 3 4 5	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion
2 3 4 5 6 7	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning	2 3 4 5 6 7	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion DR. DWORKIN: Then I will ask the members of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning over the past years, trigeminal allodynia, is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning over the past years, trigeminal allodynia, is the most potent marker of migrant refractoriness in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion DR. DWORKIN: Then I will ask the members of the panel. So the focus of this afternoon's session was translational success stories. What
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning over the past years, trigeminal allodynia, is the most potent marker of migrant refractoriness in clinical trials. So basically, when we were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion DR. DWORKIN: Then I will ask the members of the panel. So the focus of this afternoon's session was translational success stories. What Allan, and Dennis, and Frank, and I hoped to put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning over the past years, trigeminal allodynia, is the most potent marker of migrant refractoriness in clinical trials. So basically, when we were developing this, the reason I ask we worked with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion DR. DWORKIN: Then I will ask the members of the panel. So the focus of this afternoon's session was translational success stories. What Allan, and Dennis, and Frank, and I hoped to put together here were three examples of the success of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning over the past years, trigeminal allodynia, is the most potent marker of migrant refractoriness in clinical trials. So basically, when we were developing this, the reason I ask we worked with Brick on the allodynia models is because we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion DR. DWORKIN: Then I will ask the members of the panel. So the focus of this afternoon's session was translational success stories. What Allan, and Dennis, and Frank, and I hoped to put together here were three examples of the success of translation from pre-clinical to at least one, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning over the past years, trigeminal allodynia, is the most potent marker of migrant refractoriness in clinical trials. So basically, when we were developing this, the reason I ask we worked with Brick on the allodynia models is because we strongly believe that, if you do not revert to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion DR. DWORKIN: Then I will ask the members of the panel. So the focus of this afternoon's session was translational success stories. What Allan, and Dennis, and Frank, and I hoped to put together here were three examples of the success of translation from pre-clinical to at least one, and often many more, demonstrations of efficacy in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning over the past years, trigeminal allodynia, is the most potent marker of migrant refractoriness in clinical trials. So basically, when we were developing this, the reason I ask we worked with Brick on the allodynia models is because we strongly believe that, if you do not revert to allodynia, you may symptomatically treat migraine,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion DR. DWORKIN: Then I will ask the members of the panel. So the focus of this afternoon's session was translational success stories. What Allan, and Dennis, and Frank, and I hoped to put together here were three examples of the success of translation from pre-clinical to at least one, and often many more, demonstrations of efficacy in clinical studies. And so we've seen three examples
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning over the past years, trigeminal allodynia, is the most potent marker of migrant refractoriness in clinical trials. So basically, when we were developing this, the reason I ask we worked with Brick on the allodynia models is because we strongly believe that, if you do not revert to allodynia, you may symptomatically treat migraine, but you don't bring them back from chronic to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion DR. DWORKIN: Then I will ask the members of the panel. So the focus of this afternoon's session was translational success stories. What Allan, and Dennis, and Frank, and I hoped to put together here were three examples of the success of translation from pre-clinical to at least one, and often many more, demonstrations of efficacy in clinical studies. And so we've seen three examples of that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning over the past years, trigeminal allodynia, is the most potent marker of migrant refractoriness in clinical trials. So basically, when we were developing this, the reason I ask we worked with Brick on the allodynia models is because we strongly believe that, if you do not revert to allodynia, you may symptomatically treat migraine, but you don't bring them back from chronic to episodic. Allodynia is key here as a marker of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion DR. DWORKIN: Then I will ask the members of the panel. So the focus of this afternoon's session was translational success stories. What Allan, and Dennis, and Frank, and I hoped to put together here were three examples of the success of translation from pre-clinical to at least one, and often many more, demonstrations of efficacy in clinical studies. And so we've seen three examples of that. I'd just like to ask the panel to comment,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning over the past years, trigeminal allodynia, is the most potent marker of migrant refractoriness in clinical trials. So basically, when we were developing this, the reason I ask we worked with Brick on the allodynia models is because we strongly believe that, if you do not revert to allodynia, you may symptomatically treat migraine, but you don't bring them back from chronic to episodic. Allodynia is key here as a marker of trigeminal sensitization.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion DR. DWORKIN: Then I will ask the members of the panel. So the focus of this afternoon's session was translational success stories. What Allan, and Dennis, and Frank, and I hoped to put together here were three examples of the success of translation from pre-clinical to at least one, and often many more, demonstrations of efficacy in clinical studies. And so we've seen three examples of that. I'd just like to ask the panel to comment, are there any kind of take-home messages from these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning over the past years, trigeminal allodynia, is the most potent marker of migrant refractoriness in clinical trials. So basically, when we were developing this, the reason I ask we worked with Brick on the allodynia models is because we strongly believe that, if you do not revert to allodynia, you may symptomatically treat migraine, but you don't bring them back from chronic to episodic. Allodynia is key here as a marker of trigeminal sensitization.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion DR. DWORKIN: Then I will ask the members of the panel. So the focus of this afternoon's session was translational success stories. What Allan, and Dennis, and Frank, and I hoped to put together here were three examples of the success of translation from pre-clinical to at least one, and often many more, demonstrations of efficacy in clinical studies. And so we've seen three examples of that. I'd just like to ask the panel to comment, are there any kind of take-home messages from these three translational success stories? Can we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning over the past years, trigeminal allodynia, is the most potent marker of migrant refractoriness in clinical trials. So basically, when we were developing this, the reason I ask we worked with Brick on the allodynia models is because we strongly believe that, if you do not revert to allodynia, you may symptomatically treat migraine, but you don't bring them back from chronic to episodic. Allodynia is key here as a marker of trigeminal sensitization. So we developed and validated a questionnaire called the ASC12, the Allodynia	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion DR. DWORKIN: Then I will ask the members of the panel. So the focus of this afternoon's session was translational success stories. What Allan, and Dennis, and Frank, and I hoped to put together here were three examples of the success of translation from pre-clinical to at least one, and often many more, demonstrations of efficacy in clinical studies. And so we've seen three examples of that. I'd just like to ask the panel to comment, are there any kind of take-home messages from these three translational success stories? Can we conclude something about the characteristics of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning over the past years, trigeminal allodynia, is the most potent marker of migrant refractoriness in clinical trials. So basically, when we were developing this, the reason I ask we worked with Brick on the allodynia models is because we strongly believe that, if you do not revert to allodynia, you may symptomatically treat migraine, but you don't bring them back from chronic to episodic. Allodynia is key here as a marker of trigeminal sensitization. So we developed and validated a questionnaire called the ASC12, the Allodynia Symptom Checklist 12, versus QST for using migraine	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion DR. DWORKIN: Then I will ask the members of the panel. So the focus of this afternoon's session was translational success stories. What Allan, and Dennis, and Frank, and I hoped to put together here were three examples of the success of translation from pre-clinical to at least one, and often many more, demonstrations of efficacy in clinical studies. And so we've seen three examples of that. I'd just like to ask the panel to comment, are there any kind of take-home messages from these three translational success stories? Can we conclude something about the characteristics of successful translation from pre-clinical to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of allodynia and hyperalgesia as a manifestation of migraine. Are you aware of or do you have any results of the effects of any intervention of either small molecule or antibody on that sensitivity, that a change improved, got worse? DR. BIGAL: I am. So allodynia, meaning over the past years, trigeminal allodynia, is the most potent marker of migrant refractoriness in clinical trials. So basically, when we were developing this, the reason I ask we worked with Brick on the allodynia models is because we strongly believe that, if you do not revert to allodynia, you may symptomatically treat migraine, but you don't bring them back from chronic to episodic. Allodynia is key here as a marker of trigeminal sensitization. So we developed and validated a questionnaire called the ASC12, the Allodynia	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	leave this afternoon without having had your questions answered for this panel. So any questions from the audience for any of the other speakers from this afternoon's session? (No response.) Q&A and Panel Discussion DR. DWORKIN: Then I will ask the members of the panel. So the focus of this afternoon's session was translational success stories. What Allan, and Dennis, and Frank, and I hoped to put together here were three examples of the success of translation from pre-clinical to at least one, and often many more, demonstrations of efficacy in clinical studies. And so we've seen three examples of that. I'd just like to ask the panel to comment, are there any kind of take-home messages from these three translational success stories? Can we conclude something about the characteristics of successful translation from pre-clinical to clinical? Andrew?

	ansiormative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 333		Page 335
1	be a slightly provocative comment, but could be	1	vascular loop, and showing that CGRP, and not
2	something that the group actually could take		substance P, and not VIP, or the ones released, and
3	forward?	3	map this into the biology of migraine, we could
4	I was in conversation with my colleague the	4	never develop.
5	other day, and he made a quip that I thought was	5	I think that, actually, the age of
6	very true. To translate, you need to speak two	6	serendipity is over, and we do not know how to
7	languages, at least. And one of the things that we	7	develop drugs differently anymore. We need this
8	tend to do is work in our silos of pre-clinical and	8	translation.
9	clinical. And the clinical people have a lot to	9	The second translation that I think is
10	learn from the pre-clinical people and vice versa.	10	important is, when you go to this science,
11	The past few weeks, I've been thinking about	11	translational science, as this requires, we very
12	how we could facilitate that. One way might be to	12	often miss a second translation that's needed,
13	encourage internships of trainees in research, so	13	which is basically, science apart, what patients
14	that basic scientists might come, and spend some	14	really need.
	time doing clinical trials, and seeing what the	15	Now, we know the science. I know that I
16	issues were, and vice versa because I do think we	16	want to go to the trigeminal ganglia. I develop a
	tend to sit we assume that we know what the pre-		molecule that goes there. But when it comes to
18	clinical people do.		develop the clinical trial, who are these patients?
19			In real life, a patient with chronic migraine who
	and they seem to believe that we know what we're		would use something as I am developing, would they
	doing in clinical trials. And a little bit more		stop the medications that they currently are on
22	learning of each other's languages, I think	22	before starting or phase out before starting? Or
	Page 334		Page 336
1	Page 334 wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly	1	Page 336 would they add this medication on whatever they
	-		
2	wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly	2	would they add this medication on whatever they
2 3	wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably	2 3	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning,
2 3 4	wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we	2 3 4	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients,
2 3 4	wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already.	2 3 4 5	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And
2 3 4 5 6	wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already.	2 3 4 5	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And once they fail, they would go to a high-end therapy
2 3 4 5 6 7	wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already. DR. DWORKIN: But you're saying something a little bit more intensive, that there would actually be a period of time that the individual	2 3 4 5 6	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And once they fail, they would go to a high-end therapy like that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already. DR. DWORKIN: But you're saying something a little bit more intensive, that there would actually be a period of time that the individual would spend in a lab of someone doing something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And once they fail, they would go to a high-end therapy like that? This translation, I think, is where we are missing, trying to identify now that we know the signs and that we are developing the clinical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already. DR. DWORKIN: But you're saying something a little bit more intensive, that there would actually be a period of time that the individual would spend in a lab of someone doing something different than what they do. Potentially, ACTTION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And once they fail, they would go to a high-end therapy like that? This translation, I think, is where we are missing, trying to identify now that we know the signs and that we are developing the clinical trial, who is the true beneficiary of something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already. DR. DWORKIN: But you're saying something a little bit more intensive, that there would actually be a period of time that the individual would spend in a lab of someone doing something different than what they do. Potentially, ACTTION could support something like that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And once they fail, they would go to a high-end therapy like that? This translation, I think, is where we are missing, trying to identify now that we know the signs and that we are developing the clinical trial, who is the true beneficiary of something like that, and develop a clinical trial that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already. DR. DWORKIN: But you're saying something a little bit more intensive, that there would actually be a period of time that the individual would spend in a lab of someone doing something different than what they do. Potentially, ACTTION could support something like that? DR. RICE: I don't know whether anybody's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And once they fail, they would go to a high-end therapy like that? This translation, I think, is where we are missing, trying to identify now that we know the signs and that we are developing the clinical trial, who is the true beneficiary of something like that, and develop a clinical trial that reflects the population?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already. DR. DWORKIN: But you're saying something a little bit more intensive, that there would actually be a period of time that the individual would spend in a lab of someone doing something different than what they do. Potentially, ACTTION could support something like that? DR. RICE: I don't know whether anybody's got any comments on that, or is it naive?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And once they fail, they would go to a high-end therapy like that? This translation, I think, is where we are missing, trying to identify now that we know the signs and that we are developing the clinical trial, who is the true beneficiary of something like that, and develop a clinical trial that reflects the population? DR. DWORKIN: So let me follow up. Are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already. DR. DWORKIN: But you're saying something a little bit more intensive, that there would actually be a period of time that the individual would spend in a lab of someone doing something different than what they do. Potentially, ACTTION could support something like that? DR. RICE: I don't know whether anybody's got any comments on that, or is it naive? DR. BIGAL: I think there are two 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And once they fail, they would go to a high-end therapy like that? This translation, I think, is where we are missing, trying to identify now that we know the signs and that we are developing the clinical trial, who is the true beneficiary of something like that, and develop a clinical trial that reflects the population? DR. DWORKIN: So let me follow up. Are you suggesting that the reason for the success of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already. DR. DWORKIN: But you're saying something a little bit more intensive, that there would actually be a period of time that the individual would spend in a lab of someone doing something different than what they do. Potentially, ACTTION could support something like that? DR. RICE: I don't know whether anybody's got any comments on that, or is it naive? DR. BIGAL: I think there are two translations that need to be done, and one 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And once they fail, they would go to a high-end therapy like that? This translation, I think, is where we are missing, trying to identify now that we know the signs and that we are developing the clinical trial, who is the true beneficiary of something like that, and develop a clinical trial that reflects the population? DR. DWORKIN: So let me follow up. Are you suggesting that the reason for the success of the CGRP antagonist studies and you said all of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already. DR. DWORKIN: But you're saying something a little bit more intensive, that there would actually be a period of time that the individual would spend in a lab of someone doing something different than what they do. Potentially, ACTTION could support something like that? DR. RICE: I don't know whether anybody's got any comments on that, or is it naive? DR. BIGAL: I think there are two translations that need to be done, and one translation is this translation that we discuss 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And once they fail, they would go to a high-end therapy like that? This translation, I think, is where we are missing, trying to identify now that we know the signs and that we are developing the clinical trial, who is the true beneficiary of something like that, and develop a clinical trial that reflects the population? DR. DWORKIN: So let me follow up. Are you suggesting that the reason for the success of the CGRP antagonist studies and you said all of those trials were positive, and it looks like the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already. DR. DWORKIN: But you're saying something a little bit more intensive, that there would actually be a period of time that the individual would spend in a lab of someone doing something different than what they do. Potentially, ACTTION could support something like that? DR. RICE: I don't know whether anybody's got any comments on that, or is it naive? DR. BIGAL: I think there are two translations that need to be done, and one translation is this translation that we discuss here today. So for example, if we take the example 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And once they fail, they would go to a high-end therapy like that? This translation, I think, is where we are missing, trying to identify now that we know the signs and that we are developing the clinical trial, who is the true beneficiary of something like that, and develop a clinical trial that reflects the population? DR. DWORKIN: So let me follow up. Are you suggesting that the reason for the success of the CGRP antagonist studies and you said all of those trials were positive, and it looks like the data we have from the antibody studies also, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already. DR. DWORKIN: But you're saying something a little bit more intensive, that there would actually be a period of time that the individual would spend in a lab of someone doing something different than what they do. Potentially, ACTTION could support something like that? DR. RICE: I don't know whether anybody's got any comments on that, or is it naive? DR. BIGAL: I think there are two translations that need to be done, and one translation is this translation that we discuss here today. So for example, if we take the example of the CGRP drug development, it represents the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And once they fail, they would go to a high-end therapy like that? This translation, I think, is where we are missing, trying to identify now that we know the signs and that we are developing the clinical trial, who is the true beneficiary of something like that, and develop a clinical trial that reflects the population? DR. DWORKIN: So let me follow up. Are you suggesting that the reason for the success of the CGRP antagonist studies and you said all of those trials were positive, and it looks like the data we have from the antibody studies also, so far, are positive are you suggesting that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already. DR. DWORKIN: But you're saying something a little bit more intensive, that there would actually be a period of time that the individual would spend in a lab of someone doing something different than what they do. Potentially, ACTTION could support something like that? DR. RICE: I don't know whether anybody's got any comments on that, or is it naive? DR. BIGAL: I think there are two translations that need to be done, and one translation is this translation that we discuss here today. So for example, if we take the example of the CGRP drug development, it represents the accumulation of knowledge of many largely driven by 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And once they fail, they would go to a high-end therapy like that? This translation, I think, is where we are missing, trying to identify now that we know the signs and that we are developing the clinical trial, who is the true beneficiary of something like that, and develop a clinical trial that reflects the population? DR. DWORKIN: So let me follow up. Are you suggesting that the reason for the success of the CGRP antagonist studies and you said all of those trials were positive, and it looks like the data we have from the antibody studies also, so far, are positive are you suggesting that the reason that those trials were all positive has to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 wouldn't be a bad thing. And that's exactly something that a group like ACTTION could probably facilitate, or at least we could talk about how we would facilitate it. It is actually what we're doing here already. DR. DWORKIN: But you're saying something a little bit more intensive, that there would actually be a period of time that the individual would spend in a lab of someone doing something different than what they do. Potentially, ACTTION could support something like that? DR. RICE: I don't know whether anybody's got any comments on that, or is it naive? DR. BIGAL: I think there are two translations that need to be done, and one translation is this translation that we discuss here today. So for example, if we take the example of the CGRP drug development, it represents the accumulation of knowledge of many largely driven by Lars. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	would they add this medication on whatever they have? If they improve, they phase out, meaning, who are these patients? Are these patients, patients that are going to use generic first? And once they fail, they would go to a high-end therapy like that? This translation, I think, is where we are missing, trying to identify now that we know the signs and that we are developing the clinical trial, who is the true beneficiary of something like that, and develop a clinical trial that reflects the population? DR. DWORKIN: So let me follow up. Are you suggesting that the reason for the success of the CGRP antagonist studies and you said all of those trials were positive, and it looks like the data we have from the antibody studies also, so far, are positive are you suggesting that the

22 vascular test, and describing the trigeminal

22

DR. BIGAL: No. The reason I think they are

Tra	ansformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 337		Page 339
1	coming so nice is because the translation from	1	the criteria for good translational pain research.
	basic to clinical has been well done. I think	2	
	that's the reason. I think the second step, the	3	DR. EDVINSSON: I think you touch a very
	future step, is now how to bring this a step		important issue. I think, for my part, I am a
	forward. And I don't mean cherry-pick the		clinician also, and I have a good laboratory. And
			that makes it more easy to see the patients' needs
	clinical development and make the clinical studies		and the way to translate it. But I think it would
	and the clinical trials reflect the population that		
	actually is going to end-use it, because right now,		M.D. to see how the lab works.
	my point is, 12 percent of the population has	10	I did a long period in my young days to do
	migraine.	11	my PhD in a neuroscience laboratory, so then I can
12	About 12 percent of them will need an		understand the methodology. And I think you need
13	antibody. Who are they? How do they look like?	13	to have this kind of MD, PhD training to understand
14	What are the co-morbidities that they have? And	14	the methodology that the great guys in the novel
15	instead of excluding these guys, as we typically		technologies have. So you must share, get it
16	do, go for them.	16	together.
17	DR. DWORKIN: Maybe we should.	17	DR. RICE: I just wanted to completely
18	DR. BACKONJA: I would just go back to a	18	concur with you and Misha and ask you, Misha, one
19	more general comment that Andrew made. And I will	19	of the phrases I heard today was or maybe it was
20	definitely say that we are in terrible need of	20	yesterday measuring symptoms in rodents. And to
21	really better communication, again, because we	21	a clinician, that's anathema. You can't measure
22	definitely do speak two different languages, basic	22	symptoms. It's something that can't communicate
	Page 338		Page 340
1	science language and a clinical language.	1	with you.
2	For this to be a translational endeavor, we	2	
	really have to speak the same language and probably		it many times, we suffer from a rather bizarre
	a little more disciplined the way we use our		disconnect. But by and large, we tend to do our
	language because, in pre-clinical settings, we do		pre-clinical work in models of traumatic nerve
	not study pain. You study nociception and		injury, and our outcome measures are, at best,
	neuropathic pain processes. But when do they		something we use to profile patients in clinical
	become pain is human subjects say they have a		,
	pain. Otherwise, before that, it's all		in other words, sensory thresholds.
	nociception.	10	Yet, in the clinical trials, we'll often do
11	But it goes back to in some of the words		a product predominantly in polyneuropathy or PHN
	used during this meeting, I have to give credit to Allan, who is gone. He made it, in his		and measure pain as an outcome. There's just a
	presentation, clear that he was studying mechanical		really obvious disconnect there that, sometimes, we don't talk too much about. And I don't want to
	hyperalgesia or thermal allodynia. And that's what		repeat last year's meeting, but there's also the
	he staffed, rather than generalizing it to pain in	15	
	general.	17	methodologies in pre-clinical research, which is a
18	I think that these are the kind of steps	18	whole other meeting, but they merit just
	that we need to do. But do we really need to go		mentioning.
	back to where and how do we do this? There's	20	DR. DWORKIN: So Andrew, I have to ask this
	really no good model and maybe an occasion like		question. As I understood your comments, they

22 this is a good model just to really start to set

	insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 341		Page 343
1	translation, of compounds that were efficacious in	1	lack of efficacy. And actually, implicit in your
2	pre-clinical models that didn't show efficacy in	2	last comment was that was all due to the pre
3	the clinical situation. And there is such a large	3	clinical sides. But don't you get that it was also
4	history of failed compounds. I mean, we all talk	4	due to poor clinical design. Even with the NK1, we
5	about NK1 antagonists. But I just don't know of	5	said, for antagonists, astonishingly, when the
6	that many clear examples of a compound that showed	6	knock-outs were evaluated, which they were being
7	maybe not even a robust pre-clinical pattern, that	7	done whilst the clinical trials were going on for
8	when done in careful clinical studies, failed to	8	the small molecules, the knock-outs had very little
9	show efficacy.	9	phenotype other than in a visceral pain context.
10	So there's a premise I'm not sure we have	10	And as far as I know, that's never been tested
11	solid data for, that there are a lot of failures of	11	clinically.
12	the pre-clinical models.	12	So you could even argue that good old NK1
13	DR. RICE: We are doing some work on that.	13	hasn't even been disproven as a target. But my
14	But I think publication bias, both at the clinical	14	main point was that maybe at the end of two days,
15	trials that failed which is probably less than	15	we should be smug and slap ourselves on the back,
16	we think it is. We recently have not published it	16	three examples sitting there of positive efficacy
17	yet, but you were at the meeting where we had an	17	in phase 2 in the last few years. Another one
18	assessment of publication bias for clinical trials.	18	supposedly announced last week, at least in the
19	It seemed to be surprisingly low compared to the	19	form of a press release from Convergance, who
20	pre-clinical studies, where it's much higher.	20	claimed that they have positive data in trigeminal
21	So it's actually gaining access to the	21	neuralgia with their sodium channel program, and
22	evidence. And one thing that surprised me recently	22	another one last year and being followed up on P2X3
	Page 342		Page 344
1	Page 342 is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of	1	Page 344 receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent
	-		
2	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of		receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent
2 3	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that	2 3	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure.
2 3 4	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a	2 3 4	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the
2 3 4 5	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first	2 3 4 5	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been
2 3 4 5 6	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When	2 3 4 5 6	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the
2 3 4 5 6 7	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When we've got those down, we're now somewhere around	2 3 4 5 6 7	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the animal models, the measures we have. There's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When we've got those down, we're now somewhere around 6,000 publications that are admissible to a meta-analysis. You compare that to roughly 220 randomized	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the animal models, the measures we have. There's a wider range of animal models being applied. I think the tests are being applied more rigorously. We have heard a lot about how clinical trial design
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When we've got those down, we're now somewhere around 6,000 publications that are admissible to a meta-analysis. You compare that to roughly 220 randomized control trials that will be in the latest	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the animal models, the measures we have. There's a wider range of animal models being applied. I think the tests are being applied more rigorously. We have heard a lot about how clinical trial design may have been improved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When we've got those down, we're now somewhere around 6,000 publications that are admissible to a meta-analysis. You compare that to roughly 220 randomized control trials that will be in the latest meta-analysis of neuropathic pain. We're dealing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the animal models, the measures we have. There's a wider range of animal models being applied. I think the tests are being applied more rigorously. We have heard a lot about how clinical trial design may have been improved. So maybe this is the beginning of a golden
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When we've got those down, we're now somewhere around 6,000 publications that are admissible to a meta-analysis. You compare that to roughly 220 randomized control trials that will be in the latest meta-analysis of neuropathic pain. We're dealing with a totally different scale. But the problem is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the animal models, the measures we have. There's a wider range of animal models being applied. I think the tests are being applied more rigorously. We have heard a lot about how clinical trial design may have been improved. So maybe this is the beginning of a golden era where I am starting to see a lot of successes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When we've got those down, we're now somewhere around 6,000 publications that are admissible to a meta-analysis. You compare that to roughly 220 randomized control trials that will be in the latest meta-analysis of neuropathic pain. We're dealing with a totally different scale. But the problem is we don't have a meta-analysis yet we are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the animal models, the measures we have. There's a wider range of animal models being applied. I think the tests are being applied more rigorously. We have heard a lot about how clinical trial design may have been improved. So maybe this is the beginning of a golden era where I am starting to see a lot of successes. DR. DWORKIN: So Steve, I completely agree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When we've got those down, we're now somewhere around 6,000 publications that are admissible to a meta-analysis. You compare that to roughly 220 randomized control trials that will be in the latest meta-analysis of neuropathic pain. We're dealing with a totally different scale. But the problem is we don't have a meta-analysis yet we are conducting it of the pre-clinical data.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the animal models, the measures we have. There's a wider range of animal models being applied. I think the tests are being applied more rigorously. We have heard a lot about how clinical trial design may have been improved. So maybe this is the beginning of a golden era where I am starting to see a lot of successes. DR. DWORKIN: So Steve, I completely agree with you. And I want to highlight something that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When we've got those down, we're now somewhere around 6,000 publications that are admissible to a meta-analysis. You compare that to roughly 220 randomized control trials that will be in the latest meta-analysis of neuropathic pain. We're dealing with a totally different scale. But the problem is we don't have a meta-analysis yet we are conducting it of the pre-clinical data. Once we have that, probably later this year,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the animal models, the measures we have. There's a wider range of animal models being applied. I think the tests are being applied more rigorously. We have heard a lot about how clinical trial design may have been improved. So maybe this is the beginning of a golden era where I am starting to see a lot of successes. DR. DWORKIN: So Steve, I completely agree with you. And I want to highlight something that Andrew said earlier about an as-yet-unpublished
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When we've got those down, we're now somewhere around 6,000 publications that are admissible to a meta-analysis. You compare that to roughly 220 randomized control trials that will be in the latest meta-analysis of neuropathic pain. We're dealing with a totally different scale. But the problem is we don't have a meta-analysis yet we are conducting it of the pre-clinical data. Once we have that, probably later this year, I think we'll be in a very different position to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the animal models, the measures we have. There's a wider range of animal models being applied. I think the tests are being applied more rigorously. We have heard a lot about how clinical trial design may have been improved. So maybe this is the beginning of a golden era where I am starting to see a lot of successes. DR. DWORKIN: So Steve, I completely agree with you. And I want to highlight something that Andrew said earlier about an as-yet-unpublished study of oxcarbazepine in patients with, I guess, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When we've got those down, we're now somewhere around 6,000 publications that are admissible to a meta-analysis. You compare that to roughly 220 randomized control trials that will be in the latest meta-analysis of neuropathic pain. We're dealing with a totally different scale. But the problem is we don't have a meta-analysis yet we are conducting it of the pre-clinical data. Once we have that, probably later this year, I think we'll be in a very different position to be able to address that issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the animal models, the measures we have. There's a wider range of animal models being applied. I think the tests are being applied more rigorously. We have heard a lot about how clinical trial design may have been improved. So maybe this is the beginning of a golden era where I am starting to see a lot of successes. DR. DWORKIN: So Steve, I completely agree with you. And I want to highlight something that Andrew said earlier about an as-yet-unpublished study of oxcarbazepine in patients with, I guess, a variety of peripheral neuropathic pain conditions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When we've got those down, we're now somewhere around 6,000 publications that are admissible to a meta-analysis. You compare that to roughly 220 randomized control trials that will be in the latest meta-analysis of neuropathic pain. We're dealing with a totally different scale. But the problem is we don't have a meta-analysis yet we are conducting it of the pre-clinical data. Once we have that, probably later this year, I think we'll be in a very different position to be able to address that issue. DR. DWORKIN: Steve?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the animal models, the measures we have. There's a wider range of animal models being applied. I think the tests are being applied more rigorously. We have heard a lot about how clinical trial design may have been improved. So maybe this is the beginning of a golden era where I am starting to see a lot of successes. DR. DWORKIN: So Steve, I completely agree with you. And I want to highlight something that Andrew said earlier about an as-yet-unpublished study of oxcarbazepine in patients with, I guess, a variety of peripheral neuropathic pain conditions. So in fact, there are, in the literature,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When we've got those down, we're now somewhere around 6,000 publications that are admissible to a meta-analysis. You compare that to roughly 220 randomized control trials that will be in the latest meta-analysis of neuropathic pain. We're dealing with a totally different scale. But the problem is we don't have a meta-analysis yet we are conducting it of the pre-clinical data. Once we have that, probably later this year, I think we'll be in a very different position to be able to address that issue. DR. DWORKIN: Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So we heard on your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the animal models, the measures we have. There's a wider range of animal models being applied. I think the tests are being applied more rigorously. We have heard a lot about how clinical trial design may have been improved. So maybe this is the beginning of a golden era where I am starting to see a lot of successes. DR. DWORKIN: So Steve, I completely agree with you. And I want to highlight something that Andrew said earlier about an as-yet-unpublished study of oxcarbazepine in patients with, I guess, a variety of peripheral neuropathic pain conditions. So in fact, there are, in the literature, three negative trials, phase 3 negative trials of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When we've got those down, we're now somewhere around 6,000 publications that are admissible to a meta-analysis. You compare that to roughly 220 randomized control trials that will be in the latest meta-analysis of neuropathic pain. We're dealing with a totally different scale. But the problem is we don't have a meta-analysis yet we are conducting it of the pre-clinical data. Once we have that, probably later this year, I think we'll be in a very different position to be able to address that issue. DR. DWORKIN: Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So we heard on your part we heard from Chas yesterday that his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the animal models, the measures we have. There's a wider range of animal models being applied. I think the tests are being applied more rigorously. We have heard a lot about how clinical trial design may have been improved. So maybe this is the beginning of a golden era where I am starting to see a lot of successes. DR. DWORKIN: So Steve, I completely agree with you. And I want to highlight something that Andrew said earlier about an as-yet-unpublished study of oxcarbazepine in patients with, I guess, a variety of peripheral neuropathic pain conditions. So in fact, there are, in the literature, three negative trials, phase 3 negative trials of oxcarbazepine and diabetic peripheral neuropathy,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is, we are currently conducting a meta-analysis of all pre-clinical neuropathic pain studies that involved behavior and outcomes with a pharmacological intervention in animals. The first sweep of that revealed 65,000 publications. When we've got those down, we're now somewhere around 6,000 publications that are admissible to a meta-analysis. You compare that to roughly 220 randomized control trials that will be in the latest meta-analysis of neuropathic pain. We're dealing with a totally different scale. But the problem is we don't have a meta-analysis yet we are conducting it of the pre-clinical data. Once we have that, probably later this year, I think we'll be in a very different position to be able to address that issue. DR. DWORKIN: Steve? DR. MCMAHON: So we heard on your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	receptor antagonism. So that's not 90 percent failure. Maybe we're doing something wrong in the sense that we have got definitely, there's been a lot of navel-gazing and hard thought about the animal models, the measures we have. There's a wider range of animal models being applied. I think the tests are being applied more rigorously. We have heard a lot about how clinical trial design may have been improved. So maybe this is the beginning of a golden era where I am starting to see a lot of successes. DR. DWORKIN: So Steve, I completely agree with you. And I want to highlight something that Andrew said earlier about an as-yet-unpublished study of oxcarbazepine in patients with, I guess, a variety of peripheral neuropathic pain conditions. So in fact, there are, in the literature, three negative trials, phase 3 negative trials of

	Page 345		Page 347
1	negative trials and one positive trial.	1	most of the clinical studies never get published
2	But now, we hear that in a trial conducted		for all the reasons that we're all familiar with.
3	carefully in Europe with heterogeneous patients,	3	But now, as I think about it, I never really
	not just diabetic neuropathy or PHN, and	4	had a sense of responsibility to look at the pre-
	sophisticated quantitative sensory testing, that	5	
	they were able to support their prediction that the	6	question, "Do I really feel like these data are
	drug was efficacious in patients who had, what,	7	robust enough to take into humans?" because I
	pinpricked hyperalgesia. Right? Something like		didn't feel I had the qualifications to make a
9	that?	9	decision like that.
10	DR. RICE: It's not my study, and I can't	10	But now, as I think back upon it, it seems
11	give too much away because it's under review.	11	to me that, rarely was it the case that the
12			pre-clinical data met any of their criteria that I
13		13	put on my slides earlier. Rarely was their
14	developed and published a system for assigning	14	replication in multiple laboratories. Rarely could
15	sensory loss or gain as a phenotype.	15	you find out whether the pre-clinical animals were
16	DR. DWORKIN: To me, that raises the	16	randomized, whether it was blinded, et cetera,
17	question of whether the three large, very large,	17	et cetera.
18	negative trials of oxcarbazepine, a sodium channel	18	I really have no idea whether the
19	blocker, of course, in patients with diabetic	19	pre-clinical data that I saw was robust data or not
20	neuropathy were falsely negative; and that when	20	robust data. This whole connection between, oh,
21	it's done exquisitely carefully by a group of	21	the pre-clinical studies showed this and the
22	European academic investigators, this	22	clinical studies showed that, I don't know what
	Page 346		Page 348
1	anti-epileptic shows efficacy in the patients we	1	those pre-clinical studies showed.
2	would have predicted it would show efficacy in.	2	So lately, in the last year or so, as I
3	Nat, you have to say something.	3	become more aware and sensitized to the flimsy
4	DR. KATZ: I am going to say something, but	4	nature of a lot of the pre-clinical data that comes
5	probably not what you think I'm going to say.	5	to me, I've gotten into the habit of asking people
6	(Laughter.)	6	who come to me now, and when I see the usual
7	DR. DWORKIN: I actually have no idea what	7	slides, "Just out of curiosity, was that study
8	you're going to say.	8	randomized?"
9	DR. KATZ: I'm yet again going to wander	9	I never can get an answer to that question.
10	into dangerous territory, which is to talk about	10	"Well, just out of curiosity, was that study
11	the pre-clinical data. And I want to pick up on a	11	blinded?" "I don't know. I guess I'll have to go
12	point that you just made.	12	back to the people that did it." "How about any
13	I've got this idea in my head that the	13	other labs? Did any other labs replicate that
14	pre-clinical models fail to predict human efficacy.	14	study?" "I don't know. Maybe I ought to go back."
15	And the reason I have that in my head is because I	15	And these are people who are controlling millions
	have done dozens of studies over the last 15 or 20 years of new molecular entities that were	16	and millions of dollars of investor money.

- 18 presented to me as years of pre-clinical data,
- 19 we're taking this into humans. Can you help us

20 design a study or carry out a study? And we do it 21 and it fails.

Min-U-Script®

22 So that's happened to me numerous times, and 18 ask ourselves, what did those pre-clinical studies

20 us there, but I think, based on what's published in

21 the literature, with the enormous publication bias

22 that we all acknowledge to exist, I'm not sure how

19 really show? And maybe your meta-analysis will get

June 24, 2014

Third ACTTION Scientific Workshop

Tra Tra	rd ACITION Scientific Workshop insformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies		June 24, 2014
	Page 349		Page 351
1	to figure that out.	1	where we will have better reporting standards. And
2	DR. RICE: I mean, I think there's one		we remember what difference CONSORT made to
	probable truism, that most of the strategies and		clinical trial methodology. And I'm sure that will
	models we have are good at predicting the drugs we		have some impact.
	know work. They're not good at predicting the	5	So again, I share Nat's optimism that
	drugs that would fail. And I think that's an	_	there's no point in looking too much into the past.
	important message.		There's a lot that is changing, and they are
8	But you wouldn't be surprised to hear I		examples of success. But again, I think it speaks
	totally concur with you about the reporting of		to learning to speak each other's languages. Some
	methodological quality. And we can take a lot from		of what we do is obvious to us in designing
	my collaborators in the stroke field, Malcolm		clinical trials and not necessarily obvious to a
	MacLeod and his group, in Edinburgh, who have done		clinical trialist in animals.
	a lot of work on this.	13	DR. DWORKIN: So we're coming to the end,
14	We certainly know that classic things like		and I just want to give Dr. Rappaport a moment, if
	randomization and/or studies that fail to		he'd like it, to comment on the presentations we've
-	report that's all we can say. We can't say	16	had this afternoon, the successful translation from
	whether studies did it or not. But studies that		pre-clinical studies into phase 2 and, ideally,
	fail to report blinding and randomization tend to		phase 3.
		19	DR. RAPPAPORT: I think I'll use the
	overestimate efficacy, as you'd expect.		
20	But about 30 percent of reports in	20	
	neuropathic pain models report blinding, so we can		both days. I hope that we are in the beginning of
22	take some encouragement from that.	22	a golden age. That would be wonderful. It needs
	Page 350		Page 352
1	I think there are other facets of that, that	1	to move forward more quickly. And I am obviously a
	are virtually never reported, and that is sample		big proponent of public/private partnerships, so I
	size calculations and, even more importantly, the		was really quite impressed with what Chas Bountra
	predetermined criteria for excluding animals from		has been able to do in that paradigm.
	the analysis and if animals were excluded from the	5	I think we need to move further in that
	analysis and ir animals were excluded from the		direction in the pain area because what we can
	-	6	accomplish working together in the private and
7	From the inquiries we made, that is actually quite a widespread practice to take out animals,		public sectors, in the pre-competitive area, to
		8	
	and we had an ACTTION meeting on this tonic last	0	
	and we had an ACTTION meeting on this topic last	9	0
10	year. Animals are often excluded from the analysis	10	picked up when we have evidence of efficacy or
10 11	year. Animals are often excluded from the analysis without being declared in the write-up, and that's	10 11	picked up when we have evidence of efficacy or preliminary safety data, whatever it is that we
10 11 12	year. Animals are often excluded from the analysis without being declared in the write-up, and that's a cultural issue. And that seems to be a major	10 11 12	picked up when we have evidence of efficacy or preliminary safety data, whatever it is that we need to do, and then share it, and then at that
10 11 12 13	year. Animals are often excluded from the analysis without being declared in the write-up, and that's a cultural issue. And that seems to be a major bias that has not been addressed.	10 11 12 13	picked up when we have evidence of efficacy or preliminary safety data, whatever it is that we need to do, and then share it, and then at that point, have industry pick-up and develop a product,
10 11 12 13 14	year. Animals are often excluded from the analysis without being declared in the write-up, and that's a cultural issue. And that seems to be a major bias that has not been addressed. This area has moved forward fantastically in	10 11 12 13 14	picked up when we have evidence of efficacy or preliminary safety data, whatever it is that we need to do, and then share it, and then at that point, have industry pick-up and develop a product, I think we could move things so much more quickly.
10 11 12 13 14 15	year. Animals are often excluded from the analysis without being declared in the write-up, and that's a cultural issue. And that seems to be a major bias that has not been addressed. This area has moved forward fantastically in the last two years. We now have something called	10 11 12 13 14 15	picked up when we have evidence of efficacy or preliminary safety data, whatever it is that we need to do, and then share it, and then at that point, have industry pick-up and develop a product, I think we could move things so much more quickly. I think, with the advent of better
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	year. Animals are often excluded from the analysis without being declared in the write-up, and that's a cultural issue. And that seems to be a major bias that has not been addressed. This area has moved forward fantastically in the last two years. We now have something called the ARRIVE guidelines, which is standard reporting	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	picked up when we have evidence of efficacy or preliminary safety data, whatever it is that we need to do, and then share it, and then at that point, have industry pick-up and develop a product, I think we could move things so much more quickly. I think, with the advent of better methodology and reporting in the pre-clinical area,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	year. Animals are often excluded from the analysis without being declared in the write-up, and that's a cultural issue. And that seems to be a major bias that has not been addressed. This area has moved forward fantastically in the last two years. We now have something called the ARRIVE guidelines, which is standard reporting guidelines, rather like CONSORT, which have been	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	picked up when we have evidence of efficacy or preliminary safety data, whatever it is that we need to do, and then share it, and then at that point, have industry pick-up and develop a product, I think we could move things so much more quickly. I think, with the advent of better methodology and reporting in the pre-clinical area, I think that will really speed things up, too. So
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	year. Animals are often excluded from the analysis without being declared in the write-up, and that's a cultural issue. And that seems to be a major bias that has not been addressed. This area has moved forward fantastically in the last two years. We now have something called the ARRIVE guidelines, which is standard reporting guidelines, rather like CONSORT, which have been adopted by over 350 journals now, by certainly	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	picked up when we have evidence of efficacy or preliminary safety data, whatever it is that we need to do, and then share it, and then at that point, have industry pick-up and develop a product, I think we could move things so much more quickly. I think, with the advent of better methodology and reporting in the pre-clinical area, I think that will really speed things up, too. So I think there are all kinds of things coming
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	year. Animals are often excluded from the analysis without being declared in the write-up, and that's a cultural issue. And that seems to be a major bias that has not been addressed. This area has moved forward fantastically in the last two years. We now have something called the ARRIVE guidelines, which is standard reporting guidelines, rather like CONSORT, which have been	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	picked up when we have evidence of efficacy or preliminary safety data, whatever it is that we need to do, and then share it, and then at that point, have industry pick-up and develop a product, I think we could move things so much more quickly. I think, with the advent of better methodology and reporting in the pre-clinical area, I think that will really speed things up, too. So

21 standards.

22 So I think we are going to move into an area 21 somewhat of a personal stake in ACTTION, at least

22 for a while, and I think that this is the right

1 place to center what we're doing in pain because we

Page 353

- 2 have representatives from all of the important
- 3 sectors, including the advocacy groups who are
- 4 represented here today. So I just want to put in a
- 5 word for pushing that direction.
- 6 If you see a way to share what we're doing,
- 7 I think that's what we should be doing.
- 8 Adjournment
- 9 DR. DWORKIN: Thank you very much. I think
- 10 that's the perfect way to end these two days.
- 11 Thank you all very much for your participation, for
- 12 your endurance. And if you want to get in touch
- ${\tt 13}\,$ with us, e-mail us, go to the ACTTION website for
- 14 updates. And if you'd like to be on the
- 15 distribution list for ACTTION newsletters, just let16 me know.
- 17 Thank you all very much and safe travels.
- 18 (Whereupon, at 4:44 p.m., the workshop was
- 19 adjourned.)
- 20
- 21
- 22

	·····		1	,
	157:6;166:15;167:1;	232:19	2007 (3)	208:16;209:5;235:11;
	186:11;279:22;282:12;	1983 (1)	195:16;201:8;202:20	240:12;245:19;273:1
\$				
	286:6;288:13;308:6	275:19	2009 (1)	4:00 (2)
\$17.8 (1)	10,000 (3)	1987 (2)	11:22	5:12;294:19
42:10	46:9;72:5;228:17	233:1,5	2010 (1)	4:44 (1)
\$20 (1)	100 (5)	1990s (1)	253:21	353:18
189:13	8:1;36:3;67:17;92:19;	234:1	2011 (1)	4:45 (2)
\$250 (1)	142:2	1993 (1)	207:4	295:4,7
15:14	11 (1)	236:15	2012 (4)	40 (8)
\$3 (1)	307:3	1999 (1)	207:5;255:1,3;258:19	20:19;60:7,7;126:7;
42:7	11th (1)	115:20	22 (2)	133:20;186:13;196:5;
\$40,000 (1)	241:11	1A (6)	308:3;322:16	308:2
65:11	12 (10)	222:13,13,21;228:3,5;	220 (1)	42 (1)
65:11	68:8;72:8;140:21;	229:3	342:9	306:8
г	210:16;271:3;310:21;	1B (1)	24 (6)	43 (1)
L	324:19;330:20;337:10,	222:22	92:9;140:21;271:3,14;	129:22
	12		306:11;309:13	44 (1)
[Inaudible (7)	12:19 (1)	2	25 (4)	129:18
68:3;70:14;177:15;		∠		
264:19;270:7,10;328:12	190:11		123:7;158:9;259:21;	45 (4)
[indiscernible] (4)	120 (2)	2 (56)	294:19	130:9;254:8;295:3;
37:3;181:3;285:13;	4:19;36:4	33:16;45:11;52:13;	250 (1)	320:17
319:21	12-week (1)	116:9;166:21;167:2;	322:19	45-day (1)
[ph] (10)	212:21	172:10;194:15,15;195:3,	28 (1)	314:1
57:16;143:10;172:7;	13.5 (3)	4;196:7,11;197:8;	255:11	48 (2)
182:13;285:20;287:20;	81:17;84:2;111:18	199:13;200:1,4,21;	280 (1)	309:2,14
288:22;311:8;312:17;	13-week (1)	205:7,16;212:16;213:5,	321:9	4-CGRP (1)
327:18	100:16	18;215:7;219:10;	29 (1)	308:19
527.10	14 (1)	220:18;224:2,3,11;	207:6	
0	316:4	233:22;234:13;235:11;	2B (2)	5
	15 (13)	236:9;255:11,14;256:9;	212:20;320:18	
05 (3)	41:10;112:12;129:18;	279:10;292:6;304:21;	2-point (1)	5 (3)
	242 19 207 2 4 5 5	205 1 12 20 6 10	-000 1	20 11 247 10 21 4
247.1.256.1 3	243:18;297:2,4,5,5;	305:1,13:306:10;	320:1	38:11:247:10:316:4
247:1;256:1,3	243:18;297:2,4,5,5; 303:2;316:2,5;318:19;	305:1,13;306:10; 308:10:316:8.9:317:5:	320:1	38:11;247:10;316:4 5:00 (1)
09 (1)	243:18;297:2,4,5,5; 303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16	308:10;316:8,9;317:5;	320:1 3	38:11;247:10;316:4 5:00 (1) 295:5
	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9;		5:00 (1) 295:5
09 (1) 257:7	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22;	3	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12)
09 (1)	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17	3 3 (16)	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8;
09 (1) 257:7 1	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3)	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1;	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7;
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34)	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3;	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 196:22;198:12;	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2)	3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10;	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3)
09 (1) <u>257:7</u> 1 1 (34) <u>196:22;198:12;</u> <u>204:12;234:13;235:3;</u>	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4;	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2,	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19)	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19;	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2)
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22;	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21;	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22;	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7;	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22)	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1)
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17;	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21;	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5;	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17;	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20;	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12;	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1)
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7,	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3;	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9;	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17;	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3,	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7,	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2)	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9;	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1)
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7;	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6
09 (1) 257:7 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2)	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6
09 (1) 257:7 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19 1,000-bed (1)	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13 17.8 (1)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2) 124:11;158:13	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20 300 (1)	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6 6 (9) 12:13,14;207:6,22,22;
09 (1) 257:7 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19 1,000-bed (1) 6:5	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13 17.8 (1) 322:15	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2)	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6 6 (9) 12:13,14;207:6,22,22; 235:11;249:9;257:8;
09 (1) 257:7 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19 1,000-bed (1) 6:5 1.1 (2)	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13 17.8 (1) 322:15 18 (1)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2) 124:11;158:13 200,000 (1) 115:15	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20 300 (1) 320:11 30-ish (2)	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6 6 (9) 12:13,14;207:6,22,22;
09 (1) 257:7 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19 1,000-bed (1) 6:5 1.1 (2) 163:7;164:3	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13 17.8 (1) 322:15	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2) 124:11;158:13 200,000 (1)	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20 300 (1) 320:11	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6 6 (9) 12:13,14;207:6,22,22; 235:11;249:9;257:8;
09 (1) 257:7 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19 1,000-bed (1) 6:5 1.1 (2) 163:7;164:3 1/2A (1)	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13 17.8 (1) 322:15 18 (1)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2) 124:11;158:13 200,000 (1) 115:15	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20 300 (1) 320:11 30-ish (2)	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6 6 (9) 12:13,14;207:6,22,22; 235:11;249:9;257:8; 272:20
09 (1) 257:7 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19 1,000-bed (1) 6:5 1.1 (2) 163:7;164:3 1/2A (1) 43:2	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13 17.8 (1) 322:15 18 (1) 51:14	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2) 124:11;158:13 200,000 (1) 115:15 2000 (1)	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20 300 (1) 320:11 30-ish (2) 257:5,6	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6 6 (9) 12:13,14;207:6,22,22; 235:11;249:9;257:8; 272:20 6,000 (1)
09 (1) 257:7 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19 1,000-bed (1) 6:5 1.1 (2) 163:7;164:3 1/2A (1) 43:2 1:23 (1)	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13 17.8 (1) 322:15 18 (1) 51:14 183 (1)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2) 124:11;158:13 200,000 (1) 115:15 2000 (1) 7:8	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20 300 (1) 320:11 30-ish (2) 257:5,6 350 (1) 350:18	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6 6 (9) 12:13,14;207:6,22,22; 235:11;249:9;257:8; 272:20 6,000 (1) 342:7
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 1 96:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19 1,000-bed (1) 6:5 1.1 (2) 163:7;164:3 1/2A (1) 43:2 1:23 (1) 191:2	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13 17.8 (1) 322:15 18 (1) 51:14 183 (1) 207:8	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2) 124:11;158:13 200,000 (1) 115:15 2000 (1) 7:8 2001 (3)	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20 300 (1) 320:11 30-ish (2) 257:5,6 350 (1)	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6 6 (9) 12:13,14;207:6,22,22; 235:11;249:9;257:8; 272:20 6,000 (1) 342:7 6.7 (1)
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 1 96:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19 1,000-bed (1) 6:5 1.1 (2) 163:7;164:3 1/2A (1) 43:2 1:23 (1) 191:2 10 (28)	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13 17.8 (1) 322:15 18 (1) 51:14 183 (1) 207:8 18-patient (1)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2) 124:11;158:13 200,000 (1) 115:15 2000 (1) 7:8 2001 (3) 241:3,11;296:5 2002 (2)	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20 300 (1) 320:11 30-ish (2) 257:5,6 350 (1) 350:18 37 (1)	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6 (9) 12:13,14;207:6,22,22; 235:11;249:9;257:8; 272:20 6,000 (1) 342:7 6.7 (1) 209:6 60 (2)
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19 1,000-bed (1) 6:5 1.1 (2) 163:7;164:3 1/2A (1) 43:2 1:23 (1) 191:2 10 (28) 12:14;25:2,4;29:6;	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13 17.8 (1) 322:15 18 (1) 51:14 183 (1) 207:8 18-patient (1) 50:1	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2) 124:11;158:13 200,000 (1) 115:15 2000 (1) 7:8 2001 (3) 241:3,11;296:5	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20 300 (1) 320:11 30-ish (2) 257:5,6 350 (1) 350:18 37 (1)	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6 (9) 12:13,14;207:6,22,22; 235:11;249:9;257:8; 272:20 6,000 (1) 342:7 6.7 (1) 209:6 60 (2) 186:14;235:9
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19 1,000-bed (1) 6:5 1.1 (2) 163:7;164:3 1/2A (1) 43:2 1:23 (1) 191:2 10 (28) 12:14;25:2,4;29:6; 42:4;50:15;72:4;75:15;	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13 17.8 (1) 322:15 18 (1) 51:14 183 (1) 207:8 18-patient (1) 50:1 18-year-old (2) 140:4;142:13	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2) 124:11;158:13 200,000 (1) 115:15 2000 (1) 7:8 2001 (3) 241:3,11;296:5 2002 (2) 249:21;253:7 2004 (3)	3 3 (16) 36:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20 300 (1) 320:11 30-ish (2) 257:5,6 350 (1) 350:18 37 (1) 291:12	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6 (9) 12:13,14;207:6,22,22; 235:11;249:9;257:8; 272:20 6,000 (1) 342:7 6.7 (1) 209:6 60 (2) 186:14;235:9 600 (1)
09 (1) 257:7 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19 1,000-bed (1) 6:5 1.1 (2) 163:7;164:3 1/2A (1) 43:2 1:23 (1) 191:2 10 (28) 12:14;25:2,4;29:6; 42:4;50:15;72:4;75:15; 84:16;116:9;122:6;	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13 17.8 (1) 322:15 18 (1) 51:14 183 (1) 207:8 18-patient (1) 50:1 18-year-old (2)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2) 124:11;158:13 200,000 (1) 115:15 2000 (1) 7:8 2001 (3) 241:3,11;296:5 2002 (2) 249:21;253:7 2004 (3) 143:1;231:18;253:6	3 3 (16) 3 6:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20 300 (1) 320:11 30-ish (2) 257:5,6 350 (1) 350:18 37 (1) 291:12 4	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6 (9) 12:13,14;207:6,22,22; 235:11;249:9;257:8; 272:20 6,000 (1) 342:7 6.7 (1) 209:6 60 (2) 186:14;235:9
09 (1) 257:7 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19 1,000-bed (1) 6:5 1.1 (2) 163:7;164:3 1/2A (1) 43:2 1:23 (1) 191:2 10 (28) 12:14;25:2,4;29:6; 42:4;50:15;72:4;75:15; 84:16;116:9;122:6; 123:4;139:19;154:6,21;	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13 17.8 (1) 322:15 18 (1) 51:14 183 (1) 207:8 18-patient (1) 50:1 18-year-old (2) 140:4;142:13 1950s (1)	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2) 124:11;158:13 200,000 (1) 115:15 2000 (1) 7:8 2001 (3) 241:3,11;296:5 2002 (2) 249:21;253:7 2004 (3)	3 3 (16) 3 6:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20 300 (1) 320:11 30-ish (2) 257:5,6 350 (1) 350:18 37 (1) 291:12 4	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6 (9) 12:13,14;207:6,22,22; 235:11;249:9;257:8; 272:20 6,000 (1) 342:7 6.7 (1) 209:6 60 (2) 186:14;235:9 600 (1) 6:7 62 (2)
09 (1) 257:7 1 1 (34) 196:22;198:12; 204:12;234:13;235:3; 236:8;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; 253:14;255:11;262:22; 263:4;272:20;278:17; 279:10,12,16;280:9,17; 292:5;298:11;320:4,7, 13;322:14;324:12,16,18 1,000 (2) 44:20;322:19 1,000-bed (1) 6:5 1.1 (2) 163:7;164:3 1/2A (1) 43:2 1:23 (1) 191:2 10 (28) 12:14;25:2,4;29:6; 42:4;50:15;72:4;75:15; 84:16;116:9;122:6;	303:2;316:2,5;318:19; 346:16 15,000 (1) 127:21 150 (1) 36:8 1500 (1) 256:10 15-ish-millimeter (1) 254:5 15-minute (1) 293:1 16 (3) 4:20;132:1;307:3 16-week (1) 100:16 17 (1) 255:13 17.8 (1) 322:15 18 (1) 51:14 183 (1) 207:8 18-patient (1) 50:1 18-year-old (2) 140:4;142:13 1950s (1) 232:15	308:10;316:8,9;317:5; 318:11;320:6,7;321:9; 322:5,13;330:22; 342:22;343:17;351:17 2,000 (3) 320:5,8;322:18 2.2 (2) 168:14,17 20 (19) 26:12;32:9;33:19,21; 35:2;49:15;67:5,7; 68:18;72:2;78:21; 112:13;115:7;139:20; 141:21;294:18;308:3; 322:16;346:17 20,000 (2) 45:10;72:5 200 (2) 124:11;158:13 200,000 (1) 115:15 2000 (1) 7:8 2001 (3) 241:3,11;296:5 2002 (2) 249:21;253:7 2004 (3) 143:1;231:18;253:6 2005 (2)	3 3 (16) 3 6:15;166:21;167:1; 208:15;212:17;224:3; 236:9,11;279:10; 304:21;305:2;307:4; 318:14;322:6;344:19; 351:18 30 (22) 26:12;29:1;37:5; 52:12;72:3;115:12; 129:17;155:6;158:9; 176:10,11;177:9;209:3, 5,10,13;228:16;254:9; 255:10;316:5;326:7; 349:20 300 (1) 320:11 30-ish (2) 257:5,6 350 (1) 350:18 37 (1) 291:12 4	5:00 (1) 295:5 50 (12) 29:14;68:16;72:8; 73:4;102:9,12;129:7; 209:4,6,9,13;293:17 50,000 (3) 46:10;72:4;84:15 500,000 (2) 32:19;129:6 55 (1) 147:8 5th (1) 116:9 6 6 (9) 12:13,14;207:6,22,22; 235:11;249:9;257:8; 272:20 6,000 (1) 342:7 6.7 (1) 209:6 60 (2) 186:14;235:9 600 (1) 6:7

June	24,	2014
oune	,	

		liciupies		
63 (2)	900 (1)	accelerate (2)	22;144:18;196:10	172:1;176:7;179:3;
207:18;318:16	320:6	186:15;241:19	actions (3)	180:10;184:2;186:20;
65 (1)	90s (2)	accept (2)	164:16;215:11;218:16	192:16;193:3;203:14;
125:15	31:22;117:5	83:9;312:19	activate (10)	204:19;205:5;208:12;
65,000 (1)	91 (1)	accepted (1)	27:9,13;123:8;127:3;	209:12;211:17;223:6,17,
342:5	207:9	99:9	128:3;157:4,5;159:20,	21;224:18;225:21;
67 (1)	92 (1)	access (3)	20;277:16	229:17;233:1,4;239:8,
317:12	207:8	15:6;239:14;341:21	activated (7)	21;242:18;243:14,22;
517.12	97 (1)	accessible (1)	121:8;127:1;161:18;	244:1;247:6;248:17;
7				
/	36:16	97:1	163:13;277:18;287:3;	249:16;250:9;257:9;
	1	accident (1)	300:12	262:3,5;264:4;270:12;
7 (10)	Α	42:1	activates (2)	273:5,10;274:11;
154:7;155:5,21;		accomplish (1)	18:12;163:4	277:16;279:10;281:7;
156:22;157:4;166:14,	AA25 (1)	352:7	activating (3)	282:11;289:18;296:20;
21;167:1;257:8;289:7	331:11	accomplished (1)	125:21;277:15;278:19	299:7,8,10;300:10,20;
70 (11)	AAV (1)	253:12	activation (9)	301:20;305:22;306:19;
14:6;28:3,15,16;29:1;	53:20	according (3)	77:16;114:4;121:7;	307:5,22;308:20,22;
33:11;138:13;139:5;	abdominal (1)	139:18;316:11;320:16	127:8,19;128:9,14;	310:5;311:2;313:1;
158:1;176:16;278:16	235:18	account (1)	274:7;301:3	314:3,4,11;315:18;
700 (1)	Aberdeen (1)	29:14	activations (5)	316:1;319:22;321:17;
137:18	203:5	accounts (1)	300:21;301:4,6,20;	322:11;323:11;324:8;
72 (1)	aberrant (1)	139:5	331:15	325:3;327:14;329:5,13,
273:1	71:8	accumulated (1)	active (16)	18;331:4,13;333:2;
77 (1)	ability (2)	156:15	31:14;142:3;196:7;	334:4,8;335:5;337:9;
207:5	34:3;124:17	accumulation (2)	199:4;210:6,7;218:21;	341:21;343:1;346:7;
207.3		311:7;334:19		350:7
8	able (29)		246:13;254:9;256:4,12;	
ð	6:20;23:11;52:20;	accurately (1)	258:5,8;266:21;287:18;	acute (17)
	61:5;103:14;120:3;	157:17	309:22	76:6;78:7;135:6,12;
8 (3)	123:5;126:18;137:13;	ACE (1)	actively (2)	256:15;257:2;274:3;
208:8;235:12;291:12	138:15;143:8;148:2,13;	198:10	29:19;220:19	283:14,14;299:6,13;
8:35 (1)	150:4;152:20;153:4;	acetyl (1)	activities (1)	304:8;305:8;306:1,2;
4:2	154:20;159:10;175:9;	166:12	195:14	309:18;319:15
80 (2)	202:8,11,20;203:8;	acetylcholine (5)	activity (6)	adaptive (1)
97:9;142:2	272:2;318:2;319:16;	138:18;143:18,19;	91:6,13,17;142:7,15;	308:10
81 (3)	342:17;345:6;352:4	158:21;275:11	201:10	add (10)
	abluminal (1)			
197:18;200:2;217:19		achieve (3)	acts (1)	34:21;158:14;159:12,
82 (17)	291:13	119:13;209:3;210:6	30:9	13,15,16;161:7;191:9;
197:2,3,10,18;198:2;	abluminally (2)	acids (4)	ACTTION (9)	271:8;336:1
199:4,20;200:9;201:22,	291:5,9	139:11,19,20;141:21	192:7,21;227:10;	added (2)
22;203:9,17;204:2;	abnormal (1)	acknowledge (2)	334:2,10;350:9;352:21;	133:16;298:18
217:19;218:4,13,18	32:7	17:20;348:22	353:13,15	Addiction (1)
82-receptor (1)	abolished (2)	acquire (2)	actual (3)	326:4
197:7	204:3;218:18	328:22,22	71:1;96:2;270:15	adding (4)
83 (2)	above (4)	acquired (3)	actually (168)	145:13;158:20;159:5,
126:10;207:10	93:12,22;94:7;148:15	296:1;314:18;329:2	5:14;6:14;7:17;9:9,12;	8
		acquires (1)	10:12;12:1;15:8,15;	addition (2)
85 (2)	absence (1)			
97:9;160:18	138:13	328:17	17:6;19:1;20:11;24:2;	116:17;152:22
86 (2)	absolute (2)	across (14)	27:1,2,7,11;28:5;30:21;	additional (2)
207:10;281:7	72:5;296:22	9:18;143:16;185:6;	31:4;32:1;34:8,15;37:8,	132:9;133:17
	absolutely (7)	208:14;209:8;237:22;	15;38:20;40:17,22;	additionally (1)
9	100:7;109:1;129:1;	238:11;239:4;244:20;	42:12;44:7;46:8,9;	66:22
	134:22;293:11;313:11;	245:20;250:13;259:14,	47:11;49:8;51:12,14;	address (3)
9 (7)	316:4	16;260:20	53:4;56:9,14;57:5,6;	4:7;299:14;342:17
154:6;155:5,22;	absorption (1)	act (11)	59:10;63:2;64:7;66:7;	addressed (3)
166:15;167:1;274:6;	9:18	150:9,13,14;164:19;	67:6;68:7;70:20,21;	182:6;265:14;350:13
289:8	abstracts (1)	200:21;283:22;284:6,6;	72:6,11,19;73:12;76:22;	adds (1)
		285:13;289:9;327:1	78:18;80:12;83:15,19;	274:21
9/alpha (4)	316:19			
154:21;156:1,18;	AC2 (1)	acted (1)	84:11;85:17;86:5,21;	adherence (1)
157:6	199:3	329:12	87:11;89:3,8,12;90:3,14;	226:10
90 (7)	academic (1)	acting (1)	91:19;98:14;99:17;	adjourned (1)
28:1;29:7;115:13;	345:22	135:16	100:15;110:14;133:10;	353:19
		135:16 action (6)	100:15;110:14;133:10; 135:11,16,19;152:14;	Adjournment (1)
28:1;29:7;115:13;	345:22			

Transformative Strategi	
adjust (1) 57:7	affecting 96:6;2
adjusting (2) 107:8,14	affects (1 57:9
adjustment (1)	afferent
94:7 administering (1)	78:4;8 19,20;3
109:11	91:15;
administration (10) 235:10;236:22;249:2;	117:16 afferents
303:18;306:4;316:11;	69:15;
317:10,10,11,14	90:13;
administrations (1) 318:12	affiliated 227:7
admissible (1)	affinity (
342:7 admit (1)	145:22 Africa (2
179:4	207:7;
adopted (1)	afternoo
350:18 adrenal (2)	5:13;1 295:17
172:5,6	afternoo
adrenalmedullin (1)	332:4,
313:19 adrenomedullin (1)	after-sci 60:3
287:14	afterwar
adult (5)	75:2
8:3,9;83:7,9;186:8 advance (2)	again (6 9 6:17;12
268:16;270:18	15:19;
advanced (5)	31:8;40
304:18,20;305:12;	45:4;4
308:9;319:2 advancing (1)	56:6;5 62:22;6
226:20	114:19
advantage (7)	12;142
134:2;146:10;313:3, 15,21;321:6;352:20	179:20 184:14
advantages (1)	203:2;
133:18	227:14
advent (1) 352:15	240:13 257:21
adverse (17)	259:7;
47:4;181:12;197:12;	275:12
204:18;207:12,14; 212:1;213:2;215:3;	281:1; 294:10
306:14;307:16,20;	302:13
308:3;321:19;322:2,3,14	21,21;
advice (2) 5:11;272:4	351:5, against (
advisory (2)	60:14;
95:19;195:12	183:13
advocacy (1) 353:3	246:13 age (9)
advocating (1)	100:1;
286:18 A abisabar (2)	3;207:
Aebischer (2) 34:19;70:5	351:22 agencies
affect (2)	350:19
51:3;291:4	agency (
affected (9) 11:2;160:17,20,22;	42:1;3 agent (4)
161:3,4;162:3,4;308:18	159:14
	1

	liciupies
affecting (2)	276:13
96:6;218:15	
	agents (8)
affects (1)	252:8;272:14;284:3;
57:9	288:6;290:13,19;
afferent (14)	292:17;295:15
78:4;84:7;87:15;88:3,	ages (1)
19,20;89:22;90:13,16;	317:17
91:15;92:11;98:20;	agglutinin (1)
117:16;135:17	87:16
afferents (6)	aggregate (1)
69:15;79:15;87:2,11;	32:20
90:13;179:7	aggregates (1)
affiliated (1)	32:15
227:7	aggressive (4)
affinity (2)	30:22;68:7,11;248:4
145:22;162:14	
	ago (28)
Africa (2)	7:6,16;18:1;19:3,7;
207:7;222:6	25:2;31:14;32:9;34:19;
afternoon (6)	35:20;42:13;49:2;61:22;
5:13;193:17;267:19;	70:18;119:21;122:7;
295:17;332:1;351:16	138:14;139:13;147:8;
afternoon's (2)	171:22;196:5;201:7;
332:4,8	209:12;230:2;287:8;
after-science (1)	295:11;310:4;326:12
60:3	agonist (2)
afterwards (1)	179:8,18
75:2	agonists (2)
again (69)	179:6,21
6:17;12:19;14:10;	agree (9)
15:19;23:1,9;30:16;	80:9;110:11;174:14;
31:8;40:3;41:16;44:17;	175:8;177:14;201:14;
45:4;46:3;48:11,14;	268:3,4;344:13
56:6;58:2,21;60:21;	agreed (3)
62:22;67:22;73:11;84:7;	228:7;229:1;281:10
114:19;122:12;126:8,	agrees (1)
12;142:13;155:11;	79:1
179:20;180:19;182:4;	ahead (5)
184:14;186:4;202:20;	44:14;52:9;54:22;
203:2;214:17;226:19;	83:18;210:16
227:14;230:4;239:6;	aid (1)
	186:16
240:13;246:5,10;250:8;	
257:21;258:11,17;	air (1)
259:7;264:20;271:18;	16:6
275:12;276:12;279:15;	AITC (1)
281:1;282:15;291:4;	158:21
294:10,21;296:15;	alarm (1)
302:13;304:16;322:21,	26:7
21,21;337:21;346:9;	alarmed (1)
351:5,8	204:10
against (10)	Albert (1)
60:14;86:6,9;91:7;	295:9
183:13;209:17;210:6;	alcohol (1)
246:13;266:15;315:14	177:4
age (9)	ALD-334 (1)
100:1;115:4,6;141:2,	316:22
3;207:17,20;335:5;	Alex (2)
351:22	90:4;104:5
agencies (1)	algorithm (1)
350:19	206:10
agency (3)	aligned (1)
42:1;310:16;323:22	307:5
agent (4)	alive (4)
159:14;169:2;170:22;	36:6;39:9;67:19;73:5

all-alpha (1) 154:22 Allan (19) 5:18;22:14;23:1;75:4, 5,8;104:16;110:21; 137:8:152:14:171:20: 180:17;188:18;192:14; 222:13:268:22:293:5; 332:10;338:13 Allan's (1) 23:9 allergic (1) 212:5 allocate (1) 220:10 allocated (3) 215:6;226:8,12 allocation (1) 203:2 allodynia (29) 115:16:116:6:118:10; 119:6:122:18:123:1: 126:17;130:20;327:20, 22;328:1,8,9;329:1,2; 330:1,7,8,12,14,16,19, 22;331:2,5,10,13,15; 338:15 allodynias (1) 116:14 allodynic (2) 118:13,16 allogeneic (3) 61:2.3:73:18 allograph (1) 73:17 allow (2) 65:19:122:8 allowed (3) 188:1;206:19;255:6 allowing (3) 9:21:50:6:231:17 allows (2) 33:3;59:10 almost (18) 8:13;13:15;46:6; 48:19;58:10;59:7;72:12; 88:18;158:16;159:9; 167:21;188:11;189:17; 268:1;289:1;320:11; 323:3;324:14 alone (3) 46:16;74:18;304:16 along (11)16:7,7;18:7;40:4; 67:15;81:16;140:3; 164:17;167:8;194:19; 226:22 alpha (29) 120:5,14;138:20; 154:6,6,7,9,21;155:5,5,5, 21,22,22;156:1,18,22; 157:4,6;166:14,15,15, 21,21,22;167:1,1,1;

June 24, 2014

172:10

alpha-1(2)18:12;30:11 alpha-2 (1) 18:11 ALS (54) 6:16;23:3;25:11; 26:19,21;27:18,22;28:5, 19,22;29:9,13,18,22; 30:4,18:31:6:35:7,22; 36:15,20,21;40:19;41:5, 6,10,16,18;43:7;50:11, 13;52:12;56:19,20;57:2; 60:2;65:5,16;66:5,12,16; 67:22;68:1,2;70:18,21; 73:15;74:12,16;174:7, 20;185:18;186:7;187:8 alternatively (1) 262:9 although (31) 44:8;48:6;146:5; 155:1;161:9;173:10; 174:3;197:11;200:17; 204:20;210:12;213:12; 226:3;227:1;237:17; 239:8;240:17;241:6; 245:3;246:11;247:7,11; 251:16;252:19;258:11; 263:10;300:6,7;303:8; 317:8,15 altitude (1) 196:17 altogether (1) 321:8 ALTs (1) 312:2 Alun (1) 241:6 always (15) 21:19,21:25:3,10; 53:5;57:18;74:6,7; 100:7:149:15:238:22; 281:12;283:19;293:6; 310:6 Alzheimer's (2) 29:4,18 ambitious (1) 51:18 America (2) 57:18;208:4 American (1) 261:16 Amgen (5) 19:6;20:18;315:20; 319:2;325:18 amino (4) 139:10,19,20;141:21 among (4) 167:13;191:16; 249:20;299:16 amount (10) 26:15;45:17;51:10; 80:7;120:22;239:5;

Min-U-Script®

218:16

196:21

animal (37)

angiotensin-(1)

37:6;38:21;39:6;

40:14;43:14;68:5;78:9;

199:11,13:200:1,4,21;

245:10;266:9;277:9;

286:5 amounts (1)

185:4

287:21

amylin (2)

analgesia (3)

analgesic (9)

analgesics (1)

analogy (2)

analyses (1)

analysis (6)

analyzed (2)

analyzing (2)

Anand (1)

Anand's (1)

anathema (1)

anatomist (1)

anesthesiologist (1)

88:9 and/or (2)

Anders (2)

Andrew (20)

Andrew's (3)

Anesthesia (1)

Angeles (3)

Angelo (1)

angina (2)

angiotensin (14)

analogize (1)

AMP (1)

Min-U-Script®

mynn (2)	40.14,45.14,08.5,78.9,	antibouy (21)
287:14;313:19	82:17;85:15;91:5,14,21,	86:6,9;91:7;1
nalgesia (3)	22;94:1;96:12,14;98:3;	125:15;248:1
227:6;262:2;326:3	99:21;100:2;105:3,4;	287:6;288:4;
nalgesic (9)	112:18;130:22;152:12;	291:16,17;295
94:2;117:3,20;172:9;	167:22;179:9,9;189:2,	19;318:8;327:
229:14,20;239:21;	22;198:1;202:1;216:1;	330:5;336:17
254:1;262:1		,
	217:10;268:1;323:16;	anti-CGRP (4)
nalgesics (1)	344:6,7	293:11;295:1
200:22	animals (73)	325:20
nalogize (1)	36:5;40:7;43:11;47:9,	anticipate (1)
293:10	12;56:11,13;57:9;67:19;	265:20
nalogy (2)	74:1;92:10,17,22,22;	anticipated (3)
21:5;63:2	93:3,7,7,9,15,22;94:2;	266:3,5;267:
nalyses (1)	98:14;99:2,5,6,11,13,18;	anticonvulsant
331:3	100:1,6,13;107:19;	79:22;208:7
nalysis (6)	111:15;116:13;118:5,10,	anticonvulsant
51:13;212:9;258:4;	14;119:2,4;120:15;	79:10,19
350:5,6,10	121:10;129:20;130:9,15,	anti-epileptic (
nalyzed (2)	19;131:19;146:9;	346:1
24:15;127:19	172:12;187:12,14,20;	antigens (1)
nalyzing (2)	201:18,19;216:10;	116:20
47:10;51:10	218:18;234:19;277:7;	antihelminthic
nand (1)	311:15;320:12;328:2,3,	121:9
199:15	7;329:11;331:10,12,13;	anti-inflamma
anand's (1)	342:4;347:15;350:4,5,8,	157:5
199:7	10;351:12	antimigraine (
nathema (1)	announced (2)	272:14
339:21	241:12;343:18	anti-NGF (10)
natomist (1)	annoying (1)	227:4;228:10
88:9	168:3	229:13,22;23
nd/or (2)	answered (2)	246:7;248:12
182:21;349:15	139:12;332:2	anti-nociceptiv
anders (2)	antagonism (1)	156:2;172:9
22:8;37:10	344:1	antiretroviral
andrew (20)	antagonist (13)	202:2
104:17;134:16;	126:21;127:7;152:1;	anti-sense (1)
173:13;175:17;194:6,7,	168:16;197:7;199:20;	13:14
13,21;195:1;209:20;	203:9;221:10;296:4;	anxiety (3)
214:15;219:1;228:3;	308:19;309:21;331:11;	211:11;217:2
230:2;260:8,10;332:21;	336:15	anymore (8)
337:19;340:20;344:15	antagonistic (1)	7:18,20;56:7
andrew's (3)	292:17	58:13;180:7;
228:1;238:10;239:8	antagonists (18)	335:7
nesthesia (1)	126:22;128:22;132:7;	apart (1)
326:3	197:1;200:9,21;201:22;	335:13
nesthesiologist (1)	202:1;203:18;308:17;	apologize (1)
97:14	309:5,13,15;325:13,14;	134:13
ngeles (3)	331:16;341:5;343:5	apoptosis (1)
6:6;39:14;42:3	antagonize (1)	84:20
ngelo (1)	129:8	apparatus (1)
52:18	anterograde (1)	166:2
ngina (2)	276:10	apparent (1)
323:18,20	antibodies (33)	195:9
ngiotensin (14)	227:4;228:16;229:22;	apparently (2)
194:15;195:3;196:7,	242:4;246:4,7;249:15;	243:9;266:19
11,22;198:2,8,19;	252:15;270:17;275:20;	appear (3)
Iin-U-Script®	1	A Matter of 1 (301) 890-4
		· · · · ·

Therapies	
276:2;279:14,17;284:2;	199:12;204:19;208:21
286:16;287:5,6,9,15;	appeared (1)
296:16;311:16;313:3,	232:21
16;314:9,22;315:13,19;	appearing (1)
316:17;318:20,22;	289:11
319:4;325:17,20	appears (4)
antibody (21)	199:3;200:10;204:22;
86:6,9;91:7;116:21;	288:10
125:15;248:12;249:20;	Applause (10)
287:6;288:4;289:1;	66:13;104:15;133:6;
291:16,17;295:22;315:4,	136:8;164:11;214:14;
19;318:8;327:20;328:4;	226:17;264:18;292:19;
330:5;336:17;337:13	325:22
anti-CGRP (4)	application (1)
293:11;295:15,22;	289:3
325:20	
	applications (5)
anticipate (1)	138:5,8;151:13,19;
265:20	157:11
anticipated (3)	applied (3)
266:3,5;267:2	110:14;344:7,8
anticonvulsant (2)	applies (2)
79:22;208:7	236:13;331:1
anticonvulsants (2)	apply (3)
79:10,19	160:15;162:1;277:1
anti-epileptic (1)	appointment (1)
346:1	54:14
antigens (1)	appreciable (1)
116:20	226:8
antihelminthic (1)	appreciate (4)
121:9	65:2;194:9;261:20;
anti-inflammatory (1)	327:17
157:5	appreciated (1)
	appreciated (1)
antimigraine (1)	16:11
antimigraine (1) 272:14	16:11 approach (14)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10)	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13;
antimigraine (1) 272:14	16:11 approach (14)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15;	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4;
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11;	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2;
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2)	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1,
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2)	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1)	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3)	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13;
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8)	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approval (2)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1;	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approval (2) 174:4;310:7
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19;	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approval (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approval (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19;	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approval (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1)	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approval (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15 approved (6)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1) 335:13	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approval (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15 approved (6) 121:9;142:20,22;
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1) 335:13 apologize (1)	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approvel (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15 approved (6) 121:9;142:20,22; 152:22;305:3;307:9
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1) 335:13 apologize (1) 134:13	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approvel (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15 approved (6) 121:9;142:20,22; 152:22;305:3;307:9 approves (1)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1) 335:13 apologize (1) 134:13 apoptosis (1)	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approvel (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15 approved (6) 121:9;142:20,22; 152:22;305:3;307:9 approves (1) 51:2
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1) 335:13 apologize (1) 134:13	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approvel (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15 approved (6) 121:9;142:20,22; 152:22;305:3;307:9 approves (1)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1) 335:13 apologize (1) 134:13 apoptosis (1) 84:20	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approval (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15 approved (6) 121:9;142:20,22; 152:22;305:3;307:9 approves (1) 51:2 April (1)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1) 335:13 apologize (1) 134:13 apoptosis (1) 84:20 apparatus (1)	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approvel (2) 174:4;310:7 approved (6) 121:9;142:20,22; 152:22;305:3;307:9 approves (1) 51:2 April (1) 249:21
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1) 335:13 apologize (1) 134:13 apoptosis (1) 84:20 apparatus (1) 166:2	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approval (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15 approves (1) 51:2 April (1) 249:21 aquarium (1)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1) 335:13 apologize (1) 134:13 apoptosis (1) 84:20 apparatus (1) 166:2 apparent (1)	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approval (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15 approves (1) 51:2 Appril (1) 249:21 aquarium (1) 165:15
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1) 335:13 apologize (1) 134:13 apoptosis (1) 84:20 apparatus (1) 166:2 apparent (1) 195:9	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approval (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15 approved (6) 121:9;142:20,22; 152:22;305:3;307:9 approves (1) 51:2 April (1) 249:21 aquarium (1) 165:15 aqueduct (1)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1) 335:13 apologize (1) 134:13 apoptosis (1) 84:20 apparatus (1) 166:2 apparent (1) 195:9	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approval (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15 approves (1) 51:2 Appril (1) 249:21 aquarium (1) 165:15
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1) 335:13 apologize (1) 134:13 apoptosis (1) 84:20 apparatus (1) 166:2 apparent (1) 195:9 apparently (2)	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approvel (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15 approves (1) 51:2 April (1) 249:21 aquarium (1) 165:15 aqueduct (1) 19:20
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1) 335:13 apologize (1) 134:13 apoptosis (1) 84:20 apparentus (1) 166:2 apparent (1) 195:9 apparently (2) 243:9;266:19	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approval (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15 approves (1) 51:2 April (1) 249:21 aquarium (1) 165:15 aqueduct (1) 19:20 arbitrary (1)
antimigraine (1) 272:14 anti-NGF (10) 227:4;228:10,15; 229:13,22;237:5,11; 246:7;248:12;270:17 anti-nociceptive (2) 156:2;172:9 antiretroviral (1) 202:2 anti-sense (1) 13:14 anxiety (3) 211:11;217:2,2 anymore (8) 7:18,20;56:7;57:1; 58:13;180:7;327:19; 335:7 apart (1) 335:13 apologize (1) 134:13 apoptosis (1) 84:20 apparatus (1) 166:2 apparent (1) 195:9 apparently (2)	16:11 approach (14) 24:9;53:21;73:13; 80:5,10,14;81:15;103:4; 116:8;117:9;118:2; 119:8;149:21;172:3 approaches (6) 112:17;136:12;170:1, 4;174:13;238:2 approaching (1) 158:6 appropriate (6) 100:12;124:13; 181:13;189:1,2;249:20 approvel (2) 174:4;310:7 approve (1) 173:15 approves (1) 51:2 April (1) 249:21 aquarium (1) 165:15 aqueduct (1) 19:20

June	24,	2014

area (23) 4:13;9:20;10:3;17:11; 22:10;38:16;48:8;51:21; 64:8;71:3;193:5,5,21; 201:14;218:21;237:8; 264:16;311:9;350:14, 22;352:6,8,16 areas (14) 17:10;24:3;35:16; 44:12:53:14:64:11; 193:14,15,18,21;266:20; 274:22;301:4;314:17 argue (3) 180:8,16;343:12 argument (3) 177:19;244:15,19 arise (2) 168:18;265:9 arising (1) 170:10 arm (7) 28:9,9;31:12;49:14; 207:10,11;273:19 Arnon (1) 241:5 aroma (1) 248:22 around (30) 24:15;26:12;33:16; 36:4:39:20:40:1.3:43:3: 56:22;70:22;72:2,5; 141:6:183:22:201:8: 207:18,22;211:19; 231:1;234:18;239:14; 242:17;245:2;269:13; 274:8;275:5;289:22; 320:17;321:9;342:6 arrhythmia (2) 10:18.18 ARRIVE (2) 202:19;350:16 arrived (1) 7:8 artery (6) 279:18,19,21;280:1; 284:16;294:9 arthritis (2) 243:4;269:8 arthropathy (1) 266:4 artificially (2) 186:17;296:21 ASC12 (1) 330:19 asleep (1) 140:21 aspect (8) 21:19;46:22;274:17; 275:2;289:20;290:3,16; 293:15 aspects (5) 44:8;46:21;106:11; 214:10;296:13

(4) amounts - aspects

aspirin (2)	196:
243:11,19 assay (8)	athletic 196:
48:13;140:2,5;142:6;	Atlanti
160:1;253:5;262:20;	209:8
263:12 assaying (1)	ATP (1 158:2
160:5	atroph
assays (3)	12:3;
40:12;129:11;275:21 assemble (1)	attache 56:12
153:9	attack
assembled (1)	157:2
153:18 assembly (1)	283:1 attacke
153:16	156:
assess (1)	attacki
48:8 assessment (2)	35:8 attacks
341:18;342:21	274:3
asset (2)	303:
127:4;267:22 assigned (1)	attemp 193:
207:8	attende
assigning (1)	298:
345:14 assistance (1)	attentio 7:14;
227:9	164:1
associated (11)	318:4
29:15;76:9;79:12; 105:9;109:12;114:8;	attenua 223:
174:9;196:14;198:15;	attenua
249:1;273:2	223:
association (2) 66:12;204:22	attract 224:2
assume (5)	attract
103:2;108:13;315:10;	68:20
333:17;340:22 assumes (1)	attribu 230:2
164:18	321:1
assuming (2)	attribu
31:5;73:9 assumption (1)	329:0 audien
230:16	152:4
astonish (1)	332:3
243:7 astonished (1)	augme 263:1
243:8	August
astonishingly (1)	207:4
343:5 astrocyte (4)	aura (4 273:
22:19;24:11;60:8;	Austra
97:11	121:
astrocytes (18) 24:13,16,17,19;25:2,9,	Austra 207:1
12;30:21;31:2,4,7;	author
33:22;39:11;41:4;42:15;	14:5
54:17;68:4;108:20 astronomical (1)	author 248:3
273:5	author
as-yet-unpublished (1) 344:15	150:
344:15 AT1 (2)	author 14:6;
- (-)	,

196:11;199:5	authorship (1)
hletics (1)	14:4
196:18	auto (1)
tlantic (1)	327:2
209:8	autologous (8)
TP (1)	9:21;59:10,22;60:9,
158:21	14,16,18;64:21
rophy (2)	autologously (1)
12:3;186:2	60:3
tached (2)	autonomic (2)
56:12;90:11	166:11,19
tack (7)	autoreceptors (1)
157:2;274:20;282:19;	329:12
283:15;300:1,14;301:21	available (16)
tacked (1)	115:19;177:11;
156:12	192:13;206:21;208:8;
tacking (1)	222:3,4,7;225:12;
35:8	245:11;280:2;285:9;
tacks (6)	287:7;306:12;316:15;
274:3;275:1;297:9;	318:20
303:16,22;304:1	Avalos (1)
tempts (1)	38:1
193:19	Avatar (1)
tended (1)	11:19
298:1	average (7)
tention (8)	27:1;28:7;121:18;
7:14;82:19;98:5;	207:17,21;221:4;254:6
164:10;214:12;264:17;	aversive (1)
318:4;325:21	216:22
tenuate (1)	avoid (3)
223:7	123:21;300:4;319:6
tenuated (1)	avoidance (2)
223:10	216:9,11
tract (1)	avoiding (1)
224:22	44:17
tractive (3)	avulsion (1)
68:20;123:16;152:11	199:19
tribute (5)	aware (9)
230:22;309:4,6;	196:19;267:16;280:5;
321:19,21	299:2;316:19;329:20;
tributes (1)	330:3;331:8;348:3
329:6	awareness (1)
idience (4)	204:16
152:4;168:11;191:14;	away (11)
332:3	42:20;44:5;59:9;
igment (1)	189:10;193:4,10;
263:12	202:15;277:7;319:8;
ugust (1)	320:1;345:11
207:4	awful (1)
ura (4)	205:12
273:14,17,18;278:8	awkward (1)
ustralia (1)	251:17
121:5	axial (1)
ustralian (2)	107:2
207:1,3	axis (1)
207.1,5 ithor (1)	92:17
14:5	axon (3)
14:5 ithored (1)	23:5;120:7,10
248:3	axonal (1)
248:5 ithority (1)	116:19
150:17	
	axons (4)
14:6:212:20:226:21	40:10;85:18;113:22;
14:6;213:20;236:21	274:14

В	barriers (1) 220:17 Baruli (1)
back (71) 6:2;8:10,11;9:16; 11:22;19:18;21:7;22:7; 24:5;48:22;54:11;60:6; 61:20;63:10,19;67:10, 22;74:7;83:15;100:3,9; 102:11;114:12;117:5,12, 15;141:5,7,8;146:17; 164:19;175:3,15; 177:20;185:19;188:1,	198:14 BASBAUM (56) 69:4;75:5,8,9;95:3,10; 105:10,18;107:21; 108:16;109:1,8,15; 110:7,11,14;111:5,8; 112:9,11;133:8;134:3,6, 13;135:15;136:4,10; 152:14;164:12;165:9; 166:9;167:19;168:9;
15;214:17;228:13; 231:3;232:14;233:8; 240:12,20;252:3;253:5; 255:14;256:10;259:4; 260:1,18;266:2;271:21; 277:10;293:2;294:17, 19;302:12,13;306:11; 309:20;327:1;330:15; 337:18;338:11,20; 343:15;347:10;348:12,	169:5;171:22;173:12; 175:17,19;177:14; 178:21;179:3;183:21; 184:18,22;190:5,8; 220:22;221:19,22; 222:5;269:1;270:3,7,10; 271:7;293:6 base (1) 248:5 baseball (1) 26:22
14,17 back- (1) 69:22 background (1)	based (10) 4:21;13:19;51:4; 52:10;170:20;210:5;
273:21 backing (1) 193:4 BACKONJA (7) 180:14;183:12,17,19;	241:4;307:9;329:6; 348:20 baseline (3) 207:21;208:10;254:5 basic (3)
329:18;331:6;337:18 back-up (1) 308:6 baclofen (3) 179:1,7;180:12	333:14;337:2,22 Basically (22) 45:21;48:20;49:6; 79:21;111:19;161:21; 210:3,8;214:1;233:13;
Bacteria (1) 42:18 bad (5) 36:14;63:2;173:11;	299:21;302:22;303:6; 314:2;317:4;323:19; 327:20;328:14;330:10; 335:13;337:6;345:12 basis (4)
177:21;334:1 balance (3) 65:14;163:15;227:16 Baldomero (1) 137:7	145:9;156:9;206:11; 297:12 batting (1) 27:1
ballpark (1) 202:8 balls (1)	beacon (1) 286:2 bear (2) 97:15;135:9
289:17 bank (1) 43:16 banks (1)	bearing (1) 197:21 beating (3)
314:19 Baraban (1) 81:16 barbed (1)	10:14,21;11:1 beautiful (9) 23:11;29:9;33:17; 39:19;40:6;100:20;
147:6 barrier (14) 18:19;25:1;31:3; 59:20;185:7;279:20; 284:1;285:14;290:8,10; 291:2;300:17;302:2,4	187:3;305:17;307:14 beautifully (4) 109:19;111:19;187:9; 294:3 beauty (1) 51:8

I ransformative Strategi	es-Development of Pain	nerapies		June 24, 2014
h_{a}	harden (2)	142.10	233:16	16.20.27.4.62.19.
became (3)	benign (3)	143:19 D: (1)	· ·	16:20;27:4;63:18;
40:12;299:11;301:11	132:1;297:8,16	Bingo (1)	block (21)	78:5;88:16;114:2,20;
become (21)	benzos (1)	300:3	119:3;120:16;127:8;	117:13;166:4;293:10,
12:4;30:21;50:16;	179:21	Bio (1)	129:13;145:12;156:13,	12;323:5
62:22;83:10;93:15;94:2;	best (14)	113:8	21;159:17;284:3;	Boehringer (2)
101:4,16;108:18;151:4;	5:10;36:11;44:12,17;	biochemistry (1)	291:14,17;298:3;	305:14;308:18
189:15;195:9;302:16;	73:13;75:11;83:13;95:7;	148:4	299:14;300:1,3;302:20,	bolt (1)
306:3,4,10;310:6;327:8;	170:21;176:9;189:22;	biologic (1)	21;304:6,10,13,16	49:6
338:8;348:3	242:10;340:6;352:19	244:9	blockades (1)	bonds (1)
becomes (5)	bet (2)	biological (3)	199:3	139:9
28:11;144:11;271:3;	170:21;260:18	298:19;314:13;328:16	blockage (1)	bone (11)
312:15;322:5	beta (2)	biologically (1)	327:6	61:17;213:16;248:7,
becoming (3)	166:21;167:2	142:2	blocked (5)	15,21;250:15,18;251:19,
6:6;145:9;225:19	better (29)	Biologicals (2)	144:11;246:8;249:1;	22;255:17;256:10
bed (1)	53:2;60:17;68:22;	314:14,15	287:21;288:15	bones (1)
20:14	89:16;92:2;98:1;103:4;	biologics (2)	blocker (7)	147:20
bedding (2)	109:16;175:1;176:6;	251:13;295:21	144:7;195:4;200:3;	Bonnie (1)
238:5;246:2	178:15;180:7;209:16;	biology (9)	291:12,20;294:12;	132:22
bedside (3)	221:6;228:11;242:22;	4:16;22:7;113:11;	345:19	boosting (1)
219:20;222:18,21	246:17;261:8;264:15;	137:1,10;146:18;	blockers (3)	17:10
began (1)	265:12;267:8;287:17,	298:17;329:7;335:3	194:16;285:4;286:16	bored (1)
113:9	17;307:6;309:12;	biomedical (3)	blocking (10)	314:11
begin (5)	312:22;337:21;351:1;	146:16;151:13,19	120:10;128:14;132:4;	boring (2)
75:14;118:5;121:1;	352:15	bit (53)	138:22;156:18;205:20;	61:21;292:13
131:19;163:11	beware (1)	4:10;5:11,14,17;	272:14;299:7;303:3,9	born (2)
beginning (9)	261:1	11:19;22:13;24:4,8;	blocks (4)	81:11;111:16
178:11;183:6;231:7;	beyond (2)	27:21;37:12;42:16;	128:9;138:17,22;	both (41)
235:9;264:9;301:21;	115:13;192:15	46:15;49:2,8;57:17;	237:5	27:18;50:20;64:19;
323:7;344:11;351:21	BHLHB5(1)	61:21;74:8;75:1;76:2;	blood (27)	92:17;93:18;99:13;
begins (3)	98:8	89:10;103:4;150:5,7;	8:2;9:10;16:7;51:4;	108:10;112:17;116:13;
80:20;300:22;301:1	bias (5)	168:3;178:6;180:15;	61:16;63:14;96:6;175:5,	117:7,11,16;129:12;
begs (1)	261:13;341:14,18;	187:15;188:13;193:6;	10;196:12;197:10;	137:10;147:6;162:22;
328:11	348:21;350:13	208:20;215:8;227:2;	226:11,13;273:11,13,14;	164:6;171:20;179:18;
behaving (1)	big (18)	231:15;262:7;277:12;	275:6,17;276:8,18;	193:20;195:13;199:6;
16:13	6:10;7:2;10:16;19:19;	278:14;292:21;293:16;	290:17;299:4;300:8,8,9,	201:21;202:8;203:16;
behavior (6)	43:7;59:22;101:15;	294:17;296:17;303:12;	9;323:10	204:14;205:22;208:11;
104:6,7;216:10,12;	173:2;177:19;181:19;	304:5;309:14;315:2,8,9,	blood- (1)	209:2,10;216:20;
237:19;342:3	183:15;185:15;187:4;	17;316:13;317:17;	31:2	250:11;267:18;281:17;
behavioral (7)	191:6;215:5;267:17;	320:15;323:2;333:21;	blood-brain (13)	316:3;318:18;321:7,8;
40:12;46:21;108:10;	268:1;352:2	334:7	18:19;25:1;59:20;	328:7;341:14;351:21
141:13;142:9;149:17;	Bigal (10)	bite (3)	185:6;279:20;284:1;	bottom (3)
237:1	295:9,16,17;326:8;	129:22;150:1;302:12	285:14;290:8,10;291:2;	16:8;47:17;48:11
behaviorally (1)	327:17;328:13;330:7;	bitten (1)	300:17;302:1,3	Boulis (3)
74:2	331:20;334:14;336:22	139:3	blow (2)	49:1;52:11;174:21
behaviors (1)	bigger (3)	bizarre (1)	16:4,6	bound (1)
216:7	25:5;35:22;67:21	340:3	blue (4)	18:12
behind (3)	biggest (2)	Bjorklund (2)	290:4,5,9,17	boundary (1)
28:18;58:15;265:1	63:22;86:18	22:8:37:10	bluish (1)	125:6
bells (2)	BIJAL (1)	black (1)	290:7	Bountra (3)
26:7;223:17	331:9	89:5	BMJ (1)	193:6;267:12;352:3
belong (2)	bilaterally (1)	bladder (2)	210:2	bovine (3)
149:4;297:18	282:21	120:18;125:13	board (2)	172:5;173:6,7
belongs (1)	Bill (1)	bleeds (1)	95:20;195:12	bowels (1)
318:1	132:17	243:21	boardrooms (1)	260:2
bench (1)	billion (1)	blind (4)	244:2	boy (1)
222:18	42:7	20:17,19;92:20;104:7	Bob (6)	244:1
beneficial (1)	bind (6)	blinded (6)	191:8;192:14;194:5;	brachial (1)
234:12	18:7;117:15;136:3;	21:16;51:5,6;321:15;	205:13;225:17;294:18	199:19
beneficiary (1)	288:13;320:2,3	347:16;348:11	BOCF (1)	brain (60)
336:10	binding (4)	blinding (5)	208:11	5:1;9:9;19:3,4,8,17,
benefit (4)	246:8;285:12;286:8;	43:3;202:22;260:11;	bodies (1)	18;21:6;22:13,18,20;
174:15;181:5,6;	287:17	349:18,21	116:19	24:12,13,14,20;25:19;
260:14	binds (1)	blister-based (1)	body (12)	26:12;27:17;31:3;34:1;
			• • • •	, , , ,

Transformative Strategi	es-Development of Pain	herapies	r	June 24, 2014
50.12 10.60.0.70.0.	broad (2)		29.10 16 19.20.1 2 7 0.	21.252.6.0
59:13,18;68:9;78:8;	5:21;196:20	CALCA (1) 275:18	38:10,16,18;39:1,3,7,9;	21;352:6,9
82:8;104:2,4;113:16;	,		41:1;44:6;45:1,6;48:7;	cancelling (1)
140:15;168:7,19;175:7;	broadening (1)	calcitonin (5)	49:3;50:4;51:9,12,14,15;	169:10
273:12,13;274:7,21;	161:7	275:19;278:16;	52:14;53:4;54:4,15;	cancer (5)
275:8;276:9;277:19;	broadly (1)	287:14;299:1;313:19	55:21;56:13;57:5,6,6,7,	244:17;248:6;255:5,
280:15;283:8;284:9;	351:20	calcium (24)	13,21;58:1,10;61:3,14,	14;256:11
285:7;286:1,1,10;290:5;	brochure (1)	128:3;129:12,14;	20;63:4,7,18,19,22;64:2,	cancer-induced (1)
292:2,5;300:22;301:3,5,	322:1	143:13;144:6,8,21,22;	7,10,11,20;67:4,7;68:14;	213:16
10,14;302:6,13,21,22;	broken (2)	145:2,2;146:1,2;158:12;	70:12;71:16;75:11;	cancers (1)
303:4;318:4	93:2;271:9	159:4,5,7,16,18,18,21;	76:13;78:21;80:12;82:6;	63:15
branches (3)	brought (3)	160:3;163:3,11;200:1	83:4;84:1;85:16,17;	candidate (1)
92:6,7;149:6	248:12;268:11;305:1	calcium- (1)	86:1,9,13;87:4,14,16;	312:15
brand (2)	brutal (3)	127:5	88:2,5;89:7,19;90:7,14,	capital (2)
239:7,9	299:3;309:5;310:2	calculate (1)	14,20,22;91:17,18;	212:15;214:10
Brandon (1)	buckets (1)	284:17	92:12;94:3,16;97:19;	capitalized (1)
66:6	172:7	calculation (1)	98:2;100:12;102:6,8,10;	34:5
Braz (2)	build (1)	203:1	103:10,19;107:9,15;	capsaicin (5)
83:18;104:4	324:7	calculations (1)	111:17;113:15,21,22;	128:3,21;130:4;208:7;
breadth (2)	building (2)	350:3	114:8,11,12,16,19;	210:11
250:10;255:9	6:4;241:10	California (6)	116:14,17,19;117:10,13,	capsaicin-induced (1)
break (9)	bulbar (1)	23:21;42:1,2;43:6;	15,19;118:16,19;119:3,	199:22
54:5;136:5;173:21;	53:16	164:8;295:13	13;120:5;123:6;124:7,	capture (5)
190:9;250:2;261:19;	bunch (1)	call (13)	12,20;127:3,4;128:10,	148:13;150:4,18;
293:1;294:16,19	65:4	15:16;32:2;57:14;	11;130:3,4;132:7;	252:13,17
breathing (2)	bunches (1)	94:16;135:3;150:15;	133:13,20,21;134:9;	captured (1)
16:9;53:15	101:22	151:2,15;152:4;231:18;	136:3,10,11;139:18,22;	331:2
Brennan's (2)	bungarotoxin (1)	233:21;260:6;295:3	141:12,22;143:11;	capturing (1)
248:2,11	138:20	called (28)	145:22;147:5,14;148:1;	148:6
Breunig (1)	bunionectomy (4)	12:2;14:5;27:14;	149:11;150:1,5;151:1,	car (1)
55:21	255:15;256:15,20;	28:21;29:12;100:4;	18;152:8;153:2,9;	301:16
brew (1)	270:9	124:19;128:17;142:15,	156:13,16;157:9,10;	carbamazepine (1)
141:19	buried (1)	21,21;147:19;150:12;	158:2,11,13,15,22;	96:4
Brian (1)	247:7	154:6;230:11;240:12;	159:6,8;160:16;161:9;	cardiomyocyte (1)
134:14	burning (1)	243:3;266:13;271:9;	162:21;163:10;168:19;	11:1
Brick (2)	283:21	283:13;288:7;297:1;	170:5,9;171:7;172:9;	cardiomyocytes (2)
327:18;330:12	Burstein (2)	306:5;308:7,21;330:19;	174:21;177:5;178:3,7,9;	10:14,22
bridge (1)	331:7,9	331:11;350:15	179:21;180:6;184:7,9,	cardiovascular (9)
320:13	bus (1)	calls (1)	22;185:4,5;187:7,10,22;	196:13,20;197:12;
brief (5)	295:5	316:21	188:10;193:22;198:2;	204:9;306:14;323:11;
136:9;205:2;211:3;	business (1)	calmodulin (1)	202:5,15;210:6;213:3;	324:15;325:6,9
213:17;294:20	241:14	127:6	215:22;218:4,9,11;	care (9)
briefing (1)	busy (2)	Cambridge (2)	224:14;225:20;230:22;	110:16;196:18;
195:18	189:10;295:18	22:8;32:1	231:1;233:6,14;234:6;	224:20;225:4;244:8,9,
briefly (1)	bystander (1)	came (13)	236:22;242:17;243:11,	11;273:7;323:20
188:16	136:1	22:1;34:17;140:3;	16;244:11,18;247:15;	career (1)
brilliant (1)	10011	194:8;202:4;214:3;	250:2;251:1,22;252:19;	281:11
267:13	С	232:22;275:18;281:7;	254:10;259:11;263:9,	careful (5)
bring (8)	~	289:4;306:17;310:1;	17;272:3;273:12,20;	26:6;249:6;261:11;
186:17;193:2;249:16;	C9ORF (2)	320:7	275:7,16;276:19,21,22;	271:11;341:8
250:1;262:16;319:14;	29:13,15	camera (1)	277:1,15;278:7;279:4,9,	carefully (4)
330:15;337:4	cabaals (1)	274:5	15,21;280:13,17;281:5,	71:9;197:11;345:3,21
bringing (1)	151:2	Cameron (1)	11;282:15;283:5,20,22;	carrageenan (1)
271:21	cabal (7)	203:5	284:3,8,14,15,17,20;	233:17
Brisbane (2)	150:15,19;151:3,8,8,	can (335)	285:22;287:3,21;288:13,	carry (3)
200:16;202:16	10,16	7:22;8:22;9:2,3,6,7,12,	22;289:13,16,19;290:11,	230:8;313:20;346:20
Bristol (1)	cabals (2)	17;10:5,11,12,14,21;	14,16,19;291:13,17;	cascade (2)
21:14	150:16,18	11:4,8,20;12:1,10,18,21;	292:2;294:10;298:15;	267:5;300:16
Bristol-Myers (2)	cachexia (1)	13:1,21;14:18,20;15:2,3,	292.2,294.10,298.13, 299:22;302:20,21;	case (35)
309:19,20	70:15	6;17:5,11;19:4;22:2;	303:3;304:6;306:6;	16:1;54:18;75:6;
Britain (2)		23:15;24:19;25:14,17,	309:8;310:8;313:7,9,21;	78:17;79:11;80:22;
	cages (1) 141:4			
57:20;167:21 Pritish (2)		21;26:20,21;30:1,12,19;	314:2;317:11,17;326:5;	83:13;85:15;87:1;91:3;
British (2)	Cal(2)	31:4;32:1,12;34:1;35:2,	332:18,22;339:11;	92:3,7;97:16;105:18;
62:6;167:19	136:20;287:20	9;36:1;37:14,18,20;	346:19;348:9;349:10,16,	107:11;119:22;130:18;

8	es-Development of 1 am	lierapies	T	June 24, 2014
140 14 155 11 150 0	10.6		200 5 220 10 10	110 00 100 1 140 00
148:14;155:11;158:8;	43:6	108:22;109:19,21;111:1,	200:7;238:18,18;	119:22;139:1;143:20;
161:13;164:1,13;	cell (83)	3,14,17,19;115:21;	248:4;261:6	144:6;145:21;146:1;
170:19;176:15;185:18;	4:16;7:5;8:3,9,9,10,13,	116:2,4,5,9,18;117:1;	CGRP (108)	157:21;162:17;163:6,11,
204:19;215:4;258:6;	14,15;9:4,22;13:5,12;	118:4,11,14;119:1,5;	124:11;272:13;	12,16,18;164:2;343:21;
263:14;276:3;277:4;	18:14;22:1,6;23:15;	120:4,9;122:12;125:16,	274:14,15;275:13,18;	345:18
290:18;291:16;347:11	24:20;25:15;32:5,6,7;	17,20,20;126:3,6,14;	276:4;278:1,9,12,15,17;	channelrhodopsin (2)
cases (6)	38:17;39:22;40:6;41:14;	127:18;128:4,10,20;	279:3,22;280:2,8,16,22;	90:12,19
29:2;96:7;114:12;	42:7;44:16,20;45:12,18;	130:14;132:11;156:15;	281:16;282:5,14;284:3,	channels (25)
115:15;165:8;185:2	58:8;63:6,6,7,17;64:5,	158:13,14,15,17,22;	8;285:8,21;286:16,22;	143:14;144:6,8,21,22;
CAT (1)	16;67:6;70:2;74:6;78:5;	159:4,6,9;160:13;170:7;	287:6,7,9,12,20;288:11,	145:2,2,4,7;146:2,6;
276:22	81:3;86:1;87:6,9,9,18,		14;289:14,15;290:1;	
		171:6,11,15;172:5,6,11,		157:22;158:2;159:17,20,
catastrophizing (1)	20;88:2,4,6,15,16;89:19;	18;174:19,21;175:4,6,7,	291:3,8,9,11,12;292:10,	21,22;160:2,3,3,7;
211:12	98:22;100:22;101:1,9;	12;185:15;186:3,16,19,	17;293:15,17;294:3,5,	161:22;163:3,11,21
catch (3)	103:2;104:11;109:4;	21;187:13,19;188:5,12;	12;296:4,13,15,19;	chapter (9)
148:2;149:18;217:20	114:2,12,20;115:22;	199:2,8,18;204:6;	298:22;299:1,11,17;	232:11;233:22,22;
catching (1)	116:19;117:13;124:13;	205:21;248:20;274:13;	300:6,11,15;301:17,19,	237:3;240:12;247:10;
149:9	127:22;128:4;129:2;	279:9,16;280:12,14;	22;302:6,20,21;303:3,8,	249:9;250:3,3
category (1)	158:19;159:3,10;163:4,	289:14,15,21,21	10,14,15,21;304:1,15,	chapters (2)
255:17	8,13;170:15;178:2;	center (4)	16;307:17;308:17;	232:11;239:4
catheter (7)	187:14;198:13;317:1	6:7;43:7;212:12;353:1	309:5,13,15,21;310:11;	characteristic (10)
21:2,3,3,7,8,15;172:22	cells (349)	centered (1)	311:14;313:16,17;	76:17;82:3;88:19;
caudal (1)	4:9,13;5:6,15;6:11,13,	275:5	315:14,20,21;319:16;	91:20;93:20;96:1;99:21;
85:14	17,21;7:4,11,17,19;8:2,	centers (8)	320:3;323:4,9;325:7,7,	106:14,15;207:16
caudalis (2)	22;9:2,5,13,15,15;10:5,	43:7;207:6,6;212:8,	12,13,14,17;326:22;	characteristics (1)
96:11;300:12	13,15;11:5,11,14;12:7,	11;219:15;224:22;296:6	327:6;328:7;329:12,13;	332:19
caught (3)	20;13:1;14:18,20,20;	central (10)	331:11,16;334:18;	characterize (2)
82:18;98:5;253:4	15:6,8;16:1,2,12,22;	35:10,11;105:8;120:2;	335:1;336:15	242:19;272:22
cause (13)	17:16,17;22:3,5,11,12,	121:2;132:2;141:15;	CGRP-binding (1)	characterized (3)
10:18;31:6;103:18;	14,17;23:22;26:2,5,10,	164:15;273:22;302:15	288:7	105:6;142:20;151:22
114:13,18;119:1,15;	13,14;29:22;31:8,18,20,	CEO (1)	CGRP-induced (1)	charge (1)
131:15;300:5;302:7;	21;32:1,8,13,13,17,19,	214:6	289:4	65:10
303:10,11;304:6	19,20;33:4,7,8;34:4,5,7,	Cephalagia (1)	CGRPs (1)	Charles (1)
caused (11)		310:4	326:10	220:4
	9,13,14,15,20,22;35:3,			
19:14;30:1;115:2;	10;37:12;38:3,10,18;	cephalopods (1)	chair (2)	Chas (7)
141:9,10;142:9;277:4;	39:1,3,4,17,21;40:2,9;	167:14	112:7,12	184:18;193:5;214:16;
283:17;300:6,7;312:8	41:9,20;42:14,17;43:10,	cerebellum (4)	chairing (1)	267:11;268:8;342:20;
causes (13)	10,19,21;44:8;45:2,9,11,	274:11,13;285:12;	134:14	352:3
28:19;115:3,8,10;	15,21;46:5,7,10,15,16,	286:2	chairman (1)	chased (1)
			272:8	24:14
138:12;143:15,21,22;	20;47:8;48:2,7,15,21;	cerebral (7)		
151:3,4,6;277:13;299:3	49:4;50:2,9;52:1,4,5,14,	81:3,10;275:6,22;	challenge (2)	check (1)
causing (2)	21;53:5,21;54:9,15,21;	276:16;279:20,22	157:20;305:5	47:4
71:7;302:9	55:3;56:3,10;57:6,14;	CERN (2)	challenges (1)	checklist (7)
caution (1)	58:11,17,19,21;59:2,6,6,	110:12;189:13	296:14	259:12,13;260:5,6,7,
260:22	8,14;60:17,18;61:2,12,	certain (11)	chambers (1)	21;330:20
cautious (3)	13,13;62:3,10,10;63:14,	17:10;25:10;137:22;	14:19	chemical (1)
20:16,18;26:9		170.1.157.6.160.7.		1000
	16;64:1,2,4,9,10,14,15,	138:1;153:6;160:7;	championship (1)	289:1
Cav2.1 (1)	16;64:1,2,4,9,10,14,15, 17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4;	174:17;208:7;261:12;	273:9	chemo (1)
Cav2.1 (1) 145:4				
145:4	17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4; 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22;	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14	273:9 chance (1)	chemo (1) 165:17
145:4 Cav2.2 (3)	17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4; 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22; 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5;	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16)	273:9 chance (1) 294:16	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1)
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20	17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4; 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22; 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5; 75:6;78:6,22;79:4;	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12;	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11)	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20 cavernous (1)	17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4; 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22; 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5; 75:6;78:6,22;79:4; 80:12,14,15;81:10,11,	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12; 88:18;126:18;134:21;	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3;	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3)
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20	17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4; 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22; 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5; 75:6;78:6,22;79:4;	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12;	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3; 44:15;121:21;199:12;	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3) 162:19,20;163:14
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20 cavernous (1) 149:13	17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4; 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22; 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5; 75:6;78:6,22;79:4; 80:12,14,15;81:10,11, 21;82:2,8,12;83:9,11,11;	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12; 88:18;126:18;134:21; 167:16;171:18;201:13;	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3; 44:15;121:21;199:12;	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3) 162:19,20;163:14
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20 cavernous (1) 149:13 cavity (1)	17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4; 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22; 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5; 75:6;78:6,22;79:4; 80:12,14,15;81:10,11, 21;82:2,8,12;83:9,11,11; 84:2,8,11,13,15,16;85:3,	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12; 88:18;126:18;134:21; 167:16;171:18;201:13; 209:16,18;211:14;	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3; 44:15;121:21;199:12; 211:5,17;305:5;330:6	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3) 162:19,20;163:14 cherry-pick (1)
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20 cavernous (1) 149:13 cavity (1) 166:4	17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4; 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22; 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5; 75:6;78:6,22;79:4; 80:12,14,15;81:10,11, 21;82:2,8,12;83:9,11,11; 84:2,8,11,13,15,16;85:3, 7,9,21;86:6,7,8,12,14;	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12; 88:18;126:18;134:21; 167:16;171:18;201:13; 209:16,18;211:14; 213:9;340:8;349:14;	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3; 44:15;121:21;199:12; 211:5,17;305:5;330:6 changed (5)	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3) 162:19,20;163:14 cherry-pick (1) 337:5
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20 cavernous (1) 149:13 cavity (1) 166:4 CCI (2)	$\begin{array}{c} 17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4;\\ 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22;\\ 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5;\\ 75:6;78:6,22;79:4;\\ 80:12,14,15;81:10,11,\\ 21;82:2,8,12;83:9,11,11;\\ 84:2,8,11,13,15,16;85:3,\\ 7,9,21;86:6,7,8,12,14;\\ 87:3,7,10,11,12,22;88:1,\\ \end{array}$	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12; 88:18;126:18;134:21; 167:16;171:18;201:13; 209:16,18;211:14; 213:9;340:8;349:14; 350:18	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3; 44:15;121:21;199:12; 211:5,17;305:5;330:6 changed (5) 5:14;7:15;74:4;	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3) 162:19,20;163:14 cherry-pick (1) 337:5 chewing (1)
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20 cavernous (1) 149:13 cavity (1) 166:4 CCI (2) 200:22;218:18	$\begin{array}{c} 17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4;\\ 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22;\\ 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5;\\ 75:6;78:6,22;79:4;\\ 80:12,14,15;81:10,11,\\ 21;82:2,8,12;83:9,11,11;\\ 84:2,8,11,13,15,16;85:3,\\ 7,9,21;86:6,7,8,12,14;\\ 87:3,7,10,11,12,22;88:1,\\ 14;90:5,7,8,9,21,22;\\ \end{array}$	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12; 88:18;126:18;134:21; 167:16;171:18;201:13; 209:16,18;211:14; 213:9;340:8;349:14; 350:18 cervical (8)	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3; 44:15;121:21;199:12; 211:5,17;305:5;330:6 changed (5) 5:14;7:15;74:4; 129:17;152:6	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3) 162:19,20;163:14 cherry-pick (1) 337:5 chewing (1) 235:15
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20 cavernous (1) 149:13 cavity (1) 166:4 CCI (2) 200:22;218:18 CD1 (1)	$\begin{array}{c} 17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4;\\ 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22;\\ 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5;\\ 75:6;78:6,22;79:4;\\ 80:12,14,15;81:10,11,\\ 21;82:2,8,12;83:9,11,11;\\ 84:2,8,11,13,15,16;85:3,\\ 7,9,21;86:6,7,8,12,14;\\ 87:3,7,10,11,12,22;88:1,\\ 14;90:5,7,8,9,21,22;\\ 91:4,12,16;92:18;93:1,4;\\ \end{array}$	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12; 88:18;126:18;134:21; 167:16;171:18;201:13; 209:16,18;211:14; 213:9;340:8;349:14; 350:18 cervical (8) 35:9,10;51:17;53:11,	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3; 44:15;121:21;199:12; 211:5,17;305:5;330:6 changed (5) 5:14;7:15;74:4; 129:17;152:6 changes (13)	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3) 162:19,20;163:14 cherry-pick (1) 337:5 chewing (1) 235:15 Chicago (1)
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20 cavernous (1) 149:13 cavity (1) 166:4 CCI (2) 200:22;218:18 CD1 (1) 68:9	$\begin{array}{c} 17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4;\\ 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22;\\ 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5;\\ 75:6;78:6,22;79:4;\\ 80:12,14,15;81:10,11,\\ 21;82:2,8,12;83:9,11,11;\\ 84:2,8,11,13,15,16;85:3,\\ 7,9,21;86:6,7,8,12,14;\\ 87:3,7,10,11,12,22;88:1,\\ 14;90:5,7,8,9,21,22;\\ \end{array}$	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12; 88:18;126:18;134:21; 167:16;171:18;201:13; 209:16,18;211:14; 213:9;340:8;349:14; 350:18 cervical (8) 35:9,10;51:17;53:11, 13,14;85:12;101:20	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3; 44:15;121:21;199:12; 211:5,17;305:5;330:6 changed (5) 5:14;7:15;74:4; 129:17;152:6	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3) 162:19,20;163:14 cherry-pick (1) 337:5 chewing (1) 235:15 Chicago (1) 5:12
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20 cavernous (1) 149:13 cavity (1) 166:4 CCI (2) 200:22;218:18 CD1 (1) 68:9	$\begin{array}{c} 17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4;\\ 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22;\\ 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5;\\ 75:6;78:6,22;79:4;\\ 80:12,14,15;81:10,11,\\ 21;82:2,8,12;83:9,11,11;\\ 84:2,8,11,13,15,16;85:3,\\ 7,9,21;86:6,7,8,12,14;\\ 87:3,7,10,11,12,22;88:1,\\ 14;90:5,7,8,9,21,22;\\ 91:4,12,16;92:18;93:1,4;\\ 96:20;97:4,5,10,11,21;\\ \end{array}$	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12; 88:18;126:18;134:21; 167:16;171:18;201:13; 209:16,18;211:14; 213:9;340:8;349:14; 350:18 cervical (8) 35:9,10;51:17;53:11, 13,14;85:12;101:20	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3; 44:15;121:21;199:12; 211:5,17;305:5;330:6 changed (5) 5:14;7:15;74:4; 129:17;152:6 changes (13) 13:13,13;14:8;20:5;	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3) 162:19,20;163:14 cherry-pick (1) 337:5 chewing (1) 235:15 Chicago (1) 5:12
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20 cavernous (1) 149:13 cavity (1) 166:4 CCI (2) 200:22;218:18 CD1 (1) 68:9 Cedars (4)	$\begin{array}{c} 17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4;\\ 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22;\\ 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5;\\ 75:6;78:6,22;79:4;\\ 80:12,14,15;81:10,11,\\ 21;82:2,8,12;83:9,11,11;\\ 84:2,8,11,13,15,16;85:3,\\ 7,9,21;86:6,7,8,12,14;\\ 87:3,7,10,11,12,22;88:1,\\ 14;90:5,7,8,9,21,22;\\ 91:4,12,16;92:18;93:1,4;\\ 96:20;97:4,5,10,11,21;\\ 99:2;100:17,18;101:1,4,\\ \end{array}$	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12; 88:18;126:18;134:21; 167:16;171:18;201:13; 209:16,18;211:14; 213:9;340:8;349:14; 350:18 cervical (8) 35:9,10;51:17;53:11, 13,14;85:12;101:20 cetera (12)	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3; 44:15;121:21;199:12; 211:5,17;305:5;330:6 changed (5) 5:14;7:15;74:4; 129:17;152:6 changes (13) 13:13,13;14:8;20:5; 47:1,11;77:18,18;107:1;	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3) 162:19,20;163:14 cherry-pick (1) 337:5 chewing (1) 235:15 Chicago (1) 5:12 chickenpox (1)
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20 cavernous (1) 149:13 cavity (1) 166:4 CCI (2) 200:22;218:18 CD1 (1) 68:9 Cedars (4) 10:2;43:17;52:16;	$\begin{array}{c} 17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4;\\ 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22;\\ 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5;\\ 75:6;78:6,22;79:4;\\ 80:12,14,15;81:10,11,\\ 21;82:2,8,12;83:9,11,11;\\ 84:2,8,11,13,15,16;85:3,\\ 7,9,21;86:6,7,8,12,14;\\ 87:3,7,10,11,12,22;88:1,\\ 14;90:5,7,8,9,21,22;\\ 91:4,12,16;92:18;93:1,4;\\ 96:20;97:4,5,10,11,21;\\ 99:2;100:17,18;101:1,4,\\ 10,11,16,18;102:1,1,2,4,\\ \end{array}$	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12; 88:18;126:18;134:21; 167:16;171:18;201:13; 209:16,18;211:14; 213:9;340:8;349:14; 350:18 cervical (8) 35:9,10;51:17;53:11, 13,14;85:12;101:20 cetera (12) 49:12;202:22;229:18;	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3; 44:15;121:21;199:12; 211:5,17;305:5;330:6 changed (5) 5:14;7:15;74:4; 129:17;152:6 changes (13) 13:13,13;14:8;20:5; 47:1,11;77:18,18;107:1; 186:19,21;216:7;274:19	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3) 162:19,20;163:14 cherry-pick (1) 337:5 chewing (1) 235:15 Chicago (1) 5:12 chickenpox (1) 115:4
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20 cavernous (1) 149:13 cavity (1) 166:4 CCI (2) 200:22;218:18 CD1 (1) 68:9 Cedars (4) 10:2;43:17;52:16; 66:12	$\begin{array}{c} 17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4;\\ 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22;\\ 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5;\\ 75:6;78:6,22;79:4;\\ 80:12,14,15;81:10,11,\\ 21;82:2,8,12;83:9,11,11;\\ 84:2,8,11,13,15,16;85:3,\\ 7,9,21;86:6,7,8,12,14;\\ 87:3,7,10,11,12,22;88:1,\\ 14;90:5,7,8,9,21,22;\\ 91:4,12,16;92:18;93:1,4;\\ 96:20;97:4,5,10,11,21;\\ 99:2;100:17,18;101:1,4,\\ 10,11,16,18;102:1,1,24,\\ 10,15,16;103:6,7,12;\\ \end{array}$	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12; 88:18;126:18;134:21; 167:16;171:18;201:13; 209:16,18;211:14; 213:9;340:8;349:14; 350:18 cervical (8) 35:9,10;51:17;53:11, 13,14;85:12;101:20 cetera (12) 49:12;202:22;229:18; 246:3,18;252:10,10;	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3; 44:15;121:21;199:12; 211:5,17;305:5;330:6 changed (5) 5:14;7:15;74:4; 129:17;152:6 changes (13) 13:13,13;14:8;20:5; 47:1,11;77:18,18;107:1; 186:19,21;216:7;274:19 changing (3)	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3) 162:19,20;163:14 cherry-pick (1) 337:5 chewing (1) 235:15 Chicago (1) 5:12 chickenpox (1) 115:4 chief (1)
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20 cavernous (1) 149:13 cavity (1) 166:4 CCI (2) 200:22;218:18 CD1 (1) 68:9 Cedars (4) 10:2;43:17;52:16; 66:12 Cedars-Sinai (6)	$\begin{array}{c} 17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4;\\ 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22;\\ 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5;\\ 75:6;78:6,22;79:4;\\ 80:12,14,15;81:10,11,\\ 21;82:2,8,12;83:9,11,11;\\ 84:2,8,11,13,15,16;85:3,\\ 7,9,21;86:6,7,8,12,14;\\ 87:3,7,10,11,12,22;88:1,\\ 14;90:5,7,8,9,21,22;\\ 91:4,12,16;92:18;93:1,4;\\ 96:20;97:4,5,10,11,21;\\ 99:2;100:17,18;101:1,4,\\ 10,11,16,18;102:1,1,2,4,\\ 10,15,16;103:6,7,12;\\ 104:12,13;105:8,17,20,\\ \end{array}$	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12; 88:18;126:18;134:21; 167:16;171:18;201:13; 209:16,18;211:14; 213:9;340:8;349:14; 350:18 cervical (8) 35:9,10;51:17;53:11, 13,14;85:12;101:20 cetera (12) 49:12;202:22;229:18; 246:3,18;252:10,10; 260:11,12,12;347:16,17	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3; 44:15;121:21;199:12; 211:5,17;305:5;330:6 changed (5) 5:14;7:15;74:4; 129:17;152:6 changes (13) 13:13,13;14:8;20:5; 47:1,11;77:18,18;107:1; 186:19,21;216:7;274:19 changing (3) 33:12;167:17;351:7	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3) 162:19,20;163:14 cherry-pick (1) 337:5 chewing (1) 235:15 Chicago (1) 5:12 chickenpox (1) 115:4 chief (1) 295:21
145:4 Cav2.2 (3) 145:3,7,20 cavernous (1) 149:13 cavity (1) 166:4 CCI (2) 200:22;218:18 CD1 (1) 68:9 Cedars (4) 10:2;43:17;52:16; 66:12	$\begin{array}{c} 17,18,22;65:9,11;66:3,4;\\ 67:14,15;69:20;71:4,22;\\ 72:3,4,5,12;73:2,5;74:5;\\ 75:6;78:6,22;79:4;\\ 80:12,14,15;81:10,11,\\ 21;82:2,8,12;83:9,11,11;\\ 84:2,8,11,13,15,16;85:3,\\ 7,9,21;86:6,7,8,12,14;\\ 87:3,7,10,11,12,22;88:1,\\ 14;90:5,7,8,9,21,22;\\ 91:4,12,16;92:18;93:1,4;\\ 96:20;97:4,5,10,11,21;\\ 99:2;100:17,18;101:1,4,\\ 10,11,16,18;102:1,1,24,\\ 10,15,16;103:6,7,12;\\ \end{array}$	174:17;208:7;261:12; 301:4;304:14 certainly (16) 42:4;79:1;85:12; 88:18;126:18;134:21; 167:16;171:18;201:13; 209:16,18;211:14; 213:9;340:8;349:14; 350:18 cervical (8) 35:9,10;51:17;53:11, 13,14;85:12;101:20 cetera (12) 49:12;202:22;229:18; 246:3,18;252:10,10;	273:9 chance (1) 294:16 change (11) 20:3;30:12,21;34:3; 44:15;121:21;199:12; 211:5,17;305:5;330:6 changed (5) 5:14;7:15;74:4; 129:17;152:6 changes (13) 13:13,13;14:8;20:5; 47:1,11;77:18,18;107:1; 186:19,21;216:7;274:19 changing (3)	chemo (1) 165:17 chemosensory (1) 148:10 chemotherapy (3) 162:19,20;163:14 cherry-pick (1) 337:5 chewing (1) 235:15 Chicago (1) 5:12 chickenpox (1) 115:4 chief (1)

	es-Development of Pain	1		June 24, 2014
222:17	circulation (7)	45:7;69:1;105:3,7;	188:14	30:14;81:16;164:6,7;
children (3)	185:5;275:22;276:16;	134:20;242:20;243:18;	CLR (6)	192:9;196:16;205:14;
12:3,11,15	282:13;286:21;287:1;	249:16;259:20;268:3,6,	278:17;279:6,12,17;	260:8
chip (2)	288:11	11,17;274:4;286:4;	280:9,17	collector (1)
15:16;17:2	circumstances (3)	324:5;344:22	clues (2)	148:22
chloride (8)	95:7;107:10;173:14	clinical (126)	222:18;238:12	College (2)
77:18,18;119:22;	CIRM (4)	13:19;25:22;26:6,15;	cluster (5)	194:21;295:10
158:16;159:8,13,14,19	41:22;42:6,11;66:11	34:8,12;42:18;43:8;	282:17,18,21;283:4,7	colliculus (1)
choice (1)	City (3)	49:17,20;52:8;53:3;	CMV (2)	285:10
269:12	33:3;42:17;296:7	61:9;77:4;95:14;96:22;	126:1,11	co-localization (2)
choline (1)	claimed (2)	104:19;135:10;151:20;	CNS (5)	276:12;279:15
166:12	329:11;343:20	152:2,18;153:2;180:15;	25:15;59:22;215:10,	color (1)
choose (4)	claims (1)	181:21;182:5;183:19;	13;275:2	254:4
28:12,16;142:5;	53:1	184:12;188:19,20;	CNTF (5)	colors (1)
170:18	clarify (1)	193:20;194:14;195:13;	70:3,4,9,12,17	40:2
chooses (1)	177:8	197:8,17;199:21,22;	CNTF-secreting (1)	column (1)
28:13	Clark (4)	200:19;201:4;203:14,	70:10	85:5
choosing (1)	140:4;151:21;215:15,	22;205:7,11,18,22;	co- (1)	co-markers (1)
170:19	19	207:2;208:22;211:21;	329:2	102:2
chop (1)	class (17)	213:5,16;215:17;	co-author (1)	combination (4)
32:16	133:19;153:5;157:16;	222:15;226:15;228:18;	309:19	124:22;151:8;162:13;
chopped (1) 32:22	160:19,21;221:17;230:7, 10,14,17;231:6,19;	230:8;231:21;232:1;	cobra (2) 138:19;139:3	182:3 combinations (5)
	245:2;261:13;309:7;	235:5,17;236:5,7;238:9;		157:15,19;158:1,3,4
chopping (4) 32:4;33:1;34:11;58:2	321:19,21	246:19;247:16;250:5; 253:3;254:1,11;255:1,	co-chairing (1) 194:5	combine (3)
chose (1)	classes (3)	10;257:5,19;258:9,10,	cocktails (1)	15:3;64:4;117:7
142:14	124:4;161:17;314:6	19;259:3,21;262:17;	182:21	combined (2)
chosen (1)	classic (1)	263:9,19,21;264:3,5,10,	code (1)	64:5;67:19
232:12	349:14	14;268:12;286:14;	93:2	combining (1)
Chris (1)	classical (1)	295:15,20;303:13;	coffee (5)	182:7
31:13	305:17	316:14,17;320:10;	173:21;293:1,4;	comfort (1)
chromophores (1)	classifying (1)	323:16;330:10;331:1;	294:16,18	239:10
167:17	148:22	332:14,21;333:9,9,15,	cognate (1)	comfortable (2)
chronic (36)	clave (4)	18,21;335:18;336:9,11;	124:18	242:3;315:10
98:4;115:13;124:6;	149:5,14;154:13,14	337:2,7,7,8;338:1;340:7,	cohorts (1)	coming (23)
126:16;156:5;174:18;	claves (1)	10;341:3,8,14,18;343:3,	252:16	5:7;11:6;29:13;30:17;
176:4;252:19;254:2;	149:3	4,7;344:9;347:1,5,22;	coin (1)	46:9;55:20;58:16;69:22;
	1 (1)			70 14 107 00 140 5
255:14;256:9;264:6;	clay(1)	351:3,11,12	246:22	79:14;127:22;142:5;
283:6;287:10;296:21;	148:8	clinical-grade (1)	coincidental (1)	187:5;194:10;272:12;
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4;	148:8 clean (3)	clinical-grade (1) 43:10	coincidental (1) 205:1	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14;
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18;	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6)	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6)	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3;
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15,	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11)	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18;	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19;	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8,	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3;	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13)
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18;20;21;21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14;	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1)	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19;	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10;
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8,	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3;	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1)	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13)
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2)	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12;	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10;
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4)	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4)	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5)
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6 circuit (14)	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6 clearly (14) 30:19;76:20;80:6; 86:10;91:12;159:1;	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4) 77:5;259:3;339:5,21 clinicians (6) 77:5;180:16,20;	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4) 15:12;43:4;44:4;48:4	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5) 171:16;190:7;267:13;
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6 circuit (14) 23:4;24:6,6;31:12;	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6 clearly (14) 30:19;76:20;80:6; 86:10;91:12;159:1; 165:22;177:2;208:21;	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4) 77:5;259:3;339:5,21 clinicians (6) 77:5;180:16,20; 182:20;189:3,21	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4) 15:12;43:4;44:4;48:4 collaboration (4)	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5) 171:16;190:7;267:13; 334:13;340:21
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6 circuit (14) 23:4;24:6,6;31:12; 35:14;86:4,22;89:21;	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6 clearly (14) 30:19;76:20;80:6; 86:10;91:12;159:1; 165:22;177:2;208:21; 229:22;303:1,3;307:4;	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4) 77:5;259:3;339:5,21 clinicians (6) 77:5;180:16,20; 182:20;189:3,21 Clive (8)	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4) 15:12;43:4;44:4;48:4 collaboration (4) 164:6;231:14;240:1;	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5) 171:16;190:7;267:13; 334:13;340:21 commercial (3)
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6 circuit (14) 23:4;24:6,6;31:12; 35:14;86:4,22;89:21; 90:18;94:14;98:20,21;	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6 clearly (14) 30:19;76:20;80:6; 86:10;91:12;159:1; 165:22;177:2;208:21; 229:22;303:1,3;307:4; 329:22	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4) 77:5;259:3;339:5,21 clinicians (6) 77:5;180:16,20; 182:20;189:3,21 Clive (8) 4:8,10;5:7,8;74:22;	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4) 15:12;43:4;44:4;48:4 collaboration (4) 164:6;231:14;240:1; 281:10	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5) 171:16;190:7;267:13; 334:13;340:21 commercial (3) 44:5;246:21;247:2
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6 circuit (14) 23:4;24:6,6;31:12; 35:14;86:4,22;89:21; 90:18;94:14;98:20,21; 103:9;109:17	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6 clearly (14) 30:19;76:20;80:6; 86:10;91:12;159:1; 165:22;177:2;208:21; 229:22;303:1,3;307:4; 329:22 clever (1)	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4) 77:5;259:3;339:5,21 clinicians (6) 77:5;180:16,20; 182:20;189:3,21 Clive (8) 4:8,10;5:7,8;74:22; 171:20;181:10;185:14	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4) 15:12;43:4;44:4;48:4 collaboration (4) 164:6;231:14;240:1; 281:10 collaborations (1)	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5) 171:16;190:7;267:13; 334:13;340:21 commercial (3) 44:5;246:21;247:2 commercialization (1)
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6 circuit (14) 23:4;24:6,6;31:12; 35:14;86:4,22;89:21; 90:18;94:14;98:20,21; 103:9;109:17 circuitry (4)	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6 clearly (14) 30:19;76:20;80:6; 86:10;91:12;159:1; 165:22;177:2;208:21; 229:22;303:1,3;307:4; 329:22 clever (1) 16:5	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4) 77:5;259:3;339:5,21 clinicians (6) 77:5;180:16,20; 182:20;189:3,21 Clive (8) 4:8,10;5:7,8;74:22; 171:20;181:10;185:14 clone (2)	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4) 15:12;43:4;44:4;48:4 collaboration (4) 164:6;231:14;240:1; 281:10 collaborations (1) 240:3	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5) 171:16;190:7;267:13; 334:13;340:21 commercial (3) 44:5;246:21;247:2 commercialization (1) 247:4
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6 circuit (14) 23:4;24:6,6;31:12; 35:14;86:4,22;89:21; 90:18;94:14;98:20,21; 103:9;109:17 circuitry (4) 143:7;145:8;150:20;	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6 clearly (14) 30:19;76:20;80:6; 86:10;91:12;159:1; 165:22;177:2;208:21; 229:22;303:1,3;307:4; 329:22 clever (1) 16:5 click (1)	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4) 77:5;259:3;339:5,21 clinicians (6) 77:5;180:16,20; 182:20;189:3,21 Clive (8) 4:8,10;5:7,8;74:22; 171:20;181:10;185:14 clone (2) 32:7;128:11	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4) 15:12;43:4;44:4;48:4 collaboration (4) 164:6;231:14;240:1; 281:10 collaborations (1) 240:3 collaborator (2)	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5) 171:16;190:7;267:13; 334:13;340:21 commercial (3) 44:5;246:21;247:2 commercialization (1) 247:4 commissioned (1)
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6 circuit (14) 23:4;24:6,6;31:12; 35:14;86:4,22;89:21; 90:18;94:14;98:20,21; 103:9;109:17 circuitry (4) 143:7;145:8;150:20; 151:14	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6 clearly (14) 30:19;76:20;80:6; 86:10;91:12;159:1; 165:22;177:2;208:21; 229:22;303:1,3;307:4; 329:22 clever (1) 16:5 click (1) 277:4	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4) 77:5;259:3;339:5,21 clinicians (6) 77:5;180:16,20; 182:20;189:3,21 Clive (8) 4:8,10;5:7,8;74:22; 171:20;181:10;185:14 clone (2) 32:7;128:11 cloned (1)	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4) 15:12;43:4;44:4;48:4 collaboration (4) 164:6;231:14;240:1; 281:10 collaborations (1) 240:3 collaborator (2) 162:10;247:14	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5) 171:16;190:7;267:13; 334:13;340:21 commercial (3) 44:5;246:21;247:2 commercialization (1) 247:4 commissioned (1) 203:4
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6 circuit (14) 23:4;24:6,6;31:12; 35:14;86:4,22;89:21; 90:18;94:14;98:20,21; 103:9;109:17 circuitry (4) 143:7;145:8;150:20; 151:14 circuits (4)	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6 clearly (14) 30:19;76:20;80:6; 86:10;91:12;159:1; 165:22;177:2;208:21; 229:22;303:1,3;307:4; 329:22 clever (1) 16:5 click (1) 277:4 Clifford (4)	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4) 77:5;259:3;339:5,21 clinicians (6) 77:5;180:16,20; 182:20;189:3,21 Clive (8) 4:8,10;5:7,8;74:22; 171:20;181:10;185:14 clone (2) 32:7;128:11 cloned (1) 168:2	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4) 15:12;43:4;44:4;48:4 collaboration (4) 164:6;231:14;240:1; 281:10 collaborations (1) 240:3 collaborator (2) 162:10;247:14 collaborators (4)	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5) 171:16;190:7;267:13; 334:13;340:21 commercial (3) 44:5;246:21;247:2 commercialization (1) 247:4 commissioned (1) 203:4 commit (1)
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6 circuit (14) 23:4;24:6,6;31:12; 35:14;86:4,22;89:21; 90:18;94:14;98:20,21; 103:9;109:17 circuitry (4) 143:7;145:8;150:20; 151:14 circuits (4) 22:15,22;27:17;	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6 clearly (14) 30:19;76:20;80:6; 86:10;91:12;159:1; 165:22;177:2;208:21; 229:22;303:1,3;307:4; 329:22 clever (1) 16:5 click (1) 277:4 Clifford (4) 78:20;238:14,15;	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4) 77:5;259:3;339:5,21 clinicians (6) 77:5;180:16,20; 182:20;189:3,21 Clive (8) 4:8,10;5:7,8;74:22; 171:20;181:10;185:14 clone (2) 32:7;128:11 cloned (1) 168:2 close (3)	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4) 15:12;43:4;44:4;48:4 collaboration (4) 164:6;231:14;240:1; 281:10 collaborations (1) 240:3 collaborator (2) 162:10;247:14 collaborators (4) 66:1;247:22;248:3;	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5) 171:16;190:7;267:13; 334:13;340:21 commercial (3) 44:5;246:21;247:2 commercialization (1) 247:4 commissioned (1) 203:4 commit (1) 176:7
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6 circuit (14) 23:4;24:6,6;31:12; 35:14;86:4,22;89:21; 90:18;94:14;98:20,21; 103:9;109:17 circuitry (4) 143:7;145:8;150:20; 151:14 circuits (4) 22:15,22;27:17; 155:21	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6 clearly (14) 30:19;76:20;80:6; 86:10;91:12;159:1; 165:22;177:2;208:21; 229:22;303:1,3;307:4; 329:22 clever (1) 16:5 click (1) 277:4 Clifford (4) 78:20;238:14,15; 267:15	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4) 77:5;259:3;339:5,21 clinicians (6) 77:5;180:16,20; 182:20;189:3,21 Clive (8) 4:8,10;5:7,8;74:22; 171:20;181:10;185:14 clone (2) 32:7;128:11 cloned (1) 168:2 close (3) 55:17;85:10;270:15	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4) 15:12;43:4;44:4;48:4 collaboration (4) 164:6;231:14;240:1; 281:10 collaborations (1) 240:3 collaborator (2) 162:10;247:14 collaborators (4) 66:1;247:22;248:3; 349:11	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5) 171:16;190:7;267:13; 334:13;340:21 commercial (3) 44:5;246:21;247:2 commercialization (1) 247:4 commissioned (1) 203:4 commit (1) 176:7 committee (2)
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6 circuit (14) 23:4;24:6,6;31:12; 35:14;86:4,22;89:21; 90:18;94:14;98:20,21; 103:9;109:17 circuitry (4) 143:7;145:8;150:20; 151:14 circuits (4) 22:15,22;27:17; 155:21 circularize (1)	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6 clearly (14) 30:19;76:20;80:6; 86:10;91:12;159:1; 165:22;177:2;208:21; 229:22;303:1,3;307:4; 329:22 clever (1) 16:5 click (1) 277:4 Clifford (4) 78:20;238:14,15; 267:15 climb (1)	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4) 77:5;259:3;339:5,21 clinicians (6) 77:5;180:16,20; 182:20;189:3,21 Clive (8) 4:8,10;5:7,8;74:22; 171:20;181:10;185:14 clone (2) 32:7;128:11 cloned (1) 168:2 close (3) 55:17;85:10;270:15 closely (5)	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4) 15:12;43:4;44:4;48:4 collaboration (4) 164:6;231:14;240:1; 281:10 collaborator (2) 162:10;247:14 collaborators (4) 66:1;247:22;248:3; 349:11 colleague (4)	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5) 171:16;190:7;267:13; 334:13;340:21 commercial (3) 44:5;246:21;247:2 commercialization (1) 247:4 commissioned (1) 203:4 commit (1) 176:7 committee (2) 173:14,22
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6 circuit (14) 23:4;24:6,6;31:12; 35:14;86:4,22;89:21; 90:18;94:14;98:20,21; 103:9;109:17 circuitry (4) 143:7;145:8;150:20; 151:14 circuits (4) 22:15,22;27:17; 155:21 circularize (1) 185:2	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6 clearly (14) 30:19;76:20;80:6; 86:10;91:12;159:1; 165:22;177:2;208:21; 229:22;303:1,3;307:4; 329:22 clever (1) 16:5 click (1) 277:4 Clifford (4) 78:20;238:14,15; 267:15 climb (1) 141:3	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4) 77:5;259:3;339:5,21 clinicians (6) 77:5;180:16,20; 182:20;189:3,21 Clive (8) 4:8,10;5:7,8;74:22; 171:20;181:10;185:14 clone (2) 32:7;128:11 cloned (1) 168:2 close (3) 55:17;85:10;270:15 closely (5) 154:8;156:21;157:18;	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4) 15:12;43:4;44:4;48:4 collaboration (4) 164:6;231:14;240:1; 281:10 collaborations (1) 240:3 collaborator (2) 162:10;247:14 collaborators (4) 66:1;247:22;248:3; 349:11	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5) 171:16;190:7;267:13; 334:13;340:21 commercial (3) 44:5;246:21;247:2 commercialization (1) 247:4 commissioned (1) 203:4 commit (1) 176:7 committee (2)
283:6;287:10;296:21; 297:1,16;303:19;304:4; 313:12;316:7;320:18; 321:3,5;322:7;328:15, 18,20,21,21;329:3,4,8, 16;330:15;335:19 chunk (2) 15:17;32:18 circles (1) 141:6 circuit (14) 23:4;24:6,6;31:12; 35:14;86:4,22;89:21; 90:18;94:14;98:20,21; 103:9;109:17 circuitry (4) 143:7;145:8;150:20; 151:14 circuits (4) 22:15,22;27:17; 155:21 circularize (1)	148:8 clean (3) 60:6;170:22;307:14 clear (11) 77:6;88:17;96:3; 126:17;208:16;271:14; 280:10;293:11;308:12; 338:14;341:6 clearly (14) 30:19;76:20;80:6; 86:10;91:12;159:1; 165:22;177:2;208:21; 229:22;303:1,3;307:4; 329:22 clever (1) 16:5 click (1) 277:4 Clifford (4) 78:20;238:14,15; 267:15 climb (1)	clinical-grade (1) 43:10 clinically (6) 240:8,9;250:6;263:18; 316:15;343:11 clinical-stage (1) 242:2 clinician (4) 77:5;259:3;339:5,21 clinicians (6) 77:5;180:16,20; 182:20;189:3,21 Clive (8) 4:8,10;5:7,8;74:22; 171:20;181:10;185:14 clone (2) 32:7;128:11 cloned (1) 168:2 close (3) 55:17;85:10;270:15 closely (5)	coincidental (1) 205:1 cold (6) 131:6;161:18,19; 162:17,20;163:9 cold-sensitive (1) 161:15 collaborate (3) 17:6;38:1;44:7 collaborating (4) 15:12;43:4;44:4;48:4 collaboration (4) 164:6;231:14;240:1; 281:10 collaborators (1) 240:3 collaborator (2) 162:10;247:14 collaborators (4) 66:1;247:22;248:3; 349:11 colleague (4) 88:11;112:4;282:18;	187:5;194:10;272:12; 288:19;305:20;307:14; 308:15;317:22;322:3; 337:1;351:13;352:18 comment (13) 134:17;171:10,10; 173:4;223:12;238:10; 327:18;332:16;333:1; 337:19;343:2;351:15,20 comments (5) 171:16;190:7;267:13; 334:13;340:21 commercial(3) 44:5;246:21;247:2 commercialization (1) 247:4 commissioned (1) 203:4 commit (1) 176:7 committee (2) 173:14,22 committees (1)

Transformative Strategi	es Development of I um I	nerupies	
		- (-)	
129:3;208:6;272:20	completely (16)	concerned (3)	247:18;260:2
commonly (1)	28:20;36:8;72:8,18;	56:20;169:10;202:18	conflicting (1)
124:5	110:11;111:5;147:17;	concerns (3)	198:9
communicate (2)	149:18;153:13;155:17;	101:15;227:16;258:20	conflicts (1)
289:16;339:22	157:11;189:22;221:10;	concise (1)	195:10
communication (2)	278:1;339:17;344:13	295:19	confound (2)
244:3;337:21	complex (11)	conclude (4)	262:5,11
community (4)	27:7;60:10;69:6;	63:20;94:10;221:17;	confounded (1)
146:16;148:22;	76:11;133:22;141:18;	332:19	217:4
264:14;352:9	153:8;198:11;279:13;	concluded (1)	confounds (1)
co-morbidities (1)	280:16;288:14	320:4	201:17
337:14	complexity (1)	conclusion (6)	confronts (2)
companies (5)	91:22	103:17;243:2;247:6;	223:3,5
14:11;34:6;65:10;	compliant (1)	259:1;270:1;326:19	congestion (1)
243:16;315:7	43:22	conclusions (1)	283:1
company (17)	complicate (1)	261:12	con-meds (4)
23:21;52:10;113:9,10;	297:14	conclusive (1)	206:19;208:2,6;
172:1;195:17;207:3;	complicated (2)	217:7	226:11
214:9,11;229:14;241:1;	133:22;252:3	conclusively (1)	connect (3)
242:1;243:10;249:19;	complications (2)	220:7	14:21;41:15;285:5
251:13;288:20;319:10	64:17;298:7	concur (3)	Connecticut (1)
company's (1)	component (3)	180:6;339:18;349:9	296:7
316:18	103:11;141:14;293:14	condition (15)	connecting (1)
comparative (1)	components (6)	13:6;76:17;79:7;	15:9
209:1	138:16;139:8,17;	82:22;94:17,20;95:22;	connection (3)
comparator (4)	145:19;148:5;328:17	114:16;163:18;174:3;	53:22;74:20;347:20
181:18;258:5,15;	composition (2)	175:20;176:3;180:4;	Conotoxin (2)
309:22	153:16;163:22	269:11;299:22	142:22;168:16
comparators (2)	compound (10)	conditions (24)	cons (2)
246:13;258:8	152:11;173:1;203:21;	15:2;34:16;58:9;	64:19;317:3
compare (4)	214:22;215:10;219:5;	98:18;107:13;137:4;	consensus (3)
177:10;181:18;	242:20;254:20;308:6;	163:14;174:6;176:10;	65:7;188:16;189:11
279:18;342:9	341:6	178:18,19;180:16;	conserved (2)
compared (8)	compounds (21)	200:11,12;213:6,11;	145:20;146:6
129:3,13;205:17;	160:7;180:1;197:14;	238:11;246:1;249:6;	consider (6)
236:19;281:20;288:2;	201:2,10;229:6,16,17,	259:15,17;293:12,13;	80:10;103:20;181:3;
308:20;341:19	21;231:6,19;264:2;	344:17	222:1;317:20;318:1
comparing (1)	267:8;268:10;303:4,5;	conducive (1)	considerably (2)
238:18	304:9;309:6;341:1,4;	220:18	177:6,12
comparison (4)	342:22	conducted (3)	considered (3)
109:7;182:16;208:10,	comprehensive (1)	192:21;247:20;345:2	173:16;181:14;327:12
15	35:15	conducting (2)	considering (1)
-			
comparisons (1)	compromised (1)	342:1,14	213:11
70:1	212:13	conduction (1)	consistent (2)
compelled (1)	computation (2)	203:16	46:4;246:12
258:18	210:4,8	cone (18)	consistently (2)
compelling (1)	computer (1)	136:17,18;137:3,10,	33:4;35:4
244:19	260:2	17,18;138:2,9;139:14;	consists (2)
compensate (1)	concealed (1)	143:5;148:17,18,19;	4:19;278:16
27:5	203:2	149:7;154:12,13,19;	CONSORT (2)
compete (1)	concentrated (1)	165:16	350:17;351:2
243:11	293:19	conference (1)	consortium (4)
competition (1)	concentrations (1)	227:22	14:2,5;189:5,19
285:1	287:19	confidence (6)	constant (1)
		200:19;223:19;230:9;	284:18
competitor (1)	concept (8)		
317:21	31:18;38:9;42:10;	231:14;240:11;324:7	constantly (1)
complaint (1)	193:7;239:11;253:5,17;	confident (1)	141:4
115:16	305:16	218:10	constitutively (1)
		contidential (1)	2771.1
complete (1)	concepts (1)	confidential (1)	327:1
151:7	concepts (1) 231:11	206:10	constricted (1)
	concepts (1)		
151:7 completed (4)	concepts (1) 231:11 concern (6)	206:10 confined (1)	constricted (1) 187:21
151:7	concepts (1) 231:11	206:10	constricted (1)

construct (2) 56:18,19 constructs (1) 134:1 consultant (1) 195:12 consulted (1) 229:11 consumption (1) 198:10 contact (2) 113:18:206:18 contain (11) 78:12;87:7;128:22; 280:8,9,16;289:14,15; 290:1;293:17,18 containing (2) 276:4;292:8 contains (1) 91:9 context (9) 54:10;210:13;232:18; 237:18;238:22;239:1,1; 240:13;343:9 continence (1) 246:11 continents (1) 238:1 continual (1) 47:14 continue (5) 44:14;75:5;142:11; 173:13:190:10 continued (1) 231:5 continues (1) 273:18 continuing (2) 103:13;271:21 continuous (1) 324:19 contraction (1) 277:4 contributed (1) 260:8 contribution (3) 62:4;86:19;110:9 contributions (1) 214:4 contributors (3) 77:8,22;253:11 control (28) 5:20;50:22;58:18; 89:20;92:17;93:2,6; 98:19;99:1,18;117:20; 118:12,15,22;122:19; 128:16;129:13;130:5, 15;197:10;236:19; 254:21;256:18,20; 277:6;314:19;325:6; 342:10 controllable (1) 89:22

Transformative Strategi	Transformative Strategies-Development of Pain TherapiesJune 24, 2014			
controlling (1)	30:10,16;35:12,19;36:1;	couple (14)	347:12;350:4	cyclic (1)
348:15	37:8,12,17;38:4,10;39:2;	59:11;61:6;72:11,14;	critical (5)	287:20
controls (1)	40:19;41:4;45:11,17,20;	73:12;75:18;108:12;	94:8;108:19;133:2;	cyclosporine (4)
226:9	46:14;48:21;49:4,5,11,	124:2;130:12;132:16;	144:19;263:13	38:20;72:19;73:4,4
controversial (3)	11;50:10;52:1,6,15,21;	212:4;225:17;265:2;	critters (1)	cystitis (2)
69:8;78:19,22	53:6;67:1,10,12,14,20;	267:13	157:14	257:13,14
contulakin (1)	69:2,3,13;70:10;74:19;	coupling (2)	Crohn's (3)	207.10,11
184:4	78:1,3,5;79:16;80:18,19;	273:15,20	9:14,15,17	D
contulakin-G (1)	82:21;83:3,11,21;84:7;	courage (1)	cross (3)	
152:4	85:4,12,14;87:19,20;	264:2	143:9;145:16;287:12	daily (7)
conus (5)	90:6;97:8;98:11;101:20;	course (54)	cross-linked (1)	73:4;297:12;310:15,
139:16;142:14;153:1,	103:10;105:10,11,15;	22:11;25:19;26:22;	139:9	20;314:4,5;324:20
19;160:10	106:13,15,21;117:14;	30:10;66:11;69:18;71:7;	CRPS (1)	damage (6)
convection- (1)	135:20;145:11;152:17;	78:13;79:9;82:19;91:18;	92:1	31:6;37:11;114:12;
21:9	172:9;174:20,22;176:15,	140:18;143:6,8,15,18;	crush (1)	174:22;176:19,21
convection-enhanced (1)	20;181:1;188:11,13	144:1,17;145:9;153:8;	71:15	damaged (1)
21:4	core (4)	159:15;162:18;163:8;	CSF (4)	22:20
convenience (1)	11:15;46:7;105:8;	174:3;188:8;197:11;	18:20;70:18;84:18;	dangerous (1)
230:12	175:5	205:15;225:8;227:2;	175:4	346:10
convenient (1)	co-release (1)	228:21;229:9;241:7;	cubital (2)	dangers (1)
251:21	283:4	260:16;261:8;269:4,9;	281:22;282:2	178:17
conventional (3)	co-released (1)	277:6;278:5;282:3,20;	cultural (2)	dark (2)
223:1,4;224:18	282:17	283:22;284:18;285:10;	239:1;350:12	171:4;309:2
Convergance (1)	corollary (1)	287:20;290:6,11;292:5,	culture (5)	DARPA (2)
343:19	178:9	11;303:16;313:14;	16:10;32:6;58:6;	15:17;16:17
convergence (5)	coronaries (1)	315:3;323:20,21;345:19	158:10;226:6	data (76)
238:10;240:7;246:10;	323:16	cousin (1)	cultured (2)	11:12;13:4;23:11;
249:3;269:21	coronary (1)	313:18	199:2,17	25:20,22;26:15;35:9;
convergent (3)	294:9	cover (2)	cultures (1)	36:18;43:5;47:10;48:11;
236:20;237:22;246:6	correctly (1)	193:18;225:5	15:4	52:8;69:18;70:7;102:5;
convergently (1)	26:8	covers (2)	cumbersome (1)	108:10;118:9;122:6,16;
249:8	correlate (1)	75:17;76:22	21:19	130:12;131:5;182:10,
conversation (1)	215:21	Craig (4)	cumulative (2)	15;187:4;198:1;201:6;
333:4	correlated (1)	140:4,12;141:16;	209:15;329:14	210:15;214:5;218:6;
conversations (1)	282:6	151:21	cure (1)	219:16;220:17;230:13;
191:11	correlates (1)	Craig's (1)	163:17	231:12;232:19;233:14;
conversely (1)	45:18	142:6	curiosity (2)	238:9;242:5,12;245:11;
200:1	correlation (2)	cranial (2)	348:7,10	247:10;250:6,10;251:7;
convert (1)	45:12,14	276:9;282:13	curious (5)	252:17;253:15;254:17;
63:8	cortex (14)	crawl (2)	184:3;219:2;259:9;	259:19;260:16,16,19;
converted (1)	79:8;80:15,16,22;	39:20;149:19	260:3;269:1	262:15;267:14;268:4;
25:2	81:4,8,11,22;82:6,7;	cream (1)	Curiously (1)	287:9;296:18,22;
converting (1)	83:15,16;273:15;284:11	134:10	154:11	303:13;306:22;316:18;
6:22	cortical (6)	create (4)	curl (1)	318:8;319:1,3;336:17;
converting-enzyme (1)	31:21;54:18;83:10;	15:20;17:2,8;310:17	129:21	341:11;342:14;343:20;
196:22	106:11,12;276:21	created (2)	current (1)	346:11,18;347:5,6,12,
convince (3)	cortical-derived (1)	313:4;323:9	286:12	19,19,20;348:4;352:11
32:10;85:19;86:3	38:11	creates (1)	currently (15)	date (2)
convinced (1)	cost (3)	267:10	21:13;35:8;43:13;	212:19;213:18
269:3	60:12,14;225:8	creating (2)	52:13;56:17;67:13;	daughter's (1)
convulsions (1)	cost/risk (1)	16:14;302:4	212:17;219:21;222:3;	95:4
141:9	174:15	creatinine (1)	232:7,8;313:10;325:20;	Dave (10)
co-occur (1)	costly (1)	311:8	335:21;342:1	231:2,3;239:7,18;
106:16	219:15	credit (4)	curve (2)	241:9;248:3;250:2;
cool (3)	costs (1)	253:2;264:9;318:1;	46:12;311:10	269:5;270:11,13
56:9,16;110:18	273:4	338:12	cut (2)	Dave's (2)
copies (4)	Cotter (1)	crevices (1)	92:6;236:2	231:13;261:1
68:8;127:10,13;	203:5	149:22	cute (3)	David (1)
311:19	count (1)	crisis (1)	103:21,22;248:9	160:14
cord (92)	156:14	173:8	cutting (1)	Davies (1)
5:20;14:22;15:4,10;	countries (5)	criteria (8)	16:21	241:6
19:1,19,19;23:12,20,22;	207:6;208:1,8;212:10;	202:21;206:5,9;	cycle (1)	Davis (1)
24:3,7;25:16;27:11,13;	238:2	222:14;249:19;339:1;	113:20	197:20

	_			5unc 24, 2014
day (13)	48:17;99:17;159:16	29:17	des (1)	130:20;132:18;140:2;
4:4;8:4;44:21;65:1;	decreased (7)	demethylation (1)	303:10	194:18;234:3,9;235:9;
85:3,7;192:2;231:21;	79:1,5;105:19,22;	8:11	descending (2)	261:4;304:12;305:7,9,
241:12;253:9;324:18;	106:8;156:16;198:3	demographics (1)	77:15;117:18	14;307:17;308:19;
327:10;333:5	deemed (1)	207:16	described (5)	309:21;314:10;315:1,14,
days (34)	242:5	demonstrate (1)	12:8;103:5;235:19;	20;318:9;330:18;
36:3,4,8;37:18;41:10;	deep (3)	218:12	296:11;331:3	331:11;345:14
67:5,7,17;68:16,18;70:4;	19:16;243:3;269:16	demonstrated (4)	describing (1)	developing (24)
72:4;108:12;115:13;	defect (2)	137:2;303:1;328:6;	334:22	49:13;187:19;188:1;
192:15;232:3;235:12;	82:13,14	329:22	description (2)	233:13;239:21;294:11;
247:4;253:6;272:19;	defective (1)	demonstrating (1)	92:3;94:19	295:22;296:3,17;298:8;
276:13;295:18;297:2;	114:15	91:2	desensitized (1)	299:5;306:16;308:5,6;
298:13;316:2;318:19;	defend (1)	demonstration (1)	127:5	314:1,13;315:3,5,15;
320:17;324:16,20,22;	277:18	81:6	deserve (1)	316:10;323:13;330:11;
339:10;343:14;351:21;	defense (1)	demonstrations (1)	213:22	335:20;336:9
353:10	278:5	332:13	design (12)	development (30)
De (1)	deference (1)	demyelinated (1)	43:1,9;50:7;51:1;	5:5;80:22;112:16;
77:16	230:1	24:3	147:13;205:9;211:21;	119:6;120:10;130:6;
dead (1)	define (1)	den (1)	308:10;340:16;343:4;	143:3;183:14;184:5,12;
92:18	242:10	150:10	344:9;346:20	193:14;195:14;197:15;
deadening (1)	defined (3)	dendrite (5)	designed (1)	199:16;201:2;210:17;
151:3	37:5;154:2;297:1	88:17,21,22;89:11,19	221:14	224:1,6,15;229:20;
deadly (1)	definitely (7)	dendrites (1)	designing (1)	231:6;232:18,20;
139:2	90:17;101:21;112:18;	274:14	351:10	264:14;272:5;314:18;
deafferentation (1)	136:13;337:20,22;344:4	Dennis (4)	desisted (1)	316:6;325:21;334:18;
219:6	definitive (2)	191:4;195:2;227:21;	200:17	337:7
dealing (3)	228:14;230:1	332:10	desperate (2)	device (7)
76:6;214:10;342:11	degenerating (1)	department (2)	177:22;187:11	49:6,10,16,17,20,21;
death (9)	39:20	112:12;272:9	Despite (3)	147:9
13:5,12;28:4,22;31:6;	degeneration (4) 31:17;54:9,12;68:8	dependent (4) 127:6;271:1,4;322:20	5:10;226:9;245:12 destabilization (1)	devoid (1) 278:1
41:11;72:13;174:9; 186:12	degraded (1)	depending (1)	128:4	Dhruv (1)
debate (2)	325:19	100:9	destined (1)	62:4
167:9;243:9	degranulation (1)	dephosphorylate (1)	83:10	diabetes (1)
debated (1)	299:15	132:12	destroy (1)	105:5
170:8	degree (4)	dephosphorylates (1)	59:17	diabetic (10)
debilitating (1)	224:9;253:21;260:1;	132:13	destroyed (1)	105:7;203:6;206:3;
298:15	302:4	depiction (1)	7:10	213:10;220:9;234:10;
			1.10	213.10.220.9.234.10.
decade (2)	degrees (4)	209:17		
decade (2) 256:22;323:4	degrees (4) 129:17,18;130:1,10	209:17	destruction (2)	257:4;344:20;345:4,19
256:22;323:4				
	129:17,18;130:1,10	209:17 depolarization (1)	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1)
256:22;323:4 decades (2)	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2)	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9)	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1)	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2;	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1)
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4)	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1)	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5)	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2;	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1)	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10;	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1)
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6)	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6)	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5;	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1)	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3)	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1)
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6) 201:6,12;241:19;	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5; 109:3;164:18;170:13	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1) 141:9	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3) 114:9,19;116:20	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1) 206:14
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6) 201:6,12;241:19; 250:7;252:6;347:9	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5; 109:3;164:18;170:13 delivered (3)	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1) 141:9 depression (3)	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3) 114:9,19;116:20 detectible (2)	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1) 206:14 die (16)
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6) 201:6,12;241:19; 250:7;252:6;347:9 decision-making (1)	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5; 109:3;164:18;170:13 delivered (3) 109:17;171:7;184:21	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1) 141:9 depression (3) 211:11;278:6,11	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3) 114:9,19;116:20 detectible (2) 226:10,13	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1) 206:14 die (16) 12:11,15;27:18;28:5,
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6) 201:6,12;241:19; 250:7;252:6;347:9 decision-making (1) 244:7	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5; 109:3;164:18;170:13 delivered (3) 109:17;171:7;184:21 delivering (2)	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1) 141:9 depression (3) 211:11;278:6,11 derive (1)	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3) 114:9,19;116:20 detectible (2) 226:10,13 determines (1)	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1) 206:14 die (16) 12:11,15;27:18;28:5, 5;29:11;36:6,6,13;52:6;
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6) 201:6,12;241:19; 250:7;252:6;347:9 decision-making (1) 244:7 decisions (3)	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5; 109:3;164:18;170:13 delivered (3) 109:17;171:7;184:21 delivering (2) 22:12;110:6	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1) 141:9 depression (3) 211:11;278:6,11 derive (1) 81:8	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3) 114:9,19;116:20 detectible (2) 226:10,13 determines (1) 241:17	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1) 206:14 die (16) 12:11,15;27:18;28:5, 5;29:11;36:6,6,13;52:6; 84:19,21;128:5;165:21;
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6) 201:6,12;241:19; 250:7;252:6;347:9 decision-making (1) 244:7 decisions (3) 222:19;230:7;232:4	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5; 109:3;164:18;170:13 delivered (3) 109:17;171:7;184:21 delivering (2) 22:12;110:6 delivery (23)	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1) 141:9 depression (3) 211:11;278:6,11 derive (1) 81:8 derived (4)	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3) 114:9,19;116:20 detectible (2) 226:10,13 determines (1) 241:17 develop (20)	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1) 206:14 die (16) 12:11,15;27:18;28:5, 5;29:11;36:6,6,13;52:6; 84:19,21;128:5;165:21; 186:4;323:11
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6) 201:6,12;241:19; 250:7;252:6;347:9 decision-making (1) 244:7 decisions (3) 222:19;230:7;232:4 declare (1)	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5; 109:3;164:18;170:13 delivered (3) 109:17;171:7;184:21 delivering (2) 22:12;110:6 delivery (23) 21:4,10,22;22:4;50:5;	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1) 141:9 depression (3) 211:11;278:6,11 derive (1) 81:8 derived (4) 54:19;59:4,5;262:18	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3) 114:9,19;116:20 detectible (2) 226:10,13 determines (1) 241:17 develop (20) 60:2;98:14;116:13;	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1) 206:14 die (16) 12:11,15;27:18;28:5, 5;29:11;36:6,6,13;52:6; 84:19,21;128:5;165:21; 186:4;323:11 died (2)
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6) 201:6,12;241:19; 250:7;252:6;347:9 decision-making (1) 244:7 decisions (3) 222:19;230:7;232:4 declare (1) 202:17	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5; 109:3;164:18;170:13 delivered (3) 109:17;171:7;184:21 delivering (2) 22:12;110:6 delivery (23) 21:4,10,22;22:4;50:5; 64:9;66:17;67:6;120:4;	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1) 141:9 depression (3) 211:11;278:6,11 derive (1) 81:8 derived (4) 54:19;59:4,5;262:18 deriving (1)	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3) 114:9,19;116:20 detectible (2) 226:10,13 determines (1) 241:17 develop (20) 60:2;98:14;116:13; 118:5;123:14;152:20;	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1) 206:14 die (16) 12:11,15;27:18;28:5, 5;29:11;36:6,6,13;52:6; 84:19,21;128:5;165:21; 186:4;323:11 died (2) 173:5;277:21
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6) 201:6,12;241:19; 250:7;252:6;347:9 decision-making (1) 244:7 decisions (3) 222:19;230:7;232:4 declare (1) 202:17 declared (1)	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5; 109:3;164:18;170:13 delivered (3) 109:17;171:7;184:21 delivering (2) 22:12;110:6 delivery (23) 21:4,10,22;22:4;50:5; 64:9;66:17;67:6;120:4; 123:11;147:9;170:7,15;	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1) 141:9 depression (3) 211:11:278:6,11 derive (1) 81:8 derived (4) 54:19;59:4,5;262:18 deriving (1) 185:18	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3) 114:9,19;116:20 detectible (2) 226:10,13 determines (1) 241:17 develop (20) 60:2;98:14;116:13; 118:5;123:14;152:20; 168:15;169:2;264:15;	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1) 206:14 die (16) 12:11,15;27:18;28:5, 5;29:11;36:6,6,13;52:6; 84:19,21;128:5;165:21; 186:4;323:11 died (2) 173:5;277:21 dies (1)
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6) 201:6,12;241:19; 250:7;252:6;347:9 decision-making (1) 244:7 decisions (3) 222:19;230:7;232:4 declare (1) 202:17 declared (1) 350:11	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5; 109:3;164:18;170:13 delivered (3) 109:17;171:7;184:21 delivering (2) 22:12;110:6 delivery (23) 21:4,10,22;22:4;50:5; 64:9;66:17;67:6;120:4; 123:11;147:9;170:7,15; 171:5,11,17,21;172:3;	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1) 141:9 depression (3) 211:11;278:6,11 derive (1) 81:8 derived (4) 54:19;59:4,5;262:18 deriving (1) 185:18 dermal (1)	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3) 114:9,19;116:20 detectible (2) 226:10,13 determines (1) 241:17 develop (20) 60:2;98:14;116:13; 118:5;123:14;152:20; 168:15;169:2;264:15; 294:13;296:15;311:4;	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1) 206:14 die (16) 12:11,15;27:18;28:5, 5;29:11;36:6,6,13;52:6; 84:19,21;128:5;165:21; 186:4;323:11 died (2) 173:5;277:21 dies (1) 54:3
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6) 201:6,12;241:19; 250:7;252:6;347:9 decision-making (1) 244:7 decisions (3) 222:19;230:7;232:4 declare (1) 202:17 declared (1) 350:11 decline (1)	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5; 109:3;164:18;170:13 delivered (3) 109:17;171:7;184:21 delivering (2) 22:12;110:6 delivery (23) 21:4,10,22;22:4;50:5; 64:9;66:17;67:6;120:4; 123:11;147:9;170:7,15; 171:5,11,17,21;172:3; 177:11;181:20;183:5;	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1) 141:9 depression (3) 211:11;278:6,11 derive (1) 81:8 derived (4) 54:19;59:4,5;262:18 deriving (1) 185:18 dermal (1) 200:8	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3) 114:9,19;116:20 detectible (2) 226:10,13 determines (1) 241:17 develop (20) 60:2;98:14;116:13; 118:5;123:14;152:20; 168:15;169:2;264:15; 294:13;296:15;311:4; 325:15;329:8;335:4,7,	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1) 206:14 die (16) 12:11,15;27:18;28:5, 5;29:11;36:6,6,13;52:6; 84:19,21;128:5;165:21; 186:4;323:11 died (2) 173:5;277:21 dies (1) 54:3 difference (22)
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6) 201:6,12;241:19; 250:7;252:6;347:9 decision-making (1) 244:7 decisions (3) 222:19;230:7;232:4 declare (1) 202:17 declared (1) 350:11 decline (1) 51:10	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5; 109:3;164:18;170:13 delivered (3) 109:17;171:7;184:21 delivering (2) 22:12;110:6 delivery (23) 21:4,10,22;22:4;50:5; 64:9;66:17;67:6;120:4; 123:11;147:9;170:7,15; 171:5,11,17,21;172:3; 177:11;181:20;183:5; 184:13,14	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1) 141:9 depression (3) 211:11;278:6,11 derive (1) 81:8 derived (4) 54:19;59:4,5;262:18 deriving (1) 185:18 dermal (1) 200:8 dermatitis (1)	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3) 114:9,19;116:20 detectible (2) 226:10,13 determines (1) 241:17 develop (20) 60:2;98:14;116:13; 118:5;123:14;152:20; 168:15;169:2;264:15; 294:13;296:15;311:4; 325:15;329:8;335:4,7, 16,18;336:11;352:13	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1) 206:14 die (16) 12:11,15;27:18;28:5, 5;29:11;36:6,6,13;52:6; 84:19,21;128:5;165:21; 186:4;323:11 died (2) 173:5;277:21 dies (1) 54:3 difference (22) 48:12,14;107:22;
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6) 201:6,12;241:19; 250:7;252:6;347:9 decision-making (1) 244:7 decisions (3) 222:19;230:7;232:4 declare (1) 202:17 declared (1) 350:11 decline (1) 51:10 decompression (1)	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5; 109:3;164:18;170:13 delivered (3) 109:17;171:7;184:21 delivering (2) 22:12;110:6 delivery (23) 21:4,10,22;22:4;50:5; 64:9;66:17;67:6;120:4; 123:11;147:9;170:7,15; 171:5,11,17,21;172:3; 177:11;181:20;183:5; 184:13,14 delta (3)	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1) 141:9 depression (3) 211:11;278:6,11 derive (1) 81:8 derived (4) 54:19;59:4,5;262:18 deriving (1) 185:18 dermal (1) 200:8 dermatitis (1) 212:5	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3) 114:9,19;116:20 detectible (2) 226:10,13 determines (1) 241:17 develop (20) 60:2;98:14;116:13; 118:5;123:14;152:20; 168:15;169:2;264:15; 294:13;296:15;311:4; 325:15;329:8;335:4,7, 16,18;336:11;352:13 developed (30)	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1) 206:14 die (16) 12:11,15;27:18;28:5, 5;29:11;36:6,6,13;52:6; 84:19,21;128:5;165:21; 186:4;323:11 died (2) 173:5;277:21 dies (1) 54:3 difference (22) 48:12,14;107:22; 108:5;130:8;144:20,20;
256:22;323:4 decades (2) 229:7;281:6 decide (4) 28:6,14;51:4;245:6 decided (4) 19:22;142:14;241:2; 249:22 decision (6) 201:6,12;241:19; 250:7;252:6;347:9 decision-making (1) 244:7 decisions (3) 222:19;230:7;232:4 declare (1) 202:17 declared (1) 350:11 decline (1) 51:10	129:17,18;130:1,10 delay (2) 67:4,7 deleted (1) 128:18 delightful (1) 295:14 deliver (6) 25:14;54:21;67:5; 109:3;164:18;170:13 delivered (3) 109:17;171:7;184:21 delivering (2) 22:12;110:6 delivery (23) 21:4,10,22;22:4;50:5; 64:9;66:17;67:6;120:4; 123:11;147:9;170:7,15; 171:5,11,17,21;172:3; 177:11;181:20;183:5; 184:13,14	209:17 depolarization (1) 143:21 depolarize (1) 90:9 depolarizing (1) 120:9 deposit (1) 38:9 depressed (1) 141:9 depression (3) 211:11;278:6,11 derive (1) 81:8 derived (4) 54:19;59:4,5;262:18 deriving (1) 185:18 dermal (1) 200:8 dermatitis (1)	destruction (2) 198:17;248:6 detail (9) 31:21;161:15;210:2; 238:4;249:18;252:4; 254:14;261:18;293:20 details (5) 20:21;161:14;250:10; 315:11;322:8 detect (3) 114:9,19;116:20 detectible (2) 226:10,13 determines (1) 241:17 develop (20) 60:2;98:14;116:13; 118:5;123:14;152:20; 168:15;169:2;264:15; 294:13;296:15;311:4; 325:15;329:8;335:4,7, 16,18;336:11;352:13	257:4;344:20;345:4,19 diagnosis (1) 26:20 diagram (1) 78:1 diameter (2) 276:22;288:2 Diane (1) 168:10 diaries (1) 206:14 die (16) 12:11,15;27:18;28:5, 5;29:11;36:6,6,13;52:6; 84:19,21;128:5;165:21; 186:4;323:11 died (2) 173:5;277:21 dies (1) 54:3 difference (22) 48:12,14;107:22;

211:7;212:9;226:4; 284:8 dilate (254:9:257:9:315:18: 324:6:351:2 291:3 differences (5) dilates 291:6 47:18;48:10;51:15; 185:20;205:3 dilemm different (123) 36:17 4:21;7:1;9:5;14:7,19; dimensi 16:18;17:3;19:22;21:2; 244:6 22:10:30:9:34:4,10; dinner 32:10 44:9;45:10;46:3;61:4; 62:19;74:16;81:3;102:1; direct (103:6,7;106:13,17; 62:16 107:14;110:22;120:16; 225:3 124:21;131:8;133:15; directe 134:11;146:8,8;149:3,8, 4:18; 18;153:11,12;155:17; directio 158:9,19,22;159:2,10; 210:2 328:1 161:11,11,22;167:7,12; 169:22,22;170:17,18; directio 172:21;176:14;182:20, 242:2 21;201:20;203:1,3; directio 213:9;216:5;223:22; 194:3 224:15;227:2,2;230:5; directly 237:22;238:1,1,2,2,4,5,5, 19:8; 5,6;239:15,18;241:12, 151:6 directo 18;245:13,14,18,21,22, 22;246:2,2,2,5,5,6,15,16; 4:11; 247:22;254:18;259:6, dirtier 15:260:4:263:21; 171:1 264:22;267:6;269:22; dirty (1 276:20:277:1:285:8: 265:1 289:2;297:21;311:19; disagre 315:4,6,6;316:22; 175:1 320:22;326:16,17,20; disappe 334:10;337:22;342:12, 71:6; disappe 16 differentiate (8) 274:1 33:14,20;39:21;62:16; disappo 146:1:158:18:159:10; 179:4 229:4 discipli differentiated (3) 338:4 61:15;62:21;102:17 disclos 137:1 differentiates (3) 62:14;223:15;224:13 disclosu differentiating (1) 113:7 disconn 157:18 340:4 differentiation (5) 12:13;61:18;62:14; disconn 186:16;247:3 243:1 differently (2) discont 159:1:335:7 312:8 discont difficult (14) 14:13;19:2;40:15; 306:1 41:8;60:20;76:18; discove 157:17;170:3;174:12; 7:16; 299:5 201:16;288:8;321:22; 325:15;339:8 discove difficulties (3) 196:3 69:3:174:1:192:22 discove diffuse (1) 160:6 235:9 discove

Development of Pain T	Therapies
284:8;289:4	discredited (1)
late (1)	8:17
291:3	discuss (11)
lates (1)	54:4;64:20;124:16;
	261:18;284:5;296:8
291:6	
lemma (2)	305:4;313:13;315:1
36:17;194:2	317:17;334:16
mension (1)	discussed (11)
244:6	91:21;173:20;204:1
nner (1)	205:12;219:8;252:4
32:10	270:20;274:10;280:1
rect (5)	285:15;292:4
62:16;109:6;113:18;	discussing (5)
225:3;327:5	73:13;203:15;212:1
rected (2)	213:4;219:21
4:18;287:15	discussion (27)
rection (5)	6:21;20:21,22;45:6;
210:20;211:10;	60:1;71:17;73:6;75:
328:10;352:6;353:5	94:4;169:12,16;188:1
rectionality (1)	192:22;211:19;225:1
242:21	242:6;243:22;265:3,1
rections (1)	269:2;271:19;279:2
194:3	295:2;303:2;323:1;
rectly (5)	326:9;332:6
19:8;63:8;141:14;	discussions (3)
151:6;238:9	65:18;191:12;208:1
rector (2)	disease (56)
4:11;326:3	6:16;10:3,11;11:18;
rtier (1)	12:2,3,18;13:9,11;14
171:1	9,12,15;17:13;19:12
rty (1)	20:11;26:22;27:6,7;
265:11	29:3,3;36:11,12,14;54:
sagree (2)	12;60:4,6;65:16;67:
175:17;238:16	74:17;80:11,11;94:1
sappear (2)	17;103:19;171:13;
71:6;286:8	185:17;196:21;272:2
sappeared (2)	273:22;275:7;287:2
274:19;282:8	296:19,20,20;297:6,
sappointing (1)	11,14,20;298:17,21
179:4	299:19,20;301:2
sciplined (1)	diseased (1)
338:4	7:22
sclosure (2)	disease-modeling (1)
137:15;295:20	13:2
sclosures (3)	diseases (9)
113:7;137:13;229:11	4:22;6:12;10:12;
sconnect (2)	18:10;25:10;29:5;189
340:4,13	3,20
sconnected (1)	dish (21)
243:15	6:14;8:4,12;9:17,18
scontinuation (1)	10:11,13;11:5,9,19,2
312:8	12:18;13:5,14,15,19,2
scontinued (2)	14:10;15:9;34:2;63:2
306:18;312:3	disorder (4)
scovered (6)	12:6;26:20;186:8;
7:16;153:22;296:11;	275:2
299:5,9;300:2	dispersion (1)
scoverer (1)	239:17
196:3	disposable (2)
scovering (1)	147:1,10
160:6	disproven (1)
scovery (2)	343:13
139:16;299:8	dissect (1)

284:4dissociated (2) 32:21,22 dissociation (2) 5:296:8: 33:5;284:17 3:315:11: distal (1) 303:7 distance (3) 20;204:11; 113:22;194:9;272:12 8;252:4; distinct (2) 10;280:13; 110:10;201:16 distinguishes (1) 169:3 5;212:17; distribute (2) 61:5;82:5 distributed (2) 22;45:6; 155:15;319:18 73:6;75:2; distribution (4) 16;188:16; 43:12;93:4;155:14; 19;225:16; 353:15 disulfide (1) :265:3.11; 9;279:2; 139:9 diverse (2) 264:3;266:21 diversity (1) 2;208:19 142:1 divide (6) ;11:18; 72:6,11,14;232:12; 9,11;14:1, 297:6,19 3:19:12; divided (1) :27:6.7: 296:21 dividing (2) 12.14:54:8. 5:16:67:4; 101:12,13 ,11;94:15, diving (1) 154:16 21;272:20; division (2) 7;287:2; 72:8:326:3 0;297:6,7, divisions (1) 8:17,21; 72:7 dizziness (1) 261:22 **DNA (6)** 63:13,13,16,17; 127:20;133:16 doc (1) 90:4 29:5;189:1, docks (1) 278:18 docs (1) 9:17,18; 56:13 :5.9.19.20; **DoD** (1) 4.15.19.22: 53:18 4:2;63:22 dogs (2) 294:8;323:16 dollars (1) 348:16 domain (1) 315:17 dominant (1) 128:16 **Don** (1) 15:13 done (67)

June 24, 2014

6:18;13:8;43:20;45:9; 46:17;48:19;49:15; 52:12,19:53:13:56:9; 76:20;87:2;88:12;89:3; 92:20;93:3,12;95:11; 97:18,19;100:6,8;123:9; 124:14;125:13;132:22; 148:19:155:20:165:4; 167:14;170:15;178:13; 187:8;199:16;202:20; 206:7;208:3;214:8; 218:20;223:2;235:3,21; 242:10;247:12,15; 249:6;252:20;254:22; 255:3;260:9;263:5; 266:1;280:20,22; 282:11;290:20;305:16; 327:9;329:20;334:15; 337:2;341:8;343:7; 345:21;346:16;349:12 doom (1) 193:11 door (1) 95:5 dopamine (5) 19:11;20:6,11;22:9; 31:15 dorsal (21) 23:12;48:6,8;71:9,20; 78:6.11:85:4.5:87:19: 88:5:90:11:95:17:96:11; 98:11;108:3;117:14; 198:3;199:2;204:6; 293:21 dose (29) 43:11;45:9,12,19; 46:9,10,11,13:47:20; 139:4;202:9;206:20; 208:11;213:2,4;252:15; 254:7:286:3,6,14; 305:18:317:5.5:320:6. 16;322:18,20;324:3,4 dose-(1) 208:18 dosed (2) 258:14;270:14 dose-ranging (1) 43:18 dose-response (1) 202:12 doses (15) 45:10;46:3,8;47:1; 216:16,16;285:2; 308:11;309:12;310:1; 320:21,21;321:13;322:9, 12 dosing (9) 119:11;123:3;202:7,7; 216:21;270:18;321:1,14, 14 dot (1) 38:17 dots (2)

dilatation (2)

I ransformative Strategie	es-Development of Pain	nerapies		June 24, 2014
90.5.01.9	21,199,4 6 9 0,100,5 7	207.10.14	Demondries (10)	62.10
89:5;91:8	21;188:4,6,8,9;190:5,7,	207:10,14	Dworkin (19)	62:19
double (2)	8;191:3;195:2;214:15,	dropping (2)	191:8;194:6;205:13;	edema (1)
20:17,19	16;215:2,8,12,15,18,19,	284:22;285:1	294:21;326:1;331:20,	300:8
double-blind (1)	22;216:4,6;217:13;	drosophila (3)	22;332:7;334:6;336:13;	edge (3)
318:14	218:6,11,13,15,17;	155:3;168:2,4	337:17;339:2;340:20;	16:21;27:5;188:19
doublecortin (1)	219:1,8;220:21,22;	Drs (1)	342:18;344:13;345:16;	Edinburgh (1)
101:11	221:12,19,21,22;222:1,	326:1	346:7;351:13;353:9	349:12
double-labeled (1)	5,6,8,12;223:13;226:18;	drug (49)	dye (3)	edit (1)
91:10	227:21;228:7;264:19;	11:2,3;13:4;20:15;	97:16;290:5,11	9:8
doublings (2)	265:5;266:11,13;267:3,	21:6;28:21;44:2;109:12;	dying (6)	editorial (1)
33:11,17	12;268:7,21;269:1,18;	121:8,9;122:9;123:10;	40:1,3;56:21;68:12,	310:3
doubt (1)	270:3,5,7,8,10,11;271:7,	131:21;132:1;142:20;	13:186:6	editor-in-chief (2)
136:1	8,17;272:6,17;292:20;	144:14;145:10;146:4;	dyskinesia (1)	75:15,16
down (28)	293:6,15;294:15,21;	147:9;171:1;176:15;	55:12	Edvinsson (15)
26:16;27:2,10,12,12;	295:9,17;296:9;299:9;	184:15;197:6;204:20,	dysregulated (1)	272:7,16,17;293:15;
35:18;38:15;41:10;46:9;	300:21;302:22;305:13;	22;206:20;210:6,7,9;	163:16	296:9;299:9;300:21;
54:12;61:14;62:9,9,18;	306:22;318:5;323:14;	218:17;221:16,17;	dystonic (1)	302:22;305:13;306:22;
113:22;120:7,10;123:4,	326:1,2,8,10;327:17,18;	223:22;224:10,15;	76:16	318:5;323:14;326:2;
4;150:19;151:13;	328:11,13;329:9,18;	226:6;229:20;244:10;	70.10	339:2,3
172:18;184:5;240:22;	330:7;331:6,9,20,20,22;	257:1;261:3,4,7,21;	Ε	effect (50)
241:10;279:21;319:15;	332:7,22;334:6,12,14;	263:20;270:14;286:12;	–	19:15;39:5,10,16;
342:6	336:13,22;337:17,18;	334:18;344:21;345:7	earlier (6)	46:17,19;47:15;51:6;
down-regulated (1)	339:2,2,3,17;340:20;	drug- (1)	29:21;86:20;135:22;	56:22;67:21;69:21;70:6;
127:5	341:13;342:18,19;	105:5	188:22;344:15;347:13	74:7;111:2;123:5;130:6;
downside (3)	344:13;345:10,16;346:4,	drug-related (1)	early (33)	131:2,4,9;132:13;134:6,
59:18;170:3;173:22	7,9;349:2;351:13,14,19;	308:2	31:22;52:11;67:9;	7;136:1;150:8;168:18;
downstream (1)	353:9	drugs (31)	73:22;84:21;97:22;	179:22;184:8;200:2,3;
318:7	drag (1)	10:16,17,21;11:4;	104:9;108:9,14;115:4;	204:3;205:1,15;206:16;
dox (1)	141:5	14:12;25:14,17;28:20,	116:21;171:11,14;	210:6;212:12;217:1;
56:11	dramatic (4)	22;64:2;70:17,21;	197:19;200:17;232:1;	218:2,17;221:5,6,12;
doxycycline (4)	70:11;77:3;130:8;	177:11;180:7;181:20;	234:1;237:17;250:20;	223:8;262:5;278:20;
55:18,22;56:1,2	131:4	177.11,180.7,181.20, 182:21,21;193:2;208:7;	251:1;252:13,22;253:6;	286:21;287:1;288:15;
Doyal (1)	dramatically (2)			291:15;292:17;327:13
132:20	232:22;233:15	210:5,15;216:20;222:3, 7;224:7,8;247:5;259:21;	263:2,13;270:21; 278:10;281:13;292:21;	effective (27)
dozens (1)	drastic (1)	335:7;349:4,6	295:7;309:1;314:18;	46:16;80:3;95:12;
346:16	96:5		319:1	40.10,80.5,95.12, 98:2;106:6;131:12;
	drawing (1)	drug's (1) 246:20	ease (1)	
DR (264)	261:11		171:17	152:11;179:8,11,12,19; 181:17;183:7,11;184:7,
4:3,8;5:9;66:14,16,20,		drug-treated (1) 204:15		
21;67:2;68:3,6,12,13,19,	DRG (11) 105:9;158:8;159:11;	dual (3)	easier (3)	9;185:9;202:1,7;257:2;
22;69:4,17;70:14,16;	· · · · · ·	74:10;301:18;322:6	25:6;58:17;68:22	287:8,10;288:4;308:21;
71:21;72:2;73:21;74:3,	160:4,13,18;199:4,8,18;		easily (5)	310:1,6;318:22
4,5,9,11,22;75:9;95:3,	205:21;223:18	dubious (1)	18:19;77:10;189:16;	effectively (1)
10;104:16,18;105:10,11,	DRGs (4)	14:3	279:21;290:14	36:1
18;107:16,21;108:6,16,	14:21;15:3,9;199:12	due (9)	eastern (4)	effector (1)
17;109:1,2,8,14,15;	drive (4)	95:6;116:7;198:17;	207:7;222:2;224:17;	319:7
110:3,7,8,11,14,21;	63:5;90:15;250:19;	218:3;230:1;280:1;	225:7	effects (34)
111:5,8;112:8,9,10,11,	251:11	325:15;343:2,4	easy (5)	11:4;18:9;19:14;
22;133:7,8,12;134:3,5,6,	driven (7)	duloxetine (1)	9:6;65:6;109:5;298:5;	30:14;47:3,4;55:8;
7,13,17;135:12,15,18;	26:16;91:12,17;	221:9	339:6	70:19;71:1,2,5;107:18,
136:4,10;137:8;140:12;	102:18;126:8;223:10;	dura (5)	easy-to-manage (1)	19;108:11;109:13;
147:12;150:12;164:12;	334:19	279:19;280:3,7,15;	298:6	119:16;121:1;123:6,17;
165:1,9,10,11,14;166:9,	drivers (2)	300:9	eat (5)	132:11;161:5,11;
10,17,19,20;167:3,4,6,	241:4;250:7	dural (1)	137:21,21;138:1;	181:12;182:22;197:12;
13,19;168:9,22;169:5,	drives (2)	286:17	154:13,15	213:3;215:3;262:10;
17,19;170:5,14;171:9,	98:20;312:17	duration (4)	eaten (1)	267:6;288:18;293:11;
22;173:4,12,13,17;	driving (6)	206:6;207:20;210:15;	151:1	297:11;312:20;330:4
174:14;175:17,18,19;	7:13;106:20;125:8,12;	321:12	eating (1)	efficacious (2)
177:8,14,15,19;178:7,	126:5;250:17	during (9)	139:4	341:1;345:7
21,22;179:3;180:13,14;	drop (1)	162:19,20;232:20;	ECG (1)	efficacy (90)
182:17;183:12,16,17,18,	149:9	237:12;245:4;252:5;	204:17	126:19;131:13;
19,20,21;184:13,18,19,	drop-outs (1)	261:18;303:22;338:12	echo (1)	152:18;173:9,10;
22;185:1,8,14,22;	321:18	dwell (1)	134:21	176:11;177:9;179:1;
186:22;187:3,11,15,18,	dropped (2)	254:14	ectoderm (1)	181:15;182:8;202:9;
	1	1	1	1

Min-U-Script®

	Transformative Strategies-Development of Pain Therapies June 24, 2014				
203:10;208:9,14,20;	186:19	77:3	62:10,13,15	entire (4)	
209:2,17;210:2,9,18;	electrophysiologist (1)	emphasize (4)	engage (1)	82:8;90:20;240:21;	
211:9;212:10;213:1,15;	326:14	54:7;192:5;208:18;	216:11	313:14	
		224:2		entirely (1)	
224:11;228:15,18; 229:5;230:6;242:5,19,	elegans (1) 155:6	empirical (1)	engaged (3) 91:16;195:13;219:11	161:22	
22;245:12;247:18;	elegant (3)	179:20		entities (1)	
	37:10;77:17;240:15		engine (1) 319:10	346:17	
250:13,15,20;251:1,2;		enables (1) 27:16			
252:13,17;253:1,22;	elements (3) 103:6;125:6;290:2	encephalitis (1)	engineer (4) 9:6,7;25:16;64:18	entity (2) 241:5;289:1	
256:5,8,17;259:14; 261:9,20;262:6,11;		114:13	engineered (5)	,	
263:2,20;265:6,16;	eletriptan (4) 308:22;309:3,11,11	encephalopathy (1)	34:13;45:22;52:3;	entrepreneur (1) 15:15	
267:8;268:9,18;271:15;	elevated (3)	173:7	133:4;319:6	environment (5)	
286:11,14;303:3;	303:14,15,19	encourage (1)	engineering (3)	39:21;83:12;106:20;	
304:22;305:2,18,19,20;	elicit (1)	333:13	16:5;34:20;133:3	251:17;301:12	
307:5,9;308:13;309:1,	278:8	encouraged (1)	engineers (1)	envision (1)	
15;312:17;316:17;	eliminated (1)	210:19	34:22	259:11	
318:16,20;319:1,3;	199:2	encouragement (2)	England (4)	enzyme (2)	
325:14;331:4;332:13;	ELISA (1)	83:17:349:22	20:1;21:14;57:15;	79:4;81:18	
341:2,9;343:1,16;346:1,	233:12	encouraging (3)	253:20	epidermal (1)	
2,14;349:19;352:10	ELISAs (1)	20:7;136:4;257:21	English (1)	200:8	
efficient (4)	45:16	end (27)	284:10	epigenetics (1)	
14:16;114:1;148:1,16	else (13)	6:22;8:12;11:11,12;	engulf (2)	294:4	
efficiently (3)	42:9;69:15;103:12;	37:1;44:20;61:19;76:3;	147:17;149:15	epilepsy (3)	
72:20;124:21;144:7	225:2;266:1;268:5;	84:15,18;143:12;	engulfed (1)	79:8,18;152:2	
effort (6)	286:15;302:17;303:7;	145:16;147:5;164:5;	150:21	epileptic (1)	
14:2;59:21;182:12,13;	313:17;320:2;321:20;	182:1;200:14;208:11;	enhance (3)	284:12	
189:5;219:2	328:22	215:5;246:12;272:2;	103:10;126:18;179:22	episodes (2)	
efforts (2)	elucidated (1)	292:1,21;295:4,7;	enhanced (1)	204:13;212:5	
5:11;6:9	196:15	343:14;351:13;353:10	21:10	episodic (14)	
EGF (2)	EM (1)	endeavor (1)	enhances (2)	296:21;297:3;303:20;	
32:2;58:4	88:14	338:2	200:2,5	304:3;315:22;316:1,6;	
egg (1)	EMA300 (1)	ended (6)	enjoy (1)	320:19;321:3,4;322:8;	
62:6	217:5	7:6;20:18;88:4;	196:2	328:15,18;330:16	
eight (2)	EMA401 (16)	137:15;249:21;271:12	enjoyed (1)	equipment (1)	
98:14;214:6	197:15;199:20;200:5;	ending (1)	69:5	219:16	
eightfold (1)	203:21;205:10;207:8,	326:6	enkephalin (14)	equity (1)	
311:10	10;208:16;210:10,22;	endings (3)	103:8,9;117:14,15,18;	229:18	
eight-week-old (1)	211:7,22;212:3,5;	300:18;302:8,9	118:3,8,17,21;119:9,14;	equivalent (4)	
90:7	213:18;216:18	andodomm (1)			
		endoderm (1)	135:16,19;172:6	109:11;139:3;147:10;	
Einstein (1)	e-mail (2)	62:19	enkephalin-producing (1)	202:11	
295:10	137:13;353:13	62:19 endogenous (1)	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4	202:11 era (3)	
295:10 Einstein's (1)	137:13;353:13 Emax (2)	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1)	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1)	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1)	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21)	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4)	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1)	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9,	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2)	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1)	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2;	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13)	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9)	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13,	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4;	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6)	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7;	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1)	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17;	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8;	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8;	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16;	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17; 164:14;168:16;208:5;	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8; 101:4;104:12;111:17	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8; 291:5	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16; 175:16;221:17;347:7	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7 esoteric (3)	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17; 164:14;168:16;208:5; 237:12;315:1;330:4	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8; 101:4;104:12;111:17 embryos (5)	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8; 291:5 endpoint (9)	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16; 175:16;221:17;347:7 enrolled (1)	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7 esoteric (3) 136:12,13;326:11	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17; 164:14;168:16;208:5; 237:12;315:1;330:4 EKGs (2)	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8; 101:4;104:12;111:17 embryos (5) 7:10;59:17;187:19;	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8; 291:5 endpoint (9) 37:5;46:5;208:9;	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16; 175:16;221:17;347:7 enrolled (1) 336:21	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7 esoteric (3) 136:12,13;326:11 especially (6)	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17; 164:14;168:16;208:5; 237:12;315:1;330:4 EKGs (2) 324:19,20	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8; 101:4;104:12;111:17 embryos (5) 7:10;59:17;187:19; 188:2,2	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8; 291:5 endpoint (9) 37:5;46:5;208:9; 245:17;262:6,12;306:1,	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16; 175:16;221:17;347:7 enrolled (1) 336:21 ensure (1)	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7 esoteric (3) 136:12,13;326:11 especially (6) 75:12;181:4;194:7;	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17; 164:14;168:16;208:5; 237:12;315:1;330:4 EKGs (2) 324:19,20 electrical (3)	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8; 101:4;104:12;111:17 embryos (5) 7:10;59:17;187:19; 188:2,2 emerged (1)	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8; 291:5 endpoint (9) 37:5;46:5;208:9; 245:17;262:6,12;306:1, 5,9	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16; 175:16;221:17;347:7 enrolled (1) 336:21 ensure (1) 219:16	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7 esoteric (3) 136:12,13;326:11 especially (6) 75:12;181:4;194:7; 231:11;247:5;263:13	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17; 164:14;168:16;208:5; 237:12;315:1;330:4 EKGs (2) 324:19,20 electrical (3) 90:11;143:12;289:5	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8; 101:4;104:12;111:17 embryos (5) 7:10;59:17;187:19; 188:2,2 emerged (1) 232:19	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8; 291:5 endpoint (9) 37:5;46:5;208:9; 245:17;262:6,12;306:1, 5,9 endpoints (6)	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16; 175:16;221:17;347:7 enrolled (1) 336:21 ensure (1) 219:16 enter (2)	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7 esoteric (3) 136:12,13;326:11 especially (6) 75:12;181:4;194:7; 231:11;247:5;263:13 essentially (21)	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17; 164:14;168:16;208:5; 237:12;315:1;330:4 EKGs (2) 324:19,20 electrical (3) 90:11;143:12;289:5 electron (2)	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8; 101:4;104:12;111:17 embryos (5) 7:10;59:17;187:19; 188:2,2 emerged (1) 232:19 emerging (3)	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8; 291:5 endpoint (9) 37:5;46:5;208:9; 245:17;262:6,12;306:1, 5,9 endpoints (6) 245:14;252:10;263:9,	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16; 175:16;221:17;347:7 enrolled (1) 336:21 ensure (1) 219:16 enter (2) 117:11;159:19	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7 esoteric (3) 136:12,13;326:11 especially (6) 75:12;181:4;194:7; 231:11;247:5;263:13 essentially (21) 9:3;11:20;12:5,20;	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17; 164:14;168:16;208:5; 237:12;315:1;330:4 EKGs (2) 324:19,20 electrical (3) 90:11;143:12;289:5 electron (2) 104:9;106:22	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8; 101:4;104:12;111:17 embryos (5) 7:10;59:17;187:19; 188:2,2 emerged (1) 232:19 emerging (3) 157:3;182:18;183:3	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8; 291:5 endpoint (9) 37:5;46:5;208:9; 245:17;262:6,12;306:1, 5,9 endpoints (6) 245:14;252:10;263:9, 10;307:6,7	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16; 175:16;221:17;347:7 enrolled (1) 336:21 ensure (1) 219:16 enter (2) 117:11;159:19 entering (2)	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7 esoteric (3) 136:12,13;326:11 especially (6) 75:12;181:4;194:7; 231:11;247:5;263:13 essentially (21) 9:3;11:20;12:5,20; 16:3;18:6,14;43:2;	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17; 164:14;168:16;208:5; 237:12;315:1;330:4 EKGs (2) 324:19,20 electrical (3) 90:11;143:12;289:5 electron (2)	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8; 101:4;104:12;111:17 embryos (5) 7:10;59:17;187:19; 188:2,2 emerged (1) 232:19 emerging (3)	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8; 291:5 endpoint (9) 37:5;46:5;208:9; 245:17;262:6,12;306:1, 5,9 endpoints (6) 245:14;252:10;263:9,	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16; 175:16;221:17;347:7 enrolled (1) 336:21 ensure (1) 219:16 enter (2) 117:11;159:19	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7 esoteric (3) 136:12,13;326:11 especially (6) 75:12;181:4;194:7; 231:11;247:5;263:13 essentially (21) 9:3;11:20;12:5,20;	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17; 164:14;168:16;208:5; 237:12;315:1;330:4 EKGs (2) 324:19,20 electrical (3) 90:11;143:12;289:5 electron (2) 104:9;106:22 electronic (1) 225:4	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8; 101:4;104:12;111:17 embryos (5) 7:10;59:17;187:19; 188:2,2 emerged (1) 232:19 emerging (3) 157:3;182:18;183:3 eminence (1)	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8; 291:5 endpoint (9) 37:5;46:5;208:9; 245:17;262:6,12;306:1, 5,9 endpoints (6) 245:14;252:10;263:9, 10;307:6,7 ends (3)	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16; 175:16;221:17;347:7 enrolled (1) 336:21 ensure (1) 219:16 enter (2) 117:11;159:19 entering (2) 10:2;145:15	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7 esoteric (3) 136:12,13;326:11 especially (6) 75:12;181:4;194:7; 231:11;247:5;263:13 essentially (21) 9:3;11:20;12:5,20; 16:3;18:6,14;43:2; 61:15;62:9;131:15;	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17; 164:14;168:16;208:5; 237:12;315:1;330:4 EKGs (2) 324:19,20 electrical (3) 90:11;143:12;289:5 electron (2) 104:9;106:22 electronic (1)	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8; 101:4;104:12;111:17 embryos (5) 7:10;59:17;187:19; 188:2,2 emerged (1) 232:19 emerging (3) 157:3;182:18;183:3 eminence (1) 81:9	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8; 291:5 endpoint (9) 37:5;46:5;208:9; 245:17;262:6,12;306:1, 5,9 endpoints (6) 245:14;252:10;263:9, 10;307:6,7 ends (3) 87:5,20;185:3	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16; 175:16;221:17;347:7 enrolled (1) 336:21 ensure (1) 219:16 enter (2) 117:11;159:19 entering (2) 10:2;145:15 enters (1)	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7 esoteric (3) 136:12,13;326:11 especially (6) 75:12;181:4;194:7; 231:11;247:5;263:13 essentially (21) 9:3;11:20;12:5,20; 16:3;18:6,14;43:2; 61:15;62:9;131:15; 138:21;147:8;151:4;	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17; 164:14;168:16;208:5; 237:12;315:1;330:4 EKGs (2) 324:19,20 electrical (3) 90:11;143:12;289:5 electron (2) 104:9;106:22 electronic (1) 225:4 electron-lucent (1)	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8; 101:4;104:12;111:17 embryos (5) 7:10;59:17;187:19; 188:2,2 emerged (1) 232:19 emerging (3) 157:3;182:18;183:3 eminence (1) 81:9 eminent (1)	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8; 291:5 endpoint (9) 37:5;46:5;208:9; 245:17;262:6,12;306:1, 5,9 endpoints (6) 245:14;252:10;263:9, 10;307:6,7 ends (3) 87:5,20;185:3 endurance (3)	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16; 175:16;221:17;347:7 enrolled (1) 336:21 ensure (1) 219:16 enter (2) 117:11;159:19 entering (2) 10:2;145:15 enters (1) 116:18	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7 esoteric (3) 136:12,13;326:11 especially (6) 75:12;181:4;194:7; 231:11;247:5;263:13 essentially (21) 9:3;11:20;12:5,20; 16:3;18:6,14;43:2; 61:15;62:9;131:15; 138:21;147:8;151:4; 173:9;189:15;214:2;	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17; 164:14;168:16;208:5; 237:12;315:1;330:4 EKGs (2) 324:19,20 electrical (3) 90:11;143:12;289:5 electron (2) 104:9;106:22 electronic (1) 225:4 electron-lucent (1) 276:5 electron-microscopic (1) 88:10	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8; 101:4;104:12;111:17 embryos (5) 7:10;59:17;187:19; 188:2,2 emerged (1) 232:19 emerging (3) 157:3;182:18;183:3 eminence (1) 81:9 eminent (1) 101:18 Emory (1) 43:6	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8; 291:5 endpoint (9) 37:5;46:5;208:9; 245:17;262:6,12;306:1, 5,9 endpoints (6) 245:14;252:10;263:9, 10;307:6,7 ends (3) 87:5,20;185:3 endurance (3) 196:18;294:22;353:12 end-use (1) 337:9	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16; 175:16;221:17;347:7 enrolled (1) 336:21 ensure (1) 219:16 enter (2) 117:11;159:19 entering (2) 10:2;145:15 enters (1) 116:18 entertaining (1) 169:8 enthusiasm (3)	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7 esoteric (3) 136:12,13;326:11 especially (6) 75:12;181:4;194:7; 231:11;247:5;263:13 essentially (21) 9:3;11:20;12:5,20; 16:3;18:6,14;43:2; 61:15;62:9;131:15; 138:21;147:8;151:4; 173:9;189:15;214:2; 216:9;237:10;253:21; 271:13 establish (2)	
295:10 Einstein's (1) 24:14 either (21) 8:2;47:22;49:11;50:9, 21;84:10;92:18;109:2; 118:7;121:13;122:13, 19;124:7,10;160:17; 164:14;168:16;208:5; 237:12;315:1;330:4 EKGs (2) 324:19,20 electrical (3) 90:11;143:12;289:5 electron (2) 104:9;106:22 electronic (1) 225:4 electron-lucent (1) 276:5 electron-microscopic (1)	137:13;353:13 Emax (2) 284:22;285:1 embryo (4) 81:1,17;84:2;111:18 embryonic (13) 7:5,11,17,19;8:13;9:4; 61:12;62:10;80:16;82:8; 101:4;104:12;111:17 embryos (5) 7:10;59:17;187:19; 188:2,2 emerged (1) 232:19 emerging (3) 157:3;182:18;183:3 eminence (1) 81:9 eminent (1) 101:18 Emory (1)	62:19 endogenous (1) 46:2 endometriosis (1) 257:20 endothelial (2) 16:1,5 endothelium (6) 16:13;279:3,4,5,8; 291:5 endpoint (9) 37:5;46:5;208:9; 245:17;262:6,12;306:1, 5,9 endpoints (6) 245:14;252:10;263:9, 10;307:6,7 ends (3) 87:5,20;185:3 endurance (3) 196:18;294:22;353:12 end-use (1)	enkephalin-producing (1) 119:4 enkephalins (1) 172:7 enormous (1) 348:21 enough (9) 38:15;60:8;110:7; 112:10,11;174:16; 175:16;221:17;347:7 enrolled (1) 336:21 ensure (1) 219:16 enter (2) 117:11;159:19 entering (2) 10:2;145:15 enters (1) 116:18 entertaining (1) 169:8	202:11 era (3) 7:5;22:6;344:12 ergotamine (1) 304:9 escalation (1) 252:15 escape (1) 265:7 esoteric (3) 136:12,13;326:11 especially (6) 75:12;181:4;194:7; 231:11;247:5;263:13 essentially (21) 9:3;11:20;12:5,20; 16:3;18:6,14;43:2; 61:15;62:9;131:15; 138:21;147:8;151:4; 173:9;189:15;214:2; 216:9;237:10;253:21; 271:13	

established (3) event (1) 115:20:218:5:252:9 143:11 events (17) establishes (3) 113:14:114:2:115:5 estimated (2) 115:14;207:5 estimation (1) 209:15 estrogen-evoked (1) eventual (1) 199:1 67:21 eventually (11) et (13) 49:12;83:14;202:22; 229:18;246:3,18;252:10, 10;260:11,12,12;347:16, 17 ethical (1) everybody (11) 7:5 ethically (1) 58:17 ethics (5) 7:12;28:18;173:14,22; 244:8 everyone (4) 174:11 ethologically (1) 216:7 321:20 ethos (1) 104:20 68:12 etiology (2) evidence (8) 96:3:107:14 Etlin (1) 90:4 Euro (1) evolution (1) 220:3 325:7 Europe (10) 207:7:208:4:209:9: 325:8 222:2;223:3;224:17,19; evolve (6) 225:8,12;345:3 European (1) 345:22 evolved (11) euthanize (1) 100:8 euthanized (1) 99:19 evaluated (1) evolving (1) 298:7 343:6 Evans (2) exact (2) 290:4,17 201:15,18 even (54) Exactly (7) 8:3;13:20;29:20;40:8; 42:12;44:15;46:12,15; 50:11;59:21;63:3;67:8; 334:1 exaggerate (1) 70:11:82:11:83:13; 89:20;105:3;107:13; 130:3 118:19;123:4;132:6; examine (1) 145:14;146:11;148:15; 275:22 examined (1) 150:6;155:16;172:1; 183:4;188:2;191:19; 202:9 211:18;222:6;224:20; example (38) 233:10;237:12;246:4; 264:3;266:8,13;269:10; 286:12;289:10;291:21; 292:21;293:9,13; 303:21;312:9;321:21; 341:7;343:4,12,13;350:3

178:9:179:14:198:10: 221:6:223:18:225:5: 246:8:261:5:265:8; 8:11;127:2;144:19; 276:1:282:15:285:11: 313:22;324:17;334:17, 204:19;207:12,14; 212:1;306:14,15;307:16, 17 20;308:3;321:19;322:2, examples (9) 3,15:323:11 35:17:86:15:125:11; 179:16;332:11,14; 341:6;343:16;351:8 excellent (2) 38:14:56:19:62:20; 290:13:312:13 67:3;176:1;247:1; except (5) 302:10;310:20;312:8; 111:14;152:5;161:22; 314:7;322:6 204:9;228:20 exception (3) 211:1;212:2;230:1 4:4;5:10;52:15;77:11; excessively (2) 116:4;190:10;267:16; 295:17,19;324:15;331:1 206:12,13 Everybody's (1) excitation (2) 159:22;205:20 excitatory (2) 78:22;108:21;136:10; 78:13;205:20 excited (3) Everything's (1) 20:18;103:13;122:7 excitement (2) 30:2;191:18 25:12;119:6;135:15; exciting (8) 181:4;256:8;299:11; 13:6;58:19;63:12; 341:22:352:10 73:21:75:7:104:16; 189:12:217:14 excluded (2) evolutionary (1) 350:5.10 excludes (1) 206:10 143:4;297:15;298:12; excluding (2) 302:17;325:9;328:15 337:15;350:4 exclusion (1) 202:21 138:7,10;144:3; 146:11:147:9:157:15; exclusively (1) 166:5:182:4:194:17: 33:22 235:1:272:1 exercise (1) 294:10 exercised (1) 294:8 exist (3) 153:11;158:3;348:22 74:11;173:6;178:8; existing (2) 191:20;244:14;326:7; 150:17;179:22 expand (3) 33:9,14:42:14 expanded (2) 32:2;42:12 expect (6) 207:19;208:3;211:2, 13;215:4;349:19 expected (5) 15:21;87:9,17;88:13; 226:12;266:8;277:6; 89:1,10,16;90:6;93:13; 281:17;322:2 97:3,22;99:20;103:8; expensive (3) 21:21;60:21;247:5 116:20:118:9:119:4: 121:1;124:10;126:4; experience (15) 129:21;159:15;174:5; 78:9;117:4;167:10;

171:1;174:7;175:6; 182:14:183:19:239:11. 20,20;240:4;247:21; 251:14:253:3 experiences (2) 17:15;219:19 experiment (12) 93:3;109:9,10;122:17; 123:3,9;125:3;126:10; 128:20;140:13;141:16; 158:20 experimental (4) 246:1;251:5;263:6,10 experiments (16) 74:1;117:2,5;120:12; 122:1;128:15;130:13; 201:7;239:16;245:22; 276:19;279:7,11; 280:22;281:20;290:20 expert (1) 134:22 expertise (2) 218:22;247:21 experts (1) 189:7 explain (3) 162:21;210:3;216:17 explained (1) 4:22 explanation (1) 184:11 exploratory (1) 308:11 explored (1) 215:11 exploring (2) 264:10:266:20 expose (1) 8:5 exposure (1) 311:9 exposures (1) 312:7 express (1) 97:7 expressed (7) 123:19,19;126:11; 127:10,18;130:21;165:4 expresses (4) 98:9;118:7;128:8; 129:2 expressing (4) 86:10;89:19;90:19; 125:18 expression (13) 91:5;114:17;118:17; 119:13;124:12;126:1, 21;133:16;146:6,8,14; 199:11,13 exquisite (1) 313:15 exquisitely (1) 345:21

June 24, 2014

extend (2) 67:17:146:19 extended (1) 147:4 extensible (1) 146:19 extensively (2) 219:9:229:12 extent (7) 71:6;82:20;94:12,15; 105:12;107:12;266:6 external (3) 240:1;281:21;301:11 extra (1) 230:9 extracephalic (1) 329:1 extracranial (1) 286:17 extraordinarily (1) 145:20 extreme (1) 178:8 extremely (1) 92:10 extremes (1) 323:14 extrudes (1) 147:1 eve (2) 54:11:235:16 eyeball (1) 38:3 EZ (5) 57:15,17,21;58:3,6 E-Zed (1) 57:16 F F2(1) 149:5 fabulous (2) 264:1;272:19 face (3) 223:4;282:21;296:14 faced (1) 56:21 facet (1) 252:11 facets (1) 350:1 facial (2) 95:20;96:12 facilitate (3) 333:12;334:3,4 facilitated (3) 239:3;240:2;241:19 facilitation (1) 77:15 facilitators (1) 245:5 facility (3)

Transformative Strategie	es-Development of Pain T	herapies		June 24, 2014
11.15.22.2.24.16	169.10.174.16.194.4.	footunes (1)	124.5.145.10.169.14.	(1.9
11:15;33:3;34:16	168:10;174:16;184:4;	features (4)	124:5;145:10;168:14;	61:8
fact (45)	253:11;269:2;273:3;	135:7;260:10;328:16;	198:18;200:8;276:8,15,	finished (2)
8:20;9:12;12:10;13:2;	290:4;347:2	329:8	18;280:6,6,8,9;289:18;	48:13;321:10
14:13;16:10;32:14,21;	family (7)	feed (3)	292:4,7,8,10	finishing (1)
33:10;37:22;51:13;63:6;	18:5,8;28:13,18;	102:20;238:5;246:2	field (28)	49:19
65:3;69:1,22;82:6;	157:21;232:15;287:13	feedback (1)	7:12,14,21;8:16,18;	Finrap (1)
85:19;89:13;94:5;	fan (4)	213:7	11:22;17:9;23:7;30:6;	220:5
102:16;106:16;120:15;	185:15;215:5;263:8;	feel (10)	48:22;55:10;58:20;65:8;	fire (2)
143:6;144:15;145:4;	266:7	119:8;167:3,20;	68:16;73:11;116:5;	90:9;145:10
146:7;149:2,8;151:10;	fantastic (5)	222:10;251:16;253:12;	135:2;160:12;176:9;	fireworms (1)
161:6;162:11,12;	36:10;38:2;42:6;	258:11,17;347:6,8	188:21;214:19;216:9;	154:15
171:11;186:10;192:14;	66:14;170:1	feeling (6)	220:14;233:7;277:12;	firing (1)
193:16;194:3;245:12;	fantastically (1)	44:9;54:22;94:4;	278:6;314:20;349:11	186:20
255:4;257:16;267:4;	350:14	181:16;250:14;251:20	fifth (1)	first (72)
311:18;313:2,3;344:18	far (23)	feels (2)	309:21	4:6;5:22;6:10;12:1,17;
factor (14)	70:12;80:3;85:10;	44:11;260:4	figure (9)	14:1;19:10;33:13;43:9;
8:7,8;25:5;50:3;63:3;	123:4;135:4,21;148:20;	feet (1)	148:10;153:15;229:2;	58:1;61:1;68:16;69:10,
69:6,10;70:13;98:9;		23:6		
	165:1;166:22;167:18;		230:4;241:14;249:15;	17;72:6;73:9,16;74:21;
198:11;232:13;233:12;	180:4;192:19;202:15;	feet-forward (1)	281:5;323:12;349:1	77:14;84:1;93:13;117:2,
266:14,21	214:9;218:7,20;257:1;	98:21	figures (1)	4;122:8;132:3;134:21;
factors (15)	308:17;318:20;319:1,3;	Feldman's (1)	236:16	139:12;143:5;145:18;
22:3;34:21;52:4;	336:18;343:10	69:18	files (1)	149:15;150:19;163:5;
62:17,18;63:5,9;64:3,5;	Farrar (1)	fellow (2)	44:3	169:18;175:21;180:11;
111:4;170:18;202:18;	209:11	83:19;97:14	filing (1)	193:18;194:6;195:10,
241:18;298:19,19	fasciculations (1)	felt (1)	42:21	20;196:5;197:6,9;
faculty (4)	28:8	251:16	fill (2)	205:13;214:1;216:15;
4:20;6:7;11:17;104:8	fascinating (1)	female (1)	38:16;234:6	229:12;232:11,15;234:3,
fail (6)	203:7	207:18	final (4)	13;239:7;243:8;248:20;
268:12;336:5;346:14;	fashion (4)	fertilization (1)	43:16,21;208:10;	250:9;253:6;255:8;
349:6,15,18	94:13;96:10;103:9;	62:7	322:22	259:11,17;275:15;
failed (13)	109:17	fervor (1)	Finally (22)	278:13;281:9;283:16;
20:20;21:12;69:19;	fast (6)	7:11	10:14;13:22;24:11,15;	284:21;288:17;301:19;
211:6;256:16;304:22;	26:1;50:18,18,19;	fetal (18)	27:5;28:11;48:13;49:22;	305:16;314:12;316:21;
305:2;325:14;340:22;	148:9;217:17	26:11,16,17;31:22;	54:1;67:8;100:14;232:6;	317:13;318:11;336:4;
341:4,8,15;342:22	faster (1)	44:16;55:9;57:12;58:8,	236:9,10;240:6,21;	342:4
fails (1)	309:8	11,13,15;59:1,2,2,9,17;	242:20;246:11;249:21;	Firstly (1)
346:21	fastest (1)	100:15;129:10	263:19;275:12;309:18	203:8
failure (3)	309:7	fetal- (1)	financing (1)	fish (34)
210:4;236:11;344:2	fatal (1)	59:3	184:15	137:21;143:8;144:11,
failures (4)	178:18	fetal-derived (2)	find (28)	12,13,16;145:21;146:19,
192:22;193:15;	fatality (2)	33:7;59:8	56:7;58:9;61:20;92:9;	21,22;147:14,16,17,18,
312:11;341:11	138:12;139:6	FETELL (3)	97:8,9;115:18;126:22;	20;148:2,6,11,13;149:9,
Fair (1)	favor (3)	73:21;74:4,9	146:14;148:5;154:9,21;	9,15,18,21;150:7,9,22;
110:7	209:9;256:12;261:13	fetus (2)	161:21;177:7;229:21;	151:4,6,7,11;165:15,22;
fairly (6)	favorably (1)	100:16,17	233:11;251:22;269:16;	167:10
120:12;133:15;	262:10	few (35)	276:14;280:7,21;	fish-hunting (7)
196:15;209:21,21;220:7	favoring (1)	7:16;14:14;18:1;19:7;	289:19;297:17;307:20,	143:5;148:17,18;
faithfully (1)	256:3	52:19;61:22;77:12;	21;316:3;318:2;347:15	149:3,7;154:12;165:15
74:12	favorite (3)	78:10;84:8;108:12;	finding (5)	fit (1)
fall (1)	76:21;77:11;154:18	119:21;140:1;141:10,	205:1;233:18;237:22;	5:17
161:17	FDA (18)	17;151:16;157:12;	274:9;282:5	five (15)
falling (1)	15:17;17:16;26:8;	158:5,14,15;159:6;	findings (12)	16:18;20:1;27:2;
62:9	36:17;42:21,21;44:1;	172:13;182:15;207:9,	223:21;227:15;	37:17,19;55:16;286:20;
false (3)	53:12;65:3,5,7,17;189:5,	13;209:12;214:20;	234:11;236:21;237:10,	304:18,20;312:10,10;
11:12;20:10;147:19	18;255:1;312:9;317:7;	232:3;239:4;241:20;	17;238:11;240:10;	318:21,21;320:5;326:11
falsely (1)	326:4	252:18;295:11;310:4;	246:9;249:4;263:16;	fix (3)
345:20	FDA's (1)	331:8;333:11;343:17	274:12	80:13,13;244:4
false-positives (1)	175:15	FGF (2)	fine (4)	fixed (1)
127:12	feasible (5)	32:2;58:4	22:21;73:3;110:1;	209:13
familial (3)	45:12,19;47:20;	fiber (2)	140:22	flanks (1)
29:6,7,14	119:12;131:11	78:4;117:11	fingers (1)	127:16
familiar (11)	feature (1)	fibers (20)	102:21	flat (2)
47:6;95:22;167:18;	204:11	71:10;90:13;117:12;	finish (1)	46:12;256:5
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

flovor (2)	27:12;77:2;129:21;	found (25)	29:17	
flavor (3) 235:5;236:6;254:3	248:9	found (25) 33:6;47:14;63:7;82:2,	29:17 frozen (1)	C
Fleetwood (1)	footpad (5)	17;98:7,13;120:1,2;	42:3	G
115:20	116:1,10;123:10;	125:18;127:11,20;129:2,	full (8)	GABA (27)
flexibility (1)	126:5;131:7	9;140:14,17;152:15;	41:3;68:9;206:22;	79:5,20;81:19,20;
65:15	force (1)	154:5,10;226:7,11;	213:1;220:9;274:14;	86:5,6,9,10,13;89:6,8,9,
flimsy (1)	7:13	276:10;277:11;299:7;	290:17;345:13	13;94:13;96:21;101:22;
348:3	forced (1)	311:2	full-blown (1)	106:17;108:20;109:3,
flinch-jump (1)	305:5	founded (1)	298:13	20;111:1,3,14,15,22;
47:7	forces (1)	4:15	fully (4)	117:19:179:17
flip (1)	16:16	founding (1)	218:9;301:2;315:1;	GABA-A (2)
246:21	forebrain (1)	113:8	319:5	179:18,20
flipped (1)	81:8	four (24)	fun (2)	GABA-B (4)
62:21	foreign (1)	7:6;27:12;37:19,20;	65:21;75:18	179:5,6,8;180:3
flipper (1)	133:21	55:6;85:8,16;93:14,16;	function (12)	GABAergic (14)
62:22	forever (2)	107:1,3;131:21;149:2;	24:4;31:5,9;41:2,19;	77:20;78:12;80:17;
flippers (2)	12:22;137:1	188:19;192:10;255:19;	53:7;54:2;94:8;105:20;	81:6,12;82:4;89:18;
62:18;63:4	Forget (2) 243:20;257:7	315:7,13;318:22;321:1,	153:12;202:12;331:5	98:10;101:5;102:18;
float (1) 18:7	,	12,14;324:3,4 four-week (3)	functional (6) 40:8;74:19;90:3;91:1,	103:11;105:8,17;106:12
floods (1)	forgot (1) 134:13	205:9;210:14;211:14	2;326:19	gabapentin (9)
128:3	forgotten (1)	four-week-old (3)	functionally (2)	107:11;202:11;203:9,
floppy (1)	290:14	87:22;91:3,14	144:8;159:11	18;208:6;210:12;221:5,
12:4	form (8)	fraction (3)	functioning (1)	9;246:18
flow (7)	13:10;29:4;36:9;	139:21,22;140:15	298:16	GAD (3)
273:11,13,14,16;	128:16;210:21;279:12;	fractions (1)	functions (5)	94:6;105:20,22 GAD-GFP (1)
275:6,17;276:18	316:18;343:19	141:22	24:22;196:13;319:7,	81:18
flows (1)	formal (1)	frame (2)	17;325:6	gain (4)
147:3	131:7	49:1,3	fundamentally (2)	206:1;220:11;317:19;
flying (1)	formation (2)	France (2)	223:22;224:14	345:15
209:8	128:10;248:22	164:8;172:14	funded (2)	gaining (1)
focus (14)	formed (2)	Francisco (1)	175:22;176:1	341:21
25:11;35:18;77:13;	196:8;289:22	95:1	funders (1)	ganglia (8)
114:21;230:10;240:16,	forms (8)	Frank (12)	66:11	166:11,19,20;199:9;
18;245:3;254:16;	15:7;29:14;49:19;	5:9;75:13;77:14;	funding (12)	293:21;303:7;318:6;
284:13;287:17;315:17;	153:11;165:4;298:8;	104:2;137:9;178:21;	15:17;16:17;53:17;	335:16
320:9;332:8	302:18,19	179:5;180:9;192:14;	189:6;212:15;214:11;	ganglion (32)
focused (2)	formulate (1) 306:20	216:2;278:13;332:10	239:1;240:14;241:4,13;	78:6;87:19;95:17,17,
75:7;265:6	formulation (2)	fraught (1)	250:18;350:19	19;96:17;97:4,17,22;
focusing (2) 17:14;35:15	320:14,14	7:6 free (3)	funds (1) 195:14	105:14;125:14;166:17;
folks (7)	forth (1)	306:4,9,10	funny (1)	177:4;198:4;199:2;
101:1;104:11;234:5;	141:7	freely (1)	277:12	204:6;276:11;281:14,
237:8;239:22;241:1,5	fortuitous (1)	206:21	Fura-2 (2)	18;286:19;289:13,14,15,
follow (8)	120:6	FREEMAN (4)	129:11;158:11	19;290:9,12;291:22;
37:15;43:15;57:10;	fortunately (1)	166:10,19;171:9;	further (12)	292:15;293:9,16;294:1; 303:6
100:1;142:7,12;305:22;	85:10	222:12	17:19;21:1;123:14;	ganglionic (2)
336:13	forum (1)	frequency (2)	152:21;187:15;210:16;	81:9;101:18
followed (6)	162:16	302:18,19	234:22;270:18;274:21;	Gary (1)
69:18;82:1;288:8;	forward (22)	frequent (8)	303:19;329:12;352:5	54:13
317:9;324:21;343:22	34:5;41:13;44:17;	297:22;298:1,8;	fuse (1)	gate (10)
following (4)	51:17;57:3;65:17;95:16;	310:13,18;311:3,9;312:7	124:19	98:19;301:6,7,13,13,
35:5;99:21;164:17;	112:20;186:4;193:2;	frequently (3)	fused (1)	14,15,15,22;302:6
255:22	194:20;226:21;227:13;	182:2;183:14;223:9	22:1	gave (23)
follows (3)	230:8;234:22;249:16;	Frey (4)	fusion (1)	53:12;120:19;130:7;
98:17;228:1;238:9	250:1;264:22;333:3;	47:6,13,17;245:15	177:20	134:14;223:19;230:9;
follow-up (2)	337:5;350:14;352:1	friend (2)	future (11)	231:18;239:10;240:10;
110:21;329:18	Fos (4)	19:5;112:4	57:11;157:13;170:2;	249:3;252:12;260:21;
font (3)	91:5,7,9,14	friends (1)	206:16;208:22;230:20;	281:4;285:20;286:3,6;
191:5,6,7 fool (1)	fossa (2)	281:12 front (1)	262:14;265:20;272:4,5;	311:8,10;317:4,8,20;
fool (1) 242:17	281:22;282:2	front (1) 104:3	337:4 fuzzy (1)	318:2,12
foot (4)	foster (1) 264:16	frontal-temple (1)	89:7	GCRP (3)
	204.10	11 ontai-temple (1)	07.1	125:12,15,18

Transformative bulategr	es-Development of I am	incrupics	1	oune 24, 2014
GDNF (88)	231:8	227:10;237:18;245:1;	23:17	295:8,10;308:16;
5:6,16;6:19;17:21,22;	generously (1)	250:5;251:13;269:14;	good (67)	312:15;327:4;339:14
18:2,3,4,12;19:1,4,7,7,	231:16	306:19	4:3;25:11;37:22;38:5,	greater (3)
10,17,21;20:5,7;21:17;	genes (17)	gives (6)	6;39:16;52:15;54:17;	107:3;162:14;171:17
22:1,3;25:20;30:5,9,14;	9:8,8,8;29:12;64:12;	146:9;209:16;235:5;	55:18;57:16;65:8,12,14;	green (7)
31:13,13,19;35:1,3,4;	114:3,18;127:18,21,22;	236:16;252:16;266:22	75:13,16;76:1;96:13;	81:20;86:7;90:7;97:4,
38:22;39:2,4,11,17;40:4,	129:2,7;133:10,22;	giving (10)	108:2,16;111:21;	21;144:21;145:2
19,22;41:2;43:19,22;	158:1;196:14;198:7	64:6;65:21;223:13;	114:22;115:18;119:7;	Greenberg (1)
44:8;45:14,16,17,20,21;	genetic (4)	227:12;229:7;259:21;	125:19;126:1,9,12;	98:7
46:2,15,18;47:1,3,21;	13:10;15:7;29:4;91:6	275:1;303:5;311:13;	129:4;131:14,22;132:3;	grew (1)
52:3;53:18;54:18,21;	genetically (2)	320:16	135:14;138:3;167:4;	136:15
55:2,3,9,22;56:3,5,7,14,	31:18;160:13	glad (1)	169:4;170:11;174:20;	ground (1)
22;57:7,11;60:19;64:7;	geneticist (1)	244:17	177:17,21;178:1;	52:17
	326:13	Glia (3)		
67:4,9,10,14,15;69:12, 21;70:1,2;71:14,16,19;	genetics (3)	25:3,4;289:22	181:18;182:10;214:18; 222:5;224:8;228:12;	group (27) 44:4;66:5;78:20;
	112:8,9;326:18			
74:6,15,18;124:20;		glial (1) 289:21	246:20;253:12;257:14;	207:6;211:20;212:5,6;
170:20	genome (2)		258:11;265:8;269:4;	215:6;219:13;220:7;
GDNF-secreted (1)	125:5;127:17	gloom (1)	271:7;273:10,11;	238:14;240:22;243:2;
49:4	geographical (1)	193:10	285:22;287:1;290:18;	248:2,7,12,16;254:7;
GDNF-secreting (3)	225:16	GLORIOSO (18)	295:17;307:5;338:21,	256:4,12;284:3;288:6;
6:16;45:2;47:8	geographically (1)	71:21;112:3,8,10,21,	22;339:1,5;343:12;	311:21;333:2;334:2;
Gehrig's (4)	202:15	22;133:12;134:5,7;	349:4,5	345:21;349:12
6:16;13:8,10;26:22	geographus (1)	135:12,18;170:5;	gotamines (1)	groups (9)
gel (1)	139:17	185:14;186:22;187:11,	304:13	8:20;10:20;44:3,7;
189:2	gepants (4)	18;188:4,8	government (1)	47:19;48:10;150:12;
Gen (1)	283:13;286:20;288:3;	gloss (1)	167:19	237:7;353:3
66:6	291:19	248:18	Gowing (1)	grow (16)
gene (27)	germ (1)	glutamate (1)	66:6	8:4;9:9;11:8;12:21;
18:18;29:8,20;30:2,2;	87:16	145:12	grab (1)	23:5;32:13,15;33:4,11,
42:18;53:20;56:12;64:5,	German (4)	glutamatergic (1)	136:10	16,18;34:15;35:2;100:3;
15;68:9;112:16;114:13,	219:12;220:9;274:2;	78:4	grade (2)	101:17;103:3
19;118:8;119:19;	345:12	glycine (19)	42:18;43:21	growing (2)
127:14;128:8;131:10,	Germans (1)	78:12;120:1,4,13,14,	gradient (1)	26:13;223:1
13;168:1,2,4;170:6;	345:13	19,22;121:6,7,14,21;	77:18	growth (18)
198:2;275:18,19	Germany (1)	122:6,14,15,21,21;	gradual (1)	22:3;34:20;50:3;52:4;
Genentech (13)	164:7	123:6,16;126:13	208:14	62:17;64:4;69:6,9;
234:4,5;239:19,20,22;	Geron (1)	glycoprotein (1)	graduate (3)	70:13;129:5;170:17;
240:16,18,21;241:11;	23:21	124:19	35:20;55:21;186:14	232:12,16;233:12;
247:13,19;249:7,14	gets (1)	glycosylated (1)	grafting (1)	234:9;266:14,16,21
general (14)	168:19	152:7	37:18	GRP-positive (1)
116:8;117:9;118:2;	GFAP (1)	GMP (5)	grant (6)	71:10
128:1;138:9;153:14;	97:5	33:3;34:16,16;43:21;	42:10,11,13;54:10;	guarantee (2)
211:8;221:7;283:11;	GFAP-positive (1)	58:2	111:6;175:21	193:22;194:1
286:21;309:6;337:19;	39:22	GNF (1)	graph (2)	Guedon (1)
338:17;352:9	GFP (3)	66:17	18:7;248:11	132:18
generalizing (1)	81:19;122:20;131:2	Goadsby (1)	graphs (1)	Guess (11)
338:16	GFP-expressing (1)	281:4	46:4	24:15;170:14;178:16;
generally (1)	130:19	Goadsby's (1)	gratified (1)	194:17;215:1;218:1,12;
210:20	GFR (3)	274:21	253:8	222:16;253:17;344:16;
generate (6)	18:11,12;30:11	goal (2)	gratifying (2)	348:11
8:22;9:2;10:5,7;58:10;	GI (1)	15:19;51:19	191:12,17	guidance (2)
103:12	243:20	goes (11)	graveyard (1)	194:19;272:4
generated (4)	gift (1)	23:18;27:15;41:10;	257:14	guide (1)
58:5;77:1;87:3;267:15	15:14	50:18;62:7;113:19;	gray (1)	189:21
generating (3)	Gill (2)	135:21;146:20;328:10;	38:13	guidelines (3)
86:20;187:14;260:13	19:5;21:13	335:17;338:11	grayish (1)	202:19;350:16,17
generation (2)	Ginty (1)	Goins (1)	254:4	Guido (1)
287:21;304:13	160:14	132:17	great (24)	37:8
gene-related (1)	girl (1)	gold (3)	4:5;18:18;26:3,3;40:5;	gung (1)
299:1	273:7	89:5,17,17	75:10;76:1;78:10;113:2;	20:1
generic (1)	given (13)	golden (2)	153:19;185:16;221:20;	gut (6)
336:4	6:18;47:3;63:20;	344:11;351:22	224:6;226:18;261:7;	9:16,17,19;16:14,15;
generous (1)	115:11;120:22;174:4;	Goldman (1)	269:12;271:10;272:12;	166:3

I ransformative Strategi	es-Development of Pain	literapies	1	Julie 24, 2014
guy (4)	153:17;204:8;344:5	274:13	highlight (2)	48:5;71:9,20;78:11;
24:11,12;142:13;	hardest (1)	heightened (1)	213:21;344:14	48.5,71.9,20,78.11, 85:4,5;88:5;96:11;
230:22	170:6	99:2	highly (10)	
	harpoon (2)	99.2 Heinz (1)	114:7,15;121:20;	98:11;108:1,3,4;117:14 horrific (1)
guys (6) 47:5;149:15;219:2;	147:6,21	162:10		27:6
		held (1)	122:4;139:9;146:19;	
315:10;337:15;339:14	harpoon-like (1) 147:1	247:13	147:6;153:20;154:3; 216:21	hospital (1) 6:5
Н	Harvard (2)	help (9)	high-profile (1)	host (16)
			326:12	21:5;64:15,17;86:21;
habit (1)	15:13;98:7 HD (2)	25:17;53:14;59:16; 64:3,8;65:17;107:15;	hind (2)	
habit (1)			248:2,10	87:3,5,6,10;88:15,21; 89:2,14,18;90:1,17,22
348:5	14:5;187:7	179:21;346:19		
hair (1) 100:3	HDAC (1) 132:14	helping (1) 231:9	hindered (1) 288:14	hot (1) 276:13
half (11)	HDACs (1) 132:14	hemodynamics (1) 306:17	hinting (1) 198:9	hour (2) 147:17;245:19
32:18;78:3;84:6;	head (3)	hemorrhage (2)	hints (1)	hours (21)
147:18;192:2;207:22;	85:15;346:13,15	277:18,22	198:20	92:9;131:21;132:1;
209:5;219:14;223:11;		Henderson (1)		140:21;219:14;235:11;
228:9;293:18	headache (12)	31:14	hip (1) 282:3	
half-hour (1) 169:12	212:4;282:17,18,20, 22;283:6,7;296:6;297:2,	hepatotoxicity (1)	histamine (3)	252:18;271:3,3,14; 273:1;306:3,4,10,11;
half-life (7)	9;298:13;318:19	311:4	158:21;280:18;281:1	
			history (11)	309:2,11,13,14;324:13, 17
131:22;252:14;313:8,	headache-like (1) 277:14	here's (19) 39:8,15;78:6;84:6,6;	5:16;25:7;184:6;	HSV (1)
20;314:2;316:12;320:15 half-lives (1)	headaches (5)			133:18
313:6	298:2;303:20;314:4,5,	85:4,7,15;87:17;88:2,13,	196:2,3,6;231:5;254:13; 278:14;340:22;341:4	HSV-1 (1)
hallmark (1)	298.2,505.20,514.4,5,	17;89:10,11,16;90:6; 149:14;160:19;284:15	hit (1)	115:6
	headed (1)	herpes (2)	213:1	HSV-2 (1)
114:10 hallmarks (1)	40:5	113:11;115:8	HIV (4)	115:6
238:8	heading (1)	HERTZ (3)	105:5;198:6;226:2,6	huge (8)
hand (3)	296:5	265:5,5;266:13	ho (1)	6:8;14:2;23:7;60:13;
27:9;244:14;264:7	heads (1)	heterodimers (1)	20:1	66:5;72:20;149:12;
hands (4)	141:7	128:19	hold (3)	164:6
52:4;244:3;288:17;	health (1)	heterogeneity (1)	255:1;257:19;258:19	human (53)
291:20	275:7	105:2	holding (1)	4:8;9:1,1;10:13;13:6;
happen (16)	healthcare (2)	heterogeneous (2)	48:22	15:2;16:20;17:13;19:19;
25:21;29:1;63:16;	28:17:225:4	107:13;345:3	home (2)	24:16;26:16,17;27:11;
65:19;73:18;156:4;	healthy (5)	heteromer (1)	83:14;95:4	38:12,17,18,22;39:1;
180:22;189:16;215:20;	12:16;63:16;99:6;	162:14	homeostatic (2)	40:2;41:9;42:22;43:22;
238:21;240:13;244:20;	178:14;251:6	heteromeric (5)	319:17;325:5	64:1;73:18;100:17;
300:21;311:1;312:7;	hear (14)	153:16;157:15,19;	homologue (1)	101:3;131:12;151:20;
322:15	22:13;23:1;64:12;	158:4;162:13	152:5	152:2;153:2;154:10;
happened (16)	136:17;137:3;171:16,	hiding (1)	homologues (1)	155:11;187:22;188:5;
234:2;254:14;274:6;	20;193:12;230:3;	149:22	155:12	199:7,8,17;204:12;
281:15;286:4;294:9;	240:19;243:6;253:8;	high (12)	homomer (1)	223:18;235:8;240:9,10;
307:10,11,12;308:16;	345:2;349:8	40:16;45:19;82:14;	162:15	247:15;251:1,5;270:2;
310:2,10;312:2;324:18;	heard (15)	88:2;145:22;206:12;	honest (1)	279:18;281:1;284:9;
325:1;346:22	30:6,8;75:22;110:4;	264:11;293:18;302:18;	84:17	315:1;323:16;338:8;
happening (6)	190:1;192:19;193:5;	317:5;318:16;320:5	honestly (1)	346:14
11:8;70:11;194:4;	243:13,14;248:8;	high- (1)	89:4	human- (1)
234:19;263:3;301:17	267:18;339:19;342:19,	196:16	hope (12)	38:18
happens (21)	20;344:9	high-content (1)	17:1;24:4;33:3;42:17;	humanized (2)
21:16;36:4;118:3;	hearing (5)	160:1	59:15;63:20;65:18;89:7;	315:1;319:5
144:16;145:8,14;	112:20;191:13;	high-end (1)	182:10;192:14;332:22;	humans (16)
146:22;149:19;157:1;	227:17,18;272:13	336:5	351:21	9:1;14:15;44:1;52:20;
162:19;165:16;172:19;	heart (4)	higher (7)	hoped (1)	112:19;131:22;172:12,
209:7;238:13,22;287:2;	9:10;32:9;178:10;	177:13;213:4;216:16;	332:10	13;179:11;190:1;
291:18;294:12;300:13,	294:7	285:2;286:6;303:21;	hopefully (4)	280:20;323:18;327:5;
17;323:5	heat (6)	341:20	52:16;80:3;263:11;	331:18;346:19;347:7
happy (7)	93:19;116:7;129:16;	highest (6)	272:2	humor (1)
44:4;53:12;56:4;86:2;	131:4;245:16;327:7	202:9;254:6;317:16;	hoping (5)	62:6
186:10;214:13;315:11	heat-related (1)	320:6;324:3,4	67:17;134:8,9;193:17;	hunch (1)
hard (6)	129:19	high-frequency (3)	194:18	194:10
17:11;58:9;116:3;	heavy (1)	316:1;320:19;322:7	horn (13)	hungry (2)
		1	1	

165:17:190:8 Huntington's (4) 14:1,9,12;54:7 hurdles (1) 103:15 Huro (1) 182:13 hurt (3) 234:15,20;265:12 Hurts (1) 234:1 hybridization (1) 114:9 hyperactive (2) 151:5,11 hyperalgesia (10) 116:16;122:18; 236:18,19;237:1,14; 328:9;330:1;338:15; 345:8 hyperemic (1) 278:10 hyper-excitable (1) 205:21 hyperexcited (1) 79:15 hyper-polarization (1) 120:8 hypersensitive (4) 92:11:93:7,16:301:11 hypersensitivity (8) 76:15:79:14:91:19: 92:2,13:93:14,19:203:11 hypertension (3) 164:16;196:4;197:1 hypodermic (3) 147:2,7,10 hypothalamus (1) 274:22 hypothesis (7) 98:17:106:4:135:17. 18;214:3;216:10;260:12 Ι ibuprofen (2)

ideas (2) 54:4:327:5 identical (5) 8:13;58:11;59:1,7: 111:14 identified (2) 133:11;151:15 identify (4) 101:10;153:4;170:10; 336:8 identifying (2) 224:7,8 identity (1) 153:12 **IGF** (1) 69:18 **IGF1 (2)** 69:17;70:1 **IGF-secreting** (1) 69:20 IgG2 (1) 319:6 ignores (1) 131:14 illogical (1) 209:14 illustrate (5) 77:2;89:6;97:12,17,18 illustrated (1) 78:18 illustrates (2) 77:4:101:10 illustration (1) 85:13 illustrative (2) 78:2;304:19 imagine (7) 170:17;174:17;219:4; 238:3;260:5;292:2; 329:14 **IMI**(1) 220:3 immediate (1) 72:13 immediately (3) 80:1;217:3;275:19 immobilized (1) 147:16 immune (4) 72:16;73:13;156:14; 157:2 immunogenic (1) 72:16 immunogenicity (2) 72:1;324:10 immunogold (1) 276:2 immunotherapies (1) 73:7 impact (8) 6:2.17.19:25:5: 227:10:253:6,10:351:4 impacts (1)

6:13 Imperial (2) 194:21;195:15 impervious (1) 130:9 implant (1) 71:22 implanted (1) 172:11 implemented (1) 182:12 implication (1) 244:10 implicit (1) 343:1 implying (1) 267:21 importance (3) 213:8;299:11;301:18 important (40) 7:19;10:4,9;16:11,16; 17:22;23:14;24:20;25:9; 34:14;64:9;110:4; 111:12:113:11:115:9: 132:6;134:19;135:8,11; 197:9,15;199:10; 200:14;202:4,13; 210:12;220:13;226:3; 246:14;268:15;273:7; 289:20;299:4;300:2; 307:15:315:18:335:10; 339:4:349:7:353:2 importantly (6) 58:4;65:20;199:5,17; 246:11:350:3 impossible (2) 189:17;201:17 impressed (2) 53:4;352:3 impression (1) 211:5 impressive (1) 317:20 improve (2) 311:20;336:2 improved (3) 262:20;330:6;344:10 improvement (1) 317:13 imputations (1) 208:12 inability (1) 193:1 inactivated (1) 114:3 inactivates (2) 128:8,19 Inc (1) 34:9 incision (3) 248:2,10,10 include (2) 219:21:220:15

included (1) 318:18 including (4) 199:18:267:1:275:13: 353:3 inclusion (3) 202:21;206:5,9 incompatible (1) 298:16 incontrovertible (1) 228:19 incorrectly (1) 11:11 increase (18) 25:17,18;39:16;46:13; 47:8;48:12;54:16;79:19, 21;120:21;159:17; 183:2;199:14;208:14; 288:1;311:5;322:17; 327:7 increased (10) 39:12:40:11:67:11: 79:12;157:2;212:2; 216:11;235:15;311:9; 323:10 increasing (3) 48:16;68:4;204:16 increasingly (1) 105:1 incredible (4) 76:15:137:2:313:21; 319:10 incredibly (6) 26:5;184:7;295:18; 298:15:308:21:313:6 incubator (1) 16:19 **IND** (2) 42:21:43:15 indeed (7) 18:9;89:8;119:3; 151:18;181:9;300:11,15 independent (2) 202:14;223:21 independently (1) 172:8 Index (1) 211:6 indicated (3) 239:18;241:9;289:16 indication (2) 254:2:269:4 individual (9) 60:19;76:12;96:2; 99:15;138:4;150:7; 183:1;316:5;334:8 individuals (4) 165:21;176:16; 297:11;301:1 induce (4) 91:5;120:3;319:22; 331:12 induced (7)

8:21:59:6:61:13; 91:14:105:6:141:14: 142:16 induces (4) 200:7;287:20;327:22; 328:1 inducible (1) 55:17 inducing (1) 327:20 induction (1) 237:13 industry (2) 193:3;352:13 inertia (1) 62:13 infarct (1) 294:14 infect (3) 128:2,7,20 infected (8) 116:2,4,5,9,18;118:4; 125:17;130:14 infection (7) 114:19;118:7,12,17; 129:11;135:6;198:15 infections (1) 60:19 infectivity (1) 133:1 inflammation (10) 156:10.17:232:21: 233:3,19:237:11,13,16, 20:302:4 inflammatory (5) 127:3;237:18;269:11; 300:16:331:12 influence (1) 91:19 influx (3) 159:16,18;200:1 information (5) 63:21;78:8;79:14; 209:19;232:9 infrequent (2) 297:9;313:9 infused (4) 18:20,20;19:7;20:5 infusing (1) 20:7 infusion (1) 324:9 Ingber (1) 15:13 Ingelheim (1) 305:15 inhibit (5) 156:1;157:7,8;289:4; 323:5 inhibited (1) 90:22

261:5,7

ICV(1)

Ida (3)

idea (24)

ideal (1)

80:8

ideally (1)

351:17

140:7

88:11;104:9;107:1

11:18;22:16;31:3,13;

41:17;45:7;51:1;52:7;

63:1;80:3;87:8;106:9;

117:22;119:21;169:4;

173:18;178:1;209:11;

227:14;269:20;270:20;

346:7,13;347:18

inhibiting (1)

323:4

inhibition (17) 77:21:78:17:79:2.9. 340:6 11,20,21;80:12;82:22; inn (1) 84:12;94:5;98:22;106:6; 324:18 inner (1) 107:9,15:204:4:267:5 inhibitor (1) 292:9 132:14 innervated (3) inhibitors (2) innovation (1) 196:22;198:11 inhibitory (9) 12:5 78:10;79:3;81:7;84:9; innovations (1) 89:14,14,20;102:3;106:8 40:18 inhibits (2) innovative (1) 199:22;200:6 209:22 input (3) initial (5) 21:11;80:15;286:7; 305:7,12 inquiries (1) initially (7) 350:7 43:6;53:5;100:4; insane (1) 118:11;172:12;281:20; 323:7 insanely (1) 299:5 initiate (1) 324:14 239:15 insert (1) initiated (2) 128:12 143:22;301:21 inside (6) initiating (1) 219:9 302:3,6,20 insight (2) inject (19) 44:22;45:2;53:18; 85:21:117:10:118:4,6, insights (1) 14:120:22:121:19: 234:22 Insomnia (1) 122:3:131:18:134:3: 144:12;147:21;150:6; 211:5 instance (3) 151:5;156:6;180:14 injected (25) 49:5;97:16;115:21; instead (7) 116:1,9:118:11,21,22; 120:14;121:13;122:12, 13,19;123:10;130:13; 337:15 141:14;142:16;145:5; Institute (4) 147:15;150:22;152:17; 166:4;234:14,19;248:5 insulator (1) injecting (6) 125:5 Insulin (2) 123:1,9;140:6,7,14; 177:3 69:6,9 injection (10) insult (1) 51:2;68:21;140:2; 270:22 insults (1) 171:14;217:3;233:17; 238:18;283:16;314:7,8 301:8 injections (6) intact (5) 51:18:85:16:93:15: 108:3;216:20,22 92:11 injure (1) integrate (5) 92:19 injured (1) integrated (4) 156:11 injury (31) 23:20;24:1,4,7;49:12; integrating (3) 76:9,10,13;78:16,16; 84:10:92:4:102:7: integration (10) 105:12;108:11;152:18; 156:3,4,5,8,15,17;157:3, 8;168:5;176:15;181:2; 110:2.5

199:12;201:1;220:8; intelligent (1) 230:22 intense (2) 98:15;235:6 intensity (4) 207:22;208:10;254:6, 8 41:20;277:8;300:10 intensive (3) 196:18;323:20;334:7 interact (7) 17:8;18:6,16;23:12; 25:1;71:16;292:11 interacting (2) 22:15:218:8 interaction (2) 78:5;89:22;98:20 217:22;283:9 interactions (2) 65:3;191:15 interest (9) 30:4;108:6;112:18; 148:21;193:4;236:3; 248:18;317:22;326:16 interested (14) 18:3,14;48:4;70:3; 81:2;95:14,18;110:19; 285:7;291:18;301:13; 146:16;189:6;251:19; 276:17,17;284:20 interesting (59) 232:9;247:20 15:5;17:2;24:9;29:17; 30:10;33:6;36:19;49:21; 50:6,11:53:19:57:5; 58:1:82:10.11:93:11: 94:3;106:10;107:16; 110:9;113:3;114:6; 14:19;63:8;188:2 152:3;156:9;168:11; 183:3;184:6;185:11; 141:3;144:16;149:10; 186:18:204:11:211:19: 154:12;155:8;318:11; 216:14;217:14;225:15; 231:19;233:6;237:17; 239:2;241:21;243:6; 4:12.19:9:9:15:13 244:6,22;251:7;252:11; 257:11:262:18:265:15, 18;267:3,14,17;274:17; 283:6,11;287:16;303:8; 305:21;317:4;329:10 Interestingly (8) 28:15;90:18;186:20; 196:14;203:13;237:15; 239:8;257:3 interests (1) 30:16;71:11,13;79:15; 258:2 intermediate (1) 216:16 37:14;54:15;86:17; intermittent (1) 111:19;175:13 211:1 internal (1) 86:4;87:13;90:2;91:16 301:11 interneurons (16) 35:1;86:21;89:21 33:19;78:10,13,19; 81:7,7,13;82:4,5;84:9; 22:22:23:4:94:11.12. 87:11;89:14,15;91:7; 14;107:5;108:9,14; 98:10:102:3 internships (1)

333:13 interpret (1) 262:2 interpretation (2) 20:17;236:21 interpreted (1) 162:8 interpreting (1) 261:2 interrupt (1) 53:6 interstitial (2) 257:12,14 intervention (3) 138:13;330:4;342:4 interview (1) 231:17 intimately (3) 228:10;231:3;233:7 into (168) 9:9,14,16;10:2;12:10; 13:4;14:18;16:22;17:4; 18:20;19:1,3,4,8,16; 20:20;21:1,6;22:6,10,12, 17,22;24:10;26:10,18; 31:8,20;32:17;33:15; 34:1,2;37:7;39:21;44:1, 22;45:3,7;48:7,21;50:9; 52:1,21;53:18;56:17; 59:2;61:14;62:18;63:8; 64:8:65:1:66:3:69:1.2; 70:10.18:80:1.18:81:12. 22;82:12,16;83:7,8,21; 86:4,21;87:19;89:21; 90:13,13;94:14;95:17; 96:17;97:3;102:18; 106:20;109:6;110:16; 113:12:116:10.10:117:6. 10;120:14;123:10; 125:4;128:3;132:2; 133:21;135:10,19,20; 140:3,15:141:15; 142:17;143:10;144:12; 145:1,5;151:6;152:17; 161:14,17;164:14,22; 165:6,15;166:4;175:5,6; 177:4;185:4,19;187:9, 19;188:1;193:20;210:2; 212:13,16;215:13;230:8, 13;234:15,19;238:4; 241:19:243:18:248:5: 249:16;251:9;256:13; 262:16;268:5,11; 274:16;277:16;278:4, 12;283:10;285:16; 286:10;290:19;292:15; 297:15;302:18;304:4,19, 20;305:1,13;306:20; 308:9;310:7;311:19; 325:9;327:21;328:15; 335:3;346:10,19;347:7; 348:5;350:22;351:6,17 intra-arterial (1)

June 24, 2014

171:14 intracellular (4) 18:13:158:12:197:4: 204:5intracranially (2) 140:7;142:17 intractable (3) 142:21;176:17;180:19 intramuscular (1) 68:21 intraparenchymal (1) 176:22 intraparenchymally (3) 173:3;175:9,12 intraperitoneal (1) 216:21 intraplural (1) 233:17 intrathecal (13) 171:13;172:3;177:3, 10:179:1:181:15.19: 182:1,18;183:6,13; 184:14.16 intrathecally (3) 109:12;172:11;179:10 intrinsically (1) 166:6 introduce (7) 4:6;75:4;103:21; 112:2;136:15;165:5; 295:8 introduced (3) 125:4;136:16;285:16 introduction (1) 113:1intron (1) 114:6 intuition (1) 268:19 invasive (3) 49:14:175:1:178:5 inventor (2) 200:15;214:3 inventory (1) 211:4 invertebrate (2) 155:14;168:1 invertebrates (3) 155:3,13;167:20 investigate (1) 208:22 investigated (2) 29:19;197:2 investigator (2) 206:8;322:1 investigators (5) 238:1;246:10;329:20; 331:8;345:22 investor (1) 348:16 investors (2) 242:3:244:2 investors' (1)

Min-U-Script®

A Matter of Record (301) 890-4188

241:16 invitation (2) 195:3;272:18 invite (2) 5:10;326:1				
invitation (2) 195:3;272:18 invite (2) 5:10;326:1	isolating (1)	Joe (8)	kept (1)	35:21
195:3;272:18 invite (2) 5:10;326:1	92:8	112:2,4,15;121:4;	44:5	knee (1)
invite (2) 5:10;326:1	issue (27)	133:7,8;136:7;188:18	key (12)	253:19
5:10;326:1	43:3;48:20;73:10;	Joe's (2)	11:13;38:20;106:3;	knew (3)
	77:19;94:3;173:10;	164:17;171:10	110:2;139:15;143:11;	140:18;142:9;178:11
	176:13;216:2;244:16,	John (6)	232:8;248:1;281:2,19;	knife (1)
invited (1) 310:3	22;251:12;255:2;269:9;	80:20,21;81:2;83:1,2;	325:10;330:16	32:16
inviting (1)	273:7,20;274:15;	209:11	keynote (1)	knock (3)
137:9		join (1)	4:7	124:17;319:13;328:7
involved (40)	280:12;281:19;283:6,19,	272:10	4.7 kg (1)	knock-out (5)
68:20;119:18;124:6,	21;292:14;324:8;339:4; 340:16;342:17;350:12	joins (1)	Kg (1) 73:5	204:2;218:14,18;
	issues (14)	279:12		
16;126:15,16;131:8,16;			Ki-67 (1) 101:11	311:15;323:8
132:15;155:9;171:19;	7:5;18:22,22;44:17;	joint (5)		knock-outs (2)
194:15;195:5,8,11;	77:14;178:18;181:19;	54:14;127:15;248:6;	kick (1)	343:6,8
196:12,16;197:10;	258:14;265:13;284:2;	255:2;258:20	141:8	knocks (1)
198:20;199:15;204:5;	286:15;324:9;325:15;	Joseph (1)	kid (4)	144:7
205:6;213:20;227:6;	333:16	112:21	136:17;140:3;142:13;	know-how (1)
228:9;231:3;233:7;	Italian (1)	Josh (1)	186:6	319:10
234:21;235:19;237:9;	113:10	55:20	kidney (1)	knowing (3)
241:7;250:4;275:1;	Italy (3)	Journal (3)	9:10	253:13;268:19,20
300:15;301:20,22;304:1,	51:22;52:9,18	25:4;76:22;253:20	kids (1)	knowledge (3)
2;306:22;342:3	itch (5)	journals (1)	140:5	240:4;247:20;334:19
involvement (3)	76:3;98:4,20;99:3;	350:18	kill (1)	known (10)
198:9;200:10;233:10	108:10	JoVE (1)	138:15	24:17;131:8;135:2;
involving (2)	IV (8)	34:17	killed (4)	149:1;153:13;196:9;
123:20;196:21	305:15;316:9;317:5,6;	jugular (2)	93:4;173:9,18;308:9	197:3;232:13;314:14,15
ion (4)	320:5,13;322:10;324:17	281:21;303:15	kilo (1)	knows (4)
143:20;146:5;157:21;	IV-completed (1)	jump (6)	320:11	24:18;261:22;264:6,8
161:22	322:9	20:13;48:14;65:10;	kilobases (1)	Koninck (1)
ion-channel (2)	ivermectin (8)	87:15;88:3;140:19	133:20	77:16
153:16;155:18	121:8,15;122:1,5;	jumped (1)	kinase (1)	KV (2)
IP (4)	123:2;131:19;134:3,10	291:7	204:4	163:7;164:3
73:4;121:1;140:2,6	-	jumping (1)	Kinchington (2)	KV1.1 (2)
iPS (34)	J	140:19	132:17;135:2	162:7,9
6:21;8:19;9:13,15;		junction (5)	kind (65)	KV1.2 (10)
10:15;11:11,15,15;12:7,	J&J (2)	138:19;143:9,13;	11:7;15:21;16:20;	160:11,21,22;161:10;
9,20;14:5;29:22;44:16;	229:13;264:1	145:3;155:2	17:21;21:21;39:19;49:9;	162:6,9,12,15;163:7;
57:14;58:3,6,12,19;59:2,	Jackie (1)	junctions (1)	53:19;56:16;57:4;61:8;	164:2
5;61:2,8;62:3,10;63:18,	171:22	155:13	62:2;63:1;66:9;69:8;	KV1.2-specific (1)
21;64:22;66:3;104:13;	Jacquelyn (1)	justification (1)	75:18;76:5;89:4;104:19;	161:4
185:15,18;187:18,22	173:18	319:13	107:20;112:22;115:1;	KV1.6 (2)
iPS-derived (3)	Jamie (2)	justified (1)	118:9;119:19;171:3;	160:11;161:1
58:17;59:1;61:2	7:9;66:2	209:10	173:15;174:14;180:14;	KV1.6-specific (2)
· · ·	Japan (2)	justify (2)	183:3;184:2;185:11;	160:20;161:3
ipsilateral (1)	0.10.20.10	50 0 174 10		
ipsilateral (1) 39:17	8:19;28:16	52:8;174:12	189:4;204:17;217:17;	
ipsilateral (1)	JCI (1)		189:4;204:17;217:17; 231:21;237:5;239:9;	L
ipsilateral (1) 39:17		52:8;174:12 K		L
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1)	JCI (1)	K	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21;	L L3 (1)
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16	JCI (1) 99:8		231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13;	
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1)	JCI (1) 99:8 Jean-Marc (1)	K	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21;	L3 (1)
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1) 321:5	JCI (1) 99:8 Jean-Marc (1) 132:18	K KATZ (16)	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21; 275:4,15;276:8;277:1;	L3 (1) 212:4
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1) 321:5 irrelevant (1)	JCI (1) 99:8 Jean-Marc (1) 132:18 Jeff (2)	K KATZ (16) 219:1;220:21;227:5,	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21; 275:4,15;276:8;277:1; 278:3,7;279:3;280:6;	L3 (1) 212:4 LA (2)
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1) 321:5 irrelevant (1) 190:1	JCI (1) 99:8 Jean-Marc (1) 132:18 Jeff (2) 326:13,16	K KATZ (16) 219:1;220:21;227:5, 20,21;228:7;266:11;	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21; 275:4,15;276:8;277:1; 278:3,7;279:3;280:6; 281:6,13;283:5,8;284:4;	L3 (1) 212:4 LA (2) 39:13,15
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1) 321:5 irrelevant (1) 190:1 ISA (1)	JCI (1) 99:8 Jean-Marc (1) 132:18 Jeff (2) 326:13,16 Jenson (1)	K KATZ (16) 219:1;220:21;227:5, 20,21;228:7;266:11; 267:3;268:7;269:18;	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21; 275:4,15;276:8;277:1; 278:3,7;279:3;280:6; 281:6,13;283:5,8;284:4; 285:6,19;290:15;294:5;	L3 (1) 212:4 LA (2) 39:13,15 lab (19)
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1) 321:5 irrelevant (1) 190:1 ISA (1) 49:19	JCI (1) 99:8 Jean-Marc (1) 132:18 Jeff (2) 326:13,16 Jenson (1) 220:4	K KATZ (16) 219:1;220:21;227:5, 20,21;228:7;266:11; 267:3;268:7;269:18; 270:5,8,11;326:2;346:4,	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21; 275:4,15;276:8;277:1; 278:3,7;279:3;280:6; 281:6,13;283:5,8;284:4; 285:6,19;290:15;294:5; 329:16;332:17;338:18;	L3 (1) 212:4 LA (2) 39:13,15 lab (19) 35:15,20;38:2;66:8,9;
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1) 321:5 irrelevant (1) 190:1 ISA (1) 49:19 ischemia (2)	JCI (1) 99:8 Jean-Marc (1) 132:18 Jeff (2) 326:13,16 Jenson (1) 220:4 jet-lagged (1)	K KATZ (16) 219:1;220:21;227:5, 20,21;228:7;266:11; 267:3;268:7;269:18; 270:5,8,11;326:2;346:4, 9	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21; 275:4,15;276:8;277:1; 278:3,7;279:3;280:6; 281:6,13;283:5,8;284:4; 285:6,19;290:15;294:5; 329:16;332:17;338:18; 339:13;340:22	L3 (1) 212:4 LA (2) 39:13,15 lab (19) 35:15,20;38:2;66:8,9; 88:12;97:14;103:22;
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1) 321:5 irrelevant (1) 190:1 ISA (1) 49:19 ischemia (2) 294:6,11	JCI (1) 99:8 Jean-Marc (1) 132:18 Jeff (2) 326:13,16 Jenson (1) 220:4 jet-lagged (1) 169:13	K KATZ (16) 219:1;220:21;227:5, 20,21;228:7;266:11; 267:3;268:7;269:18; 270:5,8,11;326:2;346:4, 9 keen (5)	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21; 275:4,15;276:8;277:1; 278:3,7;279:3;280:6; 281:6,13;283:5,8;284:4; 285:6,19;290:15;294:5; 329:16;332:17;338:18; 339:13;340:22 kinds (7)	L3 (1) 212:4 LA (2) 39:13,15 lab (19) 35:15,20;38:2;66:8,9; 88:12;97:14;103:22; 121:4;189:14;195:15; 198:22;199:7;200:4;
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1) 321:5 irrelevant (1) 190:1 ISA (1) 49:19 ischemia (2) 294:6,11 ischemic (1) 293:13	JCI (1) 99:8 Jean-Marc (1) 132:18 Jeff (2) 326:13,16 Jenson (1) 220:4 jet-lagged (1) 169:13 Jewell (2) 67:13;68:15	K KATZ (16) 219:1;220:21;227:5, 20,21;228:7;266:11; 267:3;268:7;269:18; 270:5,8,11;326:2;346:4, 9 keen (5) 21:21;54:21;186:15; 202:17;329:4	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21; 275:4,15;276:8;277:1; 278:3,7;279:3;280:6; 281:6,13;283:5,8;284:4; 285:6,19;290:15;294:5; 329:16;332:17;338:18; 339:13;340:22 kinds (7) 120:16;239:15;252:7;	L3 (1) 212:4 LA (2) 39:13,15 lab (19) 35:15,20;38:2;66:8,9; 88:12;97:14;103:22; 121:4;189:14;195:15; 198:22;199:7;200:4; 201:8,9,9;334:9;339:9
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1) 321:5 irrelevant (1) 190:1 ISA (1) 49:19 ischemia (2) 294:6,11 ischemic (1) 293:13 ISIS (3)	JCI (1) 99:8 Jean-Marc (1) 132:18 Jeff (2) 326:13,16 Jenson (1) 220:4 jet-lagged (1) 169:13 Jewell (2) 67:13;68:15 Joao (5)	K KATZ (16) 219:1;220:21;227:5, 20,21;228:7;266:11; 267:3;268:7;269:18; 270:5,8,11;326:2;346:4, 9 keen (5) 21:21;54:21;186:15; 202:17;329:4 keep (10)	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21; 275:4,15;276:8;277:1; 278:3,7;279:3;280:6; 281:6,13;283:5,8;284:4; 285:6,19;290:15;294:5; 329:16;332:17;338:18; 339:13;340:22 kinds (7) 120:16;239:15;252:7; 276:20;279:14;327:10; 352:18	L3 (1) 212:4 LA (2) 39:13,15 lab (19) 35:15,20;38:2;66:8,9; 88:12;97:14;103:22; 121:4;189:14;195:15; 198:22;199:7;200:4; 201:8,9,9;334:9;339:9 labeled (5)
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1) 321:5 irrelevant (1) 190:1 ISA (1) 49:19 ischemia (2) 294:6,11 ischemic (1) 293:13 ISIS (3) 13:16,17,17	JCI (1) 99:8 Jean-Marc (1) 132:18 Jeff (2) 326:13,16 Jenson (1) 220:4 jet-lagged (1) 169:13 Jewell (2) 67:13;68:15 Joao (5) 83:18;99:7;104:4,9;	K KATZ (16) 219:1;220:21;227:5, 20,21;228:7;266:11; 267:3;268:7;269:18; 270:5,8,11;326:2;346:4, 9 keen (5) 21:21;54:21;186:15; 202:17;329:4 keep (10) 6:1;41:19;62:11;	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21; 275:4,15;276:8;277:1; 278:3,7;279:3;280:6; 281:6,13;283:5,8;284:4; 285:6,19;290:15;294:5; 329:16;332:17;338:18; 339:13;340:22 kinds (7) 120:16;239:15;252:7; 276:20;279:14;327:10; 352:18 Kip (2)	L3 (1) 212:4 LA (2) 39:13,15 lab (19) 35:15,20;38:2;66:8,9; 88:12;97:14;103:22; 121:4;189:14;195:15; 198:22;199:7;200:4; 201:8,9,9;334:9;339:9 labeled (5) 88:15;89:6;160:13,14;
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1) 321:5 irrelevant (1) 190:1 ISA (1) 49:19 ischemia (2) 294:6,11 ischemic (1) 293:13 ISIS (3) 13:16,17,17 isoforms (1)	JCI (1) 99:8 Jean-Marc (1) 132:18 Jeff (2) 326:13,16 Jenson (1) 220:4 jet-lagged (1) 169:13 Jewell (2) 67:13;68:15 Joao (5) 83:18;99:7;104:4,9; 108:2	K KATZ (16) 219:1;220:21;227:5, 20,21;228:7;266:11; 267:3;268:7;269:18; 270:5,8,11;326:2;346:4, 9 keen (5) 21:21;54:21;186:15; 202:17;329:4 keep (10) 6:1;41:19;62:11; 67:18;73:5;99:1;102:21;	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21; 275:4,15;276:8;277:1; 278:3,7;279:3;280:6; 281:6,13;283:5,8;284:4; 285:6,19;290:15;294:5; 329:16;332:17;338:18; 339:13;340:22 kinds (7) 120:16;239:15;252:7; 276:20;279:14;327:10; 352:18 Kip (2) 132:17;135:1	L3 (1) 212:4 LA (2) 39:13,15 lab (19) 35:15,20;38:2;66:8,9; 88:12;97:14;103:22; 121:4;189:14;195:15; 198:22;199:7;200:4; 201:8,9,9;334:9;339:9 labeled (5) 88:15;89:6;160:13,14; 161:2
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1) 321:5 irrelevant (1) 190:1 ISA (1) 49:19 ischemia (2) 294:6,11 ischemic (1) 293:13 ISIS (3) 13:16,17,17 isoforms (1) 154:4	JCI (1) 99:8 Jean-Marc (1) 132:18 Jeff (2) 326:13,16 Jenson (1) 220:4 jet-lagged (1) 169:13 Jewell (2) 67:13;68:15 Joao (5) 83:18;99:7;104:4,9; 108:2 job (7)	K KATZ (16) 219:1;220:21;227:5, 20,21;228:7;266:11; 267:3;268:7;269:18; 270:5,8,11;326:2;346:4, 9 keen (5) 21:21;54:21;186:15; 202:17;329:4 keep (10) 6:1;41:19;62:11; 67:18;73:5;99:1;102:21; 119:10;326:6;329:9	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21; 275:4,15;276:8;277:1; 278:3,7;279:3;280:6; 281:6,13;283:5,8;284:4; 285:6,19;290:15;294:5; 329:16;332:17;338:18; 339:13;340:22 kinds (7) 120:16;239:15;252:7; 276:20;279:14;327:10; 352:18 Kip (2) 132:17;135:1 Kirk (1)	L3 (1) 212:4 LA (2) 39:13,15 lab (19) 35:15,20;38:2;66:8,9; 88:12;97:14;103:22; 121:4;189:14;195:15; 198:22;199:7;200:4; 201:8,9,9;334:9;339:9 labeled (5) 88:15;89:6;160:13,14; 161:2 labeling (1)
ipsilateral (1) 39:17 IRB (2) 51:1;187:16 irrational (1) 321:5 irrelevant (1) 190:1 ISA (1) 49:19 ischemia (2) 294:6,11 ischemic (1) 293:13 ISIS (3) 13:16,17,17 isoforms (1)	JCI (1) 99:8 Jean-Marc (1) 132:18 Jeff (2) 326:13,16 Jenson (1) 220:4 jet-lagged (1) 169:13 Jewell (2) 67:13;68:15 Joao (5) 83:18;99:7;104:4,9; 108:2	K KATZ (16) 219:1;220:21;227:5, 20,21;228:7;266:11; 267:3;268:7;269:18; 270:5,8,11;326:2;346:4, 9 keen (5) 21:21;54:21;186:15; 202:17;329:4 keep (10) 6:1;41:19;62:11; 67:18;73:5;99:1;102:21;	231:21;237:5;239:9; 251:19;254:2,13; 257:11;270:22;273:21; 275:4,15;276:8;277:1; 278:3,7;279:3;280:6; 281:6,13;283:5,8;284:4; 285:6,19;290:15;294:5; 329:16;332:17;338:18; 339:13;340:22 kinds (7) 120:16;239:15;252:7; 276:20;279:14;327:10; 352:18 Kip (2) 132:17;135:1	L3 (1) 212:4 LA (2) 39:13,15 lab (19) 35:15,20;38:2;66:8,9; 88:12;97:14;103:22; 121:4;189:14;195:15; 198:22;199:7;200:4; 201:8,9,9;334:9;339:9 labeled (5) 88:15;89:6;160:13,14; 161:2

I ransformative Strategi	es-Development of Pain	Inerapies		Julie 24, 2014
201:16;347:14	34:14;75:1;110:15,16;	295:6;332:1	129:22	literature (6)
laboratory (4)	292:20;300:14	leaving (1)	life (4)	105:21;247:12;
234:11;247:21;339:5,	lately (1)	116:18	55:4;176:18;310:21;	256:14;260:9;344:18;
11	348:2	lecture (2)	335:19	348:21
Labrys (5)	latency (12)	75:3;77:9	lift (2)	little (68)
295:12,21,22;296:1,3	113:14,15;114:2,10;	led (5)	58:3;129:21	4:10;5:3,14,17;11:19;
labs (14)	115:5;116:15,15;	41:22;66:6;182:12;	ligand (2)	18:7;19:22;22:13;24:4;
14:7;42:19;201:21;	119:14;121:18,22;	250:8;253:17	199:13;315:21	28:21;32:20;37:12;
202:14;223:21;237:22;	125:7;131:2	left (3)	ligands (1)	42:16;46:15;58:22;61:7;
239:13,18;240:1,3,3;	latency-associated (1)	50:9;213:14;295:1	157:10	65:15;69:6;74:8;75:1;
246:10;348:13,13	114:4	leg (8)	light (7)	76:2;98:4;103:3;126:2;
lack (2)	latent (1)	50:13,16,19,19,21;	90:21;114:16;158:15,	130:5;134:18;150:5,7;
296:22;343:1	114:16	51:3,7,11	17;159:6,9;301:9	168:3;180:15;187:15;
lacks (2)	later (18)	legacy (1)	lights (1)	193:6;195:18;208:20;
279:19;290:10	28:10;34:2;71:17;	75:17	286:2	227:2;231:15;239:19;
Lake (1)	84:19;86:15;118:5,22;	legs (3)	likelier (1)	248:9;255:3;262:7;
136:22	130:14;238:7;241:13;	28:10;50:21;141:5	171:2	265:1;278:14;283:10;
laminate (1)	243:2;244:22;245:3;			286:10;288:9;291:21;
33:15		length (1) 270:12	likely (8) 174:8;204:18;205:14;	
	257:1;284:22;294:17; 309:18;342:15			292:20,21;294:17;
Lancet (1)	2	Lenti (1)	225:9;246:19;311:3,19;	296:17;303:12;304:5;
283:20	lateral (1)	103:5	323:10	309:14;315:2,8,9,17;
landmark (2)	146:21	lentivirus (1)	likes (1)	316:13,16;317:17;
220:4;253:18	latest (1)	34:21	77:15	320:15;322:4;323:2;
language (4)	342:10	leprosy (1)	Lilly (1)	328:19;333:21;334:7;
338:1,1,3,5	latter (1)	198:16	318:9	338:4;343:8
languages (4)	268:8	lesion (1)	limb (2)	live (2)
333:7,22;337:22;	Laughter (12)	113:19	76:13;235:18	194:10;323:12
351:9	95:2,9;110:13;111:7;	Leslie (1)	limbs (2)	lived (1)
large (20)	140:11;147:11;150:11;	232:6	93:16,17	12:16
20:19;33:17;43:13;	168:8;177:18;190:6;	less (23)	Limette (1)	liver (14)
69:7;71:5;114:5;127:21;	228:6;346:6	19:20;20:18;40:18;	18:21	4:22;8:3;9:11;310:10,
133:15,22;180:21;	layer (2)	42:8;80:8;156:17;175:1;	limitations (2)	11;311:15,17,21;312:1,
212:11;245:10;253:18;	16:6,6	197:3;234:2;247:1;	103:1;119:8	4;313:4,5;325:15,19
276:4;279:2;289:15;	LBR-101 (2)	256:1,2;283:17,18;	limited (2)	lives (3)
340:4;341:3;345:17,17	319:5;322:17	297:4,5;311:13;316:2;	68:14;181:20	95:5;244:12;297:17
largely (7)	lead (4)	318:18;324:8;326:21;	limitless (1)	living (2)
105:6;204:3;206:2;	7:2;18:21;236:22;	327:8;341:15	12:22	148:1;231:5
298:18,21;311:10;	327:6	lesson (3)	line (9)	Llewellyn (1)
334:19	leader (1)	107:7;259:17;262:19	9:4;13:20;47:17;	88:11
larger (1)	112:16	lessons (3)	48:11;61:3;70:10;	load (2)
18:5	leaders (2)	186:1;259:2;262:18	108:21;146:21;167:8	24:17;158:11
Lars (3)	112:15;267:17	lethal (2)	lineages (1)	loads (1)
272:6,16;334:20	leading (1)	138:11;139:4	62:19	267:22
Lasorte (1)	214:8	level (18)	lines (4)	lobby (1)
172:14	learn (6)	15:12;40:19;77:22;	10:8;11:14;115:22;	65:5
last (33)	10:11;100:21;182:14;	82:21;88:10,14;94:5;	164:17	localization (2)
17:14;50:1;61:6;65:4;	183:9;263:11;333:10	106:5;107:9,15;172:8;		64:21;280:11
93:8;110:3;157:12;	learned (7)	181:3;199:4;213:6;	29:18	localize (1)
158:5;169:14;172:2;	113:3;138:6;143:2;	273:5;300:18;303:21;	linked (1)	50:5
175:3;188:15;201:3;	186:1;259:2,18;298:22	305:21	81:19	Localized (2)
220:22;222:8;232:3;	learning (3)	levels (13)	Lipscombe's (1)	64:9;199:5
250:3;259:20;261:17;	75:10;333:22;351:9	20:6;30:9;45:20;57:7;	168:10	locally (3)
268:21;293:2;294:15;	least (24)	79:4;94:6;198:5;226:7;	list (5)	118:1;131:19;136:2
295:9;309:8;340:15;	64:17;82:21;107:12;	303:14,15,15,17,19	77:10;188:22;262:21;	location (2)
343:2,17,18,22;346:16;	111:22;131:18;165:8;	Lewin (1)	285:7;353:15	110:4;225:16
348:2;350:9,15	168:22;170:9;171:4;	236:14	listed (1)	LOCF (2)
lasted (3)	193:18;194:2;201:7;	liar (1)	285:9	208:11,13
186:11,11;288:5	229:2,7;232:11;263:16;	264:7	listening (2)	loci (1)
lasting (2)	264:9;289:9;309:12;	library (2)	104:18;235:4	110:6
115:13;273:1	332:12;333:7;334:3;	127:18,19	lit (1)	locomotion (1)
lasts (3)	343:18;352:21	license (2)	56:11	107:19
131:20;309:15,16	leave (5)	44:11;167:21	literally (3)	logical (1)
late (6)	74:18;234:7;244:21;	lick (1)	95:5;100:2;181:11	237:6
	1	1	1	1

Transformative Strategic	es-Development of Pain	Therapies	Τ	June 24, 2014
London (3)	8,18;98:18;108:18;	love (12)	macrophages (1)	176:8
194:21;202:15;225:6	111:21;119:7;136:4;	17:4;39:20;48:3;49:9;	156:12	Mantyh (1)
long (34)	188:13;336:16	57:1;67:18;94:22;95:8;	macular (1)	248:16
5:15;33:5;35:5;40:9;	loop (1)	103:14;154:15;175:9;	54:9	Mantyh's (1)
41:9;61:7;83:16;85:20;	335:1	213:7	magus (1)	248:7
93:8;102:8,13,22;	Lord (1)	lovely (2)	142:14	manufacture (1)
110:18;113:22;127:16;	261:22	71:21;196:2	main (15)	60:16
136:22;138:14;139:13;	Los (3)	low (12)	8:7;26:14;51:21;	manufactured (3)
166:2;169:5;172:2;	6:6;39:14;42:2	46:12;159:4;177:20;	108:6;171:8;232:16;	59:7;317:1;318:9
192:11;194:8,11;	losartan (1)	206:13;255:14;256:9;	237:7;241:4;258:19;	manufacturing (2)
213:19;217:11;227:7;	200:3	273:15;297:22;298:6;	292:14;296:10;306:16;	58:18;60:14
262:21;277:10;289:8;	lose (9)	302:4;311:7;341:19	323:2,4;343:14	manuscript (1)
309:9;313:6,8;339:10	12:4;28:1;78:21;	low- (1)	mainly (2)	256:13
longer (8)	84:11;98:12;99:2;106:7;	302:17	7:4;313:11	many (61)
99:18;101:13;119:10,	131:19;206:16	lower (9)	maintain (2)	4:14;6:12;8:20;18:3,
17;211:18;288:5;	losing (3)	27:14,22,22;28:1;	66:19;74:19	10,20;30:9;31:14;34:6,
299:17;323:12	27:4;193:3,4	35:8;46:8;306:13;312:4,	maintains (1)	19;35:20;46:17;49:1;
long-lasting (1)	loss (18)	6	291:2	61:3,3;70:18;79:6;80:6;
115:12	28:3;77:20;78:17,18;	luciferase (1)	major (14)	88:7;93:12;95:22;98:12;
long-term (5)	79:8,11;80:12;82:21;	56:12	6:6;47:1;77:6,21;	100:8;105:5;106:15,18;
15:19;19:5;119:13;	84:12;105:6,8,16,20,22;	luciferin (1)	84:12;86:19;140:20;	107:12;128:9;156:14;
204:17;229:16	206:1,4;220:12;345:15	56:12	141:22;196:10;233:18;	165:15;170:11,20;
look (52)	lost (3) 84:18;265:22;321:17	luck (2)	236:18;285:6;350:12,19	171:22;181:16;184:3,
9:18;15:4;47:22;59:1,	84:18;205:22;521:17 lot (101)	224:9;257:14 luckily (1)	majority (4) 29:2;78:10;160:16;	10;201:17;221:19; 222:2;223:16,22;227:5,
3,7;60:4;71:9;72:7;82:4; 85:17;86:1;87:17;88:7;	10:12,16;25:21;26:11;	140:12	29.2,78.10,100.10, 221:20	8;229:19;232:6;236:15,
92:12;112:20;128:13;	30:2,7;31:21;35:9,19;	lucky (1)	makes (11)	20;249:20;266:22;
135:14;144:15;149:6;	43:17,20;47:21;48:10,	149:22	128:2,18;140:18;	275:13;285:12;295:10;
150:13;160:18;178:17;	22;51:9,13,17;52:7,11;	lumbar (3)	151:10;157:1;170:3;	310:12,13;313:14,15;
182:8;187:1;197:11,22;	62:12;63:20;65:10,12,	35:19;37:8;50:10	176:18;206:2;262:4;	322:9;332:13;334:19;
204:20;215:2,20;216:6;	22;67:9;75:10;98:1;	luminal (1)	294:4;339:6	340:3;341:6
217:9;221:4,14,15;	100:6;101:17;103:15,17,	292:5	making (20)	MAP (3)
223:9;228:13;229:8;	22;104:6;108:14;117:4;	luminally (2)	10:10;15:16;42:16;	204:4;263:17;335:3
230:13;233:14;234:21;	120:16;124:14;132:11;	291:4,14	108:3,22;109:5;118:21;	mapped (1)
261:7;268:15;277:17;	134:11;136:17;146:7;	lunch (4)	121:20;122:4,14;131:3;	275:18
279:6;283:20;289:12;	148:21;152:15;154:2;	169:6,7,10;190:9	163:19;178:16,20;	marathon (1)
292:15;312:2;318:14;	155:4,9;167:9;170:8,12;	luncheon (1)	187:12;188:10,12;	235:20
337:13;347:4	182:19;183:8,17;184:8;	190:11	231:10;232:4;288:14	Marcelo (2)
looked (32)	192:21;199:16;200:18;	Lund (4)	Malcolm (1)	295:9,16
24:14;69:13;71:8;	201:5;204:12;205:12;	37:9;272:9,9;281:8	349:11	Maree (7)
84:19;99:22;107:1,2;	222:6;225:11;231:9;	lung (4)	mammal (2)	200:13,15;201:9;
116:6;129:19;130:22;	232:9;239:6,13;243:9;	16:2,4,6,13	145:6;317:1	202:6;204:1;213:14;
152:14;155:20;161:15;	247:10,14;248:17;253:3,	lungs (2)	mammals (4)	214:1
186:10;198:1;204:8;	4;257:13;259:19;	9:10;28:12	144:16,21;145:3,21	marine (2)
209:2;212:12;215:16; 216:8;241:9;245:17;	260:16;264:4,12;265:6,	lying (1) 239:14	$\max(3)$	137:22;155:8
259:19:260:1:266:2;	11;271:15;273:2; 275:10,21;276:19;		24:17;281:2;296:11 manage (2)	mark (3) 97:5;101:11;132:20
271:15;274:2;275:16;	277:2;280:3,13;281:5;	lymphocytes (1) 156:12	68:17;298:5	marked (1)
278:21;293:22;327:11;	290:18;294:2;302:5;	Lynch's (1)	managed (2)	285:21
350:19	310:22;311:11;333:9;	121:4	13:11;34:15	marker (5)
looking (32)	341:11;344:5,9,12;	Lynn (1)	management (1)	91:6;101:9;279:5;
13:4;35:12,13;54:7,8;	348:4;349:10,13;351:7	241:6	137:5	330:9,16
56:17;81:1;87:1;95:20;	lots (13)	lytic (2)	managing (1)	markers (4)
127:7;129:6;160:2;	84:8;100:20;107:17;	114:3,18	181:16	38:19;97:11;101:8,9
181:10,12;191:15;	136:6;137:14;139:22;		manifestation (1)	market (4)
193:21;205:19;209:22;	177:16;252:1,7;280:14;	Μ	330:1	79:22;184:15;285:16;
210:10,13;213:10;	285:8;290:11;329:11		manifestations (1)	306:13
233:8;259:4;260:15,18;	lottery (1)	Mac (1)	237:20	marketplace (2)
262:14;268:18;271:22;	288:2	169:18	manipulate (2)	244:13;247:2
274:15;287:22;324:14;	Lou (4)	machines (1)	63:18;177:5	marking (1)
351:6	6:16;13:8,10;26:22	62:12	manipulated (1)	89:17
looks (13)	Louisiana (1)	MacLeod (1)	290:6	marks (1)
37:1;49:16;60:5;85:2,	112:5	349:12	mantra (1)	89:5
	1	1		l

Transformative Strategi	es-Development of Fam	literapies	1	Julie 24, 2014
married (1)	246:15;258:13;261:13;	meaningful (1)	Medicine (9)	62:19
66:7		317:12		
	271:5;297:8,11;298:20;		4:11,13,19;10:3;11:3;	message (1)
marrow (1)	310:15,22;325:17;	means (9)	40:6;253:21;272:9;	349:7
288:13	326:11;330:14;344:10	10:4,11;11:3;26:5;	295:10	messages (2)
Martin (3)	maybe (54)	255:22;256:2,4;301:14,	medium (2)	242:13;332:17
32:5,10,10	10:6;20:21,22;22:4,	15	92:18;199:1	messenger (1)
masking (1)	13;25:17;28:10;30:7;	meantime (1)	medium-size (1)	114:5
206:16	45:6;59:16;60:3;67:17;	249:9	289:13	met (3)
masks (1)	68:18;71:13,16;72:7;	meanwhile (1)	medium-sized (1)	21:22;281:8;347:12
206:9	84:16;108:19;109:4;	249:14	199:6	meta-analysis (5)
mass (1)	118:19;170:12;175:15;	measure (9)	meds (2)	342:1,8,11,13;348:19
302:7	178:3;179:12;181:7;	79:4;158:12;215:22;	115:12;221:2	metabolism (1)
masseter (1)	182:5;186:13,15;189:5;	217:10;276:22;327:9;	medulla (1)	273:16
235:14	194:19;204:4;217:20;	339:21;340:8,12	96:12	metered (1)
massive (3)	222:12;227:15;234:5;	measured (2)	meeting (25)	116:21
71:11;185:4;228:21	246:18;247:1;261:18;	116:11;130:16	4:5;59:11;73:12;	method (5)
mast (2)	262:22;267:7,9;270:13,	measurements (1)	75:11;104:20;113:3;	32:4;33:1;34:11;
280:12,14	21;272:3;292:21;	282:2	190:4;191:6;192:15;	276:7;289:6
master (1)	337:17;338:21;339:19;	measures (10)	193:9;197:22;213:7;	methodological (1)
44:2	341:7;343:14;344:3,11;	209:13;210:19;211:4,	253:7;254:15;268:14;	349:10
Master-cell (2)	348:14,19	9,9,16,16,21;340:6;	281:7,8;295:4,6;338:12;	methodologies (1)
43:16;314:19	Mazzini (1)	344:6	340:15,18;341:17;	340:17
match (1)	51:22	measuring (3)	350:9;351:20	methodology (5)
273:11	MCA (2)	79:3;181:9;339:20	meetings (1)	260:6;339:12,14;
matched (1)	290:20;291:2	Meccano (2)	189:9	351:3;352:16
9:22	McCarthy (1)	49:2,8	member (1)	methods (8)
matching (1)	214:5	mechanical (12)	195:12	132:21;262:20;263:1,
6:9	McGill (2)	16:16;92:2;93:19;	members (3)	12;264:14;275:21;
Mateo (1)	104:8;210:21	116:6,13;118:10;	151:15;287:13;332:7	276:2;289:5
295:13	mCherry (6)	122:17;123:1;130:20;	membrane (2)	MeWo (1)
mater (3)	125:9,13,18,21;126:5,	203:10;236:18;338:14	16:2;144:1	115:22
279:19;280:3;300:9	11	mechanism (23)	memorable (1)	MGE (5)
mater' (1)	M-cherry (1)	18:16;20:12;30:19;	241:11	81:9,10;102:4,10;
280:15	126:7	41:18;52:2;68:2;74:16;	memory (2)	103:6
materials (3)	McIntosh (1)	77:11;108:7;132:10;	15:21;173:4	MGE-equivalent (1)
239:13,17;240:4	153:22	148:10;153:3;183:10;	Mendell (1)	100:17
matter (7)	MCMAHON (24)	198:19;204:21;217:15;	236:15	mgs (6)
38:13,14;85:6;96:15;	66:16,21;68:3,12,19;	218:4,12;246:6;277:18;	meningeal (3)	73:4;320:5,8;322:18,
101:19;177:6;285:3	70:14;107:16;108:6,17;	278:4;327:12;329:10	279:18;284:16;288:2	19,19
matters (1)	109:2,14;110:3,8;	mechanisms (9)	menthol (10)	MIA (1)
297:15	165:11;169:19;177:15,	10:11;21:22;77:16;	158:14,21;159:6;	269:7
mature (1)	19;214:16;215:8;	110:10;197:5;203:19;	161:16;162:1,5,22;	mic] (7)
41:9	261:16;326:10;328:11;	267:20;271:1,4	163:2,5,15	68:3;70:14;177:16;
maximum (5)	329:9;342:19	mechanistic (1)	mention (11)	264:20;270:7,10;328:12
45:12,19;47:20;	MD (2)	185:12	19:21;34:8;43:1;	mice (21)
206:19;210:5	339:9,13	med (1)	44:15,19;65:9;75:18;	14:13;66:17;87:4;
Maxoud (1)	mean (35)	307:9	97:8;132:16;213:22;	90:20;99:7;100:19;
312:6	50:14;57:15;74:18;	media (1)	258:18	101:7,16;103:1;110:19;
may (67)	135:21;167:9;168:5;	7:14	mentioned (16)	111:13;140:3,18,20,20;
6:2,13,13,19,20;17:10;	173:17;177:8,20,21,22;	medial (2)	22:11;29:21;41:5;	141:1;142:17;160:14;
23:19;24:21;25:10;	178:5;181:17;184:3,6;	81:9;101:17	53:22;86:20;104:21;	204:2;323:8;326:20
29:13;48:17;50:14,15;	187:17;214:22;242:7,	medical (6)	105:14;177:9;184:4;	Michael (3)
52:3;59:19,19;60:6;	14;245:9;255:22;	6:6;43:7;138:13;	248:8;249:5,11;255:15;	142:19;153:22;155:20
61:16,16,17;63:21;69:1;	259:12;266:11;267:14,	196:5;295:21;297:21	303:18;305:13;323:15	Michigan (2)
70:9;71:6;95:22;96:6;	15;270:9;290:12;294:1;	medication (20)	mentioning (2)	52:13;112:6
108:15;110:6;111:3,22;		257:9;297:10;298:10;	6:1;340:19	microarray (2)
	305:17;309:10;331:8;			• · · /
132:3,6;134:18;135:7,	337:5;341:4;349:2;	305:12;306:18,21;307:2,	Merck (3)	127:20;197:22
			Merck (3) 295:11;296:3;329:6	127:20;197:22 microbacteria (1)
132:3,6;134:18;135:7, 11,22;173:10,19,19;	337:5;341:4;349:2; 352:20	305:12;306:18,21;307:2, 14;310:14;312:5,12,13;	295:11;296:3;329:6	
132:3,6;134:18;135:7, 11,22;173:10,19,19; 180:10;183:9;191:20;	337:5;341:4;349:2; 352:20 meaning (11)	305:12;306:18,21;307:2, 14;310:14;312:5,12,13; 313:7;314:1,6;316:21,	295:11;296:3;329:6 merit (1)	microbacteria (1) 198:16
132:3,6;134:18;135:7, 11,22;173:10,19,19; 180:10;183:9;191:20; 192:4;193:21;194:10;	337:5;341:4;349:2; 352:20 meaning (11) 113:13;297:15;	305:12;306:18,21;307:2, 14;310:14;312:5,12,13; 313:7;314:1,6;316:21, 22;321:11;324:21;336:1	295:11;296:3;329:6 merit (1) 340:18	microbacteria (1)
132:3,6;134:18;135:7, 11,22;173:10,19,19; 180:10;183:9;191:20; 192:4;193:21;194:10; 198:20;203:18;206:3;	337:5;341:4;349:2; 352:20 meaning (11) 113:13;297:15; 298:16;308:15;311:15;	305:12;306:18,21;307:2, 14;310:14;312:5,12,13; 313:7;314:1,6;316:21, 22;321:11;324:21;336:1 medications (6)	295:11;296:3;329:6 merit (1) 340:18 mesenchymal (3)	microbacteria (1) 198:16 microbacterial (1) 198:15
132:3,6;134:18;135:7, 11,22;173:10,19,19; 180:10;183:9;191:20; 192:4;193:21;194:10;	337:5;341:4;349:2; 352:20 meaning (11) 113:13;297:15;	305:12;306:18,21;307:2, 14;310:14;312:5,12,13; 313:7;314:1,6;316:21, 22;321:11;324:21;336:1	295:11;296:3;329:6 merit (1) 340:18	microbacteria (1) 198:16 microbacterial (1)

microchip (1) 16:3 microfluidic (1) 15:22 microfluidics (1) 14:22 microglial (1) 77:16 microinjections (1) 171:19 microliter (1) 46:6 micron (1) 38:2 microphone (1) 134:15 microscopy (2) 104:10;106:22 Microsurgery (1) 37:7 microsyringe (1) 37:7 micro-syringe (1) 37:11 microvilli (1) 16:15 mid (1) 117:5 mid-90s (1) 267:15 middle (9) 43:21;67:16;70:9; 247:7;274:4;279:18,20; 284:16:288:1 midst (1) 320:17 might (41)6:22;23:1;69:15; 74:20;83:9,11;84:17,18, 18:109:16:110:1.4: 119:15:120:3:126:17: 149:12;151:12;155:18; 167:8;170:11;173:16; 179:19;196:1;217:9; 219:5;225:9;229:3; 231:20;233:10;246:22; 251:6,16;254:17;261:6; 262:1,1,5;293:14; 327:13;333:12,14 migraine (87) 272:19,21;273:4,10, 22;274:3;276:17; 277:12,14;278:6;279:1; 280:4;282:4,6,19;283:3, 14,15;287:10;296:14,19, 19;297:1,7,7,11,15,19; 298:3,9;299:6,13,18,20; 300:5,13,14,19,20,22; 301:1,21;302:18,19; 303:16,20;304:2,8; 305:8;306:1;309:18; 312:14:313:12:314:5.14, 16,20;315:22;316:2;

317:16,18;320:18,19; 322:7:325:13:327:16: 328:5,14,15,16,16,17,18, 20:819,21,21;329:4,7,13,16; 330:2,14,20,21;335:3, 19:337:11 migrainers (3) 310:12,13,14 migraines (7) 288:21:298:12,13; 41:11 310:13,22;322:8;329:22 migrant (1) 330:9 migrate (9) 25:15;38:10,12,13; 46:7;81:12;83:14;85:9,9 migration (2) 38:15;46:8 Mike (1) 98:7 mild (3) 161:18;235:13;306:6 millimeter (2) 254:8.9 millimeters (1) 38:11 millimolars (1) 123:7 million (6) 15:14:32:19:42:10; 45:11:147:8:189:13 millions (3) 146:11:348:15,16 mimic (1) 16:20 mimics (1) 13:6 mind (10) 135:9;195:20;197:21; 229:2;232:4;243:13,14; 244:21;253:22;259:11 mingle (1) 290:12 mini-company (1) 189:15 mini-human (2) 16:3;188:9 minimally (1) 49:14 minor (3) 76:12:264:13:301:8 minority (1) 219:7 minus (1) 256:4 minuses (1) 255:21 minute (4) 19:22;230:2;234:16; 242:10 minutes (7) 129:18;157:13;158:5; 235:10;243:18;295:3;

326:7 miracle (1) miserable (4) 95:21:176:18:312:18: 313:11 Misha (3) 180:13:339:18.18 mismatch (1) miss (3) 38:5;108:2;335:12 missed (3) 6:18;37:19;212:7 missing (2) 53:22;336:8 mistake (2) 135:5;256:19 misunderstanding (1) 176:6 mitigate (1) 92:13 mixed (1) 220:7 mixture (1) 141:17 Miyagawa (1) 133:2 MK3207 (1) 308:8 model (96) 12:17:13:11.19:14:9. 11,13,16;17:1;29:9; 33:6;35:22,22;36:2,3,10, 19,20;37:6;40:19;41:5; 48:18;63:22;67:2;68:1, 7,10;69:21;72:20;73:18; 74:13;84:6;92:1,2,4; 93:14,20,21;95:12; 96:13:98:19:102:7: 115:18.19:116:15: 120:18;121:16;122:11; 125:13;126:5;129:15; 130:11;131:2;132:19; 134:18;135:1,3,6,12,13; 156:4,6;185:16;189:22; 198:6;200:22;202:10; 203:6,11;205:11,22; 206:3;223:20;237:11, 19;240:9;248:2,4,7,10, 21;250:16;251:22; 257:2;261:4,6,9;269:7,8, 8;281:2;327:21;328:1,9, 9;338:21,22 modeled (1) 29:22 modeling (6) 6:12,14,14;15:2; 63:21;115:18 models (79) 14:14,18;15:4,5;17:2, 7,9,12;18:9;36:12;48:3; 67:3;68:17;91:21;92:1;

95:11:96:12:98:3:105:3, 4.13:106:1.1:112:18: 120:17;131:6;152:12; 156:3:170:16:179:9.10: 187:12;189:2;198:1; 199:13;201:11;202:1; 205:17;213:9,16; 215:19;216:1;217:10; 238:17;242:18;243:4; 245:13,14,18;247:14; 250:12;251:5;261:12,12, 20;262:5,7,9;264:15; 268:1;269:21,21,22; 277:16;280:4;323:8; 327:21;328:5,6,8; 330:12;340:5;341:2,12; 344:6,7;346:14;349:4,21 moderate (2) 235:13;272:22 modern (1) 278:15 modes (1) 171:21 modified (2) 101:4;139:10 modify (5) 31:18;64:8,15;185:5; 237:15 modifying (4) 94:15;133:1;237:19, 20modulate (5) 23:13:24:21,21:31:9; 57:5 modulated (1) 30:12 modulation (3) 30:15;48:1;325:11 Mogil (1) 326:13 Mogil's (1) 326:16 Molecular (7) 112:8,9;149:1;153:12; 154:3;163:22;346:17 molecule (33) 70:4;168:18;227:3,13; 242:2;251:17;286:13; 288:11;289:10;296:15; 300:3;303:9;304:6; 305:1,15:307:13:309:1; 310:17:311:1.18.19.20. 22;315:5;316:12,16; 319:18;320:15;325:9, 12;329:5;330:5;335:17 molecules (24) 13:4;14:1;18:5,8; 157:18;172:19;217:19, 22;246:7,8;264:22; 265:12;272:5;283:12; 304:15,18,20,21;305:7; 310:18:311:13:313:19: 318:21;343:8

June 24, 2014

mollusks (1) 167:13 moment (16) 6:11;13:9;18:4;23:2, 10;26:15;34:9;36:18; 57:19;64:13;146:21; 182:20;184:15;198:13; 219:20:351:14 momentarily (1) 230:3 momentum (1) 51:17 money (4) 110:12:241:16; 260:18;348:16 monitor (3) 159:3,5,7 monitored (2) 93:5;94:6 monitoring (5) 43:5;99:12,15;204:17, 18 monitorization (1) 324:19 monkey (1) 188:2 monoclonal (5) 313:3;314:9,22;315:4; 325:17 monoiodoacetate (1) 269:7 month (6) 28:9;33:10;287:8; 297:2;314:8;318:19 monthly (2) 317:10;321:11 months (18) 12:4;98:13;101:7,12, 21;102:8;107:2,4; 119:11:122:7:241:13; 310:4;312:21;317:9,13; 318:13:321:11.12 months' (1) 206:6 Moore (1) 209:21 morbidities (1) 329:3 more (150) 7:12;11:21;13:9; 14:17:15:20:16:13,15: 17:12,15;19:20;23:1; 24:10,12,16;31:14;42:8; 45:15,16;46:8;47:6; 51:18;58:4,18;62:20,20; 65:15;69:6;75:1,6; 80:11;82:11;90:10,12; 91:20;100:21;101:17, 21;108:18;152:3;162:5; 168:21;170:12;171:2, 18;174:8,10;179:4; 183:9;184:20;185:3,6; 186:18,19;199:17;

Transformative Strategi	es Development of I am I	incrupies	1	5 une 2 1, 2011
203:13;206:6;211:15;	73:19;80:16;81:1,1,17,	17	Nana (1)	143:14;201:8;335:12
			220:5	
215:9;221:7;225:8;	18;82:12,13,17;86:12;	multicellular (2)		needle (2)
226:11,15,16;228:2,3,	90:7;91:3;97:15,15;	32:6,8	nanomolar (1)	147:2,7
10,16,16;234:2,15;	98:8,12;99:1;100:18;	multidose (1)	123:4	needles (1)
236:2;238:4;240:11,19;	140:16;326:17	212:20	naproxen (1)	147:10
242:8;243:1;244:17,19;	mouth (5)	multineuron (1)	258:6	needs (9)
246:9,18;248:4;249:6,7;	147:19;149:11,12,13,	12:5	narrow (2)	17:9;18:19;19:17;
252:17;256:22;259:8,	17	multineurons (2)	120:21;267:9	20:17;76:20;270:16;
15;261:1,18;262:7,8,22;	move (27)	12:11,14	nasal (1)	297:21;339:6;351:22
263:2,11;265:12,20;	16:12,22;17:4;27:8,	multiple (5)	283:1	negative (12)
266:7,11;268:5,9;	16;42:10;44:14;51:17;	23:17;238:11;239:17;	Nat (8)	128:16;226:2,4;
269:21;270:1;271:9,18;	53:13;54:22;56:16;	241:7;347:14	227:5,6,11,12,19;	227:17;255:16;257:17,
278:15;279:22;282:12;	65:17;75:2;83:16;	muscle (30)	267:12;271:17;346:3	20;344:19,19;345:1,18,
283:11;289:9,10;292:9,	101:17;104:13;210:20;	9:10;12:4;14:20;23:6;	Nathaniel (1)	20
13,15;295:1,12;296:18;	222:18,20;264:22;	27:15,17;28:8;30:17;	227:20	nematodes (1)
297:2,16,16;298:1,8,12;	278:12;280:13;283:10;	31:1;35:12,13;40:10;	National (1)	155:3
299:4;302:16;303:3,20;	350:22;352:1,5,14	41:1,2,15,20;53:18;	42:18	neonatal (3)
304:7,15;308:7,14;	moved (11)	66:17;67:5,7,9,15,20;	Nat's (1)	81:22;82:16;83:8
309:22;310:2;311:2,3,	4:17;26:1;39:13;42:1;	70:13;74:8,15;138:21;	351:5	nerve (49)
11;319:2;323:10;	52:8;194:18;211:9;	144:1;279:9,16	natural (4)	9:10;76:9,10,13;
326:21;332:13;333:21;	227:13;295:11,12;	muscles (3)	114:11;117:21;	78:16,16;84:10;92:4,5;
334:7;337:19;338:4;	350:14	235:14,18;281:1	146:10;251:16	96:7;102:7;105:12;
339:6;344:8;348:3;	movement (2)	muscular (2)	naturally (3)	108:11;114:2,12,20;
350:3;351:20;352:1,14	16:10,16	12:2;186:2	34:3;113:13;125:6	116:19;117:11,12;
morning (6)	movements (1)	must (3)	Nature (7)	123:15;132:3;156:3,4,7,
4:4,6;20:14;136:12;	235:16	133:9;256:21;339:15	40:6;94:11,19;102:15;	11,15,17;157:3,8;198:5,
169:6,8	moves (3)	mutagenesis (1)	163:21;197:21;348:4	18;199:12;200:8,22;
morphine (3)	28:8;165:20;278:18	124:18	nausea (3)	203:15;220:8;232:12;
246:17;261:6,8	movies (1)	mutant (13)	261:22;298:14;307:8	233:12;266:14,16,18,18,
mortally (1)	137:14	36:9;68:9,10;86:12;	navel-gazing (1)	20;277:8;289:18;
12:21	moving (10)	98:8;111:13;121:14;	344:5	299:15;300:10;326:22;
mosaic-type (1)	17:16;26:6,10;53:11,	122:4,14,16,21;123:15;	navigating (1)	340:5
187:20	15;101:21;193:20;	126:13	315:7	nerves (8)
most (45)	222:14;226:21;324:9	mutants (1)	near (2)	114:14;115:5;120:2;
24:20;30:10;63:13,16;	MRI (2)	109:19	85:21;125:2	203:17;266:15;275:9;
	37:15,20	mutation (8)	nearly (3)	291:10,10
65:20;68:17;71:19;		× 7		
77:17;89:3;90:18;95:18;	MRI-track (1)	10:6,7;29:8,16;30:20,	33:22;181:17;292:1	nervous (15)
101:3;104:4,10;106:1,	37:21	20;36:16;41:17	neat (1)	35:11,11;120:2;121:2;
14;124:5;129:8;139:8;	MSTP (1)	mutations (4)	276:7	124:1;132:2;141:15;
148:19;154:8;176:6;	132:20	121:6;127:11;319:6;	necessarily (5)	145:19;154:10;158:7;
191:11,17;197:15;	mu (1)	320:1	79:20;177:17;253:13;	164:15;177:5;188:7;
200:14;201:13;205:14;	117:8	MVIIA (1)	269:17;351:11	258:21;274:1
208:6;228:14;229:22;	much (69)	142:22	necessary (6)	nested (1)
247:11;250:15;251:21;	5:7,9;15:20;26:18;	myalgias (2)	98:9;111:22;143:16;	39:22
263:15;295:3;298:5;	27:19;39:8;46:7;47:6;	235:9,19	148:6;150:19;267:7	Network (3)
299:2;303:8;307:12,15;	66:12;68:22,22;71:20;	myelination (1)	neck (1)	219:12;220:3;345:13
316:9;330:9;347:1;	75:10;84:13;85:21;	23:18	100:9	networks (1)
349:3	99:18;104:14;107:3;	myself (5)	need (49)	198:7
	136:7;144:18;150:4;	231:10;243:14;259:5;		NeuN (2)
mostly (2)		231:10;243:14;259:5; 347:5,5	7:17;22:22;23:4,4;	
103:5;284:7	156:16;162:5;164:10;		43:11,13;56:8,16;58:13;	97:7,10
motor (46)	168:21;171:17,18;174:1,	mysterious (1)	59:9,12,17,19,21;60:12;	neural (12)
19:21;23:5,7;24:6;	8,22;176:21;185:3;	217:17	74:15;77:7;102:20;	5:16;22:15;26:14;
27:4,7,9,10,13,14,22;	191:18,18;192:17;		109:20;119:17;131:12;	34:7;52:10;58:7;59:18;
28:2;29:10;30:17;31:1,	197:3;209:16;210:16;	Ν	167:21,22;168:6;	175:7;181:5;232:17;
9,15,17;35:8,14;36:5,6,	220:6;221:18;227:11,		178:17;189:4,21;	234:9;282:12
13;39:7,9,18;40:1,3;	21;228:10;243:8;249:6;	naive (3)	243:12;244:3,5;250:19,	neuralgia (17)
46:14;54:3;64:3;66:18;	251:6,10;259:8;264:16;	165:11;166:13;334:13	22;252:15;264:11,15;	95:21;96:2,13;114:22;
69:11;70:7,22;71:7;	265:17;272:17;274:10;	name (9)	265:14;283:22;284:5;	115:2;135:4,8;170:9;
151:8;180:2;181:6,10;	285:3;286:11;288:5;	13:17;29:20;140:3;	333:6;334:15;335:7,14;	180:6,10;205:8,10,16;
186:3,5,9,9;203:16;	292:18;294:10;304:7;	172:2;191:4;231:2;	337:12,20;338:19,19;	211:3;257:6;281:15;
273:18	320:11;324:7;325:5;	261:17;272:7;308:8	339:12;352:5,12	343:21
mouse (23) 13:20;14:14;70:7;	340:14;341:20;345:11; 351:6;352:14;353:9,11,	names (1)	needed (6)	neurasthenia (1)
	1 11101101101101101101101101101101101101	195:8	70:22;119:18;121:2;	285:19

June 24, 2014

176:10;195:21;201:11;

205:17:210:1.1:213:6:

219:12;223:2;250:12;

255:19;257:10;338:7;

340:2;342:2,11;344:17;

15:5,7;198:6;202:10;

203:7;206:3;213:10;

220:9;226:2;234:10;

neuropharmacological (1)

neuropharmacologists (1)

neuropharmacology (1)

153:15;193:5;240:22;

38:1:49:9:50:8:51:3;

neuroprogenitors (1)

neuroscience (5)

241:3:339:11

neurospheres (1)

neurosurgeon (5)

neurosurgeons (1)

neurosurgery (1)

neurosurgical (1)

neurotensin (3)

152:5,9,13

neurotransmission (1)

neurotransmitter (2)

143:15;144:9

neurotrophin (1)

neurotropic (1)

257:4;344:20;345:4,20

345:12:349:21

neuropathies (2)

neuropathy (14)

neuropeptide (1)

325:10

138:7

138:3

138:10

38:12

32:3

172:14

284:10

36:2

97:1

24:21

232:15

113:13

18:11

238:3

110:6

new (40)

neurturin (1)

neutralizing (1)

neutrophil (1)

6:15;8:18;14:16;

115:15;133:3,18;

21:14,15;23:16;29:12,

21;32:12;57:13;60:7;

64:16;79:22;113:5,20;

152:13:153:4:160:6;

193:2;207:2;210:21;

241:5,10;242:1;243:12;

229:21,21:239:7,9;

105:7.16

neurite (5)

248:22

neuro (1)

276:3

83:20

82:3

106:13

18:10

299:20

neurologist (3)

50:17;51:5,7

neurologists (2)

26:21;180:6

273:12;314:15

neuromuscular (9)

138:19;143:7,9,13;

144:10,17;145:3;155:2,

22:19:23:5,7:24:6,13;

25:4.5.11:27:8.14.14.22:

30:11,17:31:9:35:8,14;

123:18;145:12;164:19;

108:9;150:20;151:14:

5:20;12:9,15,16;14:9;

19:11,21;20:12;22:9,21;

23:11,14;25:18;27:4,9,

10,18;28:2;29:11;31:1,

10,15,16,17;33:13,16,

18;34:2;36:5,6,13;39:7,

9,18;40:1,3;46:14;64:3;

80:17;84:4;97:6;100:19;

113:15:117:17,19:120:9.

15;123:19,20,22;124:4;

129:11;131:16;158:8,

10;159:2;160:4,17,18,

19,22;161:2,3,7,11,16;

164:22;165:6;179:7;

180:2;185:19,20,21;

186:4,5,9,10;199:6;

205:21;232:17;290:1;

76:8:77:8:80:1:82:20:

94:16;103:18;105:2;

66:18;69:11;70:22;

101:5;109:5;110:5;

273:15;331:15

54:3;63:8;70:7;71:7;

neurology (2)

13

neuron (25)

189:17

neuronal (5)

neurons (88)

neurobiologist (1)

neurochemical (1)

neurochemistry (1)

14:15;171:13

neurogenetic (1)

neurological (8)

neurodegenerative (2)

12:17;29:3;36:11,12;

80:13;94:17;103:19;

18:15;199:1;200:5,10;

245:7;253:20;261:7;

267:8:296:7:314:20:

321:7:346:17

17:12;55:20

newer (2)

news (1)

214:18

353:15

next (21)

NGF (24)

newsletters (1)

5:18;15:11;28:9;

49:18;52:17;75:3;95:5;

136:13;219:22;220:19;

226:21;235:11;237:3,6;

242:9;247:9,17;250:3;

232:20;233:2,9,15,19,

22;234:3,8,14,19;235:4,

8;236:10,17,22;237:4;

238:3,19;239:11;249:2;

14:8;20:3;24:9;25:15;

45:8,12,14;46:3;50:2;

64:14;66:7;121:5;140:8;

152:20:189:11:196:6:

219:1:240:19:273:19:

281:12;284:15;337:1

138:17;153:6,7,13,21;

154:21;155:1;156:14,22,

149:20;169:14;274:4

14:10;54:10;66:11;

37:13;45:18;49:4;

55:22:57:10

49:1:52:11

22;166:6,12

189:6;350:19

NIH-funded (1)

nicotinic (12)

260:22;272:6;312:11

124:20;127:19;

267:4;271:4

NGF-related (1)

271:1

NGH (1)

43:4

nice (22)

nicely (5)

niche (1)

Nick (2)

night (3)

NIH (5)

14:2

37:8

116:12

Ninety (1)

115:11

nirvana (4)

nitric (1)

NK1 (3)

275:11

151:3,8,10,15

nine (1)

Nikkhah (1)

244:18

341:5:343:4.12

24:17;25:6;264:8;

198:21;338:6,10

161:19;162:4,9,18;

163:1,4;168:5,14

311:4:331:6

nociception (3)

nociceptive (1)

nociceptor (1)

nociceptors (8)

200:11

168:17

noise (1)

non- (1)

266:9

60:17

non-bias (1)

187:10

266:2

114:6

285:2

321:18 Nonetheless (1)

305:2

109:3,5

non-fatal (1)

174:2

176:3

213:11

124:8

259:3

63:6

204:13

40:13 noradrenaline (1)

277:5

norepi (1)

172:7

285:20

Nork (1)

non-excitable (2)

non-life-threatening (1)

non-neuropathic (1)

non-peptidergic (1)

non-scientist (1)

non-secretory (1)

non-specific (2)

18:22;126:1

non-sustained (1)

non-transplanted (1)

none (7)

non-clinical (1)

non-coding (1)

non-competition (1)

34:11,12;52:22;

269:20;279:6;280:15;

NMDA (1)

152:1

NNTs (1)

209:5

Nobel (1)

7:16

Nobody (5)

normal (22) 17:9;43:9;54:18;82:8; 93:12:94:1,7;98:18; 102:11:121:13.20: 122:14;130:22;136:3; 144:2;185:21;226:9; 298:16;301:8,9;303:11; 329:15 normalize (2) 93:10:94:6 normalized (2) 92:16:282:8 normalizing (1) 106:5 normally (3) 28:22;126:15;191:20 North (1) 208:4 notable (2) 265:13;274:9 note (1) 267:4 notice (2) 25:6;226:16 noticed (2) 101:8;215:15 noting (1) 237:21 notion (2) 168:3:233:9 notorious (1) 20:10 novel (2) 32:4:339:14 nowadays (1) 299:19 nowhere (1) 42:9 **NOX (2)** 289:10:291:20 NOX/C89 (2) 288:18:289:3 noxious (1) 161:19 **NPY** (1) 124:11 NPY-positive (1) 102:1 NSAID (1) 269:10 NSAIDs (2) 76:19:261:9 N-terminus (1) 152:6 nucleocomplex (1) 292:3 nucleolus (1) 114:8 nucleus (4) 38:22;91:9;96:11; 300:11 number (44)

Min-U-Script®

neuropathic (26)

293:17

A Matter of Record (301) 890-4188

9:5;14:11;30:13;35:2;

	.	A		,
39:18;40:18;45:9,18;	occurred (1)	Omega (1)	18;322:7,7,22;323:17;	15:1;76:1;113:4;
46:13;53:14;72:12;83:6;	223:11	142:22	326:10;327:21;331:3,	146:9;147:8;191:21;
107:2;121:10;127:22;	occurrences (1)	once (20)	11;332:12;333:7,12;	222:9;228:12;252:12,
129:15;132:15;153:1;	117:22	8:12;12:20;18:11;	334:15;339:18;341:22;	17;266:22;327:4;351:20
158:1;177:9,12;181:20,	occurring (2)	26:3;32:5;108:1;143:18;	343:17,22;344:21;	opposed (2)
22;184:17,19;185:2;	107:5;234:17	149:16;222:17;298:21;	345:1;349:2	116:2;263:10
191:13,14;197:13;	occurs (4)	309:22;310:1;317:6,8,	ones (11)	opposite (5)
200:20;208:2,4;209:1;	99:11;100:10;115:4;	21;318:2;324:22;	51:21;55:20;80:2;	47:22;85:11;122:3;
210:18;212:2,8,11;	135:7	334:21;336:5;342:15	128:13;153:11;197:19;	134:4;244:15
226:8;227:18;247:21;	ocean (1)	oncology (2)	265:3;267:1;276:5;	optimal (1)
249:18;250:7;261:20;	315:7	240:17;251:14	310:9;335:2	46:10
280:1	Oct-4 (2)	one (227)	one's (1)	optimism (1)
numbers (3)	8:7;62:22	11:22;13:22;14:20,21,	165:1	351:5
126:6;197:20;221:16	off (24)	22;16:1,19;19:10;26:6,	ongoing (3)	options (4)
numbness (1)	26:7;27:5;52:17;55:8,	7;27:15;28:9,21;29:12;	76:14;79:12;171:12	195:17;249:20;251:3,
273:19	13;56:6,13,14;57:8;	30:11;36:11;37:18,19;	only (64)	4
numerical (3)	58:3;59:11;62:15;68:3;	38:9;39:2,6;42:4,6,20;	23:4;35:16;36:14;	oral (3)
206:11;256:3;342:21	70:14;92:18;119:16;	50:13,18;51:3,11,21;	37:20;39:4;41:20;47:13;	73:3;306:20;307:2
numerically (1)	150:2;177:15;183:5;	55:1;69:2,5,5,11;70:3;	51:3,21;56:7;70:3;	orally (1)
309:12	221:13;264:19;270:7,	72:7;76:21;77:21;83:6;	74:20;75:15;76:2;83:7;	164:14
numerous (1)	10;328:12	85:3,7;86:19;87:17;	91:20;93:2,17;112:3;	order (5)
346:22	offers (1)	91:8;93:17;95:10;	113:14;120:2,5;137:21,	7:10;44:6;46:18;
NuvoVec (1)	5:4	101:15;102:22;106:3;	21;138:1;140:1;141:1;	143:7;150:3
113:10	officer (1) 295:21	107:17;108:21;111:8,9; 112:15;113:7;115:17,	175:20;178:12;180:4; 184:16;214:9;224:3;	organ (4) 15:16;16:18;17:3;73:8
0	off-target (1)	19;116:21;117:2;	228:7;231:13;232:19;	organism (1)
0	123:17	126:13;129:2,8,16;	242:18;246:22;255:4,6;	32:6
OA (7)	often (12)	133:18;138:12,17;141:9,	258:2;260:13;271:2;	organisms (1)
252:22;258:4;269:4,9,	139:11;146:14;	10;144:19;147:21;	277:3;286:6;298:9;	32:8
15,16,21	165:20;211:17;224:22;	149:6,10;150:2,2;151:2,	300:15;304:1,13,17,17;	organized (3)
obligated (1)	226:11,15;288:12;	12,18,21;152:3,20;	305:11;307:7;312:7;	191:6;193:9;255:16
258:11	332:13;335:12;340:10;	162:6,6;163:7;164:3,18;	313:17;317:8,20;318:1,	organizers (1)
observation (8)	350:10	165:11;168:15;170:5,18,	2;320:2;321:17,18;	227:22
168:12;182:20;	oil (1)	20;176:1,17;177:5;	324:21;330:22	organoid (1)
183:13;233:1,20;	65:9	178:2;179:3,16;180:3,	onset (6)	188:11
234:14;235:7;236:4	olcegepant (4)	15,21;181:3,7,19;182:5,	27:3;28:4;41:11;	organoids (3)
observations (4)	283:16;305:14,14;	10;185:1;187:4;191:4,7,	51:15;186:8;288:5	9:13,16;188:10
216:20;234:2,17;	308:19	11,13;192:20;193:8;	onto (3)	organs (3)
236:8	old (11)	194:7;197:15,16;200:14,	49:6;53:11;263:17	10:10;11:9;15:20
observing (2)	8:1;29:2;30:18;55:18;	17;201:3,3;204:4,11;	open (6)	origin (1)
252:16,18	57:17;61:11;70:4;	206:6,20;212:6;213:14;	21:3;143:14,14;	81:3
obstacles (3)	197:19;290:5;291:12;	214:20;215:15;216:15;	169:17;216:9;302:6	original (3)
241:20;243:7;245:6	343:12	217:16;218:19;219:13;	opened (1)	66:2;214:3;275:5
obvious (7)	older (1)	220:20;221:16,16;	9:20	originally (8)
186:22;205:5;290:15;	137:11	224:18;225:7,13,20;	opening (2) 150-22-301-22	42:2;70:17;83:19;
291:1;340:13;351:10,11 obviously (14)	old-ish (1) 38:8	229:15;230:2,10;233:8; 235:2,3,20,21;236:1,15;	159:22;301:22 open-label (1)	112:5;151:22;162:8; 172:5;207:3
46:4;50:11;63:15;	oligo (1)	237:16;238:12,17;	20:9	origins (2)
40.4,50.11,05.15, 65:15;69:7;105:15;	97:10	239:9;242:7,13,22;	opens (7)	171:3;230:13
110:8;135:2;171:16;	oligodendrocyte (1)	246:16;247:15;248:1;	15:1;143:20;149:11;	osmotic (1)
204:8;269:18;324:11;	22:19	256:1;261:13;262:19;	163:5;301:7,13,15	128:4
352:1,20	Oligodendrocytes (2)	265:21;268:1;269:11,13,	operated (1)	ostensibly (1)
occasion (1)	23:14,19	13;270:22;271:8,10;	284:13	269:8
338:21	oligonucleotides (3)	272:11;274:10,15;	opiate (2)	osteoarthritis (7)
occasional (1)	13:14;288:7,12	275:8;280:14,18;	117:7;172:10	213:12;251:20;252:8;
329:16	oligos (2)	283:16;284:7;287:6;	opioid (2)	253:19;255:13;256:9;
occasionally (1)	100:20,20	288:3,17;291:12,19;	153:3;258:15	258:10
38:6	Olivera (17)	292:3;293:9,15;294:7,	opioids (5)	OTCs (1)
occipital (1)	136:15;137:7,8;	22;295:22;296:17,18;	76:19;183:5,6;261:10;	298:1
82:7	140:12;147:12;150:12;	297:3;306:21;308:1,14;	328:1	others (16)
occur (4)	165:1,10,14;166:17,20;	314:7;315:14,15,18,19;	opium (1)	22:8;36:18;50:15;
10:17;127:12,13;	167:4,13;168:22;184:13,	316:6,6,9,21;317:5;	150:10	57:11;70:5;95:13;
144:19	19;185:1	318:12;319:9;320:18,	opportunity (13)	223:16;229:6;241:7;
		1		I

Transformative Strategi	es-Development of 1 am	i nei apies	[June 24, 2014
288:19;298:20;308:12;	78:6;79:3;84:8;98:22	275:11	20;241:20;242:22;	326:13,15,20;327:3,6
310:8;317:1;319:11;	outside (10)	oxycodone (2)	243:1,3,12,18;244:8,12,	papers (10)
324:12	71:2;95:4;291:9,17,	258:9,12	17;245:7,13;247:16;	8:21;12:1;17:22;
other's (2)	21;295:5;300:17;302:1,	238.9,12	248:7,13,15;249:1;	30:13;35:2;170:21;
333:22;351:9	3,21	Р	250:12,14,15,18;251:5,	189:17;235:2;248:1;
Otherwise (1)	outsider (1)	I		253:10
338:9	188:21	DOV2 (1)	20,22;252:2,3,19;254:2,	
		P2X3 (1)	6,8;255:14,17,17,18,19,	paradigm (6)
Otten (1)	outweigh (1)	343:22	20;256:10,16;257:2,10,	216:8;305:6;313:2;
233:5	245:6	PA2 (1)	11,22;258:7;263:3,6;	314:12;315:6;352:4
ought (3)	over (57)	284:19	264:15;268:1;269:16;	paradigms (6)
211:20;262:8;348:14	6:7;8:21;12:18,19;	Pablo (1)	271:13;272:22;273:1;	310:18;311:9;316:11;
ourselves (2)	14:6;22:4;33:5,10,12;	37:22	274:19;276:13;282:6,8;	321:1,7,14
343:15;348:18	35:2,5;36:7;47:16;	PACA (1)	283:11,17;300:5;301:8;	parallel (4)
out (126)	51:11;55:14;58:18;66:1;		302:5,14,16;303:22;	284:15,22;309:11;
9:8;11:12,13,17;	72:6;82:1;87:3;93:9,16;	Pacific (1)	305:11,11;306:3,4,5,6,6,	321:13
20:14;21:9;23:6;27:15;	107:1,5;116:11;119:11;	43:7	7,8,9,10,10;312:18;	paralysis (5)
29:15;30:6,17;34:17;	129:18;130:16;141:2;	package (8)	314:15;324:2,5;325:10,	28:3,4;36:5;51:11;
37:13;44:11;48:7;53:17;	146:11;155:15;183:2;	242:8;259:22;268:4;	11;326:14,17,20;327:7;	151:7
60:10;63:14;68:17;	197:18;203:12;227:10;	269:14;317:7;318:10;	328:6,18,20,22;329:3,8;	paralytic (6)
69:22;70:2;71:15;85:17,	230:22;232:3;235:10,	320:8,10	338:6,7,8,9,16;339:1;	138:17;140:1,17;
20;86:2;87:18;92:9;	11;248:19;258:5,7;	PAG (2)	340:2,12;342:2,11;	141:18;144:4;145:5
99:21;100:2;103:3;	259:20;260:14,17,20;	274:9;285:10	343:9;344:17;345:12;	paralyze (2)
105:21;109:21;120:5,6;	261:10,13;263:11;	paid (1)	349:21;352:6;353:1	28:12;155:7
123:5,7;124:17;125:19;	309:20;317:13;325:7;	195:11	pain-free (1)	paralyzed (8)
126:22;137:10,12;	329:8;330:8;335:6;	pain (291)	306:3	28:11;36:9;37:2;
138:21;139:18;144:7,14,	346:16;350:18	5:5,6,20;6:1,2,3,14,18,	painful (4)	40:12;50:16;54:3;
15;146:13;147:4;148:5,	overactive (1)	19;15:2;17:4,9,12,22;	78:7;154:17;180:17;	144:11,13
11;149:2,10,20;150:10,	151:14	18:2,9,16;23:13;24:22;	257:4	parameter (1)
16;151:18,22;152:10;	overall (2)	25:19;30:4,12,15;44:4;	painless (3)	307:16
153:15,17,19;156:1;	169:12;270:1	46:22;47:2,3,4,8,19;	168:2,4;198:15	parameters (2)
161:2;164:1;165:18,19;	overcome (3)	48:1,2,3,8,13,14,16,17,	painlessness (1)	210:22;307:9
173:1;177:7;186:17;	66:22;110:6;163:10	17,18;53:7;55:14;57:4,5,	198:17	paraphysiology (2)
187:5;190:3;195:6;	overestimate (1)	9,9;60:19;63:22;64:10;	pain-on-a-chip (1)	304:2,3
197:9;205:5;207:10,14;		75:7,15;76:2,7,8,8,11,	17:7	parasympathetics (2)
209:4;213:14;214:6;	over-express (1)	15;77:9;78:9;79:12,13;	pain-related (2)	275:10;283:2
220:2;227:22;229:2,9;	36:9	80:1,4;82:20;94:16;	49:12;129:20	parent (1)
230:4,17;232:22;234:7;	over-expressed (1)	96:12;97:14;98:3,18,21;	pains (4)	12:16
236:2;238:15;240:21;	29:8	103:18;104:2,3;105:2;	95:20;176:17;268:2;	Parke- (1)
241:3,14;243:20;	overlap (5)	105.18,104.2,5,105.2, 107:18;113:13;115:10,	271:10	197:19
244:11;247:1,11;	97:6;125:19;126:2,9,	12,13,17;116:6,11;	paired (2)	Parkinson's (16)
249:15;251:2;252:1;	12	12,13,17,110.0,11, 118:1,5;119:1,3;120:16;	51:8,12	19:9,12;20:3,10;
255:5;258:12;259:8;				
	overnight (1)	121:16;124:6;126:16;	pale (2)	21:18;22:7,9;29:4;30:6;
260:15;268:14;269:19;	158:11	130:6,9,16;131:15,17,	282:20;290:7	54:20,22;55:7,11;56:4,4;
272:20;276:15;277:20;	overt (3)	20;132:4;135:6;137:5;	pallidotomies (1)	187:8
281:5,22;288:19;	13:12;47:11;71:18	138:5;142:21;145:8,15,	55:13	paroxysmal (1)
289:17;290:7,9,21;	over-the-counters (1)	17;152:12,13;156:3,5,7;	pancreas (2)	283:6
294:2;319:14;328:7;	297:10	162:19;167:3,10,20;	9:11;63:4	part (24)
335:22;336:2;346:20;	overthrow (1)	168:6;170:7,10,12,15;	pancreatitis (1)	17:13,14;18:5;35:10;
347:15;348:7,10;349:1;	150:16	174:16,17,18;175:14;	257:18	61:1;62:7;86:16,17;
350:8	overtly (1)	176:4,10;177:20;179:2,	panel (12)	95:19;100:11;144:4;
outcome (8)	48:16	9,11,12;180:4,19;181:2,	64:20;169:16,18;	182:13;238:7;244:18;
194:17;208:13;211:8,	overview (5)	11;189:3,18;190:1;	222:10;271:19;293:3;	275:4;278:10,13,16;
16;226:5;340:6,8,12	5:21;191:22;254:19,	193:5;195:21;198:7,10,	295:1;326:4;332:2,6,8,	284:10,21;292:7,9;
outcomes (4)	21;256:7	12,20;200:11;201:11;	16	339:4;342:20
53:3;65:18;215:15;	own (13)	205:17;206:5,12;	paper (39)	partial (1)
342:3	9:3;15:8;22:5;45:22;	207:21;208:9,9;209:3,4;	7:9;12:12;19:13;20:4;	78:16
outer (1)	64:16;147:12;165:12;	210:1,1,18;211:1,3,9,12,	34:17;38:8;40:16;58:22;	partially (1)
292:7	166:1,7;195:17;229:2;	18;213:6,11,16;214:19;	69:22;78:20;81:14,15;	104:21
outgrowth (2)	230:12;259:11	215:16,20;216:1,11;	82:10,11;98:4;99:8;	participants (1)
18:15;200:5	oxcarbazepine (4)	217:10;219:12;220:3;	198:13;220:2,4,13,14;	322:15
outlook (1)	220:11;344:16,20;	223:2;226:1;231:20;	226:1;232:22;233:4,8,	participation (1)
211:12	345:18	233:10;235:13,14,15;	11,14;236:13,14,16;	353:11
output (4)	oxide (1)	237:4,5,19;240:8,17,18,	238:13;248:3,11;310:3;	particles (2)
	1			1

June 24, 2014

	······································	· ·· r ···	1
89:17;113:20	41:6;44:22;49:7;50:4;	318:3	per (8)
particular (26)	51:5,6;56:5;59:14;60:2;	paying (2)	46:6;73:4;207:
76:17;95:18;125:14;	74:21;76:11,22;78:8;	174:12;280:5	219:14;297:2;3
126:9;127:3;131:21;	91:20;121:10;186:3,12;	pays (1)	320:11,11
132:17;140:15;146:20;	211:4;219:14;221:8;	155:16	per-arm (2)
147:13,22;148:14;	252:18;273:14,17;	PBS (1)	257:5,6
150:14;151:9,17;	281:16;285:3;335:19	118:15	perceived (5)
152:19;154:18;156:13;	patients (162)	PC12 (1)	232:16;301:8,9
157:7;161:8;163:18;	9:14,15;10:5,19;11:5;	127:18	302:5
165:6;193:7;213:21;	12:7;19:8;20:2,5,8,20;	peak (1)	perceiving (1)
254:20;259:4	21:15;24:5,7,10;26:18;	140:20 D	145:17
particularly (10)	28:1;31:9,11;36:15;	Peart (1)	percent (53)
33:22;66:1;77:22; 95:20;112:17;137:5;	41:16,21;42:11,22;45:3; 50:13,14;51:14;52:1,12,	196:4 pedantic (1)	28:1,3,15,16;29 14;36:15,16;55:
179:8;197:4;228:2;	19,22;53:9,14;55:7,12;	134:19	78:21;84:16;92:
293:13	65:5;73:9,14,15;74:14;	penetrant (1)	102:9,12;115:7
partner (1)	77:6;80:1,6,7;96:3;	215:10	126:10;138:13
113:8	115:11;118:20;119:12;	penetrate (7)	160:18;176:10
partnership (2)	152:18;172:4;173:16;	18:18;19:16;172:19;	177:9;208:8;209:
14:10;17:1	176:7,11,12;178:12;	175:5;215:13;303:4;	9,10,13,13;293
partnerships (1)	179:14;180:18;183:1,4,	318:4	298:11;306:8;30
352:2	11;184:16;185:10,18;	pentamers (1)	310:21;317:12;
parts (5)	186:7;199:18;206:1,8,	153:8	322:15,16;337
284:4;285:4;292:12;	11;207:8,9,11,14;208:5;	people (75)	342:21;344:1;3
293:10,12	209:3;212:11;214:21;	4:20;26:9,13;28:14,	percentage (2)
pass (3)	215:5;219:3,7;220:8,10;	15;63:7;68:17;80:9;	102:4;209:2
285:13;286:13;290:19	221:1,16,19;224:21;	88:7,17;92:8;103:2,22;	per-country (1)
passage (2)	225:1,3,19;226:5,8,12;	115:6;132:15,16,18;	212:8
34:14;108:8	228:17;229:10;236:1;	135:22;138:12,15;	perfect (2)
passages (1)	237:2;251:4,9,12,15,18,	164:8;165:3,3;167:14;	194:1;353:10
33:21	19;252:1,16;253:19;	174:10;177:22;178:14; 184:9;185:7;187:18;	perfectly (4) 96:21;140:22;2
passaging (1) 32:13	256:10;257:20;259:21; 262:16;263:1,8,8,21;	190:8;191:8,14,16;	performed (1)
passing (1)	271:13;274:2,6,18;	190:8,191:8,14,10, 192:4,8;193:12;194:8;	201:7
286:10	277:17,21;281:14;282:4,	195:7;204:14,15;	perfuse (1)
past (4)	6,7;283:4,14;285:18;	206:17;212:3;213:20,	291:1
33:21;330:8;333:11;	297:15,22;298:1,6,7;	21;223:15;228:9;229:7;	perfusion (1)
351:6	302:14;305:10;306:2,8;	234:15,20;240:11;	248:21
Pat (7)	308:1;312:2;313:10,12,	257:13;259:8,10;260:3;	perhaps (12)
231:17,18;232:1;	22;314:4;316:1;317:12;	264:1;272:11,21;	5:4;15:3;17:21
248:7,16;250:8;253:2	318:18;321:9,17;	277:13;281:2;285:16;	186:15;195:6;2
patching (1)	322:16;323:19;324:1;	288:10;297:2,8;310:20;	270:18;272:20;
90:8	329:21;335:13,18;336:3,	316:4;324:21;327:7;	283:10;285:22
patent (1)	3,4,20;340:7;344:16;	328:14;333:9,10,18;	period (11)
44:17	345:3,7,19;346:1	348:5,12,15	33:10;116:11;1
patents (4)	patient's (1)	peptide (39)	130:16;203:12
44:6,9,12;229:17 path (1)	55:4 patients' (4)	140:18,19;141:6;	210:14;212:22
305:22	9:22;10:7;15:8;339:6	142:7,8,10,16,19;143:4; 144:4,5,12;145:4,13,21;	334:8;339:10 periods (3)
pathology (3)	Patrick (2)	146:4;151:21;152:4,6,	33:5;35:5;93:8
30:1;41:17;277:20	34:19;241:6	14,16,22;156:2,6,10;	peripheral (13)
pathophysiology (1)	pattern (5)	160:17,20,21;161:4,8,9;	76:9;78:15;84:
327:15	146:6;271:22;307:20;	162:11,13;164:18;185:2,	91:17;124:1;16
pathway (8)	311:12;341:7	6;276:3;282:2;299:1	200:22;220:8;2
30:11;31:16;60:9,11;	patterns (1)	peptidergic (1)	303:14;326:22;
277:11;287:3;302:20,21	146:8	124:7	20
pathways (8)	Pause (2)	peptides (28)	peripherally (3)
18:13,16;23:12;30:4;	169:15;323:2	117:7;139:8,18,21,22;	71:15;215:13;2
31:15;61:14;298:4;	paw (10)	141:19,20;142:2;150:13,	periphery (2)
299:14 patient (35)	47:15;48:9;91:4;	14;151:16;153:1,5,20;	91:13;145:11 perivascular (1)
8:1,1;9:1;10:22;11:1,	116:10,14;121:17,21; 131:1;248:2,10	154:2,5,7,11;155:9; 157:14;160:9,11,15;	291:11
20;13:15;20:12;26:7;	pay (1)	162:2,3,6;170:18;281:17	permanently (1)
20,13.13,20.12,20.7,	pay (1)	102.2,3,0,170.10,201.17	Permanentity (1)

34:22 permission (1) 5:6:73:4:207:5: 19:14;297:2;318:19; 53:13 person (6) 92:21,22;100:22; 132:22;133:2;231:16 personal (7) 32:16;301:8,9,10; 174:1,7,10;211:14; 219:22;230:12;352:21 personalized (3) 9:4;10:3;11:3 personally (3) 8:1,3,15,16;29:6,7, 84:11;250:4;268:13 ;36:15,16;55:11;72:3; perspective (16) 3:21;84:16;92:19;97:9; 95:15;97:2;180:15; 02:9,12;115:7,11,12; 191:22;194:16;215:1; 26:10;138:13;139:5; 229:5,9;235:6;242:11; 50:18;176:10,11,16; 259:20;262:17;265:15; 7:9;208:8;209:3,4,5,6, 296:12;308:13;316:16 10,13,13;293:17; persuaded (1) 140:8 8:11;306:8;308:2,3,3; persuasive (2) 0:21;317:12;318:16; 22:15,16;337:10,12; 259:16;263:7 42:21;344:1;349:20 pessimism (1) 214:17 **PET (7)** 20:4;274:5;285:20; 286:5,5,7;307:1 Peter (5) 274:20;281:4,7; 326:14,18 5:21;140:22;228:1,2 petri (1) 8:4 Pfizer (4) 219:19;229:12;232:7; 264:1 **PGK (1)** 35:4 pH (1) 4:15:3:17:21:86:18: 217:1 pharmaceutical (1) 86:15;195:6;208:3; 70:18;272:20;281:11; 193:3 33:10;285:22 Pharmaceuticals (3) 13:16,17;296:2 pharmacological (7) 3:10;116:11;129:18; 30:16;203:12;205:9; 80:5;141:19;159:13; 10:14;212:22;271:2; 169:2;170:22;196:10; 342:4 pharmacologically (1) 3:5;35:5;93:8 169:1 pharmacology (5) 5:9;78:15;84:10; 148:4;156:20;158:18; 284:21;305:17 1:17;124:1;164:16; 00:22;220:8;267:19; phase (73) 34:3;43:2;52:13;)3:14;326:22;344:17, 197:8;204:12;205:7,16; pherally (3) 208:19;212:16,17,20; 1:15;215:13;219:5 213:5,18;215:7;219:10; 220:18;224:2,3,3,11; 234:13,13;235:3;236:8, vascular (1) 9,9,11;250:20,22;251:2, 4,11,15;252:12,22; nanently (1) 253:14;255:11;262:22;

Min-U-Script®

A Matter of Record (301) 890-4188

Transformative Strategie	es-Development of Pain T	Therapies	I	June 24, 2014
263:4;278:10;304:21, 21;305:1,2,13;307:4;	144:2 pick (4)	322:16;324:3;328:2 placebo-controlled (2)	118:12;119:5;238:18; 255:11;256:1;324:19;	populations (1) 91:6
308:10;316:8,9;317:5;	75:17;150:1;189:1;	212:21;318:15	328:21	poreless (4)
318:11,14;320:4,6,7,7,	346:11	placebo-like (1)	pluses (2)	128:17;129:4,12;
13,18;321:9;322:5,6,13,	picked (3)	307:16	255:21,22	130:7
14;324:12,16;330:22;	129:7;170:8;352:10	placebo-treated (1)	pm (3)	PORRECA (21)
335:22;336:2;342:22;	picking (2)	204:14	190:11;191:2;353:18	4:3;66:14;74:22;
343:17;344:19;351:17,	171:9;217:4	places (1)	PNAS (1)	104:16;110:21;133:7;
18	pick-up (1)	289:22	81:15	169:17;173:13;177:8;
phases (1)	352:13	placing (1)	PNS (1)	178:22;180:13;182:17;
43:16	picomole (1)	211:20	59:13	183:16,18,20;185:8;
PhD (3)	287:19	plan (2)	pod (1)	190:7;216:4;217:13;
136:21;339:11,13	picture (7)	42:15;295:3	134:4	218:11,15
phenomena (3)	6:10;7:2;96:1;248:9,	planning (3)	point (45)	portion (1)
206:2,4;220:11	15;281:4;298:15	73:7;212:20;295:4	13:21;50:1;53:16;	127:17
phenotype (9)	pictures (1)	plans (1)	67:18;78:14;85:17;	position (3)
102:18;106:12,21;	59:4	212:17	94:10;105:21;108:16;	222:17;232:8;342:16
111:1;141:13;142:9;	piece (3)	plant (1)	135:14;159:3,5,7;	positive (37)
186:17;343:9;345:15	133:16;196:2;236:6	34:1	163:20;178:7,9,16,19;	39:22;69:16;89:9;
phenotypes (1)	pig (6)	plaque (1)	180:18;185:12;195:5;	97:7,10;106:19;125:15,
186:18	43:13;49:5,6,15;	128:10	197:9;199:10;202:13;	20;128:16;211:12;
phenotypic (1)	72:21;73:1	plaques (3)	210:12;222:5;225:15,	214:18;215:17;227:15;
186:21	pigmentosa (2)	128:5,11;129:6	20;254:22;258:3,12;	245:15,18,19,20;249:5,
phenotyping (1)	54:8;174:4	plasma (1)	261:15;263:3;264:13;	8;250:13;252:7,21;
220:17	pigs (2)	226:7	265:21;267:3;268:8;	253:16;256:9,18,20;
Philippines (3)	49:3;323:15	plastic (3)	323:17;325:3,4;337:10;	257:15,16;267:7;322:5;
136:16,20;164:7	pill (2)	26:17;107:6,8	343:14;346:12;351:6;	336:16,18,19;343:16,20;
philosophy (2)	50:3;56:5	plasticity (2)	352:13	344:21;345:1
109:10;138:6	piloting (1)	25:18;54:16	pointed (1)	positives (1)
PHN (17)	225:3	platform (4)	180:17	20:11
115:14;118:3;122:11;	pinball (4)	54:6;114:17;296:4;	points (8)	possibility (2)
130:11;131:11;132:19,	62:1,3,7,12	317:2	107:17;182:15;	168:15;327:12
19;206:5;207:17,20;	pinch (1)	plausible (2)	245:18,21;265:10;	possible (8)
212:21;219:3,4;220:8;	48:9	96:9,21	291:1;295:20;309:1	25:22;55:14;64:22;
225:10;340:11;345:4	pineal (1) 290:7	play (3) 7:18;12:18;278:4	P-Oka (1) 115:22	84:20;132:7;164:16;
phone (1) 253:9	pinnacle (1)	player (1)	pOka-infected (2)	179:17;292:16 post (1)
phonophobia (4)	6:9	27:1	118:14;119:5	90:4
298:14;301:10;	pinpricked (1)	plays (1)	polychaetes (1)	post-central (1)
302:15;307:8	345:8	163:12	155:3	181:2
phosphatase (1)	pipe (2)	please (1)	polyneuropathies (3)	poster (1)
129:3	21:5;234:6	136:10	104:22;105:5;107:13	222:17
phosphatases (1)	Pittsburgh (2)	pleased (1)	polyneuropathy (2)	post-herpetic (10)
127:6	98:6;112:14	113:4	202:2;340:11	114:22;115:2;135:4,8;
phosphorylating (1)	pivotal (2)	pleasure (6)	pool (1)	170:9;205:8,10,16;
127:2	303:1,16	4:5;75:4;112:2;137:6;	319:16	211:2;257:6
phosphorylation (1)	pivotals (1)	272:10;295:8	pooled (1)	postmitotic (1)
127:1	307:3	plenty (1)	258:4	84:3
photophobia (4)	PKC (1)	185:10	poor (4)	post-op (2)
298:14;301:9;302:14;	127:2	plexus (1)	24:12;179:16;290:8;	270:4,5
307:8	PL (1)	199:19	343:4	post-synaptic (5)
phrases (1)	277:1	plotting (1)	poorly (1)	88:4;89:12;138:18;
339:19	place (8)	92:16	269:22	143:21;179:7
PhRMA (2)	38:3;143:5;155:15;	plug (2)	popped (1)	post-translationally (1)
10:16;267:17	180:11;290:15;292:2;	85:3,7	107:21	139:10
phylogeny (1)	318:10;353:1	plummets (1)	populate (1)	post-transplant (1)
149:1 physical (1)	placebo (26) 207:9,11;208:15,17;	92:19	82:7	101:8 nostural (1)
physical (1) 48:9	209:17;210:7,22;211:7;	pluripotent (17) 4:9;8:11,22;9:2,7;	population (17) 33:17;41:7;98:10;	postural (1) 164:15
physiological (2)	212:1,6;214:21;223:6,	4.9,8.11,22,9.2,7, 13:1;22:11,17;26:2;	106:17;124:13;159:3;	posture (1)
13:12;150:15	10;226:12;254:4,10;	59:6;61:13;62:8,11,22;	207:17,19;225:9,10;	76:16
physiology (4)	258:13;307:6,18;317:15,	63:3,10,19	244:18;298:11;310:22;	potassium (18)
90:5;104:6;111:9;	16;318:16;320:21;	plus (7)	336:12;337:6,8,10	157:22;158:2,16;
, , , ,	, , ,	• · · ·	, , , , , , -	, , , - ,

159:8,13,14,17,19,22; 160:3:162:16:163:6.10. 12,16,18,21;164:1 potassium-channel (2) 82:13,14 potent (8) 129:8;279:22;299:2; 300:2:303:9:308:7: 323:6;330:9 potential (12) 120:11;143:9,22; 173:21;178:15;181:12; 184:10;195:21;204:21; 267:1;272:14;324:10 potentially (8) 137:5;152:12;176:19; 183:7,10;196:17; 264:22;334:10 potentials (3) 79:3;138:21;144:18 pouring (1) 103:9 power (4) 26:3;51:9,13;88:2 powerful (4) 26:4;64:2;145:15; 249:3 PP1-alpha (8) 129:3,12;130:7,15,21; 131:3;132:8,11 practical (2) 164:12:251:21 practicalities (1) 241:22 practice (4) 180:21;181:21;182:5; 350:8 practicing (1) 138:10 Praveen (2) 199:7,15 pre- (7) 52:7;202:19;213:15; 240:7;333:17;343:2; 347:4 preceded (1) 299:10 pre-clinical (77) 25:21;43:18;45:1; 193:20;194:14;195:13, 16:197:14:200:18: 201:6;204:7;205:3,4,6; 213:12;214:4;215:19; 216:13;222:15,20; 223:16,20;224:5,12,13; 228:22;229:8;230:6; 231:11,12;236:12;242:5, 7,18,21;243:5;245:11; 247:18;249:4;250:6,10, 17:254:17:259:19: 260:15;262:15;263:15; 268:9,18:269:14,20; 323:15;332:12,20;333:8,

10:338:5:340:5.17: 341:2.7.12.20:342:2.14: 346:11,14,18;347:12,15, 19,21;348:1,4,18; 351:17;352:16 pre-competitive (1) 352:8 preconditioning (1) 235:20 precursors (1) 196:8 predator (2) 216:9,11 predetermined (1) 350:4 predict (2) 74:15;346:14 predictable (1) 100:10 predicted (2) 328:10;346:2 predicting (3) 268:16;349:4,5 prediction (2) 327:5;345:6 predictive (1) 265:17 predigest (1) 147:18 predisposed (1) 302:16 predominantly (1) 340:11 predominates (1) 279:12 preempt (1) 118:19 preempted (1) 326:8 prefer (1) 297:19 preferentially (1) 261:9 pregabalin (7) 208:5;210:11;226:2,7, 9,10,13 preliminary (2) 21:1;352:11 premise (1) 341:10 preparation (1) 233:16 prepared (1) 206:17 preplanned (1) 212:9 preponderance (1) 207:19 pre-pro (1) 124:19 preproenkephalin (2) 117:3,6 presence (2)

329:22;331:15 present (13) 146:2;160:4;162:17, 22;166:15;219:6; 231:12,14;238:16; 262:21;279:11;296:12; 320:9 **Presentation (23)** 5:8;75:8;112:21; 137:7,14:195:1,9:219:1; 223:14;226:19,22; 227:20:228:8:230:15, 19;242:14;245:4;247:8; 272:16;293:2;295:1,16; 338:14 presentations (3) 105:2;191:10;351:15 presented (7) 4:7;111:2;169:22; 265:5;287:9;296:10; 346:18 presenting (3) 5:2;222:16;227:5 presents (1) 262:14 preserve (2) 319:20;323:1 preserved (1) 325:8 press (3) 212:19:226:1:343:19 pressure (5) 21:9:37:13:196:12; 197:11:323:10 pressures (1) 250:18 presumably (3) 5:19;136:3;276:5 presume (1) 105:9 pre-synaptic (3) 88:20,21;89:18 pretreated (2) 328:2,3 pretty (28) 37:22;38:6;39:8;65:8; 73:7;77:3;85:21;96:5; 108:2;111:21;119:7; 120:6;124:16;125:19; 126:9;138:2;144:17; 148:21;168:10,19; 171:5:186:10:209:12: 223:9;251:10;265:13; 266:21;270:14 prevent (4) 13:5;156:10;200:9; 237:13 prevented (1) 223:18 previous (1) 192:20 previously (2) 160:10;248:8

prev (2) 150:20:154:18 Prialt (7) 142:21;143:3;153:22; 164:13:183:22:184:5: 185:8 **Prialt-resistance** (1) 145:1 primarily (1) 166:22 primary (23) 87:2,11;88:19;117:16; 118:7;135:17;166:11; 179:6;208:9,13;211:10; 225:4;244:8,9,11;262:6, 11;300:19,20;302:9; 305:18;306:1;307:6 primate (1) 56:17 primates (1) 287:18 prime (1) 294:5 principal (1) 115:16 principles (1) 138:9 prior (1) 217:3 priori (1) 331:3 private (1) 352:7 Prize (1) 7:16 probable (1) 349:3 probably (49) 7:20;23:10;24:19; 26:21;28:2;35:2;49:17; 53:15:56:18:60:8:61:4: 63:17:64:12:76:12; 83:14;120:8;132:13; 135:5;142:1;167:14; 178:17;179:21;181:1; 187:16;192:10;200:14; 211:2,15;217:19; 219:13;221:15;225:13; 226:15;228:8,11,14; 232:7;251:21;253:16; 257:10;271:9;272:7; 286:22:290:3:334:2: 338:3;341:15;342:15; 346:5 probes (1) 177:2 problem (32) 11:10;22:4,21;23:7; 36:21;40:7;41:12;76:5; 77:2,4:80:13,13:82:20; 84:12;115:10;121:3; 153:14;162:18;170:6;

June 24, 2014

20;183:15;184:14; 189:13:224:5:244:3.8: 262:9;311:17;342:12 problematic (2) 168:19:170:13 problems (11) 7:7;10:17,18;57:18; 72:21;152:15;170:16; 224:19;225:18;311:15, 17 proboscis (4) 146:20;147:3;149:11; 165:19 procedure (5) 43:9;92:10;178:5,13; 248:14 procedures (1) 193:13 proceed (2) 240:11;255:7 proceeded (1) 245:8 process (14) 42:19;45:7;47:9; 49:13,18;58:2;114:1; 130:22;148:16;194:17; 244:7;264:10;296:1; 300:14 process-comparable (1) 43:20 processed (1) 117:6 processes (4) 85:20;86:3;314:17; 338:7 prodromal (1) 118:20 produce (7) 35:3;46:1;117:13,22; 182:22;267:8;278:20 produced (5) 76:8;92:13;176:22; 209:15;246:9 produces (3) 248:5;261:21;267:5 producing (5) 16:15;69:13,14;218:3; 286:14 product (14) 43:14;44:21;45:1; 54:6:118:1:120:7: 123:18;131:9;230:16; 245:2;249:22;255:1; 340:11;352:13 production (5) 11:16;43:17;44:20; 45:20;329:13 products (7) 114:19;117:21;137:3; 146:10;230:14;268:16; 326:4 professional (3) 171:8;172:16;173:10, 224:21,21;225:19

Min-U-Script®

A Matter of Record (301) 890-4188

(34) potassium-channel - professional

professor (5) 112:6:137:1.1:154:1: 272:8 profile (14) 40:16;89:7;168:18; 184:8;187:6;207:12; 220:12;230:6;247:18; 263:20;266:18;268:9, 18;340:7 profiles (2) 187:1;215:3 profiling (3) 219:12,20;220:10 profound (3) 236:22;248:5;250:15 progenitor (4) 58:7,10;72:10;80:15 progenitors (14) 22:17;33:9;54:19; 59:1,2,3,4,5,19;80:17; 81:20;84:3;86:7;233:9 program (29) 4:16;6:8;195:4,8; 199:16;203:14;205:3,6; 213:22;223:16;232:1,2, 5,8;236:10;241:1,20; 242:21;243:5;245:7; 250:4,8;255:9;259:5,9; 269:2;312:9;322:6; 343:21 programs (6) 192:20:205:4:224:1.6: 229:13:258:18 progress (1) 239:4 progresses (1) 241:17 pros (2) progressing (1) 240:15 progression (1) 328:14 progressor (2) 50:18,20 pro-inflammatory (1) 157:6 project (2) 19:6;66:6 projection (1) 33:18 projects (1) 42:8 proliferation (1) 200:7 prolonged (1) 252:14 promise (4) 5:4;170:1;182:13; 326:6 promising (3) 193:22;194:3;308:14 promoted (1) 70:5 promoter (13)

35:4:119:14:124:11: 125:7.8.12.18.22.22: 126:4,8,11;133:22 promoters (5) 124:9;125:4,10; 133:10,15 promoting (1) 234:8 pronunciation (1) 261:16 proof (2) 253:17;305:16 proof-of-concept (2) 213:5;253:18 propensity (1) 82:15 properly (2) 271:9;310:16 properties (3) 82:3,3;102:2 prophylaxis (6) 298:9,10;305:8; 312:16;313:9;316:3 proponent (1) 352:2 proportion (1) 306:2 proposal (1) 175:22 propose (1) 327:13 proposed (2) 171:15:350:20 proposing (1) 206:18 proprioception (1) 123:21 64:19;317:2 prospective (1) 182:10 prostatitis (2) 257:17;264:6 proteases (1) 185:4 protect (3) 31:10;35:13;66:18 protection (5) 25:18;41:14;59:20; 67:11;68:14 protects (1) 19:11 protein (7) 8:9;21:20;91:8,9; 124:18;278:19,20 proteins (1) 116:22 protocol (7) 159:12;201:15; 206:21,22;307:3,3; 345:13 prove (2) 167:6;313:20

proved (1) 181:21 proven (2) 65:13;312:5 proves (1) 314:3 provided (1) 232:9 provides (1) 78:5 providing (4) 16:22;94:13;246:5,9 provocation (1) 240:8 provocative (4) 177:16;178:21;235:7; 333:1 provokes (1) 237:4 psychiatrist (1) 80:21 psychiatry (1) 154:1 psychic (2) 95:4,8 public (2) 315:17;352:8 public/private (1) 352:2 publication (4) 204:1:341:14.18; 348:21 publications (3) 133:14:342:5.7 publish (3) 25:3;40:22;201:12 published (24) 7:9;58:5,22;77:17; 86:17;92:15;120:12; 121:5;206:15;209:11,20, 21:213:15:219:18: 238:14:253:14.20; 275:20;310:4;324:22; 341:16;345:14;347:1; 348:20 publishing (1) 200:18 pufferfish (1) 139:4 pull (1) 16:8 pulled (2) 238:15;277:20 pulling (2) 14:3;272:3 pulse (2) 159:14;162:5 pump(7) 86:21;109:22;172:16, 17,22;177:11;183:6 pump-like (2) 94:13:108:15 pump-only (2)

109:6,11 pumps (2) 177:3;181:16 pun (1) 60:20 pure (1) 328:18 purely (1) 230:12 purified (1) 142:19 purify (3) 116:4;142:8,15 purkinje (1) 274:13 purpose (5) 150:15;155:17;230:4, 15;319:7 purposes (3) 146:12,15;261:17 pursue (1) 241:2 pursued (1) 203:14 push (4) 33:21;184:15;186:4; 213:3 push-(1)235:21 pushed (3) 12:9:33:1:186:9 pushing (2) 135:4:353:5 put (85) 8:3,8;9:8;10:21;14:18, 19,20;16:1,7,17;19:6,12; 21:6.8:35:9:37:7:38:9: 40:22;46:5,16;50:9; 52:5,14;53:5;54:11; 55:2:57:6.7:64:16.19: 68:8;70:10,13,17;71:13; 72:3,4,12,17;75:12; 77:12;80:1;82:6;84:7; 86:2;90:13;93:1;133:20, 21;140:20;158:13; 165:15;173:1;174:21; 175:1,4,4;185:4;187:19; 188:1;189:7;192:7; 212:19;217:7;218:7,19; 222:16;246:12;248:20; 255:1;258:18;273:7; 274:5;289:17;291:3,9, 11,13,14,17,18,21; 332:10;347:13;353:4 puts (1) 151:11 putting (13) 5:20;31:8;45:15; 51:22;64:6;69:12;70:21; 172:22;173:2;174:19; 177:2,3;178:8 puzzle (2) 179:15;275:5

June 24, 2014

puzzled (2) 293:7.7 puzzles (1) 180:40 Q&A (3) 169:16;315:11;332:6 O2s (1) 312:17 **QST** (5) 219:12,20;220:9; 330:20;331:19 qualifications (1) 347:8 qualifies (1) 11:15 qualify (1) 273:8 qualities (1) 311:20 quality (4) 11:14,14;314:19; 349:10 quality-controlled (1) 108:21 quantitative (2) 331:7;345:5 quarter-million (1) 46:5 quarters (1) 32:17 **Oueensland** (2) 200:16:214:2 questionnaire (2) 330:19;331:2 auick (4) 166:10:222:12; 254:19:256:7 quickly (7) 86:16;148:12;165:22; 277:5;282:1;352:1,14 quiescent (1) 151:12 quiet (2) 150:19;151:13 quip (1) 333:5 quite (25) 27:21;32:14;45:18; 52:2;53:9;57:19;65:14; 77:10;136:13;137:20; 153:17;177:6;178:14; 183:22;199:10;200:18; 204:8,11;210:12; 211:19;215:4;216:14; 329:21;350:8;352:3 quote (4) 235:2;236:1;243:10, 11 quotes (1) 236:2

	les-Development of Pain	A		Julie 24, 2014
	- rates (3)	299:12	receive (4)	recombinant (4)
	50:12;210:4;306:13	real-life (1)	92:18;321:11;324:3,	21:20;125:4;235:8;
R		182:14	21	278:19
	- rather (21)			
R2 (1)	46:12;50:3;55:9;	really (139)	received (3)	reconnect (1)
132:13	56:21;66:7;103:9;114:3;		56:11;195:14;235:8	16:19
radiance (1)	120:7;121:7;131:15;	9:20;10:2;11:18;13:19;	receives (2)	record (3)
286:1	141:18;173:9;200:3;	14:8,15;15:19;16:11;	88:3;89:21	86:11;104:1;264:12
radiant (1)	205:8;221:4;247:12;	17:4,11;19:17;22:6,22;	receiving (3)	recording (1)
245:16	253:17;267:9;338:16;	26:1,14;27:16,19;30:18;	308:1;322:16,17	97:19
Raff (1)	340:3;350:17	33:8;35:18,21;38:8;	recent (2)	recordings (1)
32:5	rating (1)	39:19;50:5;51:21;52:7;	204:1;279:16	326:18
raise (3)	206:11	54:20;62:5;63:13;65:2,	recently (19)	records (1)
168:15;251:7;264:7	rational (1)	22;66:4;67:16;80:10;	7:4;8:18;15:11;86:11;	225:5
raised (2)	229:19	83:16;86:4,18;87:13;	89:3;90:4,10,12,18;	recover (1)
108:7;245:2	rationale (1)	89:15;90:1;99:20;107:8;	101:3;104:10;120:13;	93:9
raises (1)	213:12	109:9;110:18;112:15;	196:9,15;213:15;	recovering (1)
345:16	rationales (1)	113:3;130:3;131:12;	289:10;295:12;341:16,	74:1
Rami (2)	225:14	133:19;136:1;137:6;	22	recovery (8)
331:7,9	rats (12)	139:14;149:12;152:5,	receptor (95)	40:8;41:4;100:6;
	36:8;38:18;67:3;68:7;	10;153:10;154:15,17;	18:6,8,11;120:1,13;	102:9,12;181:5,10,13
ramp (9)	73:3;116:1;198:4;	155:16;156:20;157:19;	121:6,13,14,20;122:4,9,	recreate (1)
129:16;278:17;279:6,	236:17,19;237:11;	158:3;163:21;168:20;	14,15,21,22;123:6,8,16;	10:12
10,11,12,16;280:9,17	245:21;327:21	175:17;178:14;180:5,17,	124:13;126:14,21;	recruit (3)
ran (3)	reach (1)	18;181:18;182:10,15;	127:4;130:1;138:18;	163:11;224:20;225:9
4:15;232:1;323:20	211:6	183:15;191:12,17;195:5,	143:20;152:1,13;153:6,	recruited (6)
Randall-Selitto (1)	reached (3)	19;197:5;201:16,16;	21;154:7,8;155:2,9,19;	163:6;207:4;208:1;
47:7	151:20;152:2;153:1	204:10;205:5;211:13,		212:11;222:2;319:17
randomization (5)			156:14,18,22,22;157:4,	
202:21;260:11;	reaches (1)	22;213:2,17;214:20;	6,7;162:1,22;163:3,5,15;	recruiting (1)
321:18;349:15,18	143:12	217:15;218:21;221:7,	166:11,12,16;167:7;	225:3
randomized (8)	react (2)	17;223:3;224:13;	172:10;194:15;195:4;	recruits (1)
207:8;212:3;254:21;	167:17;259:10	228:19;230:5;233:7;	196:11;197:1,3;199:3,4,	163:3
324:1,2;342:9;347:16;	reaction (1)	243:12,19;244:2,11;	11,20;200:2,9,21;	recurrence (1)
348:8	324:10	246:14,17;247:3;	201:22;202:1;203:9,17;	115:7
randomly (1)	reactivate (5)	251:12;260:3;261:1,19;	204:2;278:12,15,17,19;	red (5)
226:5	12:21;113:16,17;	263:13;265:6,8;266:19;	279:13;280:16,21;	38:17;40:2;91:8;
range (1)	114:11,18	268:9;269:12;288:8;	284:18;287:7;288:15;	144:22;289:17
344:7	reactivated (1)	293:20;295:6;307:15;	289:16;290:2;293:19;	reddening (1)
ranging (2)	23:22	308:16;311:4;335:14;	304:10;308:19;309:15,	282:22
43:11:45:10	reactivates (1)	336:20;337:6,21;338:3,	21;310:11;315:20,21;	redness (1)
rapid (3)	115:8	19,21,22;340:13;347:3,	319:8,11,22;320:2;	76:14
51:10;174:9;239:4	reactivation (1)	6,18;348:19;352:3,17	325:18;331:16;344:1	reduce (2)
rapidly (3)	135:13	reason (33)	receptor-like (1)	25:19;80:4
	reactor (1)	7:22;42:5;83:21;	278:17	reduced (1)
22:10;130:19;239:15 Perpendent (3)	287:12	102:13;106:8;109:15;	receptors (36)	82:18
Rappaport (3)	read (4)	116:2;139:14;142:18;	96:20,20;117:8,16;	reducing (2)
326:2;351:14,19	253:4,10;255:5;	144:3;146:4;154:22;	124:18,21;131:8;136:3;	48:2;266:9
rare (1)	304:20	170:8;173:5,19;216:19;	153:7,13;154:8,21;	reduction (5)
114:12	readily (1)	228:7;229:1;239:13;	155:10,12,21,22;156:2;	48:5;238:19;254:5,8;
rarely (4)	97:1	246:16;252:21;256:21;	165:17;166:6,21;179:6;	48.5,258.19,254.5,8, 340:16
114:8;347:11,13,14				
rat (22)	readjusting (1)	280:1;306:19;311:14;	197:18;198:3;199:5;	redundancy (1)
35:22;36:22;38:5;	106:5	319:8;321:2;330:11;	274:15;278:21;279:3,8;	325:5
40:3,12;41:10;72:20;	readout (5)	336:14,19,22;337:3;	280:2;285:5,9,21;292:8;	reef (2)
74:13;122:11;126:5;	262:1,1,11;263:5,7	346:15	304:14;325:13;327:2	149:20,22
129:10;188:2;198:3;	ready (3)	reasonable (1)	recess (3)	Reeh (1)
199:8,12,17;215:12;	11:7;239:14;240:5	94:19	136:9;190:11;294:20	326:14
234:20;236:14;240:10;	real (17)	reasonably (2)	recipients (1)	re-establish (1)
248:9;290:5	8:19;16:13;19:14;	38:5;326:12	178:15	106:9
rat/mouse (1)	29:10;41:12;102:13;	reasons (9)	recognize (3)	reestablishing (1)
81:22	148:11;176:13,21;	83:6;205:11;225:7;	124:17;157:15;242:16	94:5
rate (10)	240:12,14;243:16,20;	241:12;246:15;252:4;	recognizes (1)	reference (2)
	263:8;269:9;310:21;	256:17;301:2;347:2	145:22	23:9;104:22
[38.]2.130.6.200.14		, , , , -		
138:12;139:6;209:14, 16:312:4:317:16:	335:19	recapitulates (1)	recognizing (1)	referred (4)
138:12;139:6;209:14, 16;312:4;317:16; 318:16;322:17,18,19	335:19 realized (1)	recapitulates (1) 74:12	recognizing (1) 105:1	referred (4) 105:4;115:9,14;

132:22

213:8

252:2,8

335:2

302:13

41:14

64:17

274:19

128:12

8

197:19 referring (1) 233:5 reflect (2) reject (2) 225:10;337:8 reflected (1) 79:2 reflections (1) 203:19 reflects (2) 36:15;336:12 reflex (1) 21:7 refractoriness (1) 330:9 regard (6) 30:5;157:22;201:10; 216:19;217:6,12 regarding (3) 181:15;182:16;329:19 regardless (2) 106:7;245:12 regards (2) 213:22;217:5 **Regenerative** (3) 4:11,13,18 regimes (1) 73:1 **Regimmune** (1) 275:21 region (6) 81:8:127:15.16: 128:18:158:7:274:7 regional (2) 76:11:198:11 regions (1) 285:11 registered (1) 207:1 registries (1) 182:11 registry (1) 207:2 regulate (5) 55:2,21;57:1;275:17; 276:18 regulated (4) 79:13;119:19;123:14; 131:13 regulates (1) 98:22 relief (6) regulating (1) 65:8 regulation (4) remain (1) 106:9;196:12;233:18; 275:6 regulations (1) 44:1 regulatory (2) 60:9:305:22 regurgitate (1) 147:20 reinforce (2)

193:6:329:12 remarkably (3) Reinhart (1) 52:20:107:7:184:9 remember (11) 7:3:45:15:60:12: 59:19:72:18 109:18;172:2;219:10; rejection (3) 270:14;304:8;306:15; 59:19,21;73:10 310:21;351:2 remembers (1) related (14) 18:22;148:7;155:4; 62:1 156:21;157:19;204:20; remind (1) 217:3,21;225:18;266:18, 192:5 remit (1) 19:282:13:311:14:313:5 relative (1) 297:16 remove (2) relatively (9) 96:6:125:7 85:10;184:12;193:19; removed (1) 196:9;239:5,14,15; 284:11 remyelinate (2) relaxed (2) 23:19;24:2 150:22;151:7 remyelination (3) release (28) 23:17,20:24:8 31:18;35:1,4;39:11; renewed (1) 45:15;52:3,3;55:2,5,22; 240:18 56:5;103:8;143:15; renin-angiotensin (1) 145:12;150:5,7;171:6; 195:19 212:19;280:18,22; repair (1) 282:10;302:1;304:15; 80:18 316:18;322:2;326:21; repaired (1) 329:11;343:19 103:20 repairing (1) released (18) 135:16,19,20;136:2; 22:20 143:19:144:9:150:8: repeat (3) 151:17;164:19;172:6; 119:11:296:9:340:15 282:3.5.14:299:16: repeated (3) 300:11:302:12:318:8; 39:14;93:13,15 replace (4) 31:3,11;42:14;127:17 releases (1) replaced (1) releasing (9) 125:7 11:17:25:20:38:22; replacement (1) 39:4:40:4:43:19:47:20: 23:8 50:3:111:3 replicate (3) 201:8,21;348:13 relevance (3) 247:15;296:13;327:14 replicated (3) 202:14;223:20;260:20 relevant (9) replication (4) 7:20;17:12;71:6; 75:20;76:4;81:5;216:7; 113:20;201:5,15; 325:12;328:13 347:14 reliable (1) repolarizes (1) 163:8 report (5) 80:8;209:3,4;306:5,7, 76:3;202:20;349:16, 18,21 reported (4) 105:21;226:14; 235:13;350:2 remained (1) reporter (1) remains (2) 118:8 198:5;244:22 reporting (4) remark (1) 349:9:350:16:351:1; 352:16 remarkable (2) reports (1) 53:9;141:12 349:20

represent (1) 159:2 representative (2) 251:22:269:22 representatives (1) 353:2 represented (2) 301:19:353:4 represents (4) 91:9;127:21;230:16; 334:18 reproduced (2) 8:20;39:10 reprogram (2) 60:5;186:2 reprogrammed (1) 186:8 reprogramming (1) 8:15 reprograms (1) 8:9 request (1) 323:21 requests (1) 37:3 require (5) 298:2,9,10;316:2; 329:1 required (1) 317:7 requirement (1) 247:3 requirements (1) 49:19 requires (1) 335:11 rescaffolded (1) 311:18 rescue (1) 129:4 research (18) 42:7:43:17:61:10; 115:18;195:14;224:21; 237:7;238:21;253:4; 258:7;263:3;264:14,16; 296:6;330:21;333:13; 339:1;340:17 researcher (2) 196:4;281:9 researchers (3) 246:3;280:5;283:12 resemblance (1) 328:19 resembled (1) 318:13 reshape (1) 189:14 residue (1) 152:7 resistant (7) 121:7;165:12;166:1,7, 8;185:3;225:13 resolving (1)

June 24, 2014

235:11respirator (3) 28:6,13,17 respond (11) 20:14;76:18;80:7; 96:3;159:1;162:5;168:5; 185:10;220:11;221:9,9 responded (1) 225:1 responder (2) 209:14,15 responding (1) 183:4 responds (2) 221:8;269:10 response (19) 45:9;46:11;91:14; 116:15;118:13,16,19; 122:22;129:19;130:4,6; 131:20;223:6,10;291:8, 14;305:18;331:21;332:5 responses (10) 116:7,11;118:5;119:3; 121:18;123:13;124:7; 129:19,20;130:16 responsibility (2) 26:4;347:4 responsible (1) 29:16 responsive (7) 121:14,15,21,22; 122:5,5;161:16 rest (5) 55:3;151:5;176:12; 212:16:254:13 restaurant (1) 95:6 restore (6) 24:6;31:4;41:1,19; 53:21:54:2 restored (3) 203:17:303:10.17 restricted (3) 155:14;215:14;219:5 result (9) 92:15;114:11;128:6; 141:12;144:9;150:6; 156:17;202:4;215:17 results (14) 53:12;123:13;156:7; 202:5;212:14;221:3,4; 255:21;257:4;261:2; 283:21;318:15;325:1; 330:3 **RET (3)** 18:6,8;69:15 retain (1) 106:11 retained (1) 328:17 retest (1) 128:12 retinitis (2)

Min-U-Script®

Transformative Strategic	es-Development of Pain 1	Therapies	Γ	June 24, 2014
54:8;174:4	65.2.106.4.165.6.170.7	rough (1)	Salt (4)	scaffolding (1)
retitled (1)	65:2;106:4;165:6;170:7, 19;171:11;180:12;	274:8	136:22;197:16;	311:21
228:4	19,171.11,180.12, 188:4;218:16;258:1;	roughly (2)	199:21;203:21	scale (3)
retract (3)	263:17;268:19;298:22;	202:10;342:9	same (72)	20:4;206:11;342:12
31:1,2;74:7	300:6;301:10,12;305:9,	route (2)	10:5;21:15;34:7;39:6,	scales (1)
retrograde (1)	17;315:13;319:9;337:9;	26:17;90:11	16;40:13;41:1,2;46:11;	147:20
276:10	345:8;352:19,22	routes (1)	48:9;59:14;63:14,17;	scallop-shaped (1)
retrogradely (2)	right-hind (1)	204:5	64:18;72:12;96:10;97:7;	88:19
67:10;113:21	116:10	routine (1)	102:6;103:4;107:11;	scan (2)
retrospect (2)	rigorously (1)	178:13	121:4;131:1;135:5;	37:20;307:1
269:3,12	344:8	row (3)	138:22;139:4;144:18,	scared (2)
retrospectively (1)	riluzole (1)	104:3;312:21,22	19;148:8;153:21;	44:15;184:8
143:2	28:21	Roy (4)	167:11,12;169:20;	scary (1)
return (2)	Rinat (3)	166:9;219:18;222:8,	173:7;201:22;202:4,8;	312:3
102:11;196:6	229:12;241:3;242:16	11	203:21;210:20;211:10;	scenario (1)
returned (1)	rinse (1)	royalties (1)	226:22;231:20;233:21;	293:22
277:5	44:21	229:18	234:2,18,18;236:8,13;	schedule (1)
revealed (1)	risk (5)	Roy's (3)	246:15;269:17;271:22;	265:1
342:5	174:19;198:11;	228:2,5;229:3	273:5;277:9;282:9;	school (5)
reversal (1)	244:20;298:6,19	rubbish (2)	284:17,18,19;286:5;	149:21;150:1,4,18;
309:13	risk/benefit (2)	11:12,13	287:13;288:20;289:2;	196:5
reverse (4)	176:13;244:19	Rubenstein (1)	291:8,13,19;294:12;	sciatic (2)
13:13;30:1;118:16;	risks (1)	80:21	298:17,18;311:19;	92:4,5
156:7	267:10	rumor (1)	322:18,19;328:10;	sciatica (1)
reversed (1)	RN624 (1)	240:17	329:19;338:3	178:6
130:21	230:11 DNA (2)	run (9)	sample (3) 202:22;207:5;350:2	SCID (2)
reversing (1) 123:1	RNA (2) 114:5;187:6	43:21;45:5;66:9;69:2; 141:6;195:9;204:7;	sampled (1)	100:19;101:7 science (11)
revert (3)	road (2)	235:20;244:20	226:5	6:8;7:13;232:14;
329:7;330:13;331:14	241:10;301:15	running (5)	samples (2)	237:16;239:8;240:15;
review (5)	robust (16)	61:7;74:22;265:1;	281:21;282:11	335:10,11,13,15;338:1
30:18;111:6;220:5;	41:14;220:17;238:9,	292:20;315:9	San (2)	sciences (1)
283:20;345:11	11;242:6,8;259:14,16;	runs (1)	95:1;295:13	6:8
reviewers (1)	263:16;268:5,9;270:1;	11:16	Sandy (1)	scientific (5)
176:1	341:7;347:7,19,20	rush (1)	35:20	192:20;214:9;215:9;
reviewing (1)	robustness (7)	295:6	Sarah (3)	238:21;297:4
137:10	242:11,14;245:9;	Rushing (1)	98:5;99:4;100:4	scientist (1)
revised (1)	259:12,13;260:1,21	65:1	sarcoma (1)	112:3
230:18	rodent (1)		248:20	scientists (1)
revisit (1)	92:5	S	Sareen (2)	333:14
6:20	rodents (4)		62:4;66:4	sclerosis (1)
revolution (1)	96:14;280:16;287:18;	sacrifice (1)	sat (1)	23:17
11:7	339:20	37:3	233:1	scopes (1)
reward (1)	role (10)	sad (1)	satellite (2)	181:9
20:12	24:22;163:12;200:13;	182:9	97:5;289:21	score (1)
Reza (1)	232:16,20,21;233:2;	safe (3)	saturate (1)	238:17
104:7 rhinorrhea (1)	234:8;275:17;278:5	313:21;314:3;353:17	165:16 save (5)	scores (4) 206:12,13;211:3;
283:1	room (11) 65:4;174:11;195:7;	safely (1) 52:14	69:11;108:21;265:2;	271:13
rhizotomies (1)	228:9;231:1;234:5,21;	safer (1)	307:10,15	Scotland (1)
49:12	237:9;240:2;245:7;	26:18	saw (23)	225:6
RICE (32)	272:21	safety (17)	13:12;20:4;82:10;	Scott (1)
104:18;105:11;	root (5)	43:14;173:8;242:19;	100:4;112:12,13;122:6;	81:15
134:17;173:4,17;	78:6;198:3;199:2;	244:16;245:1,4;255:2;	126:7;130:18;191:6;	scratch (2)
175:18;178:7;194:7;	204:6;293:21	258:17,20,22;265:7,13,	204:13;208:14;212:9;	99:13;141:8
195:1,2;215:2,12,18,22;	Rosenthal (1)	17;266:9;267:1,10;	221:12;239:22;245:12;	scratching (8)
216:6;218:6,13,17;	241:6	352:11	271:14;276:7;282:10;	98:15,16;99:10,11,14;
219:8;221:12,21;222:1,	Ross (1)	Sagen (1)	300:20;308:12;311:12;	100:10,11;141:10
6;223:13;260:8;326:1;	98:5	172:1	347:19	screen (3)
332:22;334:12;339:17;	rostrum (1)	Sagen's (1)	saying (8)	11:4;14:12;155:16
341:13;345:10;349:2	147:19	173:18	137:12;162:8;164:5;	screening (3)
right (28)	rotarod (1)	sagittal (1)	272:7;281:2;285:17;	11:3;13:4;218:20
4:3;29:19;38:3;50:9;	107:22	85:13	297:20;334:6	screens (1)
	1			l

Min-U-Script®

June 24, 2014

10:16	seemed (11)	151:3;181:5;198:18;	310:1;315:3;321:10;	12;203:8;242:9;251:7;
SCRO (1)	20:6;73:3,22;170:1;	200:8;203:16;205:21;	325:19;331:14	253:15;285:7;288:18;
187:21	238:8;239:3;243:4;	206:1,1,3;220:11,12;	severe (7)	311:5;331:13;341:2,9;
SCROs (1)	247:10;263:14;277:22;	273:18;276:4,15;277:8;	72:22;73:7;175:16;	346:2;348:19
188:3	341:19	291:10;329:21;331:7;	273:1;282:20;301:8;	showed (25)
scuba (1)	seems (24)	340:9;345:5,15	311:5	12:12;14:8;19:3;
154:16	57:18;70:5;96:9;	sent (1)	Severity (1)	40:18;42:17;59:4;
sculptor (1)	110:1;154:22;156:3,10;	99:6	211:6	160:10;184:1;186:2;
77:1	157:3;171:5;183:7;	sentry (1)	shaker (1)	200:20;204:2;212:8;
sculpture (1)	188:19;189:20;214:7;	220:17	142:15	249:10,12;253:21;
77:1	217:16;221:22;233:2;	separate (6)	shall (3)	259:13;279:7;288:3;
seat (2)	240:6;265:16;282:13;	139:17,18,21;211:22;	274:8;278:4;286:13	303:17;306:22;308:15;
136:11;326:5	289:8;293:19;300:15;	292:6,10	sham (1)	341:6;347:21,22;348:1
	347:10;350:12	· ·	248:14	
Seattle (1)		separated (1)		showing (12)
194:10	segment (2)	210:22	share (13)	12:1;129:16;132:19;
second (16)	85:11;100:12	separation (2)	44:2,5,7;135:7;	209:19;233:15;236:13;
4:4;25:13;27:13;	segregates (1)	208:16;280:10	195:17;206:17;224:17;	238:19;248:12;250:9;
29:11;126:20;215:8;	187:9	September (1)	315:8;339:15;351:5;	300:21;307:4;335:1
223:11;234:17;242:15;	segue (2)	241:11	352:9,12;353:6	shown (30)
260:5;304:13;306:21;	76:1:98:4	seq (1)	shared (1)	10:20,21;29:22;30:14;
318:8;335:9,12;337:3	seize (1)	187:6	105:13	31:13;35:1;39:18;44:22;
secondary (9)	111:16	sequence (2)	Sharif-Naeini (1)	52:14;54:15;117:15;
179:13;209:1;211:8;	seizure-like (2)	128:12;142:10	104:7	149:4;152:19;162:10;
224:20;302:9;305:19;	79:7;82:22	sequences (2)	sharing (1)	167:11;174:21,21;
306:5;307:7;329:7	seizures (3)	133:17,21	226:6	198:18,22;199:8,19;
Secondly (3)	82:15,15,18	serendipity (1)	Sharon (3)	200:4;201:1;205:14;
205:19;246:21;267:18	selection (1)	335:6	265:4,5;266:12	220:7;224:10;260:10;
second-order (1)	336:20	series (2)	shells (1)	268:12;278:9;279:11
117:17	selective (3)	45:4;125:9	148:20	shows (13)
seconds (2)	152:1;304:8,16	serious (2)	Shelly (1)	25:8;38:9;43:14;
37:5;131:20	selectively (1)	12:3;306:14	66:6	156:19;160:12;167:15;
secret (1)	168:14	serious-adverse-events (1)	Shelton (5)	198:14;205:10;223:6;
150:16		207:15		
	selectivity (3)		231:2;239:7;248:3;	248:17;260:17;261:9;
secrete (3)	197:18;217:18;218:6	seriously (2)	269:5;271:8	346:1
22:3;34:20;64:3	self-aggregate (1)	110:20;327:11	shift (3)	shut (1)
secreted (2)	188:12	serotonin (3)	284:15,22;314:12	240:22
46:15;281:16	send (1)	298:3;299:14;304:14	shingles (1)	shuttle (1)
secreting (6)	85:20	serve (1)	115:9	295:5
43:22;46:1,18;54:17;	sense (10)	114:17	Shinya (2)	sick (4)
67:14;74:6	76:21;82:22;94:15;	serves (2)	7:15;62:2	25:10,12;178:4;311:4
secretion (4)	206:2;221:1;236:17;	147:2;173:5	shock (1)	sickest (1)
56:3,15;71:2;108:8	258:15;316:4;344:4;	session (6)	72:13	178:12
	347:4			side (37)
secretory (1)		134:14;193:18;222:9;	shocked (1)	
63:5	sensitive (3)	268:22;332:4,9	130:8	11:4;16:1,2;17:15;
section (2)	123:7;166:4;327:8	sessions (1)	short (9)	19:14;39:2,6,8,17;50:10;
85:14;326:9	a a se a i ti i ti a a (7)	100 4		
sectors (2)	sensitivities (2)	192:6	40:9;127:17;147:15;	51:4;55:8;56:22;61:9,
	326:17,21	set (16)	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5;	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11;
352:8;353:3			40:9;127:17;147:15;	
352:8;353:3	326:17,21	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13;	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5;	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12;
352:8;353:3 secured (1)	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4;	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9;	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1)	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17;
352:8;353:3 secured (1) 212:15	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4; 162:20;238:17,20;	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9; 117:2;126:22;212:18;	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1) 263:22	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17; 184:8;231:11;236:7,12;
352:8;353:3 secured (1) 212:15 sedated (1)	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4; 162:20;238:17,20; 248:13;253:5;262:20;	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9; 117:2;126:22;212:18; 234:17;260:16;262:15;	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1) 263:22 shorter (1)	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17; 184:8;231:11;236:7,12; 246:22;262:5,10;266:9;
352:8;353:3 secured (1) 212:15 sedated (1) 151:11	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4; 162:20;238:17,20; 248:13;253:5;262:20; 263:12;329:19;330:5	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9; 117:2;126:22;212:18; 234:17;260:16;262:15; 275:20;338:22	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1) 263:22 shorter (1) 214:7	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17; 184:8;231:11;236:7,12; 246:22;262:5,10;266:9; 291:13;296:5;312:20;
352:8;353:3 secured (1) 212:15 sedated (1) 151:11 sedation (2)	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4; 162:20;238:17,20; 248:13;253:5;262:20; 263:12;329:19;330:5 sensitization (5)	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9; 117:2;126:22;212:18; 234:17;260:16;262:15; 275:20;338:22 sets (2)	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1) 263:22 shorter (1) 214:7 shot (2)	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17; 184:8;231:11;236:7,12; 246:22;262:5,10;266:9; 291:13;296:5;312:20; 327:13
352:8;353:3 secured (1) 212:15 sedated (1) 151:11 sedation (2) 261:21;262:2	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4; 162:20;238:17,20; 248:13;253:5;262:20; 263:12;329:19;330:5 sensitization (5) 302:9,10,11,15;330:17	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9; 117:2;126:22;212:18; 234:17;260:16;262:15; 275:20;338:22 sets (2) 63:9;195:15	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1) 263:22 shorter (1) 214:7 shot (2) 170:11;171:4	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17; 184:8;231:11;236:7,12; 246:22;262:5,10;266:9; 291:13;296:5;312:20; 327:13 side-effect (1)
352:8;353:3 secured (1) 212:15 sedated (1) 151:11 sedation (2) 261:21;262:2 seeing (11)	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4; 162:20;238:17,20; 248:13;253:5;262:20; 263:12;329:19;330:5 sensitization (5) 302:9,10,11,15;330:17 sensitize (2)	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9; 117:2;126:22;212:18; 234:17;260:16;262:15; 275:20;338:22 sets (2) 63:9;195:15 settings (1)	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1) 263:22 shorter (1) 214:7 shot (2) 170:11;171:4 show (41)	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17; 184:8;231:11;236:7,12; 246:22;262:5,10;266:9; 291:13;296:5;312:20; 327:13 side-effect (1) 180:1
352:8;353:3 secured (1) 212:15 sedated (1) 151:11 sedation (2) 261:21;262:2 seeing (11) 85:19;108:11;191:18;	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4; 162:20;238:17,20; 248:13;253:5;262:20; 263:12;329:19;330:5 sensitization (5) 302:9,10,11,15;330:17 sensitize (2) 127:4;302:8	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9; 117:2;126:22;212:18; 234:17;260:16;262:15; 275:20;338:22 sets (2) 63:9;195:15 settings (1) 338:5	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1) 263:22 shorter (1) 214:7 shot (2) 170:11;171:4 show (41) 10:22;13:3;18:4;20:8;	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17; 184:8;231:11;236:7,12; 246:22;262:5,10;266:9; 291:13;296:5;312:20; 327:13 side-effect (1) 180:1 sides (4)
352:8;353:3 secured (1) 212:15 sedated (1) 151:11 sedation (2) 261:21;262:2 seeing (11) 85:19;108:11;191:18; 213:2;218:3;227:15;	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4; 162:20;238:17,20; 248:13;253:5;262:20; 263:12;329:19;330:5 sensitization (5) 302:9,10,11,15;330:17 sensitize (2) 127:4;302:8 sensitized (1)	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9; 117:2;126:22;212:18; 234:17;260:16;262:15; 275:20;338:22 sets (2) 63:9;195:15 settings (1) 338:5 settled (1)	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1) 263:22 shorter (1) 214:7 shot (2) 170:11;171:4 show (41) 10:22;13:3;18:4;20:8; 25:20;29:11;33:2;35:17;	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17; 184:8;231:11;236:7,12; 246:22;262:5,10;266:9; 291:13;296:5;312:20; 327:13 side-effect (1) 180:1 sides (4) 99:13;286:8;288:16;
352:8;353:3 secured (1) 212:15 sedated (1) 151:11 sedation (2) 261:21;262:2 seeing (11) 85:19;108:11;191:18; 213:2;218:3;227:15; 237:2;263:18;301:18;	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4; 162:20;238:17,20; 248:13;253:5;262:20; 263:12;329:19;330:5 sensitization (5) 302:9,10,11,15;330:17 sensitize (2) 127:4;302:8 sensitized (1) 348:3	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9; 117:2;126:22;212:18; 234:17;260:16;262:15; 275:20;338:22 sets (2) 63:9;195:15 settings (1) 338:5 settled (1) 303:2	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1) 263:22 shorter (1) 214:7 shot (2) 170:11;171:4 show (41) 10:22;13:3;18:4;20:8; 25:20;29:11;33:2;35:17; 37:1,14;43:2;47:10;	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17; 184:8;231:11;236:7,12; 246:22;262:5,10;266:9; 291:13;296:5;312:20; 327:13 side-effect (1) 180:1 sides (4) 99:13;286:8;288:16; 343:3
352:8;353:3 secured (1) 212:15 sedated (1) 151:11 sedation (2) 261:21;262:2 seeing (11) 85:19;108:11;191:18; 213:2;218:3;227:15; 237:2;263:18;301:18; 309:10;333:15	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4; 162:20;238:17,20; 248:13;253:5;262:20; 263:12;329:19;330:5 sensitization (5) 302:9,10,11,15;330:17 sensitize (2) 127:4;302:8 sensitized (1) 348:3 sensors (2)	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9; 117:2;126:22;212:18; 234:17;260:16;262:15; 275:20;338:22 sets (2) 63:9;195:15 settings (1) 338:5 settled (1) 303:2 seven (4)	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1) 263:22 shorter (1) 214:7 shot (2) 170:11;171:4 show (41) 10:22;13:3;18:4;20:8; 25:20;29:11;33:2;35:17; 37:1,14;43:2;47:10; 49:3;68:7;90:14,22;	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17; 184:8;231:11;236:7,12; 246:22;262:5,10;266:9; 291:13;296:5;312:20; 327:13 side-effect (1) 180:1 sides (4) 99:13;286:8;288:16; 343:3 sign (4)
352:8;353:3 secured (1) 212:15 sedated (1) 151:11 sedation (2) 261:21;262:2 seeing (11) 85:19;108:11;191:18; 213:2;218:3;227:15; 237:2;263:18;301:18;	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4; 162:20;238:17,20; 248:13;253:5;262:20; 263:12;329:19;330:5 sensitization (5) 302:9,10,11,15;330:17 sensitize (2) 127:4;302:8 sensitized (1) 348:3	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9; 117:2;126:22;212:18; 234:17;260:16;262:15; 275:20;338:22 sets (2) 63:9;195:15 settings (1) 338:5 settled (1) 303:2	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1) 263:22 shorter (1) 214:7 shot (2) 170:11;171:4 show (41) 10:22;13:3;18:4;20:8; 25:20;29:11;33:2;35:17; 37:1,14;43:2;47:10;	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17; 184:8;231:11;236:7,12; 246:22;262:5,10;266:9; 291:13;296:5;312:20; 327:13 side-effect (1) 180:1 sides (4) 99:13;286:8;288:16; 343:3
352:8;353:3 secured (1) 212:15 sedated (1) 151:11 sedation (2) 261:21;262:2 seeing (11) 85:19;108:11;191:18; 213:2;218:3;227:15; 237:2;263:18;301:18; 309:10;333:15 seem (9)	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4; 162:20;238:17,20; 248:13;253:5;262:20; 263:12;329:19;330:5 sensitization (5) 302:9,10,11,15;330:17 sensitize (2) 127:4;302:8 sensitized (1) 348:3 sensors (2) 161:17;162:2	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9; 117:2;126:22;212:18; 234:17;260:16;262:15; 275:20;338:22 sets (2) 63:9;195:15 settings (1) 338:5 settled (1) 303:2 seven (4)	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1) 263:22 shorter (1) 214:7 shot (2) 170:11;171:4 show (41) 10:22;13:3;18:4;20:8; 25:20;29:11;33:2;35:17; 37:1,14;43:2;47:10; 49:3;68:7;90:14,22; 102:5;122:15;123:5;	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17; 184:8;231:11;236:7,12; 246:22;262:5,10;266:9; 291:13;296:5;312:20; 327:13 side-effect (1) 180:1 sides (4) 99:13;286:8;288:16; 343:3 sign (4) 95:3;256:4,5,16
352:8;353:3 secured (1) 212:15 sedated (1) 151:11 sedation (2) 261:21;262:2 seeing (11) 85:19;108:11;191:18; 213:2;218:3;227:15; 237:2;263:18;301:18; 309:10;333:15 seem (9) 54:11;142:2;167:11;	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4; 162:20;238:17,20; 248:13;253:5;262:20; 263:12;329:19;330:5 sensitization (5) 302:9,10,11,15;330:17 sensitize (2) 127:4;302:8 sensitized (1) 348:3 sensors (2) 161:17;162:2 sensory (27)	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9; 117:2;126:22;212:18; 234:17;260:16;262:15; 275:20;338:22 sets (2) 63:9;195:15 settings (1) 338:5 settled (1) 303:2 seven (4) 14:6;232:10;324:16, 20	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1) 263:22 shorter (1) 214:7 shot (2) 170:11;171:4 show (41) 10:22;13:3;18:4;20:8; 25:20;29:11;33:2;35:17; 37:1,14;43:2;47:10; 49:3;68:7;90:14,22; 102:5;122:15;123:5; 125:11;129:10;130:12;	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17; 184:8;231:11;236:7,12; 246:22;262:5,10;266:9; 291:13;296:5;312:20; 327:13 side-effect (1) 180:1 sides (4) 99:13;286:8;288:16; 343:3 sign (4) 95:3;256:4,5,16 signal (19)
352:8;353:3 secured (1) 212:15 sedated (1) 151:11 sedation (2) 261:21;262:2 seeing (11) 85:19;108:11;191:18; 213:2;218:3;227:15; 237:2;263:18;301:18; 309:10;333:15 seem (9)	326:17,21 sensitivity (12) 30:13;47:2;131:4; 162:20;238:17,20; 248:13;253:5;262:20; 263:12;329:19;330:5 sensitization (5) 302:9,10,11,15;330:17 sensitize (2) 127:4;302:8 sensitized (1) 348:3 sensors (2) 161:17;162:2	set (16) 4:18;15:13;18:13; 21:15;26:7;49:2,8;73:9; 117:2;126:22;212:18; 234:17;260:16;262:15; 275:20;338:22 sets (2) 63:9;195:15 settings (1) 338:5 settled (1) 303:2 seven (4) 14:6;232:10;324:16,	40:9;127:17;147:15; 210:21;220:15;239:5; 293:2;294:18;316:17 shortcut (1) 263:22 shorter (1) 214:7 shot (2) 170:11;171:4 show (41) 10:22;13:3;18:4;20:8; 25:20;29:11;33:2;35:17; 37:1,14;43:2;47:10; 49:3;68:7;90:14,22; 102:5;122:15;123:5;	10;70:19;71:1,5;85:11; 99:13;101:19;109:12; 119:16;143:21;168:17; 184:8;231:11;236:7,12; 246:22;262:5,10;266:9; 291:13;296:5;312:20; 327:13 side-effect (1) 180:1 sides (4) 99:13;286:8;288:16; 343:3 sign (4) 95:3;256:4,5,16

Transformative Strategie	es-Development of Pain T	Therapies		June 24, 2014
217.4 6.228.18 22.	172.10.106.10.200.12.	333:1	aniff (1)	some (1)
217:4,6;228:18,22;	172:10;196:10;290:13;		sniff (1) 146:19	sore (1) 235:15
257:21;266:4;275:9;	292:16;294:5	slow (7)		· -
278:2;282:1;286:5;	sites (8)	50:20,20;54:12;65:1;	so-called (1)	Sorry (7)
308:12	37:17,19,20;43:5;	184:12;288:5;329:14	168:2	6:18;60:20;166:17;
signaling (6)	206:8;218:21;285:8,12	slower (1)	soccer (3)	169:10;188:5;284:11;
18:13;197:4;198:19;	sitting (5)	26:11	57:18,19;273:9	304:17
204:5;319:14,15	104:3;106:21;231:2;	slowing (1)	social (1)	sort (8)
signals (15)	233:20;343:16	184:5	238:22	17:7;45:6;61:17;62:6;
198:8;204:9;216:14;	situ (1)	slowly (1)	societies (1)	219:19;238:10;245:10;
250:13,20;251:1;252:13,	114:9	235:11	150:16	271:10
22;263:2;267:1;275:11,	situation (3)	SMA (1)	socioeconomic (1)	sorts (5)
16;280:19;293:22;	55:6;118:20;341:3	187:7	273:4	19:14;53:8;251:7;
324:15	situations (1)	small (36)	SOD1 (11)	259:15;267:5
significance (1)	265:8	20:1;51:16;62:18;	29:8,9;30:2,20;36:10,	sound (4)
51:16	sit-ups (1)	139:8;141:19;149:21;	17;66:17;68:1,10;74:13,	189:12;246:15;301:9;
significant (10)	235:21	157:17;189:6;191:4,7;	16	326:11
6:20;18:17;39:16;	six (19)	199:1;208:4;214:8;	sodium (8)	soup (2)
47:18;48:12;51:14;	12:3;72:6;98:13;	219:10;221:15;251:17;	139:1;159:20;160:2;	127:3;331:12
99:17;256:11;257:4;	99:22;101:7,12,21;	255:18,19;257:5,12;	197:16;199:21;203:20;	source (10)
289:7	102:8;107:2,4;159:2;	263:13;283:12;284:14;	343:21;345:18	12:22;26:11;44:16;
significantly (5)	186:5,11;206:6;314:7;	286:1,13;295:12;	solid (2)	80:2;81:10;118:1;
82:18;283:17;308:13;	317:9;320:4,13;326:11	296:15;304:18,21;	46:6;341:11	148:18;153:19;154:20;
312:6;322:11	size (7)	305:1;307:13;315:5;	solo (1)	296:10
signs (1)	15:21;139:18;202:22;	316:15;318:21;330:4;	306:16	South (2)
336:9	207:5;223:8;288:12;	343:8	solution (4)	207:7;222:6
silence (2)	350:3	small- (2)	119:18;121:2;194:2;	Sox-2 (1)
120:15;126:14	skeptical (1)	199:6;289:13	217:1	63:2
silences (1)	262:4	smaller (2)	solutions (1)	space (2)
131:16	skeptically (1)	63:4;102:3	227:7	229:15;291:11
silencing (8)	262:8	small-font (1)	SOMA (1)	spaced (1)
120:3,9;123:15,18,20;	skills (1)	191:7	73:12	150:9
124:10;131:13;132:4	239:17	smart (1)	somatic (7)	spared (1)
silos (1)	skin (13)	253:3	8:15;107:3;243:3;	108:11
333:8	8:2;9:9,15;12:8;61:16;	Smith (5)	250:11;255:17;269:16;	sparked (1)
similar (22)	63:7;69:19;117:10;	88:11;200:15;204:1;	270:22	247:19
34:6;55:9;58:7;59:3;	135:19;146:22;186:3;	213:15;214:1	somatosensation (1)	spasticity (2)
62:12;101:9;105:16;	200:9;288:1	Smith's (5)	167:16	179:12,13
123:12;152:8;201:3;	slap (1)	198:22;200:4,13;	somatostatin (3)	speak (7)
203:8,10;208:2;210:10;	343:15	201:9;202:6	106:14,16,18	76:7;272:18;275:4;
229:8;236:20;237:1;	slate (1)	smooth (2)	somebody (1)	333:6;337:22;338:3;
246:9;271:12;307:18;	60:6	279:9,15	99:12	351:9
318:15;350:20	sleep (3)	smug (1)	somehow (2)	speaker (5)
simple (6)	140:21;141:3;169:13	343:15	110:1;186:16	4:6;5:18;194:6;272:6;
11:10;21:5;32:14;	sleeper (1)	snail (15)	Someone (6)	295:9
69:5;317:5;327:9	151:21	138:15;139:2;142:6;	96:18;177:21;236:4;	speakers (4)
simpler (1)	slice (3)	143:4,6;144:3;146:20;	262:14;334:9;339:8	191:10;192:1;271:20;
49:10	90:6,21;104:5	147:12,16;148:2,7,7,14;	sometime (2)	332:4
simplex (1)	sliced (1)	150:17;154:12	201:6;230:19	speaking (2)
113:12	90:5	snails (37)	sometimes (8)	194:13;288:21
simply (2)	slide (18)	136:17,18;137:3,11,	66:8,8;183:16;198:9;	speaks (1)
34:21;44:21	11:10;61:6,11;75:14;	17,18;138:1,2,9,11;	235:18;283:15;284:13;	351:8
simulate (1)	103:22;137:15,16;	139:14;141:17;146:18,	340:13	special (2)
16:9	202:5;212:7;242:9;	18;147:7,22;148:13,17,	somewhat (9)	213:22;282:16
simultaneously (1)	247:7;248:17;255:12;	18,19,20;149:1,7,10,19;	5:16;75:19;136:12;	specialized (1)
160:5	258:3;259:13;260:11;	151:9,17;152:6;153:18;	166:13;209:14;213:4;	137:20
single (10)	316:20;322:22	154:13,14,19;165:12,16;	223:5;242:22;352:21	species (8)
10:22;32:5,13;86:1;	slides (5)	166:7;167:3,10	somewhere (2)	137:18,20,20,21,22;
297:20;304:10;307:17;	48:18;217:18;259:1;	snake (1)	167:8;342:6	149:3,4,14
317:9,11,14	347:13;348:7	65:9	soon (3)	specific (34)
sit (3)	slight (3)	snakes (1)	17:5;123:11;256:13	10:8;11:2;13:10;14:8;
172:18;269:13;333:17	161:7;202:6;207:18	166:5	sophisticated (4)	38:19;107:18;116:21;
site (8)	slightly (4)	SNI (1)	11:21;14:17;15:20;	122:9;126:7;128:13;
138:22;156:15;157:2;	212:2;292:9;326:11;	92:3	345:5	153:20;154:3;155:18;

Transformative Strategie		Therapies
157:10;160:9,11,21;	splice (1)	320:22
161:10;162:6,6,12;	168:13	stain (1)
169:2;173:19;266:7,11,	spliced (2)	97:4
15;279:16;287:3,11,12;	114:7;169:3	stained (
289:10;296:13;298:2;	spoke (2)	125:14
304:9	253:9;270:12	staining
specifically (6)	spokesman (1)	38:22;
12:10;64:11;76:7;	195:6	101:22
77:13;108:4;215:2	spongiform (1)	stake (2)
specificity (2)	173:7	229:16
126:18;313:16	sponsor (2)	Stan (1)
spectrum (1)	249:7;264:9	196:4
245:20	spontaneous (9)	standard
speed (1)	79:13;82:15;98:15;	79:18;
352:17	99:10;100:5;215:16,20;	standaro
spend (5)	216:1;217:10	260:14
241:15;243:18;	spontaneously (1)	350:21
314:10;333:14;334:9	62:16	standing
	sporadic (8)	294:2
spending (1) 231:8		
	29:2,7;36:20,20;41:6;	stands (2
spent (2)	68:1,2;74:14	205:5;
231:16;232:2	sporadically (2)	Stanford
sperm (1)	310:12,15	54:14;
62:5	spot (1) 242:4	STAP (1
sphere (2)		8:16
32:17;58:6	sprays (1)	start (35
spheres (8)	21:9	16:12,
32:16;57:15,16,17,21,	spread (3)	46:1;6
21;58:3;197:2	37:13;48:7;120:7	85:9;92 130:1;
spicules (1) 154:17	spreading (2) 278:6,10	
Spiderman (1)	spring (1)	170:5;
26:2	195:20	189:10 244:17
Spiegelmers (2)	sprout (1)	294:21
	70:9	309:10
284:2;288:7 spike (1)	sprouting (7)	332:22
47:19	69:14;71:7,8,19;	started (
spinal (79)	77:19;199:1;200:11	11:21;
5:20;12:2;14:22;15:4,	spun (1)	22:7;34
9;19:1,18,19;23:12,20,	241:3	84:14;
22;24:7;25:16;27:13;	square (1)	138:14
30:10,16;35:12,19;36:1;	223:4	258:21
37:8,17;38:4,10;40:19;	squirt (1)	306:16
41:4;45:11,17,20;46:14;	37:12	326:15
48:21;49:4,5,11;50:10;	srl (1)	starting
52:1,6,15,21;53:6;67:10,	113:10	100:3;
11,14,20;69:2,3;70:10;	stabilize (1)	22;344
74:19;77:22;78:3,5;	72:15	starts (3
79:15;80:18,19;82:21;	stabilized (2)	27:8;2
83:3,11,21;84:7;85:4,14;	47:16;108:18	start-up
90:6;97:8;98:11;100:12;	stable (6)	295:13
103:10;106:21;117:14;	114:7;133:4;206:20;	state (7)
135:20;145:11;152:17;	252:2;323:18,19	8:11;1
172:8;174:19;176:15,	staffed (1)	112:5;
20;181:1;186:1;188:11,	338:16	stated (2
13;198:4	stage (9)	181:1;
Spinifex (7)	28:14;108:13;207:13;	States (1
195:5,11,15;199:16;	222:20;296:22;297:16,	296:6
206:22;207:2;214:6	17;298:12;329:16	statistica
spins (1)	stages (6)	211:7
8:10	296:20;297:6,20,22;	statistica
SPIO-label (1)	298:20;319:1	256:11
37:15	staggered (1)	statistics
57.15	suggeren (1)	Statistics

	320:22	5
	stain (1)	stay
	97:4	3
	stained (1)	2
	125:14	stay
	staining (5)	3
	38:22;39:2;84:19;	stay
	101:22;290:18	1
	stake (2)	stea
	229:16;352:21	6
	Stan (1)	Stei
	196:4	5
	standard (3)	sten
_	79:18;121:16;350:16	4
20;	standards (6)	1′
	260:14,17,20;340:16;	2
	350:21;351:1	1'
	standing (1)	33
_	294:2	4
6;	stands (2)	5
	205:5;299:1	64
	Stanford (3)	7:
	54:14;136:21;182:12	1
	$\mathbf{STAP}_{9,16}(1)$	1
	8:16	1
	start (35) 16:12,14;28:3,8;36:5;	2 3
	46:1;62:8,15;84:15;	sten
	40.1,02.8,15,84.15, 85:9;92:18;98:14;99:17;	4
	130:1;135:9;137:9,12;	sten
	170:5;186:6;188:12;	4
	189:10;193:21;227:12;	step
	244:17;266:19;272:2;	1
	294:21;298:7,8;302:14;	3
	309:10;322:6;326:7;	step
	332:22;338:22	2
	started (20)	ster
	11:21;12:15;21:14;	4
	22:7;34:20;35:19;54:20;	ster
	84:14;123:22;136:11;	9
	138:14;178:2;257:18;	ster
	258:21;281:11;304:9;	2
	306:16;318:11;325:8;	Stev
	326:15	2
	starting (5)	7
	100:3;266:17;335:22,	2
	22;344:12	3
	starts (3)	Stev
	27:8;28:10;180:2	1
	start-up (1)	Stev
	295:13	6
;	state (7)	Stev
	8:11;12:9;63:10,19;	.5
	112:5;151:12;297:16	stic
	stated (2)	1 stie
2.	181:1;229:19 States (1)	stic
3;	States (1)	1 stiff
6,	296:6 statistical (1)	
	statistical (1) 211:7	2 still
	statistically (3)	sun 1
;	256:11;257:3;318:3	3
	statistics (3)	4
	Statistics (3)	+

1:9,12:180:20 y (7) 39:1;85:10,21;106:18; 297:18;319:7;320:1 yed (1) 324:16 ying (1) 92:18 ady (1) 55:1 inberg (1) 54:13 m (66) :9,16;5:6,15;6:10,13, 7;7:4,5,11,17,19;8:13, 2;9:2,4;16:22;17:16, 7;22:1,2,4,6;26:13,14; 3:8;34:7,9;39:21;42:7; 4:8;50:2;52:10;53:21; 59:6;61:12,13;62:10; 4:2,4,5,10,14;65:9,11; 5:6;100:22;101:1,4,9; 03:2;104:11,12; 70:15;171:15;178:2; 87:13;274:7,21;283:8; 286:2;292:2,5;300:22; 301:4,5 m-cell (2) 1:20;9:21 ms (1) 1:13 p (6) 32:3;237:6;247:17; 337:3,4,4 ps (2) 99:9;338:18 reotaxic (1) 9:5 reotaxically (1) 97:18 rling (1) 14:8 ve (10) 1:13;23:16;30:13; 1:16;188:22;261:15; 267:14;326:9;342:18; 344:13 ven (3) 7:20;19:5;62:2 ven's (1) 5:18 ve's (2) 57:10;171:9 ek (1) 5:22 king (1) 49:10 f (1) 85:18 l (37) 7:21;21:12,17;30:3; 1:10;34:1;35:21;39:9; 40:10,12;41:15;49:18;

53:2;54:3;64:22;106:18; 139:13:153:10:171:12: 183:9;184:18;189:14; 203:15;206:10;220:5; 221:2,5;224:3;244:1; 253:6;258:14;265:13; 266:7;274:20;305:3; 316:16:319:2 stimulate (5) 90:14,20,21;91:4; 226:19 stimulated (2) 280:17;281:14 stimulating (3) 191:11;192:16;264:20 stimulation (5) 90:11,15;91:15; 191:18;289:5 stimulations (1) 289:8 stimulators (1) 177:3 stimuli (1) 277:2 stimulus (1) 78:7 sting (5) 139:2;149:16,16; 165:19,20 stomach (1) 9:11 stone (1) 212:18 stood (1) 216:2 stop (5) 38:14,14;66:10; 304:14;335:21 stopped (2) 236:10;257:19 stops (1) 72:9 store (2) 86:13;111:14 stories (6) 231:9;267:18;271:22; 308:18;332:9,18 story (36) 27:20;40:9,17;53:20; 59:15;80:20;83:2; 112:20;124:16;132:8; 157:3;168:20;175:21; 180:3;182:9;183:3; 213:18;217:14;227:13; 228:10,14;229:4;230:2; 231:4;232:10;237:3,6; 239:5;252:20;257:12; 262:19;275:17;282:16; 296:11;298:22;304:5 straight (1) 231:10

straightforward (1) 171:5

June 24, 2014

Transformative Strategi	LS-Developin
strain (2)	217:5;223:
115:22;201:18	19;225:17
strains (3)	235:3;23
238:4;326:17,20	247:19;24
strange (4)	250:21;25
209:7;281:3,18;282:5	12;253:14
strategies (5)	14,16,19,
184:17,20;196:20;	258:4;26
224:16;349:3	263:4,14;
strategy (11)	282:12;29
124:3;126:13,20;	307:4;31
128:1;131:14;132:9;	315:5;320
144:4;149:9,17;181:13;	321:1,13;
270:19	17;326:19
stratification (2)	332:14;33
10:4;211:16	337:7;34
strength (1)	346:16;34
243:5	348:1,18;3
streptozotocin-induced (1)	351:17
203:6	study (74)
stress (2)	19:5;48:6
310:16;311:1	152:18,19
stretch (1)	206:21,22
16:8	213:14;215
strict (1)	213:14;21, 22;220:16,
306:9	
	226:3;236
stringent (1)	251:2,18;2
11:16	6,15,15;25
stroke (6)	16,19,20;2
54:13,15;175:9;273:6;	18,21;25
277:16;349:11	264:7;27
strong (6)	274:2;28
73:15;118:15;122:22;	287:22;2
213:13;228:21;256:8	310:19;3
strongly (3)	321:12;32
15:12;121:8;330:13	324:16;32
structure (1)	338:6,6;34
146:5	346:20,20
structures (1)	studying (6
232:17	233:13;24
struggle (1)	261:3;26
189:14	stuff (3)
struggled (1)	6:2;49:10
19:12	stung (1)
student (3)	165:21
35:20;55:21;132:20	stunning (1
students (4)	236:3
25:3;140:9;186:14;	subarachn
192:9	277:17,22
studied (6)	subclasses
228:17;245:13;	158:9
250:11;284:8;287:19;	subcutaneo
288:4	316:9,10;
studies (99)	320:14
18:20;40:21;42:12;	subject (1)
43:12,19;45:1;53:10;	198:4
56:17;66:16;67:16;	Subjects (3
80:16,22;81:5;97:13;	235:8,13;
171:12;181:19;193:13;	submitted
194:14,20;195:16;	175:21;2:
198:22;200:14,17;	subpopulat
202:18;203:4;204:12;	124:4;132
209:2;213:5;216:14;	subsequent
	1

217:5;223:18;224:12,14,	4:17;282:11
19;225:17;234:13;	substance (9)
235:3;237:9;246:5;	196:7;276:5,12;278:2;
247:19;249:5,14;	281:16;282:9,10,15;
250:21;251:15;252:7,9,	335:2
12;253:14;255:4,11,13,	substances (3)
14,16,19,20;256:9;	275:9,13;299:16
258:4;260:9;262:22;	substantial (1)
263:4,14;264:8,10,12;	238:19
282:12;295:15;305:16;	
	substrate (2)
307:4;310:17;311:12;	33:15;148:15
315:5;320:4,13,18,20;	subtle (1)
321:1,13;322:9;323:15,	161:5
17;326:19;331:10;	subtype (4)
332:14;336:15,17;	152:1;154:22;219:2,6
337:7;341:8,20;342:2;	subtypes (2)
346:16;347:1,21,22;	153:21;160:9
348:1,18;349:15,17,17;	subunit (5)
351:17	120:5,14;153:15;
udy (74)	163:7;164:3
19:5;48:6;56:9;121:5;	subunits (6)
152:18,19;202:3;203:3;	153:9;154:9;155:4,6;
206:21,22;211:14;	164:2;166:14
213:14;215:7,17;219:10,	succeeded (1)
22;220:16,18,19;225:21;	319:4
226:3;236:9,11;248:15;	success (13)
251:2,18;253:18;255:5,	150:3;210:1,4;224:1;
6,15,15;256:1,2,5,11,15,	228:14;229:4;246:19;
16,19,20;257:7,13,15,16,	250:19;332:9,11,18;
18,21;258:13;263:20;	336:14;351:8
264:7;270:9;271:9;	successes (3)
274:2;281:13;285:20;	193:11,16;344:12
287:22;294:7;309:20;	successful (6)
310:19;317:5,14;	49:16;193:19;244:12;
321:12;323:14,18;	247:4;332:20;351:16
324:16;327:19;329:4;	successfully (1)
338:6,6;344:16;345:10;	197:7
346:20,20;348:7,10,14	succumb (2)
udying (6)	67:4,8
233:13;244:17;251:4;	suddenly (1)
261:3;263:8;338:14	47:19
uff (3)	suffer (2)
6:2;49:10;307:1	301:1;340:3
ung (1)	Suffice (2)
165:21	249:18;253:15
unning (1)	sufficient (2)
0	
236:3	66:18;112:1
ibarachnoid (2)	suffix (1)
277:17,22	22:14
ıbclasses (1)	suggest (2)
158:9	25:22;87:13
ibcutaneous (4)	suggested (4)
316:9,10;318:12;	175:19;204:3;234:11;
320:14	268:10
109:4	suggesting (3)
198:4	78:21;336:14,18
ubjects (3)	suggestion (1)
175.0 17.770.0	
235:8,13;338:8	263:15
ibmitted (2)	suggests (2)
ibmitted (2)	suggests (2)
ibmitted (2) 175:21;256:13 ibpopulations (2)	suggests (2) 89:13;286:9 suicide (2)
ibmitted (2) 175:21;256:13	suggests (2) 89:13;286:9

274:18:285:18; 299:13:303:18:309:22: 327:22 summarize (1) 131:10 summary (4) 205:2;213:17;245:10; 325:12 super (2) 322:10,11 superficial (1) 78:11 superior (4) 258:12,15;317:15; 322:12 superiority (9) 246:13;258:5,7,9; 260:17,20;261:2,11; 318:3 supplier (1) 201:18 supply (1) 219:15 supplying (1) 19:6 support (2) 334:11;345:6 supporting (1) 234:9 supposed (2) 161:10:327:1 supposedly (3) 219:7:260:17:343:18 suppression (10) 38:19;59:15;60:12,12; 72:18,21;73:1,1,14,15 sural (1) 92:8 sure (28) 52:2;62:1;68:1;83:4; 84:21;95:7;102:4; 109:20;111:5;153:10; 168:10;172:21;187:16; 188:18;196:19;211:17; 213:7;217:15;231:10; 256:21;266:4;268:3,4; 287:20;324:12;341:10; 348:22;351:3 surface (1) 229:8 surgeon (1) 271:10 surgeons (2) 177:1,16 surgeries (3) 49:11,15;271:11 surgery (6) 38:4;96:5,5;175:1; 270:15,18 surgical (2) 92:10;177:20 surprise (2) 8:16;325:2

surprised (5) 46:12;69:8;133:9; 341:22;349:8 surprising (3) 89:11;221:22;223:5 surprisingly (1) 341:19 surrogate (2) 217:9;280:4 surrounding (1) 88:6 survival (14) 18:14;31:15,16;39:5, 10,12;40:7,11;45:13; 70:2;74:6;98:10;196:17; 232:17 survive (14) 45:2;52:5;54:16;55:4; 73:2;83:12;84:5;85:1; 86:2,14;100:18;101:6; 111:15:128:10 survived (1) 83:13 surviving (2) 40:9;46:14 suspect (2) 167:5;174:6 sustained (2) 203:12;306:8 Svendsen (24) 4:8:5:8,9:66:20:67:2: 68:6.13.22:69:17:70:16: 72:2;74:3,5,11;167:3,6; 170:14;174:14;185:22; 187:3,15,21;188:6,9 swallowing (1) 235:14 Sweden (1) 272:10 sweep (1) 342:5 sweet (1) 242:4 swelling (1) 76:14 swim (1) 143:8 swing (1) 141:7 Swiss (1) 15:14 switch (7) 55:8,12;56:2,14; 113:8;167:9;313:2 Sydney (1) 281:10 symmetric (1) 297:5 symmetrical (2) 99:14:297:5 sympathetic (3) 166:20;258:21;275:8 sympathetics (2)

I ransformative Strategi	es-Development of Pain	Inerapies	Г <u> </u>	June 24, 2014
77:19;276:3	269:13	184:11;195:22;218:1,7,	287:15	98:19;278:2
symptom (2)	tables (1)	9;229:21;266:20;315:20	terminals (5)	therapeutic (11)
277:14;330:20	236:16	task (1)	66:19;86:8;88:5;	109:16;132:9;180:1;
symptomatic (5)	tablet (2)	14:3	117:11;326:22	234:10;243:12;292:16;
174:3;178:19;297:10;	283:15;306:20	tasks (1)	terminate (1)	299:8,11;317:19;322:10,
298:10;305:10	tachycardia (1)	9:6	159:21	11
symptomatically (1)	204:14	taxi (1)	terminus (2)	Therapeutics (2)
330:14	tail (2)	295:5	138:18;152:8	34:9;52:18
symptoms (8)	85:14;248:5	Taxol (5)	terms (15)	therapies (11)
27:3;53:8;273:2,12,	tailor (1)	93:14,15;95:12;	195:18;196:20;	4:21;5:5;65:12;67:20;
16;283:1;339:20,22	337:6	105:15,19	203:10,19;206:19;	76:19;181:16;182:7,19;
synapse (6)	take-home (3)	teacher (1)	, , , ,	189:12;306:1,2
88:4,15;143:17;	107:7;242:13;332:17	196:5	212:1;218:19;229:11,17,	therapy (35)
	talk (60)	team (6)	20;242:11;255:9;266:8;	
145:11,13,16	5:18;6:10,11,15,21;	65:20;181:11;189:8;	270:6;325:8 terrible (2)	6:13;7:1;53:20;64:5,
synapses (7)				16;68:20;110:17;
87:12,15;88:8,9,17;	10:10;13:9;17:14;23:3,	246:14;247:9;250:14	98:16;337:20	112:16;115:1;119:9,17,
96:19;107:3	10;59:12;71:17,21;	Tech (1)	terrific (6)	19;131:11;170:6;
syndrome (10)	72:22;73:21;75:21;76:2;	136:20	83:18,20;90:4;112:3,	171:13,15;173:15;176:3,
18:21;76:12;98:15;	77:15,20;87:6,9,10;94:3;	technical (2)	19;180:10	19,22;178:2;182:1,2,3;
196:17;198:12;250:5;	110:3;119:20;136:6,13;	246:19;258:14	territory (1)	183:13;245:7;272:15;
252:19;257:16;264:11;	164:17;180:20;184:22;	technique (4)	346:10	299:6,13;304:8,10;
307:22	185:22;187:4;189:9;	37:9,10;41:19;57:13	test (12)	305:8;309:18;319:15;
syndromes (8)	195:3;197:13;201:4,5;	technologies (3)	11:16;47:7;64:2;	336:5
174:16,17;175:14;	216:3;228:1,4,19,20;	16:21;169:22;339:15	92:21;121:18;123:11;	therefore (14)
181:2;252:2;263:21;	229:1;238:8;239:2;	technology (14)	131:7;264:3;310:17;	144:7,10;145:16;
264:3;328:20	252:5;258:17;278:5;	8:6,17,19,19;13:7;	324:2;327:4;334:22	146:9;151:1;155:7;
synergism (1)	295:14;296:16;303:12;	44:6,11;55:1;61:9;	tested (12)	156:11;157:10;158:12;
240:9	315:2,15;316:13,14;	63:19;64:4;132:4;	48:16;121:12,15;	163:12,14,17;301:17;
synergistic (1)	326:9;334:3;340:14;	188:14;200:15	122:11;124:22;129:15;	302:16
46:19	341:4;346:10	telcagepant (17)	131:6,6;269:7;320:20;	thermal (8)
synthesize (2)	talked (5)	284:7,16;307:1,2,12,	322:9;343:10	116:16;122:18;
86:5;142:11	57:22;58:8;165:2;	19;308:4,5,7,14;310:19;	testing (13)	123:13;161:17;162:2;
synthesized (1)	181:8;225:17	311:7;312:3,4;323:13,	9:12;92:22;261:5,21;	203:10;236:18;338:15
164:21	talking (14)	18;324:4	320:6;321:1,7;322:12;	thermosensors (2)
synthesizes (2)	5:6;88:15;89:2,15;	telling (4)	324:5;327:10;329:21;	163:1,2
79:5;81:19	90:17;110:22;188:22;	83:1;142:18;232:3;	331:7;345:5	thigmotaxis (1)
system (40)	203:20;220:19;223:14;	309:17	tests (2)	216:8
15:22;27:8;35:11,11;	227:1,4;303:22;330:21	tells (3)	47:14;344:8	thin (2)
49:14;55:17;71:12,13;	talks (2)	63:13;145:18;210:8	tethered (2)	276:8;280:7
107:6,7;119:14;120:3;	65:22;313:14	telomerase (1)	147:14;165:4	thinking (18)
121:2,12;124:1;127:9;	tanezumab (9)	12:21	tetramer (1)	18:1;103:18;118:19;
132:2;138:7;141:15;	230:11;232:2;249:11,	temperature (2)	127:1	134:19;135:22;136:2;
144:10;145:19;146:3;	13;253:18;254:7;255:8;	129:17;163:13	tetrodotoxin (2)	182:5;193:9;195:21;
154:10;157:2;158:8;	256:17;258:2	temperatures (3)	138:22;159:15	220:20;226:20;239:6;
164:15;167:7;177:6;	target (27)	161:18,20;163:10	Teva (1)	260:7;264:20,21;265:7;
182:6;195:19;196:3,8,	38:5;41:6;49:3;64:11;	tempted (1)	296:1	299:21;333:11
21;258:21;274:1;	96:22;108:4;115:1;	261:6	textbook (1)	thinner (1)
275:12;277:8;294:3;	122:8;124:20;144:5;	tempting (1)	305:18	294:10
329:15;345:14	152:13;153:6;154:6,7;	293:21	thalamic (1)	third (2)
systemic (5)	155:10;157:17;162:11;	tend (6)	302:10	42:6;292:1
123:11;134:6,7;182:2;	164:1,2;197:6;266:8;	224:20;252:2;333:8,	Thanks (14)	Thirdly (2)
183:5	267:18,22;315:21;	17;340:4;349:18	5:9;66:12;74:22;	260:14;267:21
systemically (6)	317:3;325:17;343:13	tends (1)	104:14,16;136:7;	Thompson (1)
120:20;122:10;134:9,	targeted (5)	293:9	190:10;191:9;192:1;	7:9
10;184:20;286:3	108:1;143:6;146:12;	tercagepant (4)	214:16;220:21;227:21,	Thomson (1)
systems (9)	160:7;163:19	286:3,7,10;287:16	22;325:21	66:2
16:18;17:3,8;55:18;	targeting (17)	Terlau (1)	thaw (1)	thoroughly (1)
129:16;155:15;182:6;	38:7;48:5;124:1,3,15;	162:10	44:21	69:4
185:16;283:9	125:1;126:20;132:5,21;	term (2)	theme (2)	though (15)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	133:1;155:8;179:18;	94:18;125:2	34:10;75:6	40:8;50:12,17;67:8;
Т	266:7,12,14;267:9;303:6	terminal (11)	theoretically (1)	71:20;107:14;110:3;
	targets (12)	63:15;70:6,8;88:20;	58:14	145:14;146:12;155:16;
table (1)	96:21;153:4,7;157:16;	89:1,8,13,16,18;175:20;	theory (2)	235:19,21;245:19;
			• • •	

Transformative belategr	es-Development of 1 am	incrapies		5une 24, 2014
266:13;269:10	225:18;229:19;279:22;	223:14	165:5,13;184:2	12;336:7;337:1;341:1;
thought (25)	286:6;308:6;324:3,4;	tone (3)	trace (1)	351:16
5:17;7:4;20:15;22:2;	340:3;346:22	287:1;303:11;319:21	87:7	translational (7)
32:12;61:7,18,21;69:10;	timing (2)	tongue (1)	tracer (9)	42:8;189:8;332:9,18;
75:17;83:8;124:6;	41:8;173:11	133:13	87:4,5,7,14,18,22;	335:11;338:2;339:1
126:15;158:9;162:12;	tip (1)	took (16)	88:3;285:21;286:8	translations (1)
169:20;197:5;228:12;	133:13	8:16;12:7;32:9;34:5;	tracers (1)	334:15
261:1;262:3;267:12;	tired (2)	81:17,21;178:12;	87:4	transmembering (1)
278:8;299:18;333:5;	290:21;314:11	194:11;201:6,11;	tracing (2)	128:18
344:5	tissue (14)	209:11;267:4;277:9;	276:10;286:5	transmission (2)
thoughtful (1)	16:10,14;19:17;26:12,	282:1;289:6;313:15	track (2)	144:17;325:10
336:20	16,17;31:22;57:12;58:8,	tool (1)	246:8;264:12	transmitted (1)
thought-provoking (1)	13,15;59:9,17;173:6	233:13	traditional (1)	113:18
209:22				
	tissues (8)	tooth (2)	76:19	transmitters (1)
thoughts (1)	9:19;10:10;11:8;16:9,	147:2,4	train (2)	282:12
259:7	15;22:20;61:16;63:3	top (3)	47:12;219:16	transplant (40)
thousand (2)	tissue-specific (2)	16:7;276:22;299:3	trained (2)	39:6,8;46:6;50:8;51:8;
8:21;235:21	125:8,21	topic (9)	88:8;112:4	52:21;80:17;83:6;84:14,
thousand-fold (1)	title (3)	4:8;5:3;7:12;60:1;	trainees (1)	22;85:2;87:20,21;88:1,
162:14	5:14;137:15;233:11	73:6;75:7;78:14;243:22;	333:13	6;89:2,12,15;90:1,15;
three (50)	titled (1)	350:9	training (4)	92:13,20;93:17,18;94:1,
22:18;23:6;24:12;	233:22	topical (2)	38:2;47:14;339:8,13	4,9,12;96:17;97:3;
28:7;29:1;37:18,19;	titrate (1)	210:11;289:3	trans (1)	99:16;100:11;102:16,19,
43:5;47:13;56:21;92:6,	182:22	topics (2)	114:17	21;104:5;109:18;176:2;
6;122:2;131:21;141:1,2,	titration (1)	191:20;315:9	transcript (5)	178:15;180:9
22;163:7;164:2;192:10;	208:19	total (3)	114:5;187:3,8,10;	transplantation (5)
201:1;222:12;237:7,22;	Tive (1)	28:4,6;72:11	192:7	5:16;9:21;10:9;35:6;
238:14;249:5;250:14;	232:6	totally (5)	transcription (6)	178:10
275:9;281:6;287:5;	Tmax (2)	37:2;256:16;325:4;	8:8;62:17;63:5,9;98:8;	transplanted (23)
308:17,18;314:5;315:16,	324:17,18	342:12;349:9	187:6	56:10;81:21;82:12,16;
21,22;317:13;318:13;	to-back (1)	totipotent (1)	transcriptional (3)	83:20;86:8,12;87:6,10,
320:20;321:11,13,13;	70:1	61:12	124:10,22;132:21	12;88:14,16,22;90:5;
324:17;332:11,14,18;	today (19)	Toto (6)	transcripts (1)	91:3,16;93:9;97:9;99:8;
343:16;344:19,22;	5:2;7:20;35:21,22;	136:5,15,15;137:6,7;	187:1	100:1,18;101:6;103:7
345:17	78:14;114:21;142:20;	183:21	transdifferentiating (1)	transplanting (5)
threefold (1)	190:2;203:1,3;249:11;	touch (4)	185:19	83:8;92:21;95:16;
46:13	261:17;267:19;277:14;	123:21;154:16;339:3;	transductional (1)	96:10;97:20
threonine (1)	278:22;286:18;334:17;	353:12	125:1	transplants (19)
152:7	339:19;353:4	touches (1)	transection (1)	10:1,6;36:1;37:21;
threshold (5)	today's (3)	146:22	198:5	39:19;43:4;55:10;59:11,
92:16,19;102:9;327:7;	230:15;245:4;274:12	tough (3)	transfer (1)	13,22;67:13;68:15;73:8,
328:8	together (21)	89:4;99:6;173:17	114:13	19;74:10;75:22;90:19;
thresholds (4)	14:3,21;16:18;19:6;	towards (7)	transformation (1)	100:15;106:4
30:12;47:2;57:9;340:9	22:2;32:8;61:10;66:9;	250:17;276:2;277:19;	304:3	transport (1)
threw (1)	75:12;150:13,14;169:7;	287:6,7,13,15	transgene (1)	116:19
78:9	179:19;189:7;201:12;	town (1)	125:9	transported (2)
throat (1)	272:3;278:18;332:11;	309:8	transgenic (2)	113:21;117:12
235:15	339:16;352:7,19	tox (5)	13:20;187:12	traumatic (3)
throw (1)	told (11)	310:10,11;311:21;	transient (1)	105:11;106:2;340:5
269:19	32:5;84:9;125:10;	312:1,4	119:9	traveled (1)
thrust (1)	132:8;133:19;141:16;	toxic (3)	transitioned (1)	272:12
326:15	154:16;169:19;230:2;	30:21;202:2,10	240:20	travels (1)
tibial (1)	304:5;309:4	toxicity (8)	transitioning (1)	353:17
92:7	tolerability (4)	10:16;13:13;68:4;	240:16	treadmill (1)
tie (1)	307:18;309:5;310:2;	70:16,20;266:17;313:5;	translate (4)	324:1
61:9	312:12	325:16	134:20;251:9;333:6;	treat (21)
tight (1)	tolerable (5)	toxicology (6)	339:7	6:15;18:2;19:11;76:6,
21:8	307:22;310:6;312:15,	43:12;204:8;317:7;	translating (1)	18;79:9,17;93:17,18;
Tim (2)	22;313:8	318:10;320:8,10	135:10	100:13;113:12;118:1,2,
248:1,11	tolerance (2)	toxin (1)	translation (16)	20;128:21;136:14;
times (16)	119:15;183:14	138:20	179:16;228:15;229:5;	176:8;297:9;314:7;
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			316:4;330:14
46:17;72:11,14;93:12;	Tom (5)	toxins (6)	254:15;265:15;332:12,	
100:9;116:9;183:17;	214:5,7,7;222:16;	138:4;140:17;141:18;	20;334:16,16;335:8,9,	treated (2)
	1			

June 24, 2014

June	24.	2014	1
June	<u></u>	AUT.	

	-	incrapies	I	,
06.10.212.2	242.10 22.242.7.244.10	tmunka (1)	63:9;72:7;92:6;98:13;	350:19
96:10;212:3	342:10,22;343:7;344:19,	trunks (1)		
treating (3)	19;345:1,18;351:11	32:20	99:16;113:7;118:6;	ulcer (1)
130:4;268:1;274:18	tricks (1)	try (42)	122:1,13;124:4,20;	198:14
treatment (13)	13:2	6:1;31:9;35:13;41:21;	125:5,6,12;126:2,10;	ulcers (1)
79:18;114:22;120:20;	tricky (1)	53:21;58:14,16;61:9;	127:10,11,13;130:14;	198:16
205:9;210:14;212:22;	115:17	65:17;73:1,16;75:12;	138:16;150:18;151:2,	ultimately (5)
221:13,13;225:13;	tried (25)	80:10;83:3,4;85:18;	19;160:15;161:17;	57:1;74:12;218:1;
234:10;279:1;282:7;	55:19;69:9;70:19;	86:3;99:5;100:15;	162:5;172:7;179:19;	249:22;270:2
314:13	83:18,20;100:16;	104:11;125:2;134:12;	191:14;192:15;195:15;	ultrastructures (1)
Treatment-related (1)	102:16;109:2;120:18;	135:9;169:1,11;171:4;	196:8,20;201:15;	111:10
322:14	134:8;164:20,20;165:2,	182:22;184:20;189:18;	202:14;205:11;207:21;	unbelievable (1)
treatment-resistant (1)	5,7;175:10,11,11;177:7;	193:10;229:2;230:4;	212:10;213:21;216:13;	176:5
225:1	187:12;277:2,3;283:13;		222:22;223:12;234:1;	
		250:19;251:11;252:22;		unbelievably (1)
treatments (2)	288:20;313:1	263:1;284:4;295:19;	235:3;241:13;246:15;	107:6
225:11;327:13	trifurcates (1)	296:14;304:16,19;337:6	255:18,22;257:19;	unblinding (1)
tremendous (2)	92:5	trying (29)	258:19;259:1;265:10;	214:22
5:4;192:2	trigeminal (47)	16:19;17:6,17;21:5;	267:18;272:19;283:9;	uncoordinated (1)
tremors (1)	95:17,19,21;96:2,7,13;	22:8;24:2,5,8;55:16;	287:9;292:22;293:3;	140:20
142:16	97:17,22;105:14;177:4;	65:5;76:6;77:2;79:19,	295:18;296:5;297:6;	under (16)
trend (4)	180:5,10;199:9;275:12;	21;95:13;98:2;132:3;	306:3,3;307:3,4;309:11;	21:8;34:16;35:4;
256:3,12;257:17;	276:11;277:8,10;281:14,	185:7;189:16,19;	314:5;318:13,22;319:1,	37:12;44:9;89:20;98:17;
309:14	15,18;286:18;289:12;	220:22;227:14;229:20;	4,6;320:17,21,22;	99:1;107:10;141:1;
trial (72)	290:9,12;291:22;292:3,	241:14;249:15;259:5;	321:17,18;323:8;	163:14;200:11;220:5;
6:15;20:1,9,19;21:11,	4,15;293:8,16;294:1;	266:2;311:20;336:8	327:21;333:6;334:14;	249:6;311:10;345:11
12,14;23:16,22;24:10;	299:15;300:10,11,18;	Tufts (1)	337:22;343:14;350:15;	undergo (2)
34:8;42:22;43:1;49:18,	302:7,8;303:6,7;318:6;	227:8	353:10	96:4;186:12
20,22;50:1,6;51:16;	330:8,17,22;334:21,22;	tumor (2)	two-hour (1)	undergoing (1)
52:16;58:14,16;69:1,3,7,	335:16;343:20	26:7;284:12	77:9	31:17
19;74:21;174:20;	triplicate (1)	tumors (2)	two-thirds (1)	undergraduate (2)
195:13;197:6,8,17;	324:20	101:14,15	306:7	136:19;139:15
200:19;201:4;205:7,11,	triptan (6)	tundra (1)	Tylenol (1)	undergraduates (1)
16,22;206:15;207:17;	282:7;294:13;299:12;	42:3	312:7	142:5
10,==,=00110,=01111,	=0=11,=>10,=>>11=,			
208:15;209:20;211:21;	307:6;308:2,21	tunnel (2)	type (13)	understood (1)
208:15;209:20;211:21;	307:6;308:2,21	tunnel (2)	type (13)	understood (1)
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11;	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2;	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10)	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18;	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2)
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21;	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12;	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8;	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12;	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5;	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21;	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1)
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6;	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1)	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1)	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18;	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12)	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1)
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9,	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1)	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8;	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23)	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9, 21;345:1,2;351:3	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22;	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18;	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1)
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9, 21;345:1,2;351:3 trialed (1)	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1)	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22;	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9, 21;345:1,2;351:3 trialed (1) 172:13	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5)	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22;	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3)
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9, 21;345:1,2;351:3 trialed (1) 172:13 trialist (2)	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1)	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6;	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19;	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9, 21;345:1,2;351:3 trialed (1) 172:13 trialist (2) 259:4;351:12	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12;	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7)
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9, 21;345:1,2;351:3 trialed (1) 172:13 trialist (2) 259:4;351:12 trials (68)	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20)	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15)	<pre>type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1;</pre>	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14,
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9, 21;345:1,2;351:3 trialed (1) 172:13 trialist (2) 259:4;351:12 trials (68) 13:19;21:1;26:6;	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8,	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15;	<pre>type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14</pre>	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22;
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9, 21;345:1,2;351:3 trialed (1) 172:13 trialist (2) 259:4;351:12 trials (68) 13:19;21:1;26:6; 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3;	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16;20,22,22;127:8,	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18,	<pre>type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2)</pre>	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9, 21;345:1,2;351:3 trialed (1) 172:13 trialist (2) 259:4;351:12 trials (68) 13:19;21:1;26:6;	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8,	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15;	<pre>type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14</pre>	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22;
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9, 21;345:1,2;351:3 trialed (1) 172:13 trialist (2) 259:4;351:12 trials (68) 13:19;21:1;26:6; 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3;	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16;20,22,22;127:8,	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18,	<pre>type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2)</pre>	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9, 21;345:1,2;351:3 trialed (1) 172:13 trialist (2) 259:4;351:12 trials (68) 13:19;21:1;26:6; 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3; 60:13;65:1,6,17;104:19;	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16;20,22,22;127:8, 10;128:2,7,9,14,16,19;	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18, 22;152:10;153:17,18;	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2) 140:4;205:8	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22 unilateral (4)
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9, 21;345:1,2;351:3 trialed (1) 172:13 trialist (2) 259:4;351:12 trials (68) 13:19;21:1;26:6; 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3; 60:13;65:1,6,17;104:19; 131:12;135:11;151:20; 152:2;153:2;181:18;	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16;20,22,22;127:8, 10;128:2,7,9,14,16,19; 129:8;130:1;132:12 TRPV1-positive (2)	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18, 22;152:10;153:17,18; 155:22;161:2;164:1; 227:22;230:17	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2) 140:4;205:8 typically (2) 209:21;337:15	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22 unilateral (4) 43:3;50:8;51:2;282:22 unifected (1)
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9, 21;345:1,2;351:3 trialed (1) 172:13 trialist (2) 259:4;351:12 trials (68) 13:19;21:1;26:6; 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3; 60:13;65:1,6,17;104:19; 131:12;135:11;151:20; 152:2;153:2;181:18; 184:2;188:20;193:1;	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16;20,22,22;127:8, 10;128:2,7,9,14,16,19; 129:8;130:1;132:12 TRPV1-positive (2) 126:6,14	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18, 22;152:10;153:17,18; 155:22;161:2;164:1; 227:22;230:17 Twenty (2)	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2) 140:4;205:8 typically (2) 209:21;337:15 typology (1)	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22 unilateral (4) 43:3;50:8;51:2;282:22 unifected (1) 117:1
$\begin{array}{c} 208:15;209:20;211:21;\\ 212:21;213:3,9;219:9,\\ 11;221:14;223:11;\\ 225:22;226:2;231:21;\\ 235:17;254:1;257:5;\\ 258:10,10;316:6,6;\\ 317:17,18;331:1,18;\\ 335:18;336:10,11;344:9,\\ 21;345:1,2;351:3\\ \textbf{trialed (1)}\\ 172:13\\ \textbf{trialist (2)}\\ 259:4;351:12\\ \textbf{trials (68)}\\ 13:19;21:1;26:6;\\ 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3;\\ 60:13;65:1,6,17;104:19;\\ 131:12;135:11;151:20;\\ 152:2;153:2;181:18;\\ 184:2;188:20;193:1;\\ 199:21,22;203:22;\\ \end{array}$	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troops (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16,20,22,22;127:8, 10;128:2,7,9,14,16,19; 129:8;130:1;132:12 TRPV1-positive (2) 126:6,14 true (19)	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18, 22;152:10;153:17,18; 155:22;161:2;164:1; 227:22;230:17 Twenty (2) 112:10,11	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2) 140:4;205:8 typically (2) 209:21;337:15	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22 unilateral (4) 43:3;50:8;51:2;282:22 unifected (1) 117:1 unique (11)
$\begin{array}{c} 208:15;209:20;211:21;\\ 212:21;213:3,9;219:9,\\ 11;221:14;223:11;\\ 225:22;226:2;231:21;\\ 235:17;254:1;257:5;\\ 258:10,10;316:6,6;\\ 317:17,18;331:1,18;\\ 335:18;336:10,11;344:9,\\ 21;345:1,2;351:3\\ \textbf{trialed (1)}\\ 172:13\\ \textbf{trialist (2)}\\ 259:4;351:12\\ \textbf{trials (68)}\\ 13:19;21:1;26:6;\\ 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3;\\ 60:13;65:1,6,17;104:19;\\ 131:12;135:11;151:20;\\ 152:2;153:2;181:18;\\ 184:2;188:20;193:1;\\ 199:21,22;203:22;\\ 205:18;206:16,18;\\ \end{array}$	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16,20,22,22;127:8, 10;128:2,7,9,14,16,19; 129:8;130:1;132:12 TRPV1-positive (2) 126:6,14 true (19) 25:8;66:4;83:2;96:19;	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18, 22;152:10;153:17,18; 155:22;161:2;164:1; 227:22;230:17 Twenty (2) 112:10,11 Twenty-five (1)	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2) 140:4;205:8 typically (2) 209:21;337:15 typology (1) 219:4	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22 unilateral (4) 43:3;50:8;51:2;282:22 unifected (1) 117:1 unique (11) 50:2,5;93:20;127:16,
208:15;209:20;211:21; 212:21;213:3,9;219:9, 11;221:14;223:11; 225:22;226:2;231:21; 235:17;254:1;257:5; 258:10,10;316:6,6; 317:17,18;331:1,18; 335:18;336:10,11;344:9, 21;345:1,2;351:3 trialed (1) 172:13 trialist (2) 259:4;351:12 trials (68) 13:19;21:1;26:6; 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3; 60:13;65:1,6,17;104:19; 131:12;135:11;151:20; 152:2;153:2;181:18; 184:2;188:20;193:1; 199:21,22;203:22; 205:18;206:16,18; 207:2;208:3,22;211:18;	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16,20,22,22;127:8, 10;128:2,7,9,14,16,19; 129:8;130:1;132:12 TRPV1-positive (2) 126:6,14 true (19) 25:8;66:4;83:2;96:19; 106:1;111:6;122:3;	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18, 22;152:10;153:17,18; 155:22;161:2;164:1; 227:22;230:17 Twenty (2) 112:10,11 Twenty-five (1) 55:11	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2) 140:4;205:8 typically (2) 209:21;337:15 typology (1)	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22 unilateral (4) 43:3;50:8;51:2;282:22 unifected (1) 117:1 unique (11) 50:2,5;93:20;127:16, 16,21;136:14;187:6;
$\begin{array}{c} 208:15;209:20;211:21;\\ 212:21;213:3,9;219:9,\\ 11;221:14;223:11;\\ 225:22;226:2;231:21;\\ 235:17;254:1;257:5;\\ 258:10,10;316:6,6;\\ 317:17,18;331:1,18;\\ 335:18;336:10,11;344:9,\\ 21;345:1,2;351:3\\ \textbf{trialed (1)}\\ 172:13\\ \textbf{trialist (2)}\\ 259:4;351:12\\ \textbf{trials (68)}\\ 13:19;21:1;26:6;\\ 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3;\\ 60:13;65:1,6,17;104:19;\\ 131:12;135:11;151:20;\\ 152:2;153:2;181:18;\\ 184:2;188:20;193:1;\\ 199:21,22;203:22;\\ 205:18;206:16,18;\\ 207:2;208:3,22;211:18;\\ 223:2,9;225:19;226:15,\\ \end{array}$	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16,20,22,22;127:8, 10;128:2,7,9,14,16,19; 129:8;130:1;132:12 TRPV1-positive (2) 126:6,14 true (19) 25:8;66:4;83:2;96:19; 106:1;111:6;122:3; 131:1;136:1;141:1;	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18, 22;152:10;153:17,18; 155:22;161:2;164:1; 227:22;230:17 Twenty (2) 112:10,11 Twenty-five (1) 55:11 twice (2)	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2) 140:4;205:8 typically (2) 209:21;337:15 typology (1) 219:4 U	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22 unilateral (4) 43:3;50:8;51:2;282:22 unifected (1) 117:1 unique (11) 50:2,5;93:20;127:16, 16,21;136:14;187:6; 282:14;293:8,16
$\begin{array}{c} 208:15;209:20;211:21;\\ 212:21;213:3,9;219:9,\\ 11;221:14;223:11;\\ 225:22;226:2;231:21;\\ 235:17;254:1;257:5;\\ 258:10,10;316:6,6;\\ 317:17,18;331:1,18;\\ 335:18;336:10,11;344:9,\\ 21;345:1,2;351:3\\ \textbf{trialed (1)}\\ 172:13\\ \textbf{trialist (2)}\\ 259:4;351:12\\ \textbf{trials (68)}\\ 13:19;21:1;26:6;\\ 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3;\\ 60:13;65:1,6,17;104:19;\\ 131:12;135:11;151:20;\\ 152:2;153:2;181:18;\\ 184:2;188:20;193:1;\\ 199:21,22;203:22;\\ 205:18;206:16,18;\\ 207:2;208:3,22;211:18;\\ 223:2,9;225:19;226:15,\\ 20,21;228:16;230:8;\\ \end{array}$	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16,20,22,22;127:8, 10;128:2,7,9,14,16,19; 129:8;130:1;132:12 TRPV1-positive (2) 126:6,14 true (19) 25:8;66:4;83:2;96:19; 106:1;111:6;122:3; 131:1;136:1;141:1; 162:11;175:20;229:4;	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18, 22;152:10;153:17,18; 155:22;161:2;164:1; 227:22;230:17 Twenty (2) 112:10,11 Twenty-five (1) 55:11 twice (2) 88:12;314:6	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2) 140:4;205:8 typically (2) 209:21;337:15 typology (1) 219:4 U UCL (1)	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22 unilateral (4) 43:3;50:8;51:2;282:22 unifected (1) 117:1 unique (11) 50:2,5;93:20;127:16, 16,21;136:14;187:6; 282:14;293:8,16 unit (1)
$\begin{array}{c} 208:15;209:20;211:21;\\ 212:21;213:3,9;219:9,\\ 11;221:14;223:11;\\ 225:22;226:2;231:21;\\ 235:17;254:1;257:5;\\ 258:10,10;316:6,6;\\ 317:17,18;331:1,18;\\ 335:18;336:10,11;344:9,\\ 21;345:1,2;351:3\\ \textbf{trialed (1)}\\ 172:13\\ \textbf{trialist (2)}\\ 259:4;351:12\\ \textbf{trials (68)}\\ 13:19;21:1;26:6;\\ 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3;\\ 60:13;65:1,6,17;104:19;\\ 131:12;135:11;151:20;\\ 152:2;153:2;181:18;\\ 184:2;188:20;193:1;\\ 199:21,22;203:22;\\ 205:18;206:16,18;\\ 207:2;208:3,22;211:18;\\ 223:2,9;225:19;226:15,\\ 20,21;228:16;230:8;\\ 236:5;246:20;254:11,\\ \end{array}$	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16,20,22,22;127:8, 10;128:2,7,9,14,16,19; 129:8;130:1;132:12 TRPV1-positive (2) 126:6,14 true (19) 25:8;66:4;83:2;96:19; 106:1;111:6;122:3; 131:1;136:1;141:1; 162:11;175:20;229:4; 260:3;327:3,14;329:17;	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18, 22;152:10;153:17,18; 155:22;161:2;164:1; 227:22;230:17 Twenty (2) 112:10,11 Twenty-five (1) 55:11 twice (2) 88:12;314:6 twist (1)	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2) 140:4;205:8 typically (2) 209:21;337:15 typology (1) 219:4 U UCL (1) 196:16	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22 unilateral (4) 43:3;50:8;51:2;282:22 uninfected (1) 117:1 unique (11) 50:2,5;93:20;127:16, 16,21;136:14;187:6; 282:14;293:8,16 unit (1) 323:21
$\begin{array}{c} 208:15;209:20;211:21;\\ 212:21;213:3,9;219:9,\\ 11;221:14;223:11;\\ 225:22;226:2;231:21;\\ 235:17;254:1;257:5;\\ 258:10,10;316:6,6;\\ 317:17,18;331:1,18;\\ 335:18;336:10,11;344:9,\\ 21;345:1,2;351:3\\ \textbf{trialed (1)}\\ 172:13\\ \textbf{trialist (2)}\\ 259:4;351:12\\ \textbf{trials (68)}\\ 13:19;21:1;26:6;\\ 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3;\\ 60:13;65:1,6,17;104:19;\\ 131:12;135:11;151:20;\\ 152:2;153:2;181:18;\\ 184:2;188:20;193:1;\\ 199:21,22;203:22;\\ 205:18;206:16,18;\\ 207:2;208:3,22;211:18;\\ 223:2,9;225:19;226:15,\\ 20,21;228:16;230:8;\\ 236:5;246:20;254:11,\\ 21;255:10;259:22;\\ \end{array}$	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16,20,22,22;127:8, 10;128:2,7,9,14,16,19; 129:8;130:1;132:12 TRPV1-positive (2) 126:6,14 true (19) 25:8;66:4;83:2;96:19; 106:1;111:6;122:3; 131:1;136:1;141:1; 162:11;175:20;229:4; 260:3;327:3,14;329:17; 333:6;336:10	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18, 22;152:10;153:17,18; 155:22;161:2;164:1; 227:22;230:17 Twenty (2) 112:10,11 Twenty-five (1) 55:11 twice (2) 88:12;314:6 twist (1) 140:19	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2) 140:4;205:8 typically (2) 209:21;337:15 typology (1) 219:4 U UCL (1) 196:16 UCSF (5)	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22 unilateral (4) 43:3;50:8;51:2;282:22 uninfected (1) 117:1 unique (11) 50:2,5;93:20;127:16, 16,21;136:14;187:6; 282:14;293:8,16 unit (1) 323:21 United (2)
$\begin{array}{c} 208:15;209:20;211:21;\\ 212:21;213:3,9;219:9,\\ 11;221:14;223:11;\\ 225:22;226:2;231:21;\\ 235:17;254:1;257:5;\\ 258:10,10;316:6,6;\\ 317:17,18;331:1,18;\\ 335:18;336:10,11;344:9,\\ 21;345:1,2;351:3\\ \textbf{trialed (1)}\\ 172:13\\ \textbf{trialist (2)}\\ 259:4;351:12\\ \textbf{trials (68)}\\ 13:19;21:1;26:6;\\ 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3;\\ 60:13;65:1,6,17;104:19;\\ 131:12;135:11;151:20;\\ 152:2;153:2;181:18;\\ 184:2;188:20;193:1;\\ 199:21,22;203:22;\\ 205:18;206:16,18;\\ 207:2;208:3,22;211:18;\\ 223:2,9;225:19;226:15,\\ 20,21;228:16;230:8;\\ 236:5;246:20;254:11,\\ 21;255:10;259:22;\\ 264:5;268:12;283:14;\\ \end{array}$	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16,20,22,22;127:8, 10;128:2,7,9,14,16,19; 129:8;130:1;132:12 TRPV1-positive (2) 126:6,14 true (19) 25:8;66:4;83:2;96:19; 106:1;111:6;122:3; 131:1;136:1;141:1; 162:11;175:20;229:4; 260:3;327:3,14;329:17; 333:6;336:10 truer (1)	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18, 22;152:10;153:17,18; 155:22;161:2;164:1; 227:22;230:17 Twenty (2) 112:10,11 Twenty-five (1) 55:11 twice (2) 88:12;314:6 twist (1) 140:19 two (98)	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2) 140:4;205:8 typically (2) 209:21;337:15 typology (1) 219:4 U UCL (1) 196:16 UCSF (5) 75:5;80:21;81:16;	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22 unilateral (4) 43:3;50:8;51:2;282:22 uninfected (1) 117:1 unique (11) 50:2,5;93:20;127:16, 16,21;136:14;187:6; 282:14;293:8,16 unit (1) 323:21 United (2) 5:12;296:6
$\begin{array}{c} 208:15;209:20;211:21;\\ 212:21;213:3,9;219:9,\\ 11;221:14;223:11;\\ 225:22;226:2;231:21;\\ 235:17;254:1;257:5;\\ 258:10,10;316:6,6;\\ 317:17,18;331:1,18;\\ 335:18;336:10,11;344:9,\\ 21;345:1,2;351:3\\ \textbf{trialed (1)}\\ 172:13\\ \textbf{trialist (2)}\\ 259:4;351:12\\ \textbf{trials (68)}\\ 13:19;21:1;26:6;\\ 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3;\\ 60:13;65:1,6,17;104:19;\\ 131:12;135:11;151:20;\\ 152:2;153:2;181:18;\\ 184:2;188:20;193:1;\\ 199:21,22;203:22;\\ 205:18;206:16,18;\\ 207:2;208:3,22;211:18;\\ 223:2,9;225:19;226:15,\\ 20,21;228:16;230:8;\\ 236:5;246:20;254:11,\\ 21;255:10;259:22;\\ 264:5;268:12;283:14;\\ 312:10;330:10;333:15,\\ \end{array}$	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16,20,22,22;127:8, 10;128:2,7,9,14,16,19; 129:8;130:1;132:12 TRPV1-positive (2) 126:6,14 true (19) 25:8;66:4;83:2;96:19; 106:1;111:6;122:3; 131:1;136:1;141:1; 162:11;175:20;229:4; 260:3;327:3,14;329:17; 333:6;336:10 truer (1) 225:10	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18, 22;152:10;153:17,18; 155:22;161:2;164:1; 227:22;230:17 Twenty (2) 112:10,11 Twenty-five (1) 55:11 twice (2) 88:12;314:6 twist (1) 140:19 two (98) 8:4;16:8;27:2,16;	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2) 140:4;205:8 typically (2) 209:21;337:15 typology (1) 219:4 U UCL (1) 196:16 UCSF (5) 75:5;80:21;81:16; 101:2;175:8	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22 unilateral (4) 43:3;50:8;51:2;282:22 uninfected (1) 117:1 unique (11) 50:2,5;93:20;127:16, 16,21;136:14;187:6; 282:14;293:8,16 unit (1) 323:21 United (2) 5:12;296:6 United's (1)
$\begin{array}{c} 208:15;209:20;211:21;\\ 212:21;213:3,9;219:9,\\ 11;221:14;223:11;\\ 225:22;226:2;231:21;\\ 235:17;254:1;257:5;\\ 258:10,10;316:6,6;\\ 317:17,18;331:1,18;\\ 335:18;336:10,11;344:9,\\ 21;345:1,2;351:3\\ \textbf{trialed (1)}\\ 172:13\\ \textbf{trialist (2)}\\ 259:4;351:12\\ \textbf{trials (68)}\\ 13:19;21:1;26:6;\\ 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3;\\ 60:13;65:1,6,17;104:19;\\ 131:12;135:11;151:20;\\ 152:2;153:2;181:18;\\ 184:2;188:20;193:1;\\ 199:21,22;203:22;\\ 205:18;206:16,18;\\ 207:2;208:3,22;211:18;\\ 223:2,9;225:19;226:15,\\ 20,21;228:16;230:8;\\ 236:5;246:20;254:11,\\ 21;255:10;259:22;\\ 264:5;268:12;283:14;\\ 312:10;330:10;333:15,\\ 21;336:16,19,21;337:8;\\ \end{array}$	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16,20,22,22;127:8, 10;128:2,7,9,14,16,19; 129:8;130:1;132:12 TRPV1-positive (2) 126:6,14 true (19) 25:8;66:4;83:2;96:19; 106:1;111:6;122:3; 131:1;136:1;141:1; 162:11;175:20;229:4; 260:3;327:3,14;329:17; 333:6;336:10 truer (1) 225:10 truism (1)	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18, 22;152:10;153:17,18; 155:22;161:2;164:1; 227:22;230:17 Twenty (2) 112:10,11 Twenty-five (1) 55:11 twice (2) 88:12;314:6 twist (1) 140:19 two (98) 8:4;16:8;27:2,16; 28:10;37:18;42:13,20;	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2) 140:4;205:8 typically (2) 209:21;337:15 typology (1) 219:4 U UCL (1) 196:16 UCSF (5) 75:5;80:21;81:16; 101:2;175:8 UK (4)	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22 unilateral (4) 43:3;50:8;51:2;282:22 uninfected (1) 117:1 unique (11) 50:2,5;93:20;127:16, 16,21;136:14;187:6; 282:14;293:8,16 unit (1) 323:21 United (2) 5:12;296:6 United's (1) 5:10
$\begin{array}{c} 208:15;209:20;211:21;\\ 212:21;213:3,9;219:9,\\ 11;221:14;223:11;\\ 225:22;226:2;231:21;\\ 235:17;254:1;257:5;\\ 258:10,10;316:6,6;\\ 317:17,18;331:1,18;\\ 335:18;336:10,11;344:9,\\ 21;345:1,2;351:3\\ \textbf{trialed (1)}\\ 172:13\\ \textbf{trialist (2)}\\ 259:4;351:12\\ \textbf{trials (68)}\\ 13:19;21:1;26:6;\\ 34:12;43:8;51:20;53:3;\\ 60:13;65:1,6,17;104:19;\\ 131:12;135:11;151:20;\\ 152:2;153:2;181:18;\\ 184:2;188:20;193:1;\\ 199:21,22;203:22;\\ 205:18;206:16,18;\\ 207:2;208:3,22;211:18;\\ 223:2,9;225:19;226:15,\\ 20,21;228:16;230:8;\\ 236:5;246:20;254:11,\\ 21;255:10;259:22;\\ 264:5;268:12;283:14;\\ 312:10;330:10;333:15,\\ \end{array}$	307:6;308:2,21 triptans (10) 283:7;285:16;298:2; 299:6,8,10;304:12; 306:13;309:8;331:14 trivial (1) 38:4 troop (1) 142:4 troops (1) 64:6 trouble (1) 322:3 TRPV1 (20) 90:13;124:11;126:4,8, 11,16,20,22,22;127:8, 10;128:2,7,9,14,16,19; 129:8;130:1;132:12 TRPV1-positive (2) 126:6,14 true (19) 25:8;66:4;83:2;96:19; 106:1;111:6;122:3; 131:1;136:1;141:1; 162:11;175:20;229:4; 260:3;327:3,14;329:17; 333:6;336:10 truer (1) 225:10	tunnel (2) 22:14;84:19 TURK (10) 191:3,4;214:15;222:8; 226:18;264:19;268:21; 271:17;292:20;294:15 turn (12) 29:15;56:5,6;57:8; 81:12;87:4,14,21,22; 103:6;109:6;119:16 turned (5) 81:14;87:18;120:6; 130:2;281:22 turns (15) 120:4;123:5;144:15; 146:13;149:2;151:18, 22;152:10;153:17,18; 155:22;161:2;164:1; 227:22;230:17 Twenty (2) 112:10,11 Twenty-five (1) 55:11 twice (2) 88:12;314:6 twist (1) 140:19 two (98) 8:4;16:8;27:2,16;	type (13) 13:10;23:15;94:16; 153:6;155:1,7,8,18; 194:15;195:4;198:12; 200:5;242:22 type-reporting (1) 350:20 types (23) 4:21;7:1;9:22;22:18; 29:7;34:4;81:3;110:22; 126:2;127:22;137:22; 138:1;155:10;158:19; 159:2,11;170:12; 173:15;189:3;243:1; 245:21;246:1;250:14 typical (2) 140:4;205:8 typically (2) 209:21;337:15 typology (1) 219:4 U UCL (1) 196:16 UCSF (5) 75:5;80:21;81:16; 101:2;175:8	understood (1) 340:21 underway (2) 43:13;321:8 unduly (1) 204:10 unexpected (1) 157:11 unforeseen (1) 95:6 unfortunate (3) 13:16;183:12;327:18 unfortunately (7) 69:19;96:13;102:14, 14;163:20;180:22; 181:22 unilateral (4) 43:3;50:8;51:2;282:22 uninfected (1) 117:1 unique (11) 50:2,5;93:20;127:16, 16,21;136:14;187:6; 282:14;293:8,16 unit (1) 323:21 United (2) 5:12;296:6 United's (1)

Transformative Strategies-Development of Pain T		herapies	June 24, 2014	
196:18	235:22	220:9;224:10;225:4;	18:9;152:11;153:9;	ventral (5)
		251:5;260:6;261:3;		48:5;71:19;85:4;
universally (1) 185:9	uptake (3) 129:12,14;171:18	290:20;310:20;317:1;	155:21;196:12;198:1,	48.5,71.19,85.4, 108:1,4
	USA (4)		20;202:17;223:14;252:7	,
University (11)		327:19,21;330:20	vary (1) 316:11	ventricles (1)
4:14;98:6;112:14;	28:15;57:17;209:10;	usual (2)		19:13
139:15;140:9;154:1;	223:3	47:5;348:6	vascular (6)	ventricular (1)
164:9;200:16;214:2;	usage (2)	usually (10)	277:11;293:14;	204:13
227:8;272:9	167:12;310:18	22:16;28:7,14;76:9;	299:19,21;334:22;335:1	venture (2)
unknown (1)	use (74)	78:16;99:14;113:16;	vasculature (1)	212:15;214:10
28:20	9:5;18:1;21:2,3;22:12,	114:11;115:4,6	293:8	verge (1)
unless (3)	20;31:20;34:6,10,11,12;	Utah (4)	vasoconstriction (4)	294:11
42:11;71:6;244:10	38:18,19,20;42:18;49:2;	139:15;154:1;164:7,9	277:19;303:10,12;	versa (3)
Unlike (2)	54:21;56:19;58:2;59:13,	utility (2)	304:7	333:10,16,19
8:16;166:5	15,17;61:2;67:2;72:22;	103:11;137:2	vasoconstrictive (1)	version (2)
unlikely (1)	73:19;75:21;78:1;79:18;	utilized (1)	299:22	210:21;230:19
313:4	80:14;91:21;92:1;96:22;	181:22	vasoconstrictor (2)	versus (8)
unmet (2)	106:2;108:19;114:14;	utilizing (1)	278:7,9	39:15;51:11;217:19;
77:6;264:11	117:3;120:5;121:10;	263:1	vasoconstrictors (2)	261:5;303:20;308:3;
Unmyelinated (2)	123:6,15;125:22;		277:3,3	320:21;330:20
124:5;280:8	126:13;127:9,11;132:3,	V	vasodilatation (1)	vertebrate (1)
unpredictable (1)	7;134:9;148:10;151:9,		288:1	146:3
221:11	18;156:5;159:12;160:8;	vaccine (1)	vasodilation (7)	Vescovi (1)
unusual (2)	182:21;187:13;206:18;	115:22	299:3;300:4,4,7,7,13,	52:18
139:7;235:6	217:2;224:9;271:10;	vacuum (1)	16	vesicles (3)
unwanted (1)	284:14;305:10;310:14,	238:22	vasodilator (2)	86:14;88:20;276:4
119:16	15;311:3;312:20;313:2,	validate (1)	299:2;323:6	vessel (6)
up (83)	9;314:5;335:20;336:4;	131:13	vasodilators (2)	96:6;275:8;284:9,14;
4:18;7:4;8:1,12;9:20;	338:4;340:7;351:19	validated (3)	277:2,13	291:3,8
11:11;12:12;15:1,13;	used (50)	252:9;317:3;330:18	vasodilatory (3)	vessels (13)
16:4;20:6,19;21:7;27:8;	17:18,20;21:3;22:14;	validates (1)	300:3;303:9;306:15	51:4;276:8,9;277:1,
29:13;33:10;36:3;38:10,	23:16,20;25:14;48:2,17;	318:5	vast (1)	21;280:2;286:17;
16;39:18;44:20;45:19;	57:22;61:3,15,18;116:2;	validation (1)	158:2	290:17;299:4;300:8,8,9,
49:19;54:1,4,14;55:20;	119:22;120:13;124:9;	246:6	VC (1)	9
56:11;61:12;62:7,8,13;	127:13;128:15;130:11;	validity (1)	241:4	VGAT (3)
63:10;84:16,18;85:12;	134:10,11;147:14,21;	219:17	VC-backed (1)	86:12;109:19;111:13
87:5,20;88:4;116:18;	164:14;179:13;180:7;	value (5)	242:1	viability (2)
120:7,10;129:7,17,21;	197:14,17;199:20,21;	239:22;256:1,2;257:7,	vector (14)	71:22;72:2
130:9;133:20;136:15;	201:2,3;203:20,21;	17	118:6,21;122:3,13,19,	vials (1)
137:15;140:22;142:12;	205:18;206:9;211:5;	values (1)	20;128:21;129:13,15;	44:20
145:16;158:15,17;159:6,	216:21;230:11;245:21;	284:19	130:15,19,21;131:3;	vice (3)
9;171:9;182:1;192:11;	246:1,4;249:13;251:14;	vantage (1)	170:13	333:10,16,19
214:3;216:2;217:4,8,20;	280:3;286:4;305:9;	263:3	vectors (4)	video (1)
218:19;222:11;228:1;	331:12;338:12	variability (1)	112:17;118:3;133:19;	37:1
232:12;249:21;254:22;	useful (9)	206:12	165:7	videos (1)
255:18;271:12,21;	22:5;56:7;107:9;	variable (2)	VEGF (1)	20:8
272:20;275:20;280:13;	137:19;146:15;188:17;	50:12;146:14	70:1	videotaped (1)
286:2;326:6;336:13;	193:12;209:18;211:15	variant (1)	vehicle (1)	192:6
343:22;346:11;352:10,	usefulness (1)	168:13	45:21	view (14)
17	213:8	variants (1)	vein (2)	7:18;107:17;119:17;
update (2)	uses (3)	169:3	226:22;281:21	134:21;171:20;174:1,
6:11;42:16	19:10;61:4;116:5	variations (2)	velocity (1)	10;178:7;185:12;
updated (1)	using (51)	34:10;202:3	203:16	201:13;211:15;219:22;
61:22	6:16;8:21;11:5;14:11;	varicella (1)	venom (19)	257:13;286:12
updates (2)	21:14;31:21;33:6;48:13;	115:2	138:16;139:7,16,17;	viewed (3)
45:8;353:14	49:5,15,17,20;51:12;	varied (1)	140:15;141:17;142:1;	252:21;262:8,10
upon (6)	53:20;55:17;63:9;74:14;	29:12	147:3,15,21;148:6;	VIP (5)
271:1,4;275:16;	90:10,12;91:7;93:14;	varies (1)	150:6,8,8,21;151:6;	275:12;282:16,16;
281:10;323:21;347:10	95:11;101:3;103:5;	320:15	157:14;166:2,3	283:5;335:2
upper (5)	110:19;112:17;119:14;	variety (11)	venomous (1)	viral (3)
27:9,10,19;35:14;54:2	124:3;125:18;127:1;	83:5;87:4;90:10;98:3;	137:19	112:17;116:20;165:6
upregulated (2)	129:11;133:15;140:1;	106:10;137:4;151:19;	venoms (4)	virally (1)
232:22;233:15	142:6;158:18;160:6;	179:9;241:12,18;344:17	153:19;155:16;166:1,	164:21
ups (1)	164:18;171:15;172:5;	various (10)	7	virologist (1)
- F ² (F)	101110,17110,172.0,			

(46) universally - virologist

June 24, 2014

~		-		
92.10	312:9	52.6.50.12.72.6 8.	72.6 12.77.10.122.2.	231:4
83:19		52:6;59:12;72:6,8;	73:6,12;77:10;133:3;	
virologists (1)	waiting (1)	73:13;85:8;98:14;99:16,	142:4;150:1,18;185:22;	wizard (2)
135:1	53:2	22;107:1,3;108:12;	187:4;189:14;214:17;	62:1,3
virtually (5)	wake (1)	116:12;118:4,6;122:2,	225:5;231:4;233:9;	woman (1)
229:14;245:17;	140:22	13;130:14,17;141:2,2;	253:5;275:21;290:5;	253:3
280:15;288:3;350:2	Walicke (3)	186:5,11,11;192:11;	298:14;329:15;340:16,	women (1)
virus (39)	231:18,18;250:8	208:15;252:15,16;	18;347:20	273:9
			-	
42:19;67:6;113:13,18;	Walicke's (1)	314:7;318:13;333:11	who's (3)	wonder (1)
114:1,11,14,18;115:3,3,	253:2	weigh (1)	5:18;266:15;272:11	183:21
8;116:3,17;117:10,10,	walk (2)	60:13	wide-bore (1)	wondered (2)
13;118:7,12,12,15;	243:17;324:5		21:7	105:12;327:10
		weight (1)		
119:5;121:19;123:10;	Walker (1)	320:12	widen (1)	wonderful (1)
124:15;125:5,6;127:9,	115:20	weird (3)	181:8	351:22
10,15;128:2,5,7,12;	walking (1)	184:7;244:16,20	wider (1)	wondering (6)
129:1,4;130:5;133:1;	231:1	welcome (3)	344:7	73:22;104:19;105:1;
135:13;164:18	wander (1)	4:4;112:20;137:6	widespread (2)	109:4;166:13;185:17
viruses (5)	346:9	well- (2)	239:11;350:8	wonders (1)
		252:8;297:22		269:11
116:3;124:1;125:17;	wants (1)		wife (1)	
133:3,4	222:10	well-established (1)	42:2	Woolf's (1)
virus's (1)	watching (1)	232:14	wild-type (2)	78:20
124:17	191:15	well-known (1)	54:18;122:21	word (8)
			-	
visceral (6)	wave (1)	31:16	willing (1)	217:2;222:9;224:9;
250:12;255:19;	58:15	well-tolerated (2)	44:2	245:3;249:11;260:22;
257:11,22;264:15;343:9	way (64)	156:20;307:21	win (1)	333:19;353:5
			65:1	words (6)
visibility (1)	18:17;27:10,11,12;	weren't (7)		
249:7	32:12;33:2;40:4;44:17;	55:18;74:1;161:6;	window (3)	94:1;120:21;187:13;
vision (2)	50:21;55:15;58:5,21;	186:14;213:2;221:2;	109:16;120:20;180:2	201:22;338:11;340:9
264:3;276:21	59:7;60:15;65:2;67:21;	267:17	wind-up (1)	work (90)
visual (4)	69:21;73:16;78:2;83:16;	Weskamp (1)	329:14	5:15,19;6:4,18;15:18;
209:17;273:14,15,17	87:18;91:2;103:15;	233:5	wins (1)	17:5,11,20;21:13;23:10;
vital (1)	106:22;109:5;123:8;	western (1)	70:2	35:17;39:14;42:15;
134:22	128:11;142:11;148:2;	223:2	wipe (1)	44:10;46:21;52:11;54:9;
vitro (4)	159:9;160:6;167:11;	whatever's (1)	60:5	55:16,19;57:10;60:10,
17:13;55:19;102:18;	189:7;193:10;194:8;	270:21	wipes (1)	11,17;77:17;80:20;81:5;
165:9	198:21;209:18,22;	what's (15)	138:21	83:5;86:15;101:6;103:3;
vivo (7)	213:19;217:11;223:5;	72:1;93:11;143:6;	Wisconsin (5)	104:5,7,9,12;107:11;
17:15;55:19;56:10,14;	225:9;232:11;242:18;	153:18;154:6;170:3;	4:15;7:8;39:15;42:4;	110:1;111:18;112:19;
165:9,10;289:9	251:1;265:19;266:3,16;	191:12;254:14;265:14;	66:3	113:5;124:14;132:16,
voltage-gated (4)	267:8;268:16;277:10;	271:2;300:20;312:11;	wisdom (3)	19;136:19,21;137:11;
139:1;143:13;144:6,8	289:2;292:11;300:1;	315:16;316:15;348:20	223:1,4;224:18	148:19;164:9;167:14,15,
voluntarily (1)	301:17;309:2;320:7;	whatsoever (1)	wish (1)	18;168:11;170:20;
319:22	333:12;338:4;339:7;	256:6	76:4	171:2,8;191:21;199:17;
voluntary (1)	348:17;352:19;353:6,10	wheat (1)	withdraw (1)	200:18;201:9,14;219:5;
312:8	ways (7)	87:15	47:15	221:3;224:7,8;231:20;
volunteers (2)	79:6;103:3;124:2;	whereas (4)	withdrawal (4)	244:5;265:12;266:2;
251:6;263:5	134:11;153:9;157:15;	12:16;131:3;206:2;	116:15;121:17,22;	268:2,17;270:2,8;
von (4)	268:10	210:14	131:1	271:16;274:21;275:5,
47:6,13,17;245:15	weak (1)	Whereupon (4)	withdrawing (1)	15;281:6;283:7,18;
vulnerability (1)	108:22	136:9;190:11;294:20;	40:10	286:16;303:1,16;321:3,
298:19	weakness (1)	353:18	within (11)	4,16,16;333:8;340:5;
vutron (1)	273:19	wherever (1)	28:22;32:18;52:6;	341:13;349:5,13
143:10	weaning (1)	93:18	63:3;92:9;99:16;131:20;	worked (15)
VZV (1)	183:5	whilst (1)	245:14,19;271:14;	35:21;55:10;58:9;
116:5	weapon (1)	343:7	289:19	65:21;120:19;132:21;
VZV-infected (2)	64:7	whistles (1)	without (14)	178:11;180:5;214:6;
115:21;130:14	website (4)	223:17	13:20;32:13;33:4;	229:9,13,21;270:19;
113.21,130.17				
	192:7;206:22;226:1;	white (3)	71:1;118:12;174:22;	278:2;330:11
W	353:13	38:13;85:5;101:19	235:20;237:19;253:13;	workhorse (2)
	week (5)	whole (39)	256:20;284:22;323:9;	29:10;30:3
Waddington (1)	118:22;208:11;	7:11;9:13,16,20;	332:1;350:11	working (19)
Waddington (1)				
61:11	210:16;320:11;343:18	18:13;24:6,17,22;27:20;	witness (1)	4:12,20;6:7;44:12;
wait (4)	weeks (35)	31:11;40:16;47:21;50:4;	231:5	54:1;66:5;98:6;103:1;
21:16;25:7;186:13;	12:13,14;33:10;47:13;	53:19;54:2;59:15;72:21;		104:10;123:22;135:1;
				100,120.22,100.1,
-		1	h	1

			 l.
139:13;181:11;189:20;	Xiaobing (2)	zolmitriptan (1)	
237:8;264:2;281:9;	97:13;98:1	308:1	
288:10;352:7	Xidao (1)	zoster (3)	
works (21)	104:6	115:3,8;135:6	
21:17;39:15;60:10,22;	X-Y (1)		
66:8;72:19,19;80:6;	49:14		
83:22;96:8;116:5;		-	
123:12;165:7;182:14;	Y		
257:10;267:22;312:12;			
314:2;321:3,4;339:9	Yamanaka (2)		
workshop (1)	7:15;62:2		
353:18	year (10)		
world (13)	42:20;49:18;52:17;		
22:1,1;24:15;42:9;	65:4;88:12;115:15;		
94:17;240:13,14;243:16,	342:15;343:22;348:2;		
20;254:10;258:6;273:8;	350:10		
275:16	years (64)		
worlds (1)	4:14;7:6,16;8:1;18:1,		
21:22	3;19:7;25:2,4;26:13;		
worm (1)	27:2,2;28:7;29:1,1;		
155:8	31:14;32:9;34:19;35:20;		
worm-hunting (1)	42:4,13,20;49:1;50:15,		
154:14	15;55:7,16;56:21;60:7,		
worms (2)	7;61:22;66:1;70:18;		
137:22;154:13	75:15;87:3;112:10,11,		
worried (4)	13;119:21;121:11;		
39:13;53:5;60:16;	146:11;147:8;171:22;		
112:13	186:13,14;196:5;		
worse (4)	207:21;209:12;214:7;		
52:22;53:1;157:1; 330:6	227:8,10,18;259:21; 295:11,11;303:2;		
worsening (1)	312:21;326:12;329:8;		
235:10	330:8;343:17;346:17,		
worst (2)	18;350:15		
26:20;157:21	year's (1)		
worth (5)	340:15		
235:4;237:21;260:15;	yeast (1)		
268:19,20	317:2		
Wow (2)	yellow (1)		
88:8;243:15	84:8		
wrap (2)	yesterday (13)		
29:9;40:1	6:19;30:7,7;91:22;		
write (2)	169:21;181:8;191:5;		
37:4;310:3	193:6;214:18;222:14;		
write-up (1)	252:4;339:20;342:20		
350:11	York (2)		
writing (2)	23:16;296:7		
42:13;321:22	Yoshitaka (1)		
wrong (8)	133:2		
83:12;109:22;140:6;	young (2)		
230:17,18;268:20;	281:9;339:10		
272:8;344:3	Yu (1) 97:13		
wrote (3) 99:4;176:2;289:6	97:13 Yves (3)		
99:4;176:2;289:6 Wyss (2)	77:16;169:21;172:14		
15:13,14	//.10,109.21,1/2.14		
10.10,11	Z		
X			
	Zealand (1)		
xenografted (1)	207:2		
72:17	zero (5)		
xenografts (1)	47:12;143:10;271:13;		
41:8	306:3;319:15		
	1	1	1